

EFFECT OF PHOTON IRRADIATION ON THE THERMOLUMINESCENCE
RESPONSE OF OPTICAL FIBRES

NURUL AIN BINTI MOHAMAD SHARIF

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

EFFECT OF PHOTON IRRADIATION ON THE THERMOLUMINESCENCE
RESPONSE OF OPTICAL FIBRES

NURUL AIN BINTI MOHAMAD SHARIF

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Physics)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2013

Specially dedicated this work

To my dear parents

Hj. Mohamad Sharif bin Abdul Rahman

Hjh. Absah binti Long

Whose love, kindness, patience and prayer have brought me this far

To my siblings and lovely sister

Nurul Aeni binti Mohamad Sharif

For their endless laughs and tears

To my friends

For their love, understanding and support through my endeavour

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, Most Merciful. Praise be to Allah S.W.T, Peace and blessings of Allah be upon His Messenger, Muhammad S.A.W. and all his family and companions.

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to Dr. Suhairul Hashim, Dr. Suhaili Zakaria and Dr. Ahmad Taufek Abdul Rahman for their supervision, support, guidance and encouragement during my study toward the successful completion of this study.

Special thanks are also extended to the support of technical staff at the Physics Department Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, in particular, Mr. Saiful for their assistance and cooperation. I gratefully acknowledge support from Dr Ung Ngie Min from Clinical Oncology Unit of University Malaya Medical Centre (UMMC) and En. Lutfi from Department of Radiotherapy and Oncology, Pantai Hospital, Kuala Lumpur for giving an outstanding help and guidance in the early stage of this study. Besides, a lot of thanks also to Dr Ghafuor Amouzad from Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Building, University of Malaya and Telekom Malaysia Research and Development (TM R&D) laboratories for their cooperation to supply the optical fibre.

I am sincerely grateful to the government of Malaysia for a funded Master scholarship. I am also indebted to the Academy of Sciences Malaysia, Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation of Malaysia and Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia for providing research grants for this research.

Last but not least, I would like to extend a special note of thanks to my colleagues for their motivation and friendship during my studies in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Only Allah S.W.T. can repay all your kindness.

ABSTRACT

Studies on silica glass (SiO_2) optical fibre as thermoluminescent materials for medical radiation dosimetry have been conducted by several research groups. This study focuses on the thermoluminescence (TL) response, linearity, sensitivity, dose response, fading, reproducibility and minimum detectable dose of 12 optical fibres sample namely Ge (A) Batch 1, 2 and 3, Ge (B), Multi Photonic Crystal Fibre (MPCF, 220 μm), Multi Photonic Crystal Fibre (MPCF, 2 mm), photonic crystal fibres (PCF), Dummy Flat Fibre (DFF), Flat fiber, Photosensitive Flat Fibre (PFF), Erbium (Er) and Aluminium/ Thulium (Al + Tm) doped optical fibre. A comparison was performed with TLD-100 (chips) to obtain the best TL response among the samples. Irradiation were performed with 6 and 10 MV photons covering the dose range of 1 Gy to 4 Gy by using linear accelerator machine Elekta SynergyTM at Pantai Hospital, Kuala Lumpur and Varian Model 2100C linear accelerator at University Malaya Medical Centre (UMMC). The comparisons of TL response with different model linear accelerators involved in this research were also performed. The results show that the highest sensitivity was obtained by using TLD-100, followed by PFF, Flat, Ge (A) Batch 1, MPCF (2 mm), Ge (A) Batch 3, Ge (A) Batch 2, DFF, Al+Tm, Ge (B), Er, MPCF (220 μm) and PCF. The fading of 5 optical fibres Ge (A) Batch 1, PFF, Er, Flat Fibre and PCF were determined and the loss of the TL signal for these TL materials were 10%, 29%, 30%, 30% and 43%, respectively. The dopant concentrations of Ge (A) Batch 1, Ge (B) and Er were found to be in the range of 0.03-0.72 mol % while the Z_{eff} was in the range of 11.9-17.1. These TL materials have great potential to be introduced as new radiation dosimeters.

ABSTRAK

Kajian mengenai serabut optik kaca silica (SiO_2) sebagai bahan luminesens terma bagi dosimeter sinaran perubatan telah dijalankan oleh beberapa kumpulan penyelidik. Kajian ini tertumpu kepada sambutan luminesens terma, kelinearan, kepekaan, sambutan dos, kepudaran, kebolehulangan dan dos minimum dikesan bagi 12 jenis sampel gentian optik SiO_2 iaitu Ge (A) kumpulan 1, 2 dan 3, Ge (B), 'Multi Photonic Crystal Fibre' (MPCF, 220 μm), 'Multi Photonic Crystal Fibre' (MPCF, 2 mm), 'photonic crystal fibres' (PCF), 'Dummy Flat Fibre' (DFF), 'Flat fiber', 'Photosensitive Flat Fibre' (PFF), 'Erbium' (Er) dan 'Aluminium/ Thulium' (Al + Tm). Perbandingan terhadap TLD-100 (cip) juga dilakukan untuk mengetahui sampel yang paling baik sambutan luminesens terma. Penyinaran telah dilakukan dengan foton 6 dan 10 MV pada julat dos 1-4 Gy dari sumber pemecut linear Elekta SynergyTM di Hospital Pantai, Kuala Lumpur dan pemecut linear Varian Model 2100C di Pusat Perubatan Universiti Malaya (PPUM). Perbandingan sambutan luminesens terma dengan menggunakan model pemecut linear yang berbeza juga telah dilakukan. Keputusan menunjukkan kepekaan luminesens terma yang paling tinggi diperolehi menggunakan TLD-100, diikuti oleh PFF, 'flat fiber', Ge (A) kumpulan 1, MPCF (2 mm), Ge (A) kumpulan 3, Ge (A) kumpulan 2, DFF, Al+Tm, Ge (B), Er, MPCF (220 μm) dan PCF. Kepudaran bagi 5 gentian optik iaitu Ge (A) Kumpulan 1, PFF, Er, Flat Fibre dan PCF telah ditentukan dan isyarat luminesens terma yang hilang masing-masing adalah 10%, 29%, 30%, 30% dan 43%. Kepekatan dopan ditemui adalah dalam julat 0.03-0.72 mol % manakala Z_{eff} dalam julat 11.9-17.1. Bahan luminesens terma ini mempunyai potensi yang baik untuk diperkenalkan sebagai dosimeter sinaran yang baharu.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Background of the problem	3
	1.3 Statement of problem	4
	1.4 Research objectives	5
	1.5 Significant of Research	6
	1.6 Scope of research	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	8

2.1	Concept of Radiation Dosimeter	8
2.2	Thermoluminescence	9
2.2.1	Mechanism of Thermoluminescence	10
2.2.2	System of Thermoluminescence Dosimeter	11
2.2.3	Thermoluminescence studies on optical fibres	13
2.3	Optical fibre	16
2.4	Fabrication of Optical Fibre	18
2.5	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	19
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	22
3.1	TL Materials	22
3.2	Sample Preparation	24
3.3	Annealing	25
3.4	Encapsulation	26
3.5	Exposure to Radiation	26
3.6	Instrumentation	29
3.7	Experimental Procedures	30
3.7.1	Dose Response	30
3.7.2	Energy Response	30
3.7.3	Determination of Fading	30
3.7.4	Reproducibility	31
3.7.5	Minimum detectable dose	31
3.8	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	31
3.8.1	The Effective Atomic Number, Z_{eff}	33
4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	35
4.1	Introduction	35
4.2	Elemental mappings	35
4.3	TL Glow Curve	37
4.4	TL Response to photons	41
4.4.1	Dose Response	42
4.4.1.1	Comparison of Ge (A) with different batch	60

4.4.1.2	Comparison of Ge (A) and Ge (B) with TLD 100 (chips)	60
4.4.1.3	Comparison of MPCF (2mm) and MPCF (220 μ m) with TLD-100 (chips)	61
4.4.1.4	Comparison of Flat fibre types with TLD-100 (chips)	62
4.4.1.5	Comparison of the best Ge, MPCF and Flat fibre with TLD-100 (chips)	63
4.4.1.6	Comparison of the TL response by using different model of LINAC	64
4.4.2	Summary of TL Response to Photon Result	67
4.4.2.1	Summary of sensitivity compare with fibres	68
4.4.2.2	Summary of sensitivity compare with TLD-100 (chips)	69
4.4.3	Energy Response	70
4.5	Fading	78
4.5.1	Summary of the percentage loss of TL signal	84
4.6	Reproducibility	86
4.7	Minimum detectable dose	98
4.8	Dopant Concentration	101
4.9	Effective Atomic Numbers	103
5	CONCLUSION	106
5.1	Summary of findings	106
5.2	Recommendations and future research	108
	REFERENCES	109

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Summary of the researches based on optical fibre	14
3.1	The manner of manufacture and fabrication of TL materials	23
3.2	Set up during irradiation process	28
4.1	Summary of sensitivity of TL materials at 1 Gy	58
4.2	Summary of sensitivity of TL materials at 2 Gy	58
4.3	Summary of sensitivity of TL materials at 3 Gy	59
4.4	Summary of sensitivity of TL materials at 4 Gy	59
4.5	Summary of TL response for all TL materials	67
4.6	Summary of sensitivity of TL materials compare with Photosensitive Flat Fibre	68
4.7	Summary of sensitivity of TL materials with TLD-100 (chips)	69
4.8	Summary of sensitivity of TL materials with TLD-100 (chips)	77
4.9	Summary of the percentage loss of TL signals at 1 Gy	85
4.10	Reproducibility data of TLD-100 (chips) for 6 MV photons Irradiation	93
4.11	Reproducibility data of Ge (A) Batch 1 doped optical fibre for 6 MV photons irradiation	94
4.12	Reproducibility data of Ge (A) Batch 2 doped optical fibre for 6 MV photons irradiation	94
4.13	Reproducibility data of Ge (A) Batch 3 doped optical fibre for 6 MV photons irradiation	94
4.14	Reproducibility data of Ge (B) for 6 MV photons irradiation	95
4.15	Reproducibility data of MPCF (220 μ m) for 6 MV photons	

	irradiation	95
4.16	Reproducibility data of MPCF (2mm) for 6 MV photons irradiation	95
4.17	Reproducibility data of PCF for 6 MV photons irradiation	96
4.18	Reproducibility data of PFF for 6 MV photons irradiation	96
4.19	Reproducibility data of DFF for 6 MV photons irradiation	96
4.20	Reproducibility data of Flat fibre for 6 MV photons irradiation	97
4.21	Reproducibility data of Er for 6 MV photons irradiation	97
4.22	Reproducibility data of Al+Tm for 6 MV photons irradiation	97
4.23	A summary of minimum detectable dose for various TL materials	99
4.24	A comparison of minimum detectable dose of each TL materials with TLD-100 (chips)	99
4.25	A comparison of minimum detectable dose of each TL materials with Photosensitive F.F	100

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	A simple model of energy absorption in a TL material	11
2.2	Time-temperature profile and glow curve for LiF: Mg, Ti (TLD-100)	12
2.3	Type of optical fibre (a) Single mode (b) step index (c) graded Index	17
2.4	The drawing apparatus schematically	19
2.5	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	20
3.1	The instrument for preparing the samples	24
3.2	An analytical balance (Sartorius BSA224S-CW model from Gottingen, Germany)	25
3.3	A furnace (Harshaws) used to anneal TL materials	26
3.4	Linear accelerator machine of Elekta Synergy™ at Department of Radiotherapy and Oncology, Pantai Hospital, Kuala Lumpur	27
3.5	Varian Model 2100C linear accelerator at Clinical Oncology Unit of UMMC	28
3.6	A Harshaw 3500 TL reader Nuclear Laboratory, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM)	29
3.7	A combined SEM and EDXRF analysis facility based on GEMINI technology, Zeiss, Germany	32
3.8	(a) A sputtering machine is used for the gold coating procedure (b) The samples are ready for scanning	33
4.1	The spectrum of Ge (A) Batch 1 doped optical fibre	36

4.2	The spectrum of Ge (B) doped optical fibre	36
4.3	The spectrum of Er doped optical fibre	37
4.4	The glow curve for Ge (A) Batch 1, Ge (A) Batch 3 and Ge (A) Batch 2 following 6 MV photon irradiations	38
4.5	The glow curve for Flat fibre, Photosensitive flat fibre and Dummy Flat fibre following 6 MV photon irradiations	39
4.6	The glow curve for MPCF (2mm), PCF and MPCF (220 μ m) following 6 MV photon irradiations	39
4.7	The glow curve for Al+Tm, Er and Ge (B) following 6 MV photon irradiations	40
4.8	The glow curve for TLD-100 (chips) following 6 MV photon irradiations	40
4.9	The combination of TL glow curves according to their TL response (refer to legend)	41
4.10	TL response in (nC/mg) of TLD-100 (chips) for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	44
4.11	Linearity index, f (D) of TLD-100 (chips)	44
4.12	TL response in (nC/mg) of Ge (A) Batch 1 for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	45
4.13	Linearity index, f (D) of Ge (A) Batch 1	45
4.14	TL response in (nC/mg) of Ge (A) Batch 2 for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	46
4.15	Linearity index, f (D) of Ge (A) Batch 2	46
4.16	TL response in (nC/mg) of Ge (A) Batch 3 for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	47
4.17	Linearity index, f (D) of Ge (A) Batch 3	47
4.18	TL response in (nC/mg) of Ge (B) for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	48
4.19	Linearity index, f (D) of Ge (B)	48
4.20	TL response in (nC/mg) of FLAT fibre for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	49
4.21	Linearity index, f (D) of FLAT fibre	49
4.22	TL response in (nC/mg) of PFF for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	50

4.23	Linearity index, f (D) of PFF	50
4.24	TL response in (nC/mg) of DFF for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	51
4.25	Linearity index, f (D) of DFF	51
4.26	TL response in (nC/mg) of MPCF (2mm) for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	52
4.27	Linearity index, f (D) of MPCF (2mm)	52
4.28	TL response in (nC/mg) of MPCF (220 μ m) for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	53
4.29	Linearity index, f (D) of MPCF (220 μ m)	53
4.30	TL response in (nC/mg) of PCF for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	54
4.31	Linearity index, f (D) of PCF	54
4.32	TL response in (nC/mg) of Er for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	55
4.33	Linearity index, f (D) of Er	55
4.34	TL response in (nC/mg) of Al+Tm for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	56
4.35	Linearity index, f (D) of Al+Tm	56
4.36	TL response in (nC/mg) of Ge (A) for 6 MV photon irradiation versus dose in Gy	60
4.37	TL responses of Ge (A), Ge (B) doped optical fibres and TLD-100 for 6 MV photon irradiations	61
4.38	TL responses of MPCF (2mm) and MPCF (220 μ m) doped optical fibres for 6 MV photon irradiations	62
4.39	TL responses of photosensitive flat fibre, flat fibre and dummy flat fibre for 6 MV photon irradiations	63
4.40	TL responses of TLD-100 (chips), Ge (A), photosensitive flat fibre and MPCF (2mm) for 6 MV photon irradiations	64
4.41	TL responses of Ge (B) for 6 MV photon irradiations at Pantai Hospital and MMUC	65
4.42	TL responses of Er for 6 MV photon irradiations at Pantai Hospital and MMUC	65

4.43	TL responses of Ge (A) Batch 1 for 6 MV photon irradiations at Pantai Hospital and MMUC	66
4.44	TL responses of TLD-100 for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	70
4.45	TL responses of Ge (A) Batch 1 doped optical fibres for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	71
4.46	TL responses of Ge (A) Batch 2 doped optical fibres for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	71
4.47	TL responses of Ge (A) Batch 3 doped optical fibres for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	72
4.48	TL responses of Ge (B) doped optical fibres for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	72
4.49	TL responses of Flat fibre for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	73
4.50	TL responses of PFF for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	73
4.51	TL responses of DFF for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	74
4.52	TL responses of MPCF (2mm) for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	74
4.53	TL responses of MPCF (220 μ m) for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	75
4.54	TL responses of PCF doped optical fibres for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	75
4.55	TL responses of Er doped optical fibres for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	76
4.56	TL responses of Al+Tm doped optical fibre for 6 and 10 MV photon irradiation	76
4.57	Fading of Ge (A) Batch 1 doped optical fibre with 6 MV photon irradiation	79
4.58	Fading of Ge (A) Batch 1 doped optical fibre after normalized at 6 MV photon irradiation	79
4.59	Fading of Er doped optical fibre with 6 MV photon irradiation	80
4.60	Fading of Er doped optical fibre after normalized at 6 MV photon irradiation	80
4.61	Fading of Photonic crystals fibre with 6 MV photon irradiation	81
4.62	Fading of Photonic crystals fibre after normalized at 6 MV photon irradiation	81

4.63	Fading of Photosensitive Flat Fibre with 6 MV photon irradiation	82
4.64	Fading of Photosensitive Flat Fibre after normalized at 6 MV photon irradiation	82
4.65	Fading of Flat Fibre with 6 MV photon irradiation	83
4.66	Fading of Flat Fibre after normalized at 6 MV photon irradiation	83
4.67	Reproducibility of TLD-100 (chips) at 6 MV photons irradiation	87
4.68	Reproducibility of Ge (A) Batch 1 doped fibre at 6 MV photons irradiation	87
4.69	Reproducibility of Ge (A) Batch 2 doped fibre at 6 MV photons irradiation	88
4.70	Reproducibility of Ge (A) Batch 3 doped optical fibre at 6 MV photons irradiation	88
4.71	Reproducibility of Ge (B) at 6 MV photons irradiation	89
4.72	Reproducibility of MPCF (220 μ m) at 6 MV photons irradiation	89
4.73	Reproducibility of MPCF (2 mm) at 6 MV photons irradiation	90
4.74	Reproducibility of PCF at 6 MV photons irradiation	90
4.75	Reproducibility of PFF (220 μ m) at 6 MV photons irradiation	91
4.76	Reproducibility of DFF at 6 MV photons irradiation	91
4.77	Reproducibility of Flat fibre at 6 MV photons irradiation	92
4.78	Reproducibility of Er at 6 MV photons irradiation	92
4.79	Reproducibility of Al+Tm at 6 MV photons irradiation	93
4.80	The right hand panel is cross-sectional analysis of Ge (A) Batch 1 and while the left hand panel shows the surface analysis of Ge (A) Batch 1 by using SEM	101
4.81	The Dopant concentration of Er doped optical fibre	102
4.82	The Dopant concentration of Ge (A) Batch 1 doped optical fibre	102
4.83	The Dopant concentration of Ge (B) doped optical fibre	103
4.84	The Z_{eff} Er doped optical fibre	104
4.85	The Z_{eff} Ge (A) Batch 1 doped optical fibre	104
4.86	The Z_{eff} Ge (B) doped optical fibre	105

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Z_{eff}	The effective atomic number
SiO_2	Silicon dioxide
LiF	Lithium fluoride
E	Activation energy
p	Probability of escaping by the trap
T	Temperature
s	Frequency factor
k	Boltzmann's constant
σ	The standard deviation
N_2	Nitrogen
$F(D)$	Linearity index
D	Absorbed dose
R^2	Regression coefficient
S_d	Relative sensitivity
F_{dm}	Sensitivity achieve from proposed dosimeter
$F_{d(TLD-100)}$	Sensitivity of standard dosimeter
B_{mean}	Mean TL background
F	TL system calibration factor
m	slope of graph

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

TLD	Thermoluminescence dosimeters
TL	Thermoluminescence
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
LINAC	Linear accelerator
IMRT	Intensity-modulated radiation therapy
TPS	Treatment planning system
MCVD	Modified Chemical Vapour Deposition
PCVD	Plasma-activated Chemical-Vapour Deposition
OVD	Outside-Vapour Deposition
VAD	Vapour-axial Deposition
EDX	Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis
EDS	Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry
SSD	Source-Surface Distance
MU	Monitor Unit
TPR	Tissue Phantom Ratio
PDD	Percentage depth dose
FSD	Focal-spot Skin Distance
FESEM	Field Emission Electron Microscopy

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
	Titles of Paper Presentation (International/Local)	115

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Radiotherapy is one of the modalities that use high energy X-rays, gamma rays or electrons to deliver ionizing radiation. It is a treatment that kills the malignant tumor in the patient body (Davies, 2008). A malignant tumor is an abnormal cell and potentially unlimited growth. A radiotherapy treatment can be divided into two parts which are external and internal beam therapy. For external beam therapy, the cancer cells are treated by machine outside the patient body and for internal therapy, radiation is put inside to the patient body, in or near to the cancer cell.

Due to ionizing radiation can cause harmful effects such as deterministic or stochastic effects, safety and radiation protection should be emphasized to maximize the radiation dose to cancer cells and at the same time to minimize damage and injury to healthy cells (Bartezaghi et al., 2007). Dosimeter is one of the important tools in radiotherapy treatment to detect the amount of absorbed radiation dose to the patient body.

Thermoluminescence (TL) is one of the individual dosimeter that is commonly used in radiation dosimetry and the detector that are most often used for *in vivo* dosimetry purposes (Wagiran et al., 2011). *In vivo* dosimetry is important because it

helps to reduce the risk of serious accidents and also to ensure that the treatment carried out as planned in addition to the requirement of the law. It is because it able to determine the accurate dose delivered to the patient body. TL detector must also be similar to the equivalent tissue to facilitate the application of radiation dosimeter, especially in clinical applications and radiation therapy. Dosimeter material should approach the atomic composition of biological tissue of human body, ($Z_{eff} = 7.42$) for the measurement of absorbed dose X-rays and gamma irradiation (Furetta et al., 2001).

Phenomena of TL have long been used in radiotherapy. First application of these phenomena as dosimetry was introduced by Daniel et al in 1953 (Jung et. al, 2004). As an improvement and a better understanding of the nature of the material in order to develop new TL materials, many researches work have been carried out. Personal, environment and clinical dosimetry is examples of TLD application technique (Bos, 2001). Dosimeter material or phosphors that are usually used in the TLD dosimeter is calcium fluoride, calcium sulfate, lithium borate, lithium fluoride and potassium sulfate (Lancaster, 1969). The TLD material based on LiF phosphor is known as TLD-100. Lithium fluoride, LiF, doped with magnesium and titanium which denoted by LiF: Mg, Ti are used widely today (Yaacob et al., 2011).

Although TL dosimetry is a well-established technique and is widely used in radiation dosimetry especially for application in radiotherapy, there are some limitations of it such as poor spatial resolution and hygroscopic problem. Therefore, many research in silica glass (SiO_2) optical fiber as TL materials are carried out to overcome these limitations. The use of SiO_2 in radiation dosimetry is very helpful because their transmission signal loss is low (Chen and Jaluria, 2009). Present or addition dopant in silica also can offer advantages in radiation dosimetry as it can increase the radiation sensitivity of the silica by providing a high number of traps. In addition, new defects and absorption bands can appear (Yaakob et al., 2011).

1.2 Background of the Problem

Ionizing radiation is energy in the form of waves or particles that will be able to ionize the matter when it passes through it. Ionizing radiation can be divided into two categories which are indirect radiation and direct radiation. X-ray, gamma ray and neutron are the examples of the indirect radiation which first produce charged particles before the charged particles ionize the matter. Meanwhile, alpha and beta particles are direct radiation which these particles will ionize the matter directly (Wondergem, 2010).

Exposure to ionizing radiation can contribute to acute and chronic effects based on their absorbed dose level, which determines the severity of the effect; exposure volume size, which indicates external whole or partial body exposure, or localized exposure and the nature of radiation (N'etot, 2009). Acute effect is the effect of ionizing radiation that occurs when a large dose is delivered in a short period. Meanwhile, a small amount of dose received over a long period of time is called a chronic effect.

Recently, ionizing radiation is broadly used in the medical field like in diagnosis, treatment and sterilization. Radiotherapy is one of the modalities in medicine that uses ionizing radiation to kill cancer cells. When using radiation to treat a cancer cell, the healthy cells will also be injured. Therefore, the amount of absorbed dose in patients is important to avoid the side effects of radiation to the patient. As a precaution step in order to avoid any effect that occurs while exposure to ionizing radiation, one tool which is called dosimetry is used to detect the amount of absorbed dose in the patient's body.

TL is one of the individual dosimeters that is widely used in radiation dosimetry. Previously, film badges were widely used as radiation detectors before the development of TLD dosimeters. TLD replaced the film badge in radiation dosimetry because of the weaknesses and deficiencies of the film badge system. Examples of film dosimeter weaknesses are that it cannot be exposed to light before being processed, cannot reflect the actual readings at low photon energy, does not give an immediate

reading and false image will be formed under pressure, heat and chemicals on the film (Wagiran, 1997).

Recently, a lot of researches on silica were developed due to its potential as individual dosimeters. It is because silica glass (SiO_2) optical fibre help to overcome the limitation existed on the TL dosimeter. The advantage of silica is that it is able to improve positional sensitivity, typically $\sim 200\mu\text{m}$. In addition, silica is impervious to water because it forms a glass in the fibre-preforming process. Therefore, it is suitable for usage in inter-cavitary and interstitial measurements (Hashim, 2009).

1.3 Problem Statement

The TLD phosphors that are widely used in medical field are LiF:Mg , Ti , LiF:Mg, Cu , P and $\text{Li}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7\text{:Mn}$. However, these well-established phosphors have some drawbacks including being hygroscopic and poor spatial resolution-up to a few mm (Hashim, 2006). With these restrictions in mind, novel TLD materials are currently identified based on doped SiO_2 optical fibres, which offer characteristics that provide good potential for broadening the applicability of TLD.

Many researches about silica have not clearly give the information on the most appropriate material that is suitable to be used as dosimeter. It is because not all material can be used as effective radiation detector. Many criteria must be concerned and analyzed in identify the suitable and appropriate material which is able to become a good phosphor in radiation detector especially in term of personal dosimeter. The type of fibre and radiation parameters will determine the TL performance of irradiated optical fibre.

The linearity, sensitivity, dose response, energy response, fading, reproducibility and minimum detectable dose of optical fibres must be determined to know the performance of TL response of each fibre. The TL results are compared with those of the commercially available TLD-100 (Yaacob et al., 2011).

The comparison of TL response between different type of flat fibre which is photosensitive flat fibre, flat fibre and dummy flat fibre must be determined. Moreover, the TL response of multi photonic crystals fibre (MPCF) that have different diameter at their core should also be carried out and compared with TLD-100 (chips). The comparison of the type of flat fibre and MPCF with TLD-100 (chips) are carried out to study their potential as new TL dosimetry in radiation therapy application.

In addition, the percentage of dopant added to the optical fibres must be determined because the exact amount of dopant added to these fibres is not specified by the manufacturers. The analyses of the dopant concentration percentage are to be determined by using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). In this research, the dopant concentration for Ge (A), Ge (B) doped optical fibre and Erbium (Er) will be explored.

1.4 Research Objectives

The objectives of the research are as follows:

- 1) To study the dosimetric properties which are linearity and sensitivity with respect to dose response, energy response, TL glow curve, fading, reproducibility and minimum detectable dose of optical fibres and TLD-100 subjected to photon irradiation.
- 2) To compare the TL response between single mode optical fibre, multiphotonic crystal fibres (MPCF) with different diameter of their core and also type of flat fibre.
- 3) To determine the dopant concentration and effective atomic number, Z_{eff} , of the Ge (A), Ge (B) and Erbium (Er) doped optical fibre using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM).

1.5 Significance of Research

- i. Able to overcome the limitations that exist in the TLD-100 which are hygroscopic and poor spatial resolution.
- ii. Apply optical doped fibre as TL material to improve individual dosimetry and can save the cost of this dosimetry because the optical fibre SiO_2 is more economical.
- iii. Able to improve positional sensitivity, typically $\sim 200\mu\text{m}$ and optical fibre can use in intercavitary and interstitial measurements since the fibres are impervious to water.

1.6 Scope of Study

In this research, the 12 types of optical fibres which are MPCF (220 μm), MPCF (2 mm), Ge (A) Batch 1, 2 and 3, Ge (B), photonic crystal fibres (PCF), Flat fiber, Dummy Flat Fibre (DFF), Photosensitive Flat Fibre (PFF), Erbium (Er) and Al + Tm were investigated to obtain their dosimetric properties which are effective atomic number, energy response, linearity and sensitivity with respect to dose response, TL glow curve, reproducibility and minimum detectable dose of each optical fiber. The difference between Ge (A) and Ge (B) is their dopant concentration. In this study, 3 batches of Ge (A) are study which the batch is referring to the time these fibres received from the supplier. Harshaw TLD 3500 is use to read TL response of the optical fibres which are already exposed with photon beam. The glow curves for each sample are obtained and the results are compared with TLD-100 (chips).

The determination of the fading effect of the samples has been performed by using 6 MV photon irradiation at dose 1 Gy. Readings of TL yield were obtained on 14 consecutive days following the time of irradiation. The reproducibility of the

samples characteristic also were examined. The fading characteristics of 5 TL materials which are Ge (A) Batch 1, Er, Photonic crystals fibre, Photosensitive Flat Fibre and Flat fibre are studied.

The irradiation on the core of the optical fibre has been conducted at dose levels ranging from 1–4 Gy by using a linear accelerator Elekta SynergyTM (LINAC) at Department of Radiotherapy and Oncology, Pantai Hospital, Kuala Lumpur and Varian Model 2100C linear accelerator at Clinical Oncology Unit, University Malaya Medical Centre (MMUC). All samples were irradiated in solid water phantom with 6 and 10 MV photon energy. The TL results obtained are compared with TLD-100.

This research has also been carried out to determine dopant concentration and effective atomic number, Z_{eff} for Ge (A) Batch 1, Ge (B) and Er doped optical fibre. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) is used in this research to obtain the effective atomic number by measuring the composition of the elements present.

The present chapter has provided an introduction to the problems associated with TL and significance of the optical fibre in this study. The physics behind the thermoluminescence and review of the existing literature regarding the subject is described in Chapter 2. The methods of preparing sample, irradiation process and analyzing the TL glow curves will be described theoretically in Chapter 3. In chapter 4, the range of thermoluminescence studies and the results obtained are presented and discussed in detail. Chapter 5 summarizes the findings of this investigation, and provides an outlook for future study in this area.

REFERENCES

Abdulla, Y.A., Amin, Y.M. and Bradley, D.A. (2001). The thermoluminescence response of Ge-doped optical fibre subjected to photon irradiation. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*. 61, 409–410.

Ahmad Termizi Ramli (1988). *Dosimetri luminesens terma, Pengenalan dan penggunaannya*. Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka.

Abdul Rahman, A.T., Nisbet, A. and Bradley, D.A. (2011). Dose-rate and the reciprocity law: TL response of Ge-doped SiO₂ optical fibers at therapeutic radiation doses. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*. 652, 891-895.

Abdul Rahman, A.T., Hugtenburg, R.P., Abdul Sani, S.F., Alalawi, A.I.M., Issa, F., Thomas, R., Barry, M.A., Nisbet, A. and Bradley, D.A. (2011). An investigation of the thermoluminescence of Ge-doped SiO₂ optical fibres for application in interface radiation dosimetry. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*. 70, 1436–1441.

Ademoh, B.A. (2008). Assessment Of Characteristics Of Thermoluminescence Dosimetry (Tld) System Used In Centre For Energy Research And Training (Cert). MSc Thesis. Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

Asni, H., Wagiran, H., Hossain, J., Ramli, A.T. and Saripan, M.I. (2011). Thermoluminescence Energy Response of TLD-100 Subjected to Photon Irradiation Using Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code Version 5. *Journal of Engineering Thermophysics*. 20, 1–5.

Bartesaghi, G., Conti, V., Bologaini, D., Grigioni, S., Maslagna, V., Prest, M., Scazzi, S., Mozzanica, A., Cappelletti, P., Frigerio, M., Gelosa, S., Monti, A., Ostinelli, A., Giamini, G., Vallazza, E. (2007). Ascintillating fiber dosimeter for radiotherapy. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research*. 581, 80–83.

Bradley, D.A., Hugtenburg, R.P., Nisbet, A., Abdul Rahman, A.T., Issa, F., Mohd Noor, N. and Alalawi, A. (2012). Review of doped silica glass optical fibre: Their TL properties and potential applications in radiation therapy dosimetry. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*. 71, 2-11.

Bos, A.J.J. (2001). High sensitivity thermoluminescence dosimetry. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B*. 184, 3-28.

Chen, C. and Jaluria, Y. (2009). Effects of doping on the optical fiber drawing process. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*. 52, 4812–4822.

Davies, A. (2008). Cancer-related bone pain. (1 edition). New York: Oxford University Press.

Espinosa, G., Golzarri, J.I., Bogard, J. and Garcia-Macedo, J. (2006). Commercial optical fibre as TLD material. *Radiation Protection Dosimeter*. 18, 1-4.

Faiz, M.K. (1994). The Physics of Radiation Therapy. (2nd Edition). Baltimore: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Furetta, C., Prokic, M., Salamon, R., Prokic, V., Kitis, G. (2001). Dosimetric characteristics of tissue equivalent thermoluminescent solid TL detectors based on lithium borate. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research*. 456, 411-417.

Furetta, C. (2003). Handbook of thermoluminescence. Physics Department, Rome University “La Sapienza” Italy.

Hashim, S., Ramli, A.T., Bradley, D.A. and Wagiran, H. (2006). The thermoluminescence response of Ge-doped optical fibre subjected to proton irradiation. *5th National Seminar on Medical Physics*. 13-18.

Hashim, S., Al-Ahbabi, S., Bradley, D.A., Webb, M., Jeynes, C., Ramli, A.T. and Wagiran, H. (2009). The thermoluminescence response of doped SiO₂ optical fibres subjected to photon and electron irradiations. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*. 67, 423–427.

Hashim, S., Bradley, D.A, Saripan, M.I., Ramli, A.T. and Wagiran, H. (2010). The thermoluminescence response of doped SiO₂ optical fibres subjected to fast neutrons. *The 7th International Topical Meeting on Industrial Radiation and Radio isotope Measurement Application (IRRMA-7)*, 68, 700–70.

Hashim, S., Bradley, D.A., Peng, N., Ramli, A.T. and Wagiran, H. (2010). The thermoluminescence response of oxygen-doped optical fibres subjected to photon and electron irradiations. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*. 619, 291-294.

Harvey, J.A., Haverland, N.P. and Kearfott, K.J. (2010). Characterization of the glow-peak fading properties of six common thermoluminescent materials. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*. 68, 1988–2000.

Issa, F., Abd Latip, N.A., Bradley, D.A. and Nisbet, A. (2011). Ge-doped optical fibres as thermoluminescence dosimeters for kilovoltage X-ray therapy irradiations. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*. 652, 834–837.

Issa, F., Abdul Rahman, A.T., Hugtenburg, R.P., Bradley, D.A. and Nisbet, A. (2012). Establishment of Ge-doped optical fibres as thermoluminescence dosimeters for brachytherapy. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*. 70, 1158-1161.

Johnson. M. (2009). Optical fibres, cables and systems. (2009). Switzerland: International Telecommunication Union.

Jayachandran, C.A. (1971). Calculated Effective Atomic Number and Kerma Values for Tissue-Equivalent and Dosimetry Materials. *Physics Medical Biology*. 16, 617-623.

Jung, H., Lee, K.J., Kim, J. and Lee, S. (2004). Development of a personal dosimeter badge system using sintered LiF:Mg,Cu,Na,Si TL detectors for photon fields. *Radiation Measurements*. 38, 71–80.

Kron, T. (1994). Thermoluminescence dosimetry and its applications in medicine-- Part 1: Physics, materials and equipment. *Australas Phys Eng Sci Med*. 17, 175-199.

Kwan, S. (2002). Principles of Optical Fibers. United States: San Jose State University.

Luo, L.Z. (2008). Extensive fade study of HarshawLiF TLD materials. *Radiation Measurements*. 43, 365 – 370.

Lancaster, D. (1969). Thermoluminescence theory and application. *Electronics World*, 81, 43-46.

Moscovitcha, M. and Horowitz, Y.S. (2007). Thermoluminescent materials for medical applications: LiF:Mg,Ti and LiF:Mg,Cu,P. *Radiation Measurements*. 41, 71–77.

Mohd Noor, N., Hussein, M., Bradley, B.A. and Nisbet, A. (2010). The potential of Ge-doped optical fibre TL dosimetry for 3D verification of high energy IMRT photon beams. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*. 619, 157–162.

Mohd Noor, N., Hussein, M., Bradley, D.A. and Nisbet, A. (2011). Investigation of the use of Ge-doped optical fibre for in vitro IMRT prostate dosimetry. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*, 652, 819–823.

Mohd Noor, N., A.Shukor, N., Hussein, M., Nisbet, A. and Bradley, D.A. (2011). Comparison of the TL fading characteristics of Ge-doped optical fibres and LiF dosimeters. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*. 70, 1384–1387.

N'énót, J.C. (2009). Radiation accidents over the last 60 years. *Journal of Radiological Protection*. 29, 301-320.

Ong, C.L., Kandaiya, S., Kho, H.T. and Chong, M.T. (2009). Segments of a commercial Ge-doped optical fiber as a thermoluminescent dosimeter in radiotherapy. *Radiation Measurements*. 44 158–162.

Ouseph, P.J. (1975). Introduction to nuclear radiation detectors Volume 2 of Laboratory instrumentation and techniques. New York: Plenum Press.

Podgorsak, E.B. (2005). *Radiation oncology physics: A handbook for teacher and students*. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency.

Stadtländer, C.T.K.H. (2007). Scanning Electron Microscopy and Transmission Electron Microscopy of Mollicutes: Challenges and Opportunities. *Modern Research and Educational Topics in Microscopy*. Clemson: Clemson University.

Savva., S. (2010). Personnel TLD monitors, their calibration and response. University of Surrey: MSc Dissertation.

Suhairul Hashim (2009). The thermoluminescence response of doped silicon dioxide optical fibres to ionizing radiation. PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Voutou, B. and Stefanaki, E. (2008). Electron Microscopy: The Basics. *Physics of Advanced Materials Winter School*. Greece: Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.

Wondergem, J. (2010). *Radiation Biology: A handbook for teachers and students*. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency.

Wagiran, H. (1997). *Prinsip asas pengesanan sinaran*. Johor: Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Wagiran, H., Hossain, I., Bradley, D.A., Yaakob, N.A. and Ramli, A.T. (2012). Thermoluminescence Responses of Photon and Electron Irradiated Ge- and Al-Doped SiO₂ Optical Fibres. *Chinese Physical Letter*. 29, 1-3.

Wagiran, H., Hossain, I., Asni, H. and Ramli, A.T. (2011). Thermoluminescence Energy Response of a Germanium-doped Optical Fiber Obtained Using a Monte Carlo N-particle Code Simulation. *Journal of the Korean Physical Society*. 59, 337-40.

Yaakob, N.H., Wagiran, H., Hossain, M.I., Ramli, A.T., Bradley D.A., Hashim, S., Ali, H., 2011. Thermoluminescence response of Ge- and Al-doped optical fibers subjected to low-dose electron irradiation. *Journal of Nuclear Science and Technology*. 48, 1-3.

Yaakob, N.H., Wagiran, H., Hossain, M.I., Ramli, A.T., Bradley. D.A., Ali, H., 2011. Low-dose photon irradiation response of Ge and Al –doped SiO₂ optical fibres. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*. 69, 1189-1192.

Yaakob, N.H., Wagiran, H., Hossain, I., Ramli, A.T., Bradley, D., Hashim, S., Ali, H., 2011. Electron irradiation response on Ge and Al-doped SiO₂ optical fibres, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research*. 637, 185-189.

APPENDIX

Titles of Paper Presentation (International/Local)

1. N.A.M. Sharif, S.N. Buang, S.S.C. Omar, S.A. Ibrahim, S. Hashim, S. Zakariah, A.T.A. Rahman, N. M. Ung and G.A. Mahdiraji. “Thermoluminescence Response of Ge-Doped SiO₂ Optical Fibres Subjected To 6 MV Photon Irradiations.” 8th National Seminar on Medical Physics. Sunway Hotel, Seberang Jaya, Penang, 19 Dec 2012.
2. S.A. Ibrahim, N.A.M. Sharif, S.N. Buang, S.S.C. Omar, S. Hashim, M.I. Saripan, N.M. Noor, N. M. Ung and G.A. Mahdiraji. “The Thermoluminescence Response of Multi Photonic Crystal Fibres (MPCF) Subjected To 6 MV Photon Irradiations.” 8th National Seminar on Medical Physics. Sunway Hotel, Seberang Jaya, Penang, 19 Dec 2012.
3. S.S.C. Omar, S. Hashim, S.A. Ibrahim, S.N. Buang, N.A.M. Sharif, W. M. S. Wan Hassan, N. M. Ung and G.A. Mahdiraji and M. I. Saripan. “The Thermoluminescence Response of Multi Photonic Crystal Fibres (MPCF) Subjected To 12 MeV Photon Irradiations.” 8th National Seminar on Medical Physics. Sunway Hotel, Seberang Jaya, Penang, 19 Dec 2012.
4. S.N. Buang, S. Hashim, N.A.M. Sharif, S.S.C. Omar, S.A. Ibrahim, S. Zakariah, A.T.A. Rahman, G.A. Mahdiraji and N. M. Ung. “Thermoluminescence Response of Ge-Doped SiO₂ Optical Fibres Subjected To Electron Irradiation.” 8th National Seminar on Medical Physics. Sunway Hotel, Seberang Jaya, Penang, 19 Dec 2012.