

GOAL-BASED FILTERING APPROACH FOR
RECOMMENDER SYSTEM

MUHAMMAD WASEEM CHUGHTGAI

A research thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirement for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Software Engineering)

Faculty of Computing
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM)

MAY 2014

*“To my beloved family, thanks for your love and support in every effort I did.
To all my friends; thanks for your encouragement and for willing to lend your hands
in the journey of dreams and hope.”*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Start with the name of ALLAH, the Most Gracious, and the Most Merciful, thank you for giving me the opportunity to complete this research degree. I am most grateful to my supervisor for his insights, patience, and helpful suggestions in guiding me through all in my research from the beginning to the accomplishment of my work.

My deep thanks go to my co-supervisor for their constructive efforts and suggestions in making this research more interesting.

Last, I would like to thank all staff and lecturers of Faculty of Computing, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for their help and support. Not forgetting, to my family for their supports and understanding.

ABSTRACT

Recommender system is a sub part of information retrieval. It decreases the content searching time, increases the user's interest, and provides recommendations relevant to user's goals or interests. The major drawback of recommender system is user-based cold-start problem, which has two causes: new-user zero-rated profile recommendations and average-user low-rated profile recommendations. This research proposes goal-based filtering approach consisting of two hybrid parts; first is content-based filtering with collaborative features to overcome the first cause of user-based cold-start problem. The second is collaborative filtering with k -nearest neighbor scheme features to improve the second cause of user-based cold-start problem. The famous 'MovieLens' dataset is rich with its 927 entries of user's profile data, which makes it a choice for experiments on the proposed work. The cosine similarity and euclidean distance measurements have been used to compute the personalized profile similarities between users profile preferences according to their age, gender and occupation. These similarities are helpful to predict the recommendations to the zero-rated and low-rated users without using any extra information such as ratings, likes or dislikes. The evaluation of experiments has been performed using mean precision with result of 83.44% and mean recall with result of 85.22%. The results demonstrate that percentage of user's profile similarity measurements is probably effective for web-based system's recommendations.

ABSTRAK

Sistem pencadang adalah sebahagian daripada capaian maklumat semula. Ia mengurangkan penggunaan masa untuk mencari sebarang kandungan, meningkatkan minat pengguna, dan menyediakan cadangan yang berkenaan dengan tujuan atau kepentingan pengguna. Kelemahan utama sistem pencadang adalah masalah pengguna berasaskan *cold-start*, yang mempunyai dua punca: pengguna baru dengan cadangan-cadangan profil *zero-rated* dan pengguna ditahap sederhana dengan cadangan profil *low-rated*. Kajian ini mencadangkan pendekatan penapisan *goal-based* yang terdiri daripada dua bahagian hibrid; pertama adalah penapisan kandungan berasaskan dengan ciri-ciri kerjasama untuk mengatasi punca pertama masalah pengguna berasaskan *cold-start*. Kedua adalah penapisan dengan skim kerjasama ciri-ciri *k-nearest neighbor* untuk memperbaiki punca kedua masalah pengguna berasaskan *cold-start*. Set data terkenal iaitu 'MovieLens' yang kaya dengan 927 penyertaan daripada data profil pengguna yang membuatnya menjadi satu pilihan bagi eksperimen ke atas kerja yang dicadangkan. Ukuran jarak keserupaan kosinus dan ukuran jarak euclid telah digunakan untuk mengira persamaan di antara pilihan profil mengikut umur, jantina dan pekerjaan mereka. Persamaan-persamaan ini berguna untuk meramalkan cadangan kepada pengguna-pengguna *zero-rated* dan *low-rated* tanpa menggunakan apa-apa maklumat tambahan seperti pengkadaran, suka atau tidak suka. Penilaian keputusan eksperimen telah dilakukan dengan menggunakan purata ketepatan dengan hasil 83.44% dan purata mengingat kembali sebanyak 85.22%. Keputusan-keputusan menunjukkan bahawa peratusan pengukuran persamaan profil pengguna mungkin berkesan untuk laman web berasaskan sistem cadangan ini.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
1	INTRODOCUTION	1
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Problem Background	3
	1.2.1 User-Based Cold-Start Problem	3
	1.3 Problem Statement	4
	1.4 Objectives	5
	1.5 Aim	6
	1.6 Scope	6
	1.7 Significance of Study	7
	1.8 Organization of Thesis	7
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1 Introduction	8
	2.2 Literature Review Framework	9

2.2.1	Phase 1: Keywords Selection	10
2.2.2	Phase 2: Keywords Conjunction	11
2.2.3	Phase 3: Literature Search	12
2.2.4	Phase 4: Search Criteria	12
2.2.5	Phase 5: Selection Criteria	13
2.3	Background Study Overview	15
2.3.1	Recommendation Environment and User Goals	15
2.3.2	Recommender System	16
2.4	Filtering Approaches Classification	17
2.4.1	General Approaches	18
2.4.1.1	Content-Based Filtering Approach	19
2.4.1.2	Collaborative Filtering Approach	19
2.4.1.3	Hybrid Filtering Approach	20
2.4.2	Machine Learning Approaches	21
2.5	Major Research Challenges	21
2.5.1	Cold-Start Problem	22
2.6	Dataset	23
2.7	Similarity, Validation and Evaluation Methods	25
2.7.1	User-Based Similarity Methods	26
2.7.2	Cross Validation Method	27
2.7.3	Evaluation Methods	28
2.8	Literature Review Gap Analysis and Results	29
2.9	Summary	31
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Operational Framework	34
3.2.1	Research Artifacts (Stage A)	35
3.2.2	Literature Review (Stage B)	35
3.2.3	Data Preparation and Conceptualization of Proposed Approach (Stage C)	36
3.2.4	Goal-based Filtering Approach (Stage D)	36
3.2.5	Results and Discussions (Stages E)	36
3.2.6	Conclusions and Future Work (Stage F)	37
3.3	Deliverables of Research Operational Framework	37
3.4	Research Methodology Acquiring Processes	39
3.5	Dataset Validation	40

3.5.1	Dataset Key-Points	43
3.6	Conceptualization of Proposed Filtering Approach	45
3.6.1	Features and Dimensions of Proposed Approach	46
3.7	Summary	49
4	GOAL-BASED FILTERING APPROACH	50
4.1	Introduction	50
4.2	Type of Factors That Impact User's Goals in Recommendation	51
4.3	Influence the User's Desired Goal	51
4.4	Proposed Goal-Based Filtering Approach	53
4.4.1	Step 1: Initialization	54
4.4.2	Step 2: Classification	56
4.4.2.1	The New-User Zero-Rated Profiles	58
4.4.2.2	The Average-User Low-Rated Profiles	58
4.4.2.3	The Super-User High-Rated Profiles	59
4.4.3	Step 3: Categorization	59
4.4.4	Step 4: Personalized Profiles Similarities	61
4.4.4.1	Content-Based Filtering with Collaborative Features	62
4.4.4.2	Collaborative Filtering with K-Nearest Neighbor Scheme Features	64
4.4.5	Step 5: Similar Profiles	66
4.4.6	Step 6: Related Items	66
4.4.7	Step 7: Recommendations	66
4.5	Summary	67
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	68
5.1	Introduction	68
5.2	The MovieLens Dataset	68
5.3	The Dataset Used	69
5.4	Research Experiments	71
5.4.1	New-User Zero-Rated Profile 'NUP' Recommendations	72
5.4.2	Average-User Low-Rated Profile 'AUP' Recommendations	75
5.5	Results Analysis and Discussion	77
5.6	Summary	81

6	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	82
6.1	Conclusion	82
6.2	Research Findings	83
6.3	Thesis Contribution	84
6.4	Research Limitation	85
6.6	Future Work	85
	REFERENCES	86
	APPENDIX A	95
	APPENDIX B	107
	APPENDIX C	116
	APPENDIX D	118

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	High rated relevant keywords of recommender systems by using “Goolge AdWord keyword tool”	10
2.2	Famous resources for searching literature research manuscript of recommender systems	12
2.3	General validation of ‘MovieLens’ dataset	24
2.4	‘MovieLens’ dataset D key-points	25
2.5	Previous and current literature results analysis	30
2.6	User-based cold-start problem issues and their causes	30
3.1	Deliverables for every objective of research operational framework	37
3.2	Overview of research activities involved and its possible outcomes	39
3.3	Summarization of dataset preparation and validation process	42
3.4	‘MovieLens’ dataset D key-points	43
3.5	‘MovieLens’ dataset D re-validated key-points	44
3.6	Summarization of methodology and processes of proposed approach	45
3.7	Parameters description that have been used in Figure 3.5	47
4.1	Attributes description of rating class ‘R’	55
4.2	Description of three classified users profiles classes	57
4.3	The definitions and purposes of derived training set (T_R) and testing set (T_S)	61
5.1	Summary of users ‘ U_n ’ class and ratings ‘ R_n ’ class with respect to their total population and normalization	70
5.2	Similarity matrix of training-set T_{RI} and testing-set T_{SI} as $U_{n=2} \times V_{n=872}$	73
5.3	Similarity matrix of training-set T_{RI} and testing-set T_{SI} as $U_{n=5} \times V_{n=872}$	74
5.4	Similarity matrix of training-set T_{RI} and testing-set T_{SI} as $U_{n=10} \times V_{n=872}$	75

5.5	Similarity matrix of training-set T_{R2} and testing-set T_{S2} as $U_{n=872} \times V_{n=61}$	76
5.6	(a) Precision Pr and recall Re of Table 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 resultant matrices.	78
	(b) Mean of precision (Pr) and mean of recall (Re) of Table 5.6 (a)	79

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	A systematic operational framework for technical review methodology on recommender systems	9
2.2	Relevant keywords conjunction to make phrases	11
2.3	Search criteria by using search materials and their total amount of manuscripts	13
2.4	Search criteria by using search materials and their total amount of manuscripts	14
2.5	Recommender system approaches classification model	18
2.6	Example of 5-cross validation and accuracy dataset	27
3.1	Research operational framework	34
3.2	Process in f -fold dataset validation	41
3.3	'MovieLens' dataset user profile data	41
3.4	Process of proposed goal-based filtering approach	45
3.5	Goal-based filtering approach operations, its features and dimensions	47
4.1	Framework of proposed goal-based filtering approach	54
4.2	'MovieLens' dataset classes initialization	55
4.3	Classification of users profiles class ' U ' using their ratings ' R ' information from 'MovieLens' dataset D .	57
4.4	The categorization of 'MovieLens' dataset D in sub-sequent training T_R and testing T_S sets.	60
4.5	Operational flow of content-based filtering with collaborative features for new-users zero-rated profile recommendations	63
4.6	Operational flow of collaborative filtering with k-nearest neighbor scheme features for average-users low-rated profile recommendations	65
5.1	(a) Graph representation of Table 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 by precision Pr and recall Re resultant matrices	79
	(b) Graph representation of Table 5.6 (b) by mean of precision Pr and mean of recall Re resultant matrices	80

LIST OF SYMBOLS

SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION
D	Dataset
R	Total ratings by user to items
I	Items profile class
U	Users profile class
$'u \in U'$	One user ' u ' belongs to the user's dataset class ' U '
$'i \in I'$	One Item ' i ' belongs to the items dataset class ' I '
$R_{u \rightarrow i}$	Total rating of items by the user
T_R	Training set
T_S	Testing set
$dot(u, v)$	Dot product of user's class $u \in T_R$ and user's class $v \in T_S$
$norm$	Normalization
$Sim(T_{R1}, T_{S1})$	Similarity between training set 1 and testing set 1
$Sim(T_{R2}, T_{S2})$	Similarity between training set 2 and testing set 2
$[U_n \times V_n]$	Profile similarity factorization matrix with total user profiles U_n in training set and total user profiles V_n in training set
$R_{(u \rightarrow i)}$	Similar user rated items
Pr	Precision
Re	Recall
$T_{(U, V)}$	Total users in class classes U_n and V_n
$T_{(Sim(U, V))}$	Total similar users profiles between classes U_n and V_n
$T_{Reb(U, V)}$	Total number of relevant similarity users profiles

LIST OF ABBREVIATION**AYNONYM****ABBRIVATION**

AUP	-	Average-user profile
CBF	-	Content-based Filtering
CF	-	Collaborative Filtering
HF	-	Hybrid Filtering
IR	-	Information Retrieval
KNN	-	k-Nearest Neighbor
NUP	-	New-user profile
RS	-	Recommender System
SUP	-	Super-user profile

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Summarization of related and cited manuscripts and materials	96
B	Sample of 973 user's U personalized profile preferences data class with attributes: (unique id, age, gender, occupation) from 'MovieLens' dataset	108
	(a) Sample of total new-user's personalized profile preferences	119
	(b) Sample of 100 average-user's personalized profile preferences	110
	(c) Sample of total super-user's personalized profile preferences	114
C	Sample of ratings ' R ' data class of 20 user's with attributes: (UID, IID, ratings from 1 to 5, timestamp in seconds) from 'MovieLens' dataset	117
D	Publications (conference, journal and book chapter)	119

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Knowledge, technology and mutual environments enhancements in contrast to modern web-based systems become an important part of daily life for millions of users for achieving their required goals, e.g., what the user trying to achieve or what the user set out to achieve. Modern web-based systems provide many benefits to users, it may increase some difficulties too; the one and major difficulty is large content mismanagement by increasing the number of pages (Salmon, 2003). This page-to-page running environment discourages the user's interests and less helpful for retrieving the required item in a particular timeframe. However, the recommender system (a central part of information retrieval) could offer an appropriate way to overcome the large content mismanagement issue and retrieve the relevant items more quickly and easily (Shishehchi et al., 2010; Shishehchi et al., 2011).

Recommender system is a central part of information retrieval for retrieving the relevant information (Ghauth and Abdullah, 2010) that offers more flexibility for users to decrease the difficulty of large content management. It helps to decrease the item searching time, increase the user's interest, and provide the recommendations relevant to user's goals or interests (P.di Bitonto et al., 2010). A simple and clear definition of recommender system is:

“A Recommendation works as a sub system, known as recommendation systems or some time called recommender systems RS, which aims to help a user or a group of users in a system to

collect required items from a crowded content lists or information space (McNee et al., 2006). ”

The operations of recommender system based on three filtering approaches, which are content-based filtering CBF, collaborative filtering CF and hybrid filtering HF approaches (Lara, 2004). In content-based filtering CBF, the system recommend only those relevant items to users that are similar to the ones they preferred them self in the past (Adomavicius and Tuzhilin, 2005). While, the collaborative filtering CF, the system recommend those relevant items that other users with similar interest and preferences liked in the past (Ghazanfar and Prugel-Bennett, 2010). The hybrid filtering HF is a third way to tackle the filtering results (Burke, 2002). The hybrid approaches plays a controversial role to tackle the users required goals.

With respect to traditional research aspects, every filtering approach in hybrid filtering has its own limitations. The famous and well-known problem is user-based cold-start and identifies with two major causes, which are as follows:

- i) New-user zero-rated profile recommendations, defined in (Section 1.2.1)
- ii) Average-user low-rated profile recommendations, defined in (Section 1.2.1)

In this problem, the user unable to retrieve any or less items from the system, if user does not visit any or less items and rate or vote them or the user do not have any sufficient past profile preferences, as like or dislike.

To improve the expertise of recommender system and overcome the above causes, this research proposed the goal-based filtering approach that tolerates the user goals by measuring users personalized profile preferences “age, gender and occupation” similarities with other users personalized profile preferences “age, gender and occupation”. With respect to the above two causes of use-based cold-start problem, this research validate the users in three categories, that are new-user zero-rated profiles, average-user low-rated profiles and super-user high-rated profiles. The personalized profile similarities between these three category of users are helpful to

predict their required items or goals and overcome the above two causes of use-based cold-start problem.

This research work constructed the goal-based filtering approach interwork with users personalized profile similarity measurements, instead of meta-keywords; predefine predictions or historical informational aspects. The filtering aspects of proposed work have been worked with the hybridization of content-based filtering with collaborative features to overcome the new-user zero-rated profile recommendations issue. Perhaps, the hybridization of collaborative filtering with k-nearest neighbor scheme features is helpful to improve the average-user low-rated profile recommendations.

1.2 Problem Background

The growing challenges in the problem domain affect the performance of recommender systems; however, one of the major challenge is user-based cold-start, which moderated against the user own historical (like or dislike, purchasing, reading, etc.) preferences (T. Qiu et al., 2011). The detail of user-based cold-start problem is defined as follows:

1.2.1 User-Based Cold-Start Problem

The cold-start is a well known in all types of recommender systems (F.Lecue, 2010). Generally, in this, the system led to none or poor recommendation and damaged the resultant filtered content of recommender system (Ghazanfar and Prugel-Bennett, 2010). This problem has encompasses by two issues, which are:

a) New-User Zero-Rated Profile Recommendation Issue

When the user is new in the system, the system is unable to extract sufficient information from the user profile that is required for the starter recommendations (Y. Blanco-Fernandez et al., 2008; Y.Blanco-Fernández et al., 2011). In other words, the

recommender systems generally works with the users own historical or rated profile preferences. Therefore, the new-user in the system do not visit and rate any item, so the system does not acclaim the users required goals and unable to filter the starter or new recommendations.

b) **Average-User Low-Rated Profile Recommendation Issue**

The existing literature induced that the users, which are not new in the system are also facing the forecasting recommendation issues (Ge, 2011; Z. Mi and C. Xu, 2012). In other words, it has occurred when the user is not regular in the system, or user has not rated and visited much items. In both of cases, the system is not able to produce the recommendations, which loses the users interest.

1.3 Problem Statement

This study encompasses the main research question of this proposed study is as follows:

“What methods are available to combine recommendation approaches or features and which of those methods are suitable for integrating the user-based personalized similarities to overcome the issue of new-users zero-rated profile recommendations and improve the average-users low-rated profile recommendations, with the help of super-users high-rated profiles?”

In order to answer this question, the following research points have to be answered:

- (i) What methods are exists to combine the recommendation techniques and which of these methods are suited for the proposed work?
- (ii) Which dataset have suitable user-based content for profiles similarity experimentation, how to validate and normalize it?

- (iii) How to identify the new-user zero-rated profiles, average-user low-rated profiles and super-user high-rated profiles?
- (iv) What similarity measurements are useful to measure the personalized profile similarities between new-user zero-rated profiles, average-user low-rated profiles and super-user high rated profiles?
- (v) How the personalized profile similarity measures are useful to overcome the new-user zero-rated profile recommendation and improve the average-user low-rated profile recommendation?

1.4 Objectives

In order to fulfill the requirements of the research questions, following objectives were identified for this research study:

- (i) To study the 'MovieLens' dataset and performs f -fold cross validation for the classification of users profiles as new-users with zero-rated profile, average-users with low-rated profile, and super-user with high-rated profiles from relevant dataset.
- (ii) To propose goal-based filtering approach that hybridized content-based filtering with collaborative features to overcome the new-user zero-rated profile recommendations and collaborative filtering with k-nearest neighbor features to improve the average-user low-rated profile recommendations.
- (iii) To operate the similarity, cosine and euclidean distance measurements have been used to tackle the user-based personalized profile similarities between zero-rated users, low-rated users, and high-rated users that help to predict the recommendations for new and average users.

1.5 Aim

The aim of this proposed research work is to focus the user-based cold-start problem through proposed goal-based filtering approach with the help of cosine and euclidean distance similarities between new-user zero-rated profiles and average-user low-rated profiles and super-user high-rated profiles personalized preferences “age, gender, and occupation” to overcome the new-user zero-rated profile recommendations and improve the average-user low-rated profile recommendations.

1.6 Scope

The scope of this research study has been confined as follows:

- (i) This research focuses on the user-based cold-start problem and its two main causes, which are new-user zero-rated profile recommendations and average-user low-rated profile recommendations.
- (ii) ‘MovieLens’ dataset has been used for experimental setup.
- (iii) The f -fold cross validation has been studied for validating and normalizing the dataset that helps to classify the zero-rated profiles as new-user, low-rated profiles as average-user and high-rated profiles as super-users profiles.
- (iv) The research used content-based filtering with collaborative features and collaborative filtering with k-nearest neighbor scheme features to fulfill the aspects of proposed goal-based filtering approach.
- (v) The cosine and euclidean distance similarity measurements are being used to compute the similarities between new-user, average-user and super-user personalized profile preferences “age, gender and occupation.”
- (vi) These similarities are helpful to predict the recommendations for end user or group of users.
- (vii) Precision and recall has been used to perform evaluation of uses personalized profile similarity experimental results.

1.7 Significance of Study

- (i) Increase the user's interest and decrease the web-based item searching time.
- (ii) Overcome the new-user zero-rated profiles recommendations issue and improve the average-user low-rated profile recommendations issue simultaneously.
- (iii) Improve the recommendation prediction strategy with personalized profile similarities between two or group of users to accrue the users required goals.

1.8 Organization of Thesis

This research thesis consists of six chapters. Chapter 1 defined the introduction, problem and their objectives, aim, scope and significance of study. The chapter presents the systematic review on the filtering approaches of recommender system. Moreover, it also prescribes the land-escape of problem domain. Chapter 3 defines the landscapes of research methodology of proposed work. The proposed goal-based filtering approach, its operational work and detailed description has been covered in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 shows the experimental results and discussion of research outcome. At last, the conclusion, research contribution, work limitation and future work of this research has been mentioned in Chapter 6.

REFERENCES

- A.Quinney. (2005). Placements Online: Student Experiences of a Website to Support Learning in Practice Settings. *Social work education*. 24(4), 439-450.
- Adomavicius, and Tuzhilin. (2005). Toward the next generation of recommender systems: a survey of the state-of-the-art and possible extensions. *Knowledge and Data Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*. 17(6), 734-749.
- Ahn. (2008). A new similarity measure for collaborative filtering to alleviate the new user cold-starting problem. *Information Sciences*. 178(1), 37-51.
- Arockiam, Charles, Arul Kumar, and Cijo. (2011). A Recommender System for Rural and Urban Learners. *Trends in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology*. 204, 619-627.
- Bahar, Mohamad, Lee, Ismail, Ismail, Ahmad, and Khalid. (2009). *Engineering Statistics*. (2009 ed.). Skudai, Johor Bahru: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Bassoppo-Moyo. (2006). Evaluating eLearning: A Front-end, Process and Post Hoc Approach. *International Journal of Instructional Media*. 33(1), 7.
- Bellogín, Castells, and Cantador. (2011). Predicting the Performance of Recommender Systems: An Information Theoretic Approach. *Advances in Information Retrieval Theory*. 6931, 27-39.
- Berkovsky, Eytani, and Manevitz. (2007). Efficient collaborative filtering in content-addressable spaces. *International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*. 21(2), 265-289.
- Bezerra, LD, de AT de Carvalho, and Francisco. (2004). A symbolic approach for content-based information filtering. *Information Processing Letters*. 92(1), 45-52.
- Bin Ghauth, and Abdullah. (2009). Building an E-learning Recommender System Using Vector Space Model and Good Learners Average Rating. *Advanced Learning Technologies, 2009. ICAIT 2009. Ninth IEEE International Conference on*. 15-17 July 2009 194-196.

- Blanco-Fernandez, Pazos-arias, Gil-Solla, Ramos-Cabrer, and Lopez-Nores. (2008). Providing entertainment by content-based filtering and semantic reasoning in intelligent recommender systems. *Consumer Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*. 54(2), 727-735.
- Brut, Sedes, Grigoras, and Charvillat. (2008). An ontology-based approach for providing multimedia personalised recommendations. *International Journal of Web and Grid Services*. 4(3), 314-329.
- Buckland, and Gey. (1994). The relationship between recall and precision. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology (JASIST)*. 45(1), 12-19.
- Burke. (2002). Hybrid recommender systems: Survey and experiments. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*. 12(4), 331-370.
- Burke. (2004). Hybrid Recommender Systems with Case-Based Components. *Advances in Case-Based Reasoning*. 3155, 1087-1138.
- Chen, Wu, Wu, Xie, Guo, He, Xu, and Cai. (2011). Q&A System Based on Personalized Service
Advances in Information Technology and Education. *Advances in Information Technology and Education*. 201, 323-330.
- Corbett, and Anderson. (2008). Knowledge decomposition and subgoal reification in the ACT programming tutor. *Department of Psychology*, 81.
- Craven, DiPasquo, Freitag, McCallum, Mitchell, Nigam, and Slattery. (2000). Learning to construct knowledge bases from the World Wide Web. *Artificial Intelligence*. 118(1), 69-113.
- Dash, and Dash. (2012). Comparative Analysis Of K-means and Genetic Algorithm Based Data Clustering. *International Journal of Advanced Computer and Mathematical Sciences* 3(2), 257-265.
- di Bitonto, Laterza, Roselli, and Rossano. (2010). A recommendation method for e-learning environments: The rule-based technique. *Journal of E-Learning and Knowledge Society*. 6(3), 31-40.
- Dinuzzo, Pillonetto, and De Nicolao. (2011). Client-Server Multitask Learning From Distributed Datasets. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*. 22(2), 290-303.
- Dysthe. (2002). The learning potential of a web-mediated discussion in a university course. *Studies in Higher Education*. 27(3), 339-352.

- Emadzadeh, Nikfarjam, Ghauth, and Why. (2010). Learning Materials Recommendation Using a Hybrid Recommender System with Automated Keyword Extraction. *World Applied Science Journal*. 9(11), 1260-1271.
- F.Dinuzzo, G.Pillonetto, and G.De Nicolao. (2011). Client&Server Multitask Learning From Distributed Datasets. *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*. 22(2), 290-303.
- F.Lecue. (2010). Combining Collaborative Filtering and Semantic Content-Based Approaches to Recommend Web Services. *IEEE Fourth International Conference on Semantic Computing (ICSC)*. 22-24 Sept. 2010. Pittsburgh, PA: 200 - 205.
- Fong, Baoyao, Hui, Hong, and The Anh. (2011). Web content recommender system based on consumer behavior modeling. *Consumer Electronics, IEEE Transactions on*. 57(2), 962-969.
- Garden, and Dudek. (2006). Mixed collaborative and content-based filtering with user-contributed semantic features. *proceedings of the 21st national conference on Artificial intelligence - Volume 2*. Boston, Massachusetts: 1307-1312.
- Ge. (2011). A User-Based Collaborative Filtering Recommendation Algorithm Based on Folksonomy Smoothing. *Advances in Computer Science and Education Applications*. 202, 514-518.
- Ghauth, and Abdullah. (2010). Measuring learner's performance in e-learning recommender systems. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*. 26(6), 764-774.
- Ghazanfar, and Prugel-Bennett. (2010). A Scalable, Accurate Hybrid Recommender System. *Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 2010. WKDD '10. Third International Conference on*. 9-10 Jan. 2010 94-98.
- Grando, Peleg, and Glasspool. (2010). Goal-based design pattern for delegation of work in health care teams 299-303.
- He, and Wu. (2009). *A Time-context-based Collaborative Filtering Algorithm*. New York: Ieee.
- Hsu. (2008). A personalized English learning recommender system for ESL students. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 34(1), 683-688.
- I. Ghani, C. Y. I. Lee, J. S. Ryul, S. H. Juhn, and M. S. Latiff. (2010). A Role-Oriented Content-based Filtering Approach: Personalized Enterprise

- Architecture Management Perspective. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security*. 8(7).
- J. Riedl, J. Konstan, and L. Terveen. (1997). MovieLens Dataset. *Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Minnesota*. 1, 1.
- Jahrer, Töscher, and Legenstein. (2010). Combining predictions for accurate recommender systems. *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. 693-702.
- Jantaraprapa, and Natwichai. (2012). Efficient Data Update for Location-Based Recommendation Systems. *Intelligent Information and Database Systems*. 7198, 386-395.
- Jiang, and Elen. (2011). Why do learning goals (not) work: a reexamination of the hypothesized effectiveness of learning goals based on students' behaviour and cognitive processes. *Etr&D-Educational Technology Research and Development*. 59(4), 553-573.
- John Riedl, Joseph Konstan, and Loren Terveen. (1997). MovieLens Dataset. *Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Minnesota*, from <http://movielens.umn.edu/html/tour/index.html>
- Johns. (2003). Application of Web-based learning in teaching social work law. *Social work education*. 22(5), 429-443.
- Johnston, and Olekalns. (2002). Enriching the learning experience: A CALM approach. *Studies in Higher Education*. 27(1), 103-119.
- K.Verbert, H.Drachslar, N.Manouselis, M.Wolpers, R.Vuorikari, and E.Duval. (2011). Dataset-driven research for improving recommender systems for learning. *1st International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge*. February 2011 through 1 March 2011 44-53.
- Kant, and Bharadwaj. (2013). A User-Oriented Content Based Recommender System Based on Reclusive Methods and Interactive Genetic Algorithm. *Proceedings of Seventh International Conference on Bio-Inspired Computing: Theories and Applications (BIC-TA 2012)*. 543-554.
- Khribi, Jemni, and Nasraoui. (2008). Automatic Recommendations for E-Learning Personalization Based on Web Usage Mining Techniques and Information Retrieval. *Advanced Learning Technologies, 2008. ICALT '08. Eighth IEEE International Conference on*. 1-5 July 2008 241-245.

- Kim. (2011). Text recommender system using user's usage patterns. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*. 111(1-2), 282-297.
- Kumar. (2012). Activity Based Learning New Method Of Learning:-A Case Study Of Teach-Next. *International Journal of Research in Economics & Social Sciences*. 2(2).
- Lara. (2004). A semantic web services framework for distributed e-learning environments. *Informe técnico, L3S Research Center*.
- F.Lecue. (2010). Combining Collaborative Filtering and Semantic Content-Based Approaches to Recommend Web Services. *Semantic Computing (ICSC), 2010 IEEE Fourth International Conference on*. 22-24 Sept. 2010 200-205.
- Lee, Choi, and Woo. (2006). A hybrid recommender system combining collaborative filtering with neural network. *Adaptive Hypermedia and Adaptive Web-Based Systems*. 531-534.
- Lekakos, and Giaglis. (2007). A hybrid approach for improving predictive accuracy of collaborative filtering algorithms. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*. 17(1), 5-40.
- Liang He, and Faqing Wu. (2009). A Time-context-based Collaborative Filtering Algorithm. *IEEE International Conference of Granular Computing (ICGRC)* 17-19 Aug. 2009. Nanchang: 209-213.
- Liu. (2010). A new mathematic model-based recommender system for e-commerce. *Proc. of the IADIS Int. Conf. e-Commerce 2010, Proc. of the IADIS Int. Conf. e-Democracy, Equity and Social Justice 2010, Part of the MCCSIS 2010*. Beijing, China: 118-122.
- López-Nores, Blanco-Fernández, Pazos-Arias, and Gil-Solla. (2012). Property-based collaborative filtering for health-aware recommender systems. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 39(8), 7451-7457.
- Makkonen. (2002). Who benefits from WWW presentations in the basics of informatics. *Webbased instructional learning*, 252-263.
- McConnell. (2006). *E-learning groups and communities*. Open University Press.
- McNee, Riedl, and Konstan. (2006). Being accurate is not enough: how accuracy metrics have hurt recommender systems. *CHI'06 extended abstracts on Human factors in computing systems*. 1097-1101.

- Mi, and Xu. (2012). A Recommendation Algorithm Combining Clustering Method and Slope One Scheme. *Bio-Inspired Computing and Applications*. 6840, 160-167.
- Mooney, and Roy. (2000). Content-based book recommending using learning for text categorization. *Proceedings of the fifth ACM conference on Digital libraries*. 195-204.
- Nanopoulos. (2011). Item Recommendation in Collaborative Tagging Systems. *Ieee Transactions on Systems Man and Cybernetics Part a-Systems and Humans*. 41(4), 760-771.
- Ogawa, Suwa, Yamamoto, Okada, and Ohta. (2008). Development of Recommender Systems Using User Preference Tendencies: An Algorithm for Diversifying Recommendation Towards Sustainable Society on Ubiquitous Networks. *Towards Sustainable Society on Ubiquitous Networks*. 286, 61-73.
- P.di Bitonto, M.Laterza, T.Roselli, and V.Rossano. (2010). A recommendation method for e-learning environments: The rule-based technique. *Journal of E-Learning and Knowledge Society*. 6(3), 31-40.
- Pin-Yu, Chi-Hsuan, Gwo-Jiun, and Sheng-Tzong. (2010). The development of an Ontology-Based Adaptive Personalized Recommender System. *Electronics and Information Engineering (ICEIE), 2010 International Conference On*. 1-3 Aug. 2010 V1-76-V71-80.
- Qiu, Chen, Zhang, and Zhou. (2011). An item-oriented recommendation algorithm on cold-start problem. *Epl*. 95(5).
- Reichardt. (2010). EndNote X3: <http://www.endnote.com>. *Public Services Quarterly*. 6(4), 367-368.
- Rubin. (2002). *The uses-and-gratifications perspective of media effects*. (Vol. 2). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Salmon. (2003). *E-moderating: the key to teaching and learning online*. (2nd edition ed.). London: Taylor & Francis.
- Santos, and Boticario. (2011). Requirements for semantic educational recommender systems in formal e-learning scenarios. *Algorithms*. 4(2), 131-154.
- Schein.Andrew.I, Popescul.Alexandrin, Ungar.Lyle.H, and Pennock.David.M. (2002). Methods and metrics for cold-start recommendations. *Proceedings of the 25th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval*. 253-260.

- Selamat, and Ng. (2011). Arabic script web page language identifications using decision tree neural networks. *Pattern Recognition*. 44(1), 133-144.
- Selamat, and Omatu. (2004). Web page feature selection and classification using neural networks. *Information Sciences*. 158, 69-88.
- Selamat.A. (2009). An improvement on genetic-based learning method for fuzzy artificial neural networks. *Applied Soft Computing*. 9(4), 1208-1216.
- Sharkey. (2000). Running hard to stand still: communication and information technology within social work training. *Social work education*. 19(5), 513-520.
- Shinde, and Kulkarni. (2011). Hybrid personalized recommender system using centering-bunching based clustering algorithm. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 39(1), 1381–1387.
- Shishehchi, Banihashem, and Zin. (2010). A proposed semantic recommendation system for e-learning: A rule and ontology based e-learning recommendation system. *Information Technology (ITSim), 2010 International Symposium in*. 15-17 June 2010 1-5.
- Softnik. (2014). Online Keyword Builder. *SEO Keywords Builder*, from <http://www.goodkeywords.com/webapp/>
- Souali. (2011). A new recommender system for e-learning environments. *Multimedia Computing and Systems (ICMCS), 2011 International Conference on*. 7-9 April 2011 1-4.
- Su, Khoshgoftaar, and Greiner. (2008). A mixture imputation-boosted collaborative filter. *21th International Florida Artificial Intelligence Research Society Conference*. Coconut Grove, Germany: 312-317.
- Symeonidis, Nanopoulos, and Manolopoulos. (2008). Providing justifications in recommender systems. *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part A: Systems and Humans, IEEE Transactions on*. 38(6), 1262-1272.
- T. Qiu, G. Chen, Zi. Zhang, and T. Zhou. (2011). An item-oriented recommendation algorithm on cold-start problem. *Europhysics Letters*. 95(5).
- Terry Mayes, and Freitas. (2006). *Review of e-learning theories, frameworks and models* (Case Study Report,). Londono. Document Number)
- Trewin. (2000). Knowledge-based recommender systems. *Encyclopedia of library and information science*. 69(Supplement 32), 69.

- van Setten, Veenstra, Nijholt, and van Dijk. (2006). Goal-based structuring in recommender systems. *Interacting with Computers*. 18(3), 432-456.
- Verbert, Drachsler, Manouselis, Wolpers, Vuorikari, and Duval. (2011). Dataset-driven research for improving recommender systems for learning. Banff, AB: 44-53.
- Victor, Cornelis, Cock, and Teredesai. (2011). Trust- and Distrust-Based Recommendations for Controversial Reviews. *Intelligent Systems, IEEE*. 26(1), 48-55.
- Voorhees, and Harman. (2005). *TREC: Experiment and evaluation in information retrieval*. In *Computational Linguistics* (Vol. 63): MIT press Cambridge.
- Wang, Stash, Aroyo, Gorgels, Rutledge, and Schreiber. (2008). Recommendations based on semantically enriched museum collections. *Web Semantics: Science, Services and Agents on the World Wide Web*. 6(4), 283-290.
- Wang, and Wu. (2011). Application of context-aware and personalized recommendation to implement an adaptive ubiquitous learning system. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 38(9), 10831-10838.
- Wang, and Yang. (2012). A recommender mechanism based on case-based reasoning. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 39(4), 4335-4343.
- Werthner, Hansen, and Ricci. (2007). Recommender Systems. *System Sciences, 2007. HICSS 2007. 40th Annual Hawaii International Conference on*. Jan. 2007 167-167.
- Y. Blanco-Fernandez, J. Pazos-Arias, A. Gil-Solla, M. Ramos-Cabrer, and M. Lopez-Nores. (2008). Providing entertainment by content-based filtering and semantic reasoning in intelligent recommender systems. *IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics*. 54(2), 727-735.
- Y. Blanco-Fernández, M. López-Nores, A. Gil-Solla, M. Ramos-Cabrer, and J. J. Pazos-Arias. (2011). Exploring synergies between content-based filtering and spreading activation techniques in knowledge-based recommender systems. *Information Sciences*. 181(21), 4823-4846.
- Z. Mi, and C. Xu. (2012). A Recommendation Algorithm Combining Clustering Method and Slope One Scheme. *Bio-Inspired Computing and Applications*. 6840, 160-167.

Zhuhadar, Nasraoui, Wyatt, and Romero. (2009). Multi-model Ontology-based Hybrid Recommender System in E-learning Domain. *2009 Ieee/Wic/Acm International Joint Conferences on Web Intelligence*. 3, 91-95.