STATIC STRENGTH OF TUBULAR DT JOINTS USING LUSAS FINITE ELEMENT SOFTWARE

NORASHIDAH BINTI ABD RAHMAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of requirement for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil – Structure)

Fakulti Kejuruteraan Awam
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2005

DEDICATION

To father and mother

Thank you for your support

&

To sisters and brothers

thank you for eveything

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhmadulilah, Praise to Almighty Allah for the blessing and His permission, I am able to complete my master project.

I wish to extent my greatest thank you and gratefulness to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sariffuddin Bin Saad for his valuable guidance, advice and suggestions throughout this project. With his effort and concern, I am able to complete my project. Thank you also to Mr. Koh Heng Boon of the Department of Civil Engineering at KUiTTHO, for his help and advise.

I am also grateful to my most beloved parents for their love and kindness towards me and for their strong support during my study period.

I wish to thank KUiTTHO and the Public Service Deparment for the financial support during my stay at UTM.

Finally, a lot of thank you to all staff of Faculty of Civil Engineering, University Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai, Johor and also for all my friends, student of postgraduate of Structural and Material Department for their support and cooperation throughtout my study.

Thank you very much.

ABSTRACT

Structural tubular are widely used in the construction of offshore structures. As these structures are located in hostile environment, these joints represent structural weak spots and so it is desirable to develop reliable methods of determining their static collapse loads. This studies focus on the analysis of static strength of tubular DT joints under brace compression loading by using LUSAS finite element software. The numerical static strength result is compared with an experimental test result obtained from the literature. The value of the static strength obtained in this work is 56% lower than that of the experimental test. A parameter study was performed to study the effect of the geometric parameters α, β, γ and τ as well as the effect of the yield strength σ_y on the static strength of DT joint model. Finally, a simple equation relating the static strength to the above parameters is proposed.

ABSTRAK

Struktur sambungan tubular lazimnya digunakan untuk pembinaan struktur lepas pantai. Oleh kerana struktur ini terletak di persekitaran yang agresif, ia akan menyebabkan sambungan tubular struktur tersebut menjadi lemah. Oleh itu, satu kaedah yang baik adalah perlu untuk menentukan beban kegagalan statik bagi sambungan tersebut. Oleh itu kajian ini tertumpu kepada analisis kekuatan statik bagi sambungan DT bila brace dikenakan beban mampatan dengan menggunakan perisian LUSAS. Nilai kekuatan statik ini kemudiannya telah dibandingkan dengan keputusan ujian makmal yang diperolehi daripada literatur. Dalam kajian ini, nilai kekuatan statik yang diperolehi adalah 56% lebih rendah daripada nilai ujian makmal. Kajian parameter telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji kesan parameter geometri α, β, γ dan τ serta juga kesan kekuatan alah σ_y kepada kekuatan statik sambungan model DT tersebut. Akhirnya, satu formula mudah yang menghubungkan kekuatan statik sambungan DT dengan parameter-parameter di atas telah dicadangkan.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER			PAGE
	TITTLE		i
	DECLARATION		ii
	DEDICATION		iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		iv
	ABSTRACT		v
	ABSTRAK		vi
	CONTENTS		vii
	LIST OF TABLE		x
	LIST OF FIGURE		xi
1	**INTRODUCTION**		1
	1.1	Introduction to the tubular structure	1
	1.2	Problem of study	2
	1.3	Objective of study	3
	1.4	Scope of study	4
2	**LITERATURE REVIEW**		5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	Tubular Structure	5
	2.3	Tubular Joint	6
	2.4	Development of Static Strength Design Guidance	7
	2.5	Previous Study of DT Tubular Joint	8
	2.6	Finite Element Method (FEM)	12
		2.6.1 Advantages and Disadvantages of FEM	14
	2.7	BackGround of LUSAS	15

	2.7.1	LUSAS Software Characteristic	15
	2.7.2	Procedure Analysis According to LUSAS software	16
3	**MODELLING DT TUBULAR JOINT USING THE LUSAS SOFTWARE**		17
	3.1	Introduction	17
	3.2	Dimensions and Geometric Parameter	17
	3.3	Modelling Step for One eighth Model	19
	3.3.1	New file	19
	3.3.2	To Generate One eighth of The DT Joint Model	20
	3.4	Nonlinear Model	35
	3.4.1	Meshing	35
	3.4.2	Geometric Definition	37
	3.4.3	Material Definition	38
	3.4.4	Loading Definition	39
	3.4.5	Support Definition	41
	3.4.6	Nonlinear Control	43
4	**ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**		46
	4.1	Introduction	49
	4.2	One eighth Model Vs. Full Model	52
	4.3	Type of supportt	67
	4.4	Type of nonlinearity	68
	4.5	Mesh Convergence studies	69
	4.6	Parametric study	55
	4.6.1	Relationship between failure load F and α	55
	4.6.2	Relationship between failure load F and β	56
	4.6.3	Relationship between failure load F and γ	57
	4.6.4	Relationship between failure load F and τ)	58
	4.6.5	Relationship between failure load F and σ_y	59

5	**CONCLUSION**	63
	5.1 Conclusion	63
	5.2 Suggestion	64
	REFERENCES	65

APPENDIX A (The loading calculation)

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Dimensions (in mm) of the DT joint	18
3.2	Support condition for one eight model	42
4.1	Summary of Maximum Load with a Difference Type of Nonlinearities	51
4.2	Result of Mesh Convergence Study	53

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE. NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	A typical jacket structure	2
1.2	An elastic plastic response of the joint	4
2.1	Various type of tubular joint	6
2.2	A typical DT joint	7
2.3	DT joint test setup	11
2.4	Experimental load-displacement curves for DT joints under brace/chord compression	11
2.5	A finite element model representing a real engineering problem	12
2.6	A tubular joint finite element model	13
3.1	Model geometric	18
3.2	One eighth of the DT joint model	19
3.3	New Model start up	20
3.4	The dialogue box “Enter coordinates”	21
3.5	L1 Line	21
3.6	The sweep (rotate) dialogue box	22
3.7	Surface S1, line L2 and L3	22
3.8	Curve L3	23
3.9	Sweeping (translate) dialogue box	23
3.10	The overall chord view	24

3.11	Dialogue box to divide the chord section	25
3.12	The two new chord surface (S2 and S3)	25
3.13	Line L12	26
3.14	The dialogue box used to rotate line L12	27
3.15	New surface, S4, for the top brace section	27
3.16	The sweep dialogue box	28
3.17	Surface S4 was created	28
3.18	The surface Splitting In Equal Divisions dialogue box	29
3.19	Two new surfaces of the brace member after the splitting process	29
3.20	Model after intersection process	30
3.21	The new group command	31
3.22	The DT joint model after the deletion process	31
3.23	Line L34 was create after manifolding process	32
3.24	Line L4 and L10 must be separated at points P24 and P27 respectively	33
3.25	New surfaces S12 and S13	34
3.26	One-eighth of DT model joint	34
3.27	The dialogue box to define surface meshing	36
3.28	Model with the surface meshing definition	36
3.29	Surface Geometry dialogue box	37
3.30	Isotropic material dialogue box	38
3.31	Elastic plastic dialogue box	39
3.32	The structural loading Dataset dialogue box	40
3.33	Model with the loading at the brace end	40
3.34	Structural support dialogue box	41

3.35	Model with full support for the linear model	42
3.36	Model with the full support for non-linear model	43
3.37	Load Case properties dialogue box	44
3.38	The Nonlinear & Transient dialogue box	44
3.39	The advance nonlinear incrementation parameter dialogue box	45
4.1	One eighth of DT joint Model A	47
4.2	An elastic perfectly plastic material model	48
4.3	Load-displacement graphs for Model A &	48
4.4	Full DT joint Model B	49
4.5	Load-displacement graph for both types of support	50
4.6	Load-displacement graph response for both nonlinearity types	52
4.7	Load-displacement graphs of DT joint with various number of element division	53
4.8	Failure mode of FE model	54
4.9	Failure mode of model tested by Kang (1998)	54
4.10	Relationship between F and α	56
4.11	Relationship between F and β	57
4.12	Relationship between F and γ	58
4.13	Relationship between F and τ	59
4.14	Relationship between F and σ_y	60

NOTATION LIST

D	=	Chord outer diameter
d	=	Brace outer diameter
T	=	Chord thickness
T	=	Brace thickness
L	=	Total chord length
l	=	Total brace length
σ_y	=	Yield strength
N	=	Newton
α	=	Length parameter (2L/D)
β	=	Diameter ratio (d/D)
γ	=	Chord radius to thickness ratio (D/2T)
τ	=	Wall thickness ratio (t/T)

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Introducing to The Tubular Structure

Tubular members are widely used in both onshore and offshore structures. Their attributes such as the high strength-to-weight ratio, low drag coefficient, and the ability to use their internal space have made them particularly useful in the offshore industry over many years. A typical example of the use of tubular members in an offshore situation is the fixed offshore platform (see Figure 1.1), where tubular members form a space frame to support the topside structure. Tubular connection design is a major factor in the design of a structure and can even be the limiting factor in terms of the strength of the structure.

Circular hollow sections are widely used in the construction of offshore structures in Malaysia. These sections offer many advantages over other sections. The sections have the ability to distribute load consistently. From the architect point of view, it has a minimum amount of surface area to unclean matter effect, rust and other spoil. With a circular form, it has an advantage in reducing the effect of wind, wave and blast loadings.



Figure 1.1: A typical jacket structure [1]

1.2. Problem of Study

Structural tubular joints are widely used in the construction of offshore structures. As these structures are located in hostile environment, these joints represent structural weak spots and so it is desirable to develop reliable methods of determining their static collapse loads.

It is impractical to test actual joints due to their massive sizes and also in view of the associated testing costs. Testing small-scale steel joint models of various

shapes was widely carried out in the past. However, the manufacture of these joint models needs highly skilled welders and the exact shape of fillet welds is not repeatable.

An attractive alternative is to carry out finite element analysis using a suitable software to obtain the static strength results. If the results are good, this method can be used to performed a parameter study to investigate the effect various geometric parameters on a joint static strength.

1.3. Objectives of Study

The static strength of tubular DT joints will be studied using LUSAS finite element software [2]. Objectives of the study are:

a) to create a good finite element DT joint model.
b) to carry out a mesh convergence study.
c) to define the maximum load attained during the elastic plastic response of the joint.
d) to compare the static strength results of tubular DT joint between finite element software and previous experimental test.
e) to performed parameter study to investigate the effect of the various geometric parameters on the static strength of DT joints.
f) to develop a simple formula to calculate the static strength of DT joints.

1.4. Scope of Study

The LUSAS finite element software will be used to determine the static strength of tubular DT joint. In this study, the static strength of a tubular DT joint is defined as the maximum load attained during the elastic plastic response of the joint and this is shown in Figure 1.2. Data for the analysis are taken from a previous experimental testing on a similar DT joint performed by Kang et al.[3].



Figure 1.2: An elastic plastic response of the joint

To obtain the static strength by using LUSAS software, the dimensions of the DT joint finite element model had been based on the dimensions and data of the actual joint test performed by Kang et al.[3]. Before the comparison of the static strength result predicted by the LUSAS software and that of the actual test, a mesh refinement was conducted by performing non-linear analysis of the DT joint model under brace compression loading using different element density applied to the chord area near the brace wall.

REFERENCES

1. Lecture Note MAB 1093, " Analysis, design and construction of marine structure," 2004-2005.

2. LUSAS modeller User Manual (1999), LUSAS FEA Version 13

3. Kang, C.T., Moffat, D. G. and Mistry, J., "Strength of DT Tubular Joints With Brace and Chord Compression", Journal of Structural Engineering, Vol. 124, No. 7, 1998.

4. Wimpey Laboratories Limited, "Report on UEG Project definition study on design guidance on tubular joints in steel offshore structure,' Volumes I and II, 1980.

5. Wimpey Offshore, " Background to new static strength guidance for tubular joints in steel offshore structure," Department of energy, Offshore Technology Report, OTH 89 308, November 2003.

6. Togo, T. "Experimental study on mechanical behaviour of tubular joint," Phd dissertation, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan, 1967.

7. Washio, K., Togo, T., and Matsui, Y., " Experimental studies on local failure of chords in tubular truss joints: Part I," Technology Report, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan, 1968, 18(850).

8. Washio, K., Togo, T., and Matsui, Y., " Experimental studies on local failure of chords in tubular truss joints: Part I," Technology Report, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan, 1969, 19(874).

9. Boone, T. J., Yura, J. A, and Hoadley, P. W., ' Chord stress effects on the ultimate strength of tubular joints," PMFSEL rep, 82-1, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, 1982.

10. Weinstein, R. M., and Yura, J. A., "The effect of chord stresses on the static strength of DT tubular connection," P. M. Furguson Structural Engineering, Lab rep. 85I, Phase III, 1985.

11. Sanders, D. H,, "strength and behaviour of tubular joints in tension" Msc. thesis, Univ. of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, 1986.

12. Chandrupatla, T. R, and Belegundu A, D, " Introduction To Finite Elements In Engineering, Third edition," New Jersey, Pearson Education International, 2002.