

PERFORMANCE OF PULTRUDED GFRP COMPOSITES
UNDER TROPICAL CLIMATE

JAMALUDIN BIN MOHAMAD YATIM

A thesis submitted
as a fulfilment of the requirements for
the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

October, 2002

*To my beloved mother – Robiah Ibrahim, late father – Mohamad Yatim Manap,
wife – Azmahani Abdul Aziz and children – Siti Nadiah, Muhammad Syahir,
Nurulaina, Anis Hazwani and Nur Asilah.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In The Name of Allah, The Beneficent, The Merciful.

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks and gratefulness to all supervisors: Prof. Ir. Dr. Siti Hamisah bte Tapsir and Prof. Dr. Zainai bin Mohamed in the Faculty of Civil Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru, and Prof. Dr. Abdul Hamid Zureick in the Department of Civil Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, USA, for their assistance, encouragement and guidance during the years of my study.

The author is indebted to his employer, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for granting leave and financial support to undertake this study.

The author would like to thanks to Assistance Researcher, Mr. Nurmahathir Abdul Aziz for his diligence and excellent works to ensure this project gaining the successful results. The author's thanks are also due to project members, Mr Shukur Abu Hassan and other colleagues for their corporations and honest advice.

The author is grateful to the staffs of Structures and Materials Laboratory, Faculty of Civil Engineering, UTM, Skudai, and Structures Laboratory, Department of Engineering, Centre of Diploma Education, UTM, Kuala Lumpur, for their assistance in the experimental works.

Finally, no words can be used to express the author's deepest gratitude to his wife, Azmahani Abdul Aziz and all children for their understanding, encouragement, patience, endurance and love, of which are forever indebted.

ABSTRACT

Glass fibre reinforced polymer (GFRP) is a composite material, which consists of polyester thermosetting resin as matrix and glass fibres as reinforcement. GFRP is mainly used as structural sections and as structural rehabilitation and repair material. It is observed that the current required technical and design data of pultruded GFRP sections is rather limited especially with regard to material properties and its performance in the tropical climate. Therefore, this study is conducted experimentally to investigate the engineering properties of the GFRP material and sections, and its performance to the local tropical climate. Special observations on the effects of the fibre orientations and stacking sequences in laminates are also made. Testing procedures are basically in accordance with the requirements of the American Standard of Testing and Materials (ASTM), and the British Standards (BS). There are six different fibre orientations of GFRP plates and two different fibre orientations of GFRP box sections of 6.35 mm nominal thickness with different stacking sequence selected for the test samples. They were fabricated by local manufacturer according to the commercial quality requirements. A total of 2304 GFRP samples were tested, 1152 of the samples were exposed to the outdoor environment, while the other 1152 samples were kept in the laboratory environment as control samples. The samples were tested for physical and mechanical performance. Among the visual observations made were the surface appearance, thickness variation and weight gain. Samples were also tested for tension, compression and in-plane shear to indicate the mechanical performance. Measurements were taken at 3, 6, 12 and 24 months period of exposure to weathering. Four point bending tests were also conducted on 900 mm span of GFRP box section beams to investigate the structural performance under tropical weather. Twenty-four beams were tested for this purpose after being exposed to the outdoor environment and the other twenty-four beams were tested under controlled environment. The statistical test data were analysed using Weibull distribution. The test results showed that the GFRP material is significantly affected by tropical weather conditions. In general, surface degradation was significant after 12 months exposure due to fungal attack. Surface roughness and discoloration on the top surface of the samples were also observed with the bottom surface not affected by the exposure at all. The effect of tropical weather conditions on mechanical performance of the exposed GFRP samples was significant, but varied with fibre quantity. The reduction in flexural capacity of GFRP box beams was also observed due to weathering after a period of 24 months. Ultimately, the mechanical properties and structural beam performance with respect to the period of exposure under tropical weather was formulated and presented at the end of this study.

ABSTRAK

Polimer bertetulang gentian kaca (GFRP) ialah bahan komposit yang terdiri daripada resin polyester termoset sebagai matriks dan gentian kaca sebagai tetulang. GFRP digunakan terutama sebagai anggota struktur dan pemuliharaan struktur dan bahan baikpulih. Berdasarkan perkembangan terkini, keperluan data teknikal dan reka bentuk bagi keratan GFRP telah dikenalpasti amat terhad terutama daripada segi sifat bahan dan keupayaan terhadap iklim tropika. Oleh yang demikian, kajian secara ujikaji makmal ini dijalankan untuk mengkaji sifat-sifat kejuruteraan bahan dan keratan GFRP, dan keupayaan terhadap iklim tropika. Pemerhatian khusus juga dilakukan ke atas pengaruh orientasi dan susun atur gentian di dalam laminat. Tatacara ujikaji merujuk kepada kaedah yang disyorkan dalam piawaian-piawaian Amerika (ASTM) dan British (BS). Enam reka bentuk keratan plat GFRP dan dua reka bentuk keratan kekotak GFRP dengan ketebalan nominal 6.35 mm yang berbeza daripada segi orientasi dan susun atur gentian telah dipilih sebagai sampel ujian. Keratan GFRP telah difabrikasi dan dibekalkan oleh pengeluar tempatan berpandukan kehendak kualiti pasaran. Sejumlah 2304 sampel GFRP telah diuji, yang mana 1152 sampel dikenakan dedahan luar manakala 1152 sampel lagi untuk dedahan normal dalam makmal sebagai kawalan. Semua sampel diuji untuk prestasi fizikal dan mekanikal. Kajian tinjauan visual dijalankan termasuk perubahan rupa bentuk permukaan, ketebalan dan capaian berat sampel. Sampel juga diuji untuk sifat-sifat tegangan, mampatan dan ricih satah untuk mengkaji pengaruh dedahan luar ke atas prestasi mekanikal bahan. Pemantauan dilakukan ke atas sampel untuk tempoh dedahan selepas 3, 6, 12, dan 24 bulan. Ujian lenturan empat titik juga dijalankan ke atas rasuk keratan kekotak GFRP dengan rentang 900 mm untuk mengkaji pengaruh dedahan cuaca tropika terhadap keupayaan rasuk. Untuk tujuan ini, dua puluh empat sampel rasuk telah diuji untuk dedahan luar manakala dua puluh empat sampel rasuk lagi diuji sebagai kawalan. Data ujian dianalisis menggunakan kaedah statistik Weibull. Keputusan ujian telah menunjukkan bahawa bahan GFRP mengalami kesan yang ketara disebabkan dedahan cuaca tropika. Secara umum, kesan degradasi yang ketara ke atas permukaan sampel telah dikenalpasti berlaku selepas 12 bulan disebabkan serangan kulat. Terdapat juga kesan kekasaran permukaan dan ubah rupa warna pada permukaan atas sampel, tetapi tidak ketara pada permukaan bawah sampel. Kesan ke atas prestasi mekanikal sampel dedahan luar didapati amat ketara, tetapi berubah-ubah dengan kuantiti gentian. Pengurangan dalam keupayaan lentur rasuk kekotak GFRP juga dikenalpasti berlaku selepas tempoh dedahan 24 bulan. Rumusan bagi sifat-sifat mekanikal bahan dan prestasi rasuk GFRP terhadap tempoh dedahan kepada iklim tropika telah diterbitkan dan diperincikan di peringkat akhir kajian.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	ITEMS	PAGE
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Background and Rationale of the Project	2
	1.3 Overall Objectives and Scope of the Study	5
	1.3.1 Objectives of the Study	5
	1.3.2 Scope of the Study	5
	1.4 Conclusion	7
II.	LITERATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1 Introduction	8
	2.2 GFRP Composition	8
	2.2.1 Glass Fibres	9
	2.2.1.1 Characteristics of E-glass Fibres	10
	2.2.1.2 Orientations of E-glass Fibres	11
	2.2.1.3 Properties of E-glass Fibres	13
	2.2.2 Thermosetting Polyester Resin	13
	2.2.2.1 Characteristics of Polyester Resin	14
	2.2.2.2 Properties of Polyester Resin	17
	2.3 Fabrication of GFRP Pultruded Sections	19
	2.3.1 Pultrusion Process	19
	2.3.2 Sections Design and Fabrication Procedures	23

2.4	Properties of GFRP Materials	24
2.4.1	Physical Properties	26
2.4.2	Tensile Properties	27
2.4.3	Compressive Properties	30
2.4.4	In-plane Shear Properties	33
2.4.5	GFRP Mechanical Properties	37
2.5	Flexural Behaviour of GFRP Sections	40
2.5.1	Theoretical and Experimental Investigations	41
2.6	Effects of Environmental Factors and Weathering on GFRP Properties	48
2.6.1	Effects of Environmental Factors on GFRP Properties	49
2.6.2	Effects of Temperature	50
2.6.3	Effects of Moisture	57
2.6.4	Effects of Combined Moisture and Temperature (Hygrothermal)	61
2.6.5	Effects of Ultraviolet Rays	65
2.6.6	Effects of Weathering Exposure	67
2.6.6.1	Temperate Climate	68
2.6.6.2	Tropical Climate (Hot and Wet)	71
2.6.7	Effects of Environmental Exposure on Overall Performance of GFRP	74
2.7	Conclusion	77
III.	EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMME	79
3.1	Introduction	79
3.2	Outline of the Test Programme	79
3.3	Material Used in the Study	81
3.3.1	GFRP Materials and Sections	81
3.3.2	Polyester Resin	84
3.3.3	E-glass Fibres	84

3.4	Preparation of Test Samples	87
3.4.1	Preparation Procedure and Process	87
3.4.2	Sample Notations	89
3.5	Weathering Test	90
3.5.1	Methodology and Test Set-up	90
3.5.2	Initial Performance Test	91
3.5.3	Outdoor Weathering Test	92
3.6	Property Tests on the GFRP Materials	95
3.6.1	Physical Test	95
3.6.2	Tensile Test	96
3.6.3	Compressive Test	103
3.6.4	In-plane Shear Test	106
3.7	Flexural Test on GFRP Box Beams	109
3.7.1	Methodology	109
3.7.2	Testing Procedures and Observed Parameters	110
3.8	Control Samples and Testing	114
3.9	Complementary Tests	116
3.9.1	Visual Observation Test	116
3.9.2	Density Test	118
3.9.3	Constituents Content	119
3.9.3.1	Fibre and Resin Content	119
3.9.3.2	Void Content	121
3.9.4	Hardness Test (Barcol)	122
3.9.5	Water Absorption Test	122
3.9.6	Moisture Weight-Gain Test	125
3.10	Instrumentation	126
3.10.1	Load Cell and the Calibration	126
3.10.2	Linear Vertical Displacement Transducers (LVDT) and the Calibration	127
3.10.3	Strain Gauges	129
3.11	Conclusion	130

IV.	RESULTS OF INITIAL PERFORMANCE OF GFRP SAMPLES AND BOX-SECTION BEAMS	131
4.1	Introduction	131
4.2	Physical Properties	131
4.2.1	Dimensional and Visual Inspections	131
4.2.2	Barcol Hardness	134
4.2.3	Constituents Content	139
4.2.4	Density	142
4.2.5	Water Absorption	143
4.3	Tensile Properties	145
4.3.1	Tensile Strength and Elastic Properties	145
4.3.2	Tensile Stress-Strain Behaviour	148
4.3.2.1	Unidirectional Laminate (U laminate)	149
4.3.2.2	Continuous Filament Mat Laminate (C laminate)	156
4.3.2.3	Woven Roving Laminates (W laminate)	159
4.3.2.4	Multilayer Fibre Laminates (CU, WU and CUW laminate)	164
4.4	Compressive Properties	173
4.4.1	Compressive Strength and Elastic Properties	173
4.4.2	Compressive Stress-Strain Behaviour	176
4.4.2.1	Unidirectional Fibre Laminate (U laminate)	176
4.4.2.2	Continuous Filament Mat Laminate (C laminate)	181
4.4.2.3	Woven Roving Laminate (W laminate)	184
4.4.2.4	Multilayer Fibre Laminates (CU, WU and CUW laminate)	187

4.5	In-plane Shear Properties	194
4.5.1	In-plane Shear Strength and Elastic Properties	194
4.5.2	In-plane Shear Stress-Strain Behaviour	198
4.5.2.1	Unidirectional Fibre Laminate (U laminate)	198
4.5.2.2	Continuous Filament Mat Laminate (C laminate)	203
4.5.2.3	Woven Roving Laminate (W laminate)	206
4.5.2.4	Multilayer Fibre Laminates (CU, WU and CUW laminate)	208
4.6	Flexural Performance of GFRP Box Beams	217
4.6.1	Ultimate Capacity and Failure Mechanisms	217
4.6.2	Load-Deflection Behaviour	223
4.6.3	Strain Development in the Section	224
4.7	Conclusion	228
V.	PERFORMANCE OF GFRP UNDER TROPICAL CLIMATE	230
5.1	Introduction	230
5.2	Physical Observations	230
5.2.1	Physical Appearance	231
5.2.2	Weight Variation	245
5.2.3	Changes in Thickness	248
5.3	Tensile Properties	251
5.3.1	Tensile Strength	252
5.3.2	Tensile Modulus	252
5.3.3	Tensile Strain at Failure	253
5.4	Compressive Properties	260
5.4.1	Compressive Strength	260
5.4.2	Compressive Modulus	261
5.4.3	Compressive Strain at Failure	268

5.5	In-plane Shear Properties	268
5.5.1	In-plane Shear Strength	269
5.5.2	In-plane Shear Modulus	269
5.5.3	In-plane Shear Strain at Failure	270
5.6	Weathering Effect on GFRP Box Beams	277
5.6.1	Physical Appearance	277
5.6.2	Load-Deflection Behaviour and Ultimate Capacity	280
5.7	Conclusion	287
VI.	ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	290
6.1	Introduction	290
6.2	Principles of Experimental and Theoretical Analysis	291
6.3	Characterisations of GFRP	293
6.3.1	Physical Properties	293
6.3.2	Strength and Elastic Properties	299
6.3.3	Stress-Strain Behaviour of Pultruded GFRP	303
6.3.3.1	Tensile Stress-Strain Relationship	304
6.3.3.2	Compressive Stress-Strain Relationship	306
6.3.3.3	In-plane Shear Stress-Strain Relationship	310
6.3.4	Flexural Behaviour of GFRP Beams	313
6.3.4.1	Theoretical Analysis	314
6.4	Effects of Tropical Climate on the GFRP Properties	319
6.4.1	Changes in Physical Properties	319
6.4.2	Changes in Mechanical Properties	322
6.4.2.1	Theoretical Development	322
6.4.2.2	Tensile Properties	326
6.4.2.3	Compressive Properties	331
6.4.2.4	In-plane Shear Properties	336

6.5	Effects of Tropical Climate on GFRP Beams	344
6.5.1	Theoretical Development	344
6.5.2	Flexural Performance of GFRP Beams After 24-month Exposure	346
6.6	Conclusion	348
VII. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE FUTURE STUDIES		351
7.1	General Conclusion	351
7.1.1	Physical Properties of Pultruded GFRP	351
7.1.2	Strength and Elastic Properties of Pultruded GFRP	352
7.1.3	Effects of Tropical Climate on Pultruded GFRP	354
7.2	Recommendations for the Future Studies	357
REFERENCE		359
APPENDIX I		370
APPENDIX II		375
APPENDIX III		378
APPENDIX IV		381
APPENDIX V		392
APPENDIX VI		398
APPENDIX VII		403

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	ITEMS	PAGE
2.1	Schematic pultrusion process for the production of GFRP pultruded parts	19
2.2	Test methods for mechanical characterisation of GFRP materials	25
2.3	IITRI compression test fixture [33]	31
2.4	Arcan shear test fixture [41]	36
2.5	Geometrical properties of thin-walled rectangular box-beam [44]	44
2.6	Flexural strength retention data on a heat resistant CSM/polyester laminate after sustained exposure to high temperatures for up to 250 days [55]	53
2.7	Moisture absorption behaviour of composite materials, after Weitsman (1995) [62]	58
3.1	Samples position and arrangement of the GFRP plates during cutting	88
3.2	Sample configuration for longitudinal tension. Dimension details are stated as in European Standard Code, EN 61: 1977 [24].	97
3.3	Longitudinal tensile test configuration. (a) Dimensional details (unit in millimetre) (b) Test rig (DARTEC gripping system)	100
3.4	Strain gauge arrangement on the sample for tensile test; 1 = strain gauge	101
3.5	Transverse tensile test configuration:	.

	(a) Dimensional details (unit in millimetre)	
	(b) Test rig (INSTRON gripping system)	101
3.6	Sample configurations for standard compressive test	103
3.7	Proposed sample configuration for the short 76mm-length transverse direction	104
3.8	Sample and Test Rig configurations for in-plane shear test.	107
3.9	Strain gauge arrangement on the sample for in-plane shear test; 1 = inclined 45-degree strain gauge.	108
3.10	General configurations of GFRP box beam and the flexural test	110
3.11	Test set-up for flexural test of GFRP box beam under four point loading system	111
3.12	Typical arrangement of the bearing plate of the beam	113
3.13	Location of strain gauges and displacement transducers at the mid-span of the beam sample	113
3.14	Typical result of the calibration test on the load cell	127
3.15	A typical result of calibration test on the 50 mm-capacity transducer	128
4.1	Barcol hardness index for each series of GFRP samples	138
4.2	Percentage difference of water absorption between coated-edge and uncoated-edge of GFRP samples versus time	144
4.3	Typical tensile stress-strain curves of the front and back surface in gauge region of the samples	149
4.4	Longitudinal tensile stress-strain curve for U laminate	150
4.5	Behaviour of the broken fibre subjected to	

	longitudinal tensile load	152
4.6	Tensile stress-strain curve for U laminate in transverse direction	154
4.7	Possible microfailure modes of U laminate under transverse tensile load	156
4.8	Longitudinal and transverse tensile stress-strain curves for C laminate	157
4.9	Longitudinal tensile stress-strain curves for W laminates	160
4.10	Schematic representation of stresses on a crimped fibre loaded in tension.	162
4.11	Transverse tensile stress-strain curves for W laminate.	163
4.12	Longitudinal tensile stress-strain curves of multilayer fibre laminates. (a) CU laminate (b) WU laminate, (c) CUW laminate.	166
4.13	Transverse tensile stress-strain curves of multilayer fibre laminates. (a) CU laminate (b) WU laminate, (c) CUW laminate.	167
4.14	Typical tensile stress-strain curve of multilayer fibre laminates.	169
4.15	Schematic failure mechanisms of multilayer fibre laminates.	172
4.16	Typical results of compressive stress-strain curves observed on the front and back surface in gauge region of the tested sample. (a) Case I: Strains on both surfaces exhibit uniform until failure. (b) Case II: Strains on both surfaces initially uniform but diverge after 70% of maximum applied load.	177
4.17	Compressive stress-strain curves of U laminate in longitudinal and transverse direction.	179
4.18	Failure mechanism of microbuckling leading to formation of kink zones with excessive de-	

	formation or fracture planes.	179
4.19	Diagrammatic view (through-thickness) of compressive failure of transverse U laminate sample exhibits shear mode.	181
4.20	Compressive stress-strain curves for longitudinal and transverse samples of C laminate.	182
4.21	Shear mode of failure under compressive load on C laminate.	183
4.22	Compressive stress-strain curves of W laminate in longitudinal direction.	185
4.23	Schematic representation of stresses on a crimped fibre loaded in compression.	186
4.24	Longitudinal compressive stress-strain curves of multilayer fibre laminates. (a) CU laminate (b) WU laminate, (c) CUW laminate.	189
4.25	Transverse compressive stress-strain curves of multilayer fibre laminate. (a) CU laminate (b) WU laminate, (c) CUW laminate.	190
4.26	Configuration of the shear directional.	194
4.27	Strain development along the significant section of shear sample.	199
4.28	In-plane shear stress-strain curves for U laminate in longitudinal and transverse direction.	200
4.29	Shear failure mechanism of U laminate in longitudinal direction.	201
4.30	Shear failure mechanism of U laminate in transverse direction.	201
4.31	In-plane shear stress-strain curves of C laminate in longitudinal and transverse direction.	204
4.32	Diagrammatically shear failure mechanism of C laminate at ultimate.	205
4.33	In-plane shear stress-strain curves of W laminate in longitudinal and transverse direction.	207
4.34	Longitudinal in-plane shear stress-strain	

	curves of multilayer fibre laminates. (a) CU laminate (b) WU laminate, (c) CUW laminate.	210
4.35	Transverse in-plane shear stress-strain curves of multilayer fibre laminates. (a) CU laminate (b) WU laminate, (c) CUW laminate.	211
4.36	Load-deflection curves of C and CUW box beams.	223
4.37	Total load versus top flange longitudinal compressive strain of C and CUW box beams.	224
4.38	Longitudinal strain in the mid-span cross-section at ultimate failure of C box beam.	225
4.39	Longitudinal strain in the mid-span cross-section at ultimate failure of CUW box beams.	225
4.40	Strain and stress diagram through the cross-section of C box beam at ultimate in the pure bending region of the beam.	226
4.41	Strain and stress diagram through the cross-section in the pure bending region of CUW box beam at the ultimate load.	227
5.1	Changes on top surface appearance of GFRP sample with time due to weathering exposure.	234
5.2(a)	Weight variation of exposed GFRP samples up to 155 days exposure.	247
5.2(b)	Weight variation of control GFRP samples up to 155 days exposure.	247
5.3	Definition of initial, apparent and effective thickness of GFRP samples at post-exposure.	249
5.4	Differential thickness of the exposed GFRP samples after the specified period of exposure. The unlined data are obtained from the control samples.	250
5.5(a)	Longitudinal tensile strength retention with respect to period of exposure.	255

5.5(b)	Transverse tensile strength retention with respect to period of exposure.	255
5.6(a)	Longitudinal tensile modulus retention with respect to period of exposure.	257
5.6(b)	Transverse tensile modulus retention with respect to period of exposure.	257
5.7(a)	Longitudinal tensile strain retention with respect to period of exposure.	259
5.7(b)	Transverse tensile strain retention with respect to period of exposure.	259
5.8(a)	Longitudinal compressive strength retention with respect to period of exposure.	263
5.8(b)	Transverse compressive strength retention with respect to period of exposure.	263
5.9(a)	Longitudinal compressive modulus retention with respect to period of exposure.	265
5.9(b)	Transverse compressive modulus retention with respect to period of exposure.	265
5.10(a)	Longitudinal compressive strain retention with respect to period of exposure.	267
5.10(b)	Transverse compressive strain retention with respect to period of exposure.	267
5.11(a)	Longitudinal shear strength retention with respect to period of exposure.	272
5.11(b)	Transverse shear strength retention with respect to period of exposure.	272
5.12(a)	Longitudinal shear modulus retention with respect to period of exposure.	274
5.12(b)	Transverse shear modulus retention with respect to period of exposure.	274
5.13(a)	Longitudinal shear strain retention with respect to period of exposure.	276
5.13(b)	Transverse shear strain retention with respect to period of exposure.	276

5.14	Load-deflection curves of C box beams.	281
5.15	Load-deflection curves of CUW box beams.	281
5.16	Strain development in the section of mid-span at the ultimate failure of C box section beams.	286
5.17	Strain development in the section of mid-span at the ultimate failure of CUW box section beams.	286
6.1	Principles of theoretical approach in analysing fibre reinforced polymer lamina, laminate and structural member.	292
6.2	Comparison of laminate density between experimental data and theoretical prediction.	298
6.3	Longitudinal tensile stress-strain curves of GFRP laminates.	305
6.4	Transverse tensile stress-strain curves of GFRP laminates.	305
6.5	Longitudinal compressive stress-strain curves of GFRP laminates.	308
6.6	Transverse compressive stress-strain curves of GFRP laminates.	308
6.7	Longitudinal in-plane shear stress-strain curves of GFRP laminates.	312
6.8	Transverse in-plane shear stress-strain curves of GFRP laminates.	312
6.9	Load-deflection curves of the GFRP beams: Experiment and theoretical prediction.	317
6.10	Load-strain curves of the mid-span of GFRP beams: Experiment and theoretical prediction.	318
6.11	Diagrammatic view of the effects of degradation process on GFRP due to weathering.	321
6.12	The typical curves of $\lambda_T - T$ for the values of K between 0 to 0.25.	325

6.13(i)	Regression curves of property retention of longitudinal tensile with period of exposure.	328
6.13(ii)	Regression curves of property retention of transverse tensile with period of exposure.	329
6.14	Relationship between weathering coefficient and $(1-V_f)$ for tensile properties. (a) Entire tensile properties, (b) Tensile strength and modulus, (c) Tensile strain at failure.	330
6.15(i)	Regression curves of property retention of longitudinal compression with period of exposure.	333
6.15(ii)	Regression curves of property retention of transverse compression with period of exposure.	334
6.16	Relationship between weathering coefficient and $(1-V_f)$ for compressive properties. (a) Entire compressive properties, (b) Compressive strength and modulus, (c) Compressive strain at failure.	335
6.17(i)	Regression curves of property retention of longitudinal in-plane shear with period of exposure.	340
6.17(ii)	Regression curves of property retention of transverse in-plane shear with period of exposure.	341
6.18	Relationship between weathering coefficient and $(1-V_f)$ for in-plane shear properties. (a) Entire in-plane shear properties, (b) In-plane shear strength in longitudinal direction, (c) In-plane shear strength in transverse direction, (d) In-plane shear modulus, (e) In-plane shear strain at failure.	342

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	ITEMS	PAGE
2.1	Typical composition of E-glass fibres (in percentage of weight)	10
2.2	Typical properties of E-glass fibres [3]	13
2.3	Typical cured polyester resin properties [3,13,14,15]	18
2.4	Pultrusion primary product characteristics [17]	24
2.5	Typical physical property data for GFRP materials, after Johnson [3]	27
2.6(a)	Tension properties in longitudinal direction for E-glass/Polyester composites	29
2.6(b)	Tension properties in transverse direction for E-glass/Polyester composites	30
2.7(a)	Compression properties in longitudinal direction for E-glass/Polyester composites	32
2.7(b)	Compression properties in transverse direction for E-glass/Polyester composites	33
2.8	Longitudinal in-plane shear properties for E-glass/Polyester composites	37
2.9	Cumulated values in range of glass content, strength and stiffness properties of E-glass/ Polyester laminates in longitudinal direction based on fibre design or orientation [3,28,29,30,31,32]	39
2.10	Flexural strength and modulus retention data at elevated temperatures for Chopped Strand	

	Mat (CSM)/Polyester with several resin types [55]	52
2.11	Strength and modulus retention data for Glass Fabric/Heat Resistant Polyester laminate held at 163°C for up to 3000 hours [55]	52
2.12	The effects of temperature or thermal aging to the mechanical properties of composites laminates from a few investigators, indicates as percent reduction of initial value	54
2.13	The effects of moisture to the mechanical properties of GFRP laminates observed by Shen and Springer [57,58]	61
2.14	Compiled data on strength and modulus for Glass/Polyester laminate immersed in distilled water at certain degree of temperature	65
2.15	Mechanical weathering properties of some composite laminates under temperate climate as observed by a few researchers at UK's inland and marine site [51,56,81]	70
2.16	Mechanical weathering properties of some glass/polyester laminates under tropical climate (hot and wet) as observed by a few researchers at inland site [51,56,81]	73
2.17	The maximum changes in tension, compression and flexural properties of glass fibres (CSM, woven roving and glass fabric)/polyester laminates after exposed to specified environments (compiled data from Table 2.10 to 2.16)	75
3.1	Material characterisation for the test	83
3.2	Strain gage coefficient	129
4.1	Dimension of pultruded GFRP plate bars	133
4.2	Dimension of pultruded GFRP box sections	133

4.3	Barcol hardness index for each series of GFRP samples	138
4.4	Weight ratio of constituents in GFRP samples	140
4.5	Volume ratio of constituents in GFRP samples	141
4.6	Density of GFRP samples	142
4.7	Water absorption of coated-edge and uncoated-edge GFRP samples after 24 hours immersion in distilled water	144
4.8	Tensile strength and elastic properties (initial) of GFRP samples	146
4.9	Tensile properties of multilayer fibre laminates	168
4.10	Compressive strength and elastic properties (initial) of GFRP samples	175
4.11	Compressive properties of multilayer fibre laminates	188
4.12	In-plane shear strength and elastic properties (initial) of GFRP samples	196
4.13	In-plane shear properties of multilayer fibre laminates	212
4.14	Flexural performance of C box beam	218
4.15	Flexural performance of CUW box beam	219
5.1	Effect of outdoor weathering exposure on the apparent thickness	250
5.2	Mean tensile strength of GFRP samples after the specified period of exposure	254
5.3	Mean tensile modulus of GFRP samples after the specified period of exposure	256
5.4	Mean tensile strain at failure of GFRP samples after the specified period of exposure	258

5.5	Mean compressive strength of GFRP samples after the specified period of exposure	262
5.6	Mean compressive modulus of GFRP samples after the specified period of exposure	264
5.7	Mean compressive strain at failure of GFRP samples after the specified period of exposure	266
5.8	Mean in-plane shear strength of GFRP samples after the specified period of exposure	271
5.9	Mean in-plane shear modulus of GFRP samples after the specified period of exposure	273
5.10	Mean in-plane shear strain at failure of GFRP samples after the specified period of exposure	275
5.11	Flexural performance of C box section beams after 24-month exposure	284
5.12	Flexural performance of CUW box section beams after 24-month exposure	285
6.1	Comparison of fibre weight fraction of GFRP pultruded laminates between experimental data and those from the manufacturer and present works [3]	295
6.2	Comparison of density data of GFRP pultruded laminates obtained from the test, manufacturer and the present works [3]	297
6.3	Predicted and observed density of GFRP laminates	297
6.4	Strength and elastic propertise of GFRP pultruded laminates based on the initial performance data	300

6.5	Degrees of anisotropy of GFRP laminates	301
6.6	Parameters E , A and B of GFRP laminates for transverse tensile stress-strain relationship determined from the test data	306
6.7	Parameters A and B of GFRP laminates for transverse compressive stress-strain relationship determined from test data	309
6.8	Parameters A and B of GFRP laminates for longitudinal in-plane shear stress-strain relationship determined from the test data	311
6.9	Parameters A and B of GFRP laminates for transverse in-plane shear stress-strain relationship determined from the test data	311
6.10	Flexural performance of GFRP box beams obtained from the test (actual) and theoretical prediction (as in Appendix VI)	315
6.11	Weathering coefficients, K_t , for tensile properties	326
6.12	Weathering coefficients, K_c , for compressive properties	332
6.13	Weathering coefficients, K_s , for in-plane shear properties	337
6.14	Average beam bending and shear stiffness after 24 months weathering	347
6.15	Ultimate failure load and maximum deflection of GFRP beams after 24 months weathering exposure: test and theoretical results	348

LIST OF PLATES

PLATE NO.	ITEMS	PAGE
3.1	Fibre types and orientations	86
3.2	Exposure site and racks for weathering exposure test	93
3.3	Clamping device and samples of tensile test	98
3.4	Samples of tensile test: (a) longitudinal direction, (b) transverse direction	102
3.5	Test rig and set-up for tension test (a) Longitudinal tensile test at DARTEC Testing Machine (b) Transverse tensile test at INSTRON Testing Machine	102
3.6	Typical samples of compressive test	105
3.7	Compression test rig	105
3.8	In-plane shear test on GFRP materials; (a) INSTRON testing machine, (b) test set-up and (c) close-up view of the shear rig and test sample	108
3.9	Test set-up for GFRP box beams	112
3.10	Test set-up for the control samples	115
3.11	The highly magnifying microscope	117
3.12	Ultracycnometer apparatus for density test	119
3.13	Burnt-out test apparatus	120
3.14	Hardness test set-up	123
4.1	Surface and through thickness appearance of	

	U laminate. The shallow of fibres underneath the surface was viewed. There has no surface voids observed.	135
4.2	Surface and through thickness appearance of C laminate. The typical voids can be accessed on the surface and through thickness of the laminate.	135
4.3	Surface and through thickness appearance of W laminate. A number of voids can be accessed on the surface and through thickness of the laminate.	136
4.4	Surface and through thickness appearance of CU laminate. Quite number of voids can be easily accessed on the surface and through thickness of the laminate.	136
4.5	Surface and through thickness appearance of WU laminate. Good integration between fibres and resin matrix, which considerably zero-void laminate.	137
4.6	Surface and through thickness appearance of CUW laminate. The laminate is also considered as zero-void.	137
4.7	Tensile mode of failure of U laminate in longitudinal direction.	151
4.8	Tensile failure mode of U laminate in transverse direction.	155
4.9	Tensile failure mode of C laminate after the test.	158
4.10	Tensile failure mode of W laminate in longitudinal direction.	161
4.11	Tensile failure mode of W laminate in transverse direction.	164
4.12	Typical tensile samples of multilayer fibre laminates after the test.	171

4.13	Close-up views of typical tensile failure mechanisms of multilayer fibre laminates.	172
4.14	Compressive failure mechanism of longitudinal sample of U laminate.	180
4.15	Typical compressive failure of transverse sample of U laminate.	180
4.16	Typical compressive failure of longitudinal and transverse sample of C laminate.	183
4.17	Failure mechanism of W laminate loaded in compression.	186
4.18	Typical compressive failure of multilayer fibre laminates.	193
4.19	Typical shear failure mechanism of U laminate.	202
4.20	Typical failure mechanism of C laminate sample after shear test.	206
4.21	Typical shear failure mechanism of W laminate.	208
4.22	Typical shear failure mode of CU, WU and CUW laminate at the ultimate shear load.	214
4.23	Closed-up view (through notch) of typical failed samples.	215
4.24	Close-up view (through thickness) of typical shear failure plane of multilayer fibre laminates.	216
4.25	Typical ultimate failure of C box beam – compressive fracture at mid-span.	220
4.26	Close-up view of the failure mechanism of C box beam.	220
4.27	Typical ultimate failure of CUW beam – all the beams failed in shear span close to the load point.	221
4.28	Close-up view of the failure mechanism of CUW beam.	221

- 5.1 Longitudinal and transverse tensile samples after 24 months exposure and control. The fungi grew randomly and degradation effects obviously appeared on the top surface of exposure samples but the control samples remained its original conditions. 237
- 5.2 Longitudinal and transverse compression samples after 24 months exposure and control. The fungi grew randomly and degradation effects obviously appeared on the top surface of exposed samples but the control samples remained its original conditions. 238
- 5.3 Longitudinal and transverse shear samples after 24 months exposure and control. The top samples are control and the bottom are exposed samples. The fungi grew randomly and degradation effects obviously appeared on the top surface of exposed samples but the control samples remained its original conditions. 239
- 5.4 Close-up view of fungi growth on the top surface of exposed samples. 240
- 5.5 Close-up view of discoloration, surface voids and crazing on the degraded surface. 240
- 5.6 Close-up view of crazing and fungi attacked on the top surface of exposed samples. 241
- 5.7 Close-up view of chalking, surface voids and exposed fibres in the top surface. 241
- 5.8 Microscopic view of the crazing pattern through SEM microscopic study. 242
- 5.9 Microscopic view of surface erosion (left) and the formation of crazing (right) in the degraded brittle layer after erosion process due to degradation. 242
- 5.10 Microscopic view of the typical appeared

- surface after erosion (left) caused the fibre exposed in the surface (right). 243
- 5.11 Microscopic view of the surface voids (left) encouraged the environmental agents to deteriorate the internal materials (right), i.e. polymer matrix and fibre-matrix interface. 243
- 5.12 Microscopic view of the effect of the aggressive attack of environmental agents caused scission and degraded of polymer matrix, fibre-matrix debonding, fibre exposed and broke after erosion process in the top surface of exposed samples. 244
- 5.13 Through thickness microscopic view of a typical exposed and control samples after 24 months exposure. 249
- 5.14 Surface appearance on the top, bottom, east and west side of the typical C box section beam after 24 month exposure. 278
- 5.15 Surface appearance on the top, bottom, east and west side of the typical CUW box section beam after 24 months exposure. 279

LIST OF SYMBOLS

ρ_c	-	Density of GFRP laminate
ρ_m	-	Density of matrix (cured polyester resin)
ρ_f	-	Density of fibres
V_f	-	Fibre volume ratio
V_r	-	Resin volume ratio
V_v	-	Void volume ratio
V	-	Total volume or volume of composite laminate
W_f	-	Fibre weight ratio
W_r	-	Resin weight ratio
W	-	Total weight or weight of composite laminate
T	-	Time in year(s)
σ_{TL0}	-	Longitudinal tensile strength at initial ($T = 0$)
σ_{TT0}	-	Transverse tensile strength at initial ($T = 0$)
σ_{TL}	-	Longitudinal tensile strength after periodic exposure
σ_{TT}	-	Transverse tensile strength after periodic exposure
E_{TL0}	-	Longitudinal tensile modulus at initial ($T = 0$)
E_{TT0}	-	Transverse tensile modulus at initial ($T = 0$)
E_{TL}	-	Longitudinal tensile modulus after periodic exposure
E_{TT}	-	Transverse tensile modulus after periodic exposure
ε_{TL0}	-	Longitudinal tensile strain at failure at initial ($T = 0$)
ε_{TT0}	-	Transverse tensile strain at failure at initial ($T = 0$)
ε_{TL}	-	Longitudinal tensile strain at failure after periodic exposure
ε_{TT}	-	Transverse tensile strain at failure after periodic exposure
σ_{CL0}	-	Longitudinal compressive strength at initial ($T = 0$)
σ_{CT0}	-	Transverse compressive strength at initial ($T = 0$)
σ_{CL}	-	Longitudinal compressive strength after periodic exposure

σ_{CT}	-	Transverse compressive strength after periodic exposure
E_{CLo}	-	Longitudinal compressive modulus at initial ($T = 0$)
E_{CTo}	-	Transverse compressive modulus at initial ($T = 0$)
E_{CL}	-	Longitudinal compressive modulus after periodic exposure
E_{CT}	-	Transverse compressive modulus after periodic exposure
ε_{CLo}	-	Longitudinal compressive strain at failure at initial ($T = 0$)
ε_{CTo}	-	Transverse compressive strain at failure at initial ($T = 0$)
ε_{CL}	-	Longitudinal compressive strain at failure after periodic exposure
ε_{CT}	-	Transverse compressive strain at failure after periodic exposure
τ_{SLo}	-	Longitudinal in-plane shear strength at initial ($T = 0$)
τ_{STo}	-	Transverse in-plane shear strength at initial ($T = 0$)
τ_{SL}	-	Longitudinal in-plane shear strength after periodic exposure
τ_{ST}	-	Transverse in-plane shear strength after periodic exposure
G_{SLo}	-	Longitudinal in-plane shear modulus at initial ($T = 0$)
G_{STo}	-	Transverse in-plane shear modulus at initial ($T = 0$)
G_{SL}	-	Longitudinal in-plane shear modulus after periodic exposure
G_{ST}	-	Transverse in-plane shear modulus after periodic exposure
γ_{SLo}	-	Longitudinal in-plane shear strain at failure at initial ($T = 0$)
γ_{STo}	-	Transverse in-plane shear strain at failure at initial ($T = 0$)
γ_{SL}	-	Longitudinal in-plane shear strain at failure after periodic exposure
γ_{ST}	-	Transverse in-plane shear strain at failure after periodic exposure
σ_{ll}	-	Longitudinal tensile stress
σ_{tt}	-	Transverse tensile stress
σ_{cl}	-	Longitudinal compressive stress
σ_{ct}	-	Transverse compressive stress
τ_{sl}	-	Longitudinal in-plane shear stress
τ_{st}	-	Transverse in-plane shear stress
ε_{ll}	-	Longitudinal tensile strain

ϵ_{tt}	-	Transverse tensile strain
ϵ_{cl}	-	Longitudinal compressive strain
ϵ_{ct}	-	Transverse compressive strain
γ_{sl}	-	Longitudinal in-plane shear strain
γ_{st}	-	Transverse in-plane shear strain
λ_T	-	Property retention ratio at time, T
K_t	-	Weathering coefficient of tensile properties
K_c	-	Weathering coefficient of compressive properties
K_s	-	Weathering coefficient of in-plane shear properties
b_f	-	Width of flange
b_w	-	Depth of web
t_f	-	Thickness of flange
t_w	-	Thickness of web
A	-	Total cross sectional area
A_w	-	Area of web element (s)
f_s	-	Shape factor
D	-	Bending stiffness of beam
F	-	Shear stiffness of beam
σ_c	-	Maximum compressive stress at top flange of beam
δ_{mid}	-	Deflection at the mid span of beam
$P_{ult}^{bending}$	-	Ultimate failure load due to bending (theoretical)
P_{ult}^{shear}	-	Ultimate failure load due to shear (theoretical)
P_{act}	-	Actual ultimate failure load obtained from the test
P_{pred}	-	Calculated ultimate failure load from theory

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Since 1990s, the use of the glass fibre reinforced polymeric composites in the construction industry has grown very rapidly especially in developed countries. The applications of the composite material are mainly in building structures, bridges, offshore structures, etc.

The development of the material in the construction industry in Malaysia is still at its infancy. In local current applications, the use of the material is only visible in marine and aircraft technology, and chemical industries where fabrication process are employed mainly by close- and open-moulded techniques. But, in the construction industry, the existing fabrication technology can also be employed to fabricate structural components. The material has been used for secondary structures such as water tanks, light poles, platform gratings, beams, cladding and piping.

The composite material has been slowly being accepted locally as an alternative construction material due to its ability to sustain structural loads comparable to the existing conventional materials such as concrete, steel and timber. Generally, the composite material is non-corrosive and dimensionally stable; it has an advantage that fulfils the durability aspects for the applications. However, study on the durability of GFRP materials is still new and more data are required from a wide range of weather conditions especially the tropical climate. Previous studies on the GFRP composite materials indicated that there were some basic understandings

on the variations of material properties as a guide for in-depth studies. The material properties depend on several factors, such as:

- i) the fabrication process or method of manufacturing,
- ii) the type of reinforcement fibre,
- iii) the fibre orientation and stacking sequence,
- iv) the type of resin used as a matrix,
- v) the environmental conditions, and
- vi) the method of testing.

These parameters affect the properties of end products and must be carefully selected to ensure suitability of the final applications.

1.2 Background and Rationale of the Project

Glass fibre reinforced polyester (GFRP) pultruded composite consists of polyester resin (thermosetting polymer) as a matrix and glass fibre as reinforcement. It is manufactured through a continuous pultrusion process to form structural sections. During the manufacturing process, the form(s) of continuous glass fibres are pulled from one end of the line into a resin bath that contains liquid polyester resin, curing agent (initiator), and other ingredients. The fibre-resin is then passed through in the heated die for curing to form a hard, solid and continuous structural section.

In structural applications, pultrusion process is considered the most practical and economical manufacturing method for producing structural sections, as compared to other methods because it is able to produce sections in continuous uniform shapes. The process can also produce prefabricated components in various shapes such as hollow sections, I-sections, H-sections and many more according to the requirement of the consumers. Prefabrication of components is a key feature of

the present dominant technologies; thus the pultrusion process offers greater scope to prefabricated components.

Pultruded GFRP is categorised as a high-performance composite material due to its ability to sustain structural loading. In addition, it has the advantages of high strength-weight ratio, dimensional stability, and with the right selection of resin formulation, will give good weathering properties, chemical resistance, electrical properties, fire and heat resistance.

Presently, due to the rapid development of fibre systems in continuous forms, the desired property data of pultruded GFRP sections must be concurrently developed. The properties of pultruded GFRP materials would largely depend on process parameters such as resin viscosity, running speed and thermal application on the die during the process. Thus, manufacturers must decide the adequate process parameters to ensure a good quality of material and compliance with consumer's requirements. At this stage, the technical know-how and experience of manufacturers on the processing system are often played the important roles.

In present applications of GFRP, the use of gel coat as a finished layer on the hand-laid up products have been applied successfully to improve the durability of the materials. But for pultruded GFRP sections, the employment of gel coat as a finished layer is impractical since it would cause non-uniformity in shapes and dimensions. Therefore, as an alternative solution, manufacturers usually apply a modified resin to improve sustainability to the environmental. However, the effectiveness of the modified resin ingredient is questionable since the exposed surface is still unprotected. Instead, besides applying the modified resin system, the contribution of fibres in terms of quantity or volume ratio and arrangement systems in the GFRP materials has also to be considered. With the optimum quantity and proper arrangement system of fibres in the material will probably improve sustainability to the environment or weather. The fibres may act as filler in the material to minimise deterioration effects due to environmental factors or weathering. Since, it is still as a hypothesis, an in-depth study has to be conducted to collect adequate data to support the argument.

It is understood that environmental factors such as temperature, humidity and ultraviolet rays may have some influence on the durability of GFRP. The common features of tropical hot-wet weather in Malaysia are high humidity and frequent sunlight. As the sections are exposed to this weather, their properties may change with time. Thus, this phenomenon will adversely affect the overall performance of GFRP. To utilise the full potential of GFRP sections their response to the weather must be observed. This is conducted experimentally under indoor and outdoor laboratory studies.

For the purpose of this study, it is essential to assume that some climatic factor will affect the physical and mechanical properties of GFRP. The total variation in the properties may be due to the integrated effect from these factors. The physical features of the sections may change due to chemical reactions between the constituents and factors such as moisture, temperature, solar radiation (ultra violet), acid rain and some gases that exist in atmosphere. Consequently, the changes in physical properties will cause variation in mechanical properties of the material considered in structural design such as tension, compression and shear. As a result, the changes in properties of weathered GFRP will affect their long-term performance.

Insufficient test data is observed and reported by the previous researchers. There are some important issues that need to be addressed and studied in depth with regard to the durability particularly of the pultruded GFRP materials and sections for future applications, such as:

- i) The material properties in both principal directions, longitudinal and transverse,
- ii) The properties of multilayer fibre laminates of pultruded GFRP,
- iii) The material performance to the tropical climate in order to establish the durability of material,
- iv) The overall material and structural performance under exposed tropical climate, and
- v) Establishing the appropriate test methods.

1.3 Overall Objectives and Scope of the Study

1.3.1 Objectives of the Study

The main objectives of the study are:

- 1) to characterise the engineering properties of pultruded GFRP sections produced by a local manufacturer, and establish structural design data of pultruded GFRP sections with regard to continuous glass fibres for structural applications,
- 2) to study the effect of continuous glass fibres and orientations on the physical and mechanical properties of pultruded GFRP sections,
- 3) to investigate the long-term durability of pultruded GFRP sections exposed to tropical climate,
- 4) to study the structural performance of pultruded GFRP box beams exposed to tropical climate in association to the material performance,
- 5) to propose and validate empirical models in GFRP structural design with regard to continuous glass fibres and its applications in tropical climate.

1.3.2 Scope of the Study

The scope of the study was established to fit into the desired objectives and the period of weathering exposure. The study was mainly focussed on experimental work in laboratory. All testing activities were subject to actual tropical climate except for the certain procedures already specified by referred standards of practice.

For the purpose of study, the isophthalic polyester resin, Crystic 491E produced by Scott Bader Comp. Ltd., UK was employed. The continuous E-glass type fibres, which were compatible with the polyester resin, were used as reinforcements. The pultruded GFRP plate bars of 76.2 mm width and 6.35 mm thickness, and square hollow sections of 76.2 mm depth by 76.2 mm width and 6.35 mm thickness (3 in. by 3 in. by 0.25 in.) were manufactured and supplied by a local fabricator.

The pultruded GFRP plate bars were fabricated in six-laminate systems consisting of three different single oriented fibre laminates (or single layer fibre laminates) and three different combined oriented fibre laminates (or multilayer fibre laminates) as follows:

- i) unidirectional roving,
- ii) continuous filament mat,
- iii) woven roving,
- iv) the multilayered fibres of continuous filament mat and unidirectional roving laminate,
- v) the multilayered fibres of woven roving and unidirectional roving laminate,
- vi) the multilayered fibres of continuous filament mat with unidirectional and woven roving laminate.

All the multilayer fibre laminates were of balance laminate. The samples were prepared in specified sizes to test their physical and mechanical properties according to the existing standards of practice, such as American Standard of Testing and Materials, British Standard BS 2782, and some other recommended test procedures proposed by previous researchers.

The experimental program was briefly divided into two major parts. In the first part, the study on initial performance of pultruded GFRP was established by conducting elementary tests on physical and mechanical properties of the GFRP. The physical property tests included density, constituent content, dimensional tolerances and variation, water absorption and hardness were carried out. The mechanical

property tests included strength and elastic properties in tension, compression, and in-plane shear. The second part of the experimental program was exposure tests of the GFRP samples under out-door tropical climate, which was set up after the first part had been completed. Another group of GFRP samples was subject to room environment as a control. The samples were exposed for 3, 6, 12 and 24 months and tested for physical and mechanical properties. In order to verify the effects of tropical climate on the structural performance of GFRP section, flexural test was conducted on GFRP box beams under four-point loading system. Only two laminate systems of GFRP beams were employed for the test. They were continuous filament mat to represent single layer fibre laminate, and multilayer fibre laminate consisting of continuous filament mat with unidirectional roving and woven roving fibres.

1.4 Conclusion

The current development of GFRP materials in the construction industry has tremendously grown especially in the developed countries since 1990s. But their use in Malaysian industries is still at infancy due to insufficient database on the material properties and durability aspects. Environmental factors have significant effects on the physical and mechanical properties of GFRP materials; normally reducing the properties on long term exposure.

For structural applications, the pultruded GFRP sections are most practical since the system are produced in uniform prefabricated sections with various shapes and sizes. The materials have been developed to increase their ability to sustain primary loads by improving on the production technology from time to time. In addition, their durability to sustain environmental factors must also be simultaneously improved in various weather conditions. There are many specific areas, in facts that require proper in-depth studies on the durability of GFRP pultruded materials. This may assist the fabricator and engineers to improve the material quality and provide established database for design works in the future.

Reference

1. Stephen R. Swanson, "Introduction to Design and Analysis with Advanced Composite Materials", Prentice Hall International. Inc., 1997, p 3.
2. British Standard, BS 3691 : 1990, Specification for "E glass fibre rovings for reinforcement of polyester and epoxy resin systems", British Standard Institution, 1990.
3. Johnson, A.F., "Engineering Design Properties of GRP", A Joint The British Plastics Federation (BPF) and National Physical Laboratory (NPL) Project, The British Plastics Federation (BPF), No. 215/1, 1995.
4. Bader, M.G., Smith, W., Isham, A.B., Rolston, J.A., and Metzner, A.B., "Processing and Fabrication Technology", Delaware Composites design Encyclopedia, Vol. 3, Technomic Publishing Co. Inc., USA, 1990.
5. British Standard, BS 3496: 1990, Specification for "E glass fibre chopped strand mat for the reinforcement of polyester resin systems", British Standard Institution, 1990.
6. John L. Clarke, "Structural Design of Polymer Composites--EUROCOMP Design Code and Handbook", E&FN SPON, London, UK, 1996.
7. British Standard, BS 3749: 1991, Specification for "E glass fibre woven roving fabrics for the reinforcement of polyester and epoxy resin systems", British Standard Institution, 1990.
8. Terry Richardson, "Composite: A Design Guide", Industrial Press Inc., New York, USA, 1987, pp 16-17.
9. James F. Shackelford, "Introduction to Materials Science For Engineers", Fourth Edition, Prentice Hall International Inc., New Jersey, USA, 1996, p 369.
10. Market Development Alliance of the FRP Composites Industry, "FRP Composite Materials: An Overview", 2000, as in <http://www.mdacomposites.org/materials.htm#mats>.
11. Sirim Bhd., "Courses on Fibres Reinforced Composites Technology: Introduction to Materials, Processes and Applications", 29-30 October 1996, SIRIM BHD., Shah Alam, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia.
12. Raymond W. Meyer, "Handbook of Pultrusion Technology", Chapman and Hall, New York, USA, 1985, pp. 51-60.

13. Polymer Composite Asia Sdn. Bhd., "Pultrusion Products", Design Data Book, 1995.
14. Neil L. Hancox and Rayner M. Mayer, "Design Data For Reinforced Plastics: A Guide For Engineers and Designers", Chapman & Hall, London, 1994.
15. Owens Corning Fiberglas, "Fibreglass Composites", Design Data Book, 1995.
16. Stuart M. Lee, "Dictionary of Composite Materials Technology", Technomic Publishing Co., Inc., Lancaster, USA, 1989.
17. Raymond W. Meyer, "Handbook of Pultrusion Technology", Chapman and Hall, New York, USA, 1985, p 135.
18. Mallick, P.K., "Fiber-Reinforced Composites: Materials, Manufacturing, and Design", Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, USA, 1988, p 348.
19. Dharia, A.N., and Schott, N.R., "Resin pick-up and fiber wet-out associated with coating and pultrusion processes", Proceedings 44th Annual Technical Conference, Society of Plastics Engineers, April 1986, p 1321.
20. Bibbo, M.A., and Gutowski, T.G., "An analysis of pulling force in pultrusion", Proceeding 44th Annual Technical Conference, Society of Plastics Engineers, April 1986, p 1430.
21. Hollaway, L., "Glass Reinforced Plastics in Construction: Engineering Aspects", Surrey University Press, UK, 1978.
22. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 792-66 (Reapproved 1979), "Specific Gravity and Density of Plastics by Displacement", Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
23. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 2584-68 (Reapproved 1985), "Ignition Loss of Reinforced Resins", Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
24. European Standard EN 61: Edition 1, "Glass Reinforced Plastics. Determination of Tensile Properties", European Committee for Standardization, Brussels, March 1977.
25. British Standard Methods of Testing Plastics, BS 2782: Part 3: Mechanical Properties, Methods 320A to 320F, "Tensile Strength, Elongation and Elastic Modulus", British Standard Institution, UK, 1976.

26. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 3039-76 (Reapproved 1982), "Tensile Properties of Fiber-Resin Composites", Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
27. Donald F. Adams, "Properties Characterization – Mechanical/Physical/Hydrothermal Properties Test Methods", in Stuart M. Lee, "Reference Book for Composites Technology", Vol. 2, Technomic Publishing Co., Inc., Pennsylvania, USA, 1989, pp 49 – 80.
28. Raymond, J.A., "The Effect of Resin Content on the Mechanical Properties of Glass Reinforced Polyester Laminates", BPF Reinforced Plastics Congress, Brighton, 1974, in Johnson J.F., "Engineering Design Properties of GRP", A Joint BPF and NPL Project, The British Plastics Federation, Publication No. 215/1, 1995.
29. Owen, M.J., and Bishop, P.T., "Prediction of Static and Fatigue Damage and Crack Propagation in Composite Materials", AGARD-CP-163, 1975, in Johnson J.F., "Engineering Design Properties of GRP", A Joint BPF and NPL Project, The British Plastics Federation, Publication No. 215/1, 1995.
30. Sims, G.D., Private Communication, National Physical Laboratory, UK, in Johnson J.F., "Engineering Design Properties of GRP", A Joint BPF and NPL Project, The British Plastics Federation, Publication No. 215/1, 1995.
31. Polymer Composite (Asia) Group, "*Design Guide*", Creative Pultrusions, Volume 2, Revision 1, 1995.
32. Owen-Corning Co. Ltd., "Composites Materials Property Data", Issue 9/95, 1995.
33. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 3410-75 (Reapproved 1982), "Compressive Properties of Unidirectional or Crossply Fiber-Resin Composites", Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
34. Gibbs and Cox Inc. , "Marine Design Manual for Fiberglass Reinforced Plastics", McGraw Hill, New York, 1960, in Johnson J.F., "Engineering Design Properties of GRP", A Joint BPF and NPL Project, The British Plastics Federation, Publication No. 215/1, 1995.
35. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 4255-83, "Inplane Shear Properties of Composites Laminates", Philadelphia, PA, 1986.

36. Garcia, R., Weisshaar, T.A., and McWithey, "An Experimental and Analytical Investigation of the Rail Shear-test Method as Applied to Composite Materials", *Experimental Mechanics*, August 1980, pp. 273-279.
37. Spigel, B.S., Prabhakaran, R., and Sawyer, J.W., "An Investigation of the Iosipescu and Asymmetrical Four-Point Bend Tests", *Experimental Mechanics*, March 1987, pp. 57-63.
38. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 3518-76 (Reapproved 1982), "Inplane Shear Stress-Strain Response of Unidirectional Reinforced Plastics", Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
39. Whitney, J.M., Daniel, I.M., and Pipes, R.B., "Experimental Mechanics of Fiber Reinforced Composite Materials", Englewood Cliffs, NJ:Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1982, p. 201.
40. Chamis, C.C., and Sinclair, J.H., "Ten-degree Off-axis Test for Shear Properties in Fiber Composites", *Experimental Mechanics*, 17(9), 1977, pp. 339-346.
41. Arcan, M., Hashin, Z., and Voloshin, A., "A Method to Produce Uniform Plane-stress States with Applications to Fiber-reinforced Materials", *Experimental Mechanics*, April 1978, pp. 141-146.
42. Timoshenko, S.P., and Goodier, "Theory of Elasticity", Mc-Graw-Hill Book Co., 1970.
43. Bauchau, O. A., Coffenberry, B.S., and Rehfield, L.W., "Composite Box Beam Analysis: Theory and Experiments", *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, Vol. 6, January 1987, pp 25-35.
44. Bank, L.C. and Bednarczyk, P.J., "Deflection of Thin-Walled Fiber-Reinforced Composite Beams", *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, Vol. 9, March 1990, pp 118-126.
45. Fu, S.H., Spyrakos, C., Prucz, J., and Barbero, E.J., "Structural Performance of Plastic I-beams", Eighth Annual Structures Congress, ASCE, 1990, pp 507-508.
46. Barbero, E.J., and Sonti, S., "Micro-mechanical Modeling for Pultruded Composite Beams", presented at the 32nd Structural Dynamics Conference, American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Baltimore, Md., 1991.

47. Barbero, E.J., Fu, S.H., and Raftoyiannis, I., "Ultimate Bending Strength of Composite Beams", *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, Vol. 3, No. 4, November 1991, pp 292-306.
48. Gilchrist, M.D., Kinloch, A.J., Matthews, F.L., and Osiyemi, S.O., "Mechanical Performance of Carbon-Fibre- and Glass-Fibre-Reinforced Epoxy I-Beams: I. Mechanical Behaviour", *Composite Science and Technology*, 56, 1996, pp 37-53.
49. Pizhong Qiao, Julio F. Davalos, Ever J. Barbero and Dustin Troutman, "Step-by-Step Engineering Design Equations for FRP Structural Beams", ICE'99, Cincinnati, OH, May 10-12, 1999. Also in <http://www.cemr.wvu.edu/~ejb/pdf/frpbeamppr.pdf>.
50. George S. Springer, "Environmental Effects", in *Reference Book For Composites Technology, Volume 2*, Edited by Stuart M. Lee, Technomic Publishing Co., Inc., Lancaster, USA, 1989, pp 17-36.
51. Norris, J.F., Crowder, J.R., and Probert, C., "The Weathering of Glass-reinforced Polyesters Under Stress – Short Term Behaviour", CP 56/76, *Fibre Reinforced Materials, Practical Studies From The Building Research Establishment*, BRE Building Research Series, The Construction Press, Lancaster, England, 1978, pp 12-18.
52. Kerr, J.R., and Haskins, J.F., "Effects of 50,000th of Thermal Aging on Graphite/Epoxy and Graphite/Polyimide Composites", *AIAA Journal*, 1982, pp 22-96.
53. Johnson, A.F., "Engineering Design Properties of GRP", A Joint The British Plastics Federation (BPF) and National Physical Laboratory (NPL) Project, The British Plastics Federation (BPF), No. 215/1, 1995, pp 157:181.
54. Quinn, J.A., "Properties of Thermoset Polymer Composites and Design of Pultrusions", in *Design with Advanced Composite Materials*, Edited by Leslie N. Phillips, The Design Council and Springer-Verlag, London, 1989, pp 89:365.
55. Scott Bader Co. Ltd., *Polyester Handbook*, Crystic monograph No 2, 1972.
56. Hiscock, J., "A Study of the Effect of Environment on the Properties of Glass Reinforced Plastic", in Johnson, A.F., "Engineering Design Properties of GRP", A Joint The British Plastics Federation (BPF) and National

- Physical Laboratory (NPL) Project, The British Plastics Federation (BPF), No. 215/1, 1995, pp 101:181.
57. Shen, C. H. and Springer, G. S., "Effects of Moisture and Temperature on the Tensile Strength of Composite Materials", in *Environmental Effects on Composite Materials* edited by George S. Springer, Technomic Publishing Company Inc., USA, 1991, pp 79-93.
 58. Shen, C. H. and Springer, G. S., "Environmental Effects on the Elastic Moduli of Composite Materials", in *Environmental Effects on Composite Materials* edited by George S. Springer, Technomic Publishing Company Inc., USA, 1991, pp 94-107.
 59. Pritchard, G., and Taneja, N., "Nature and Extent of Water Damage in Glass Laminates, SPI 29th Annual Conference Proceedings, 1974.
 60. Devine, F.E., "Polyester Moulding Materials in Automotive Under-bonnet Environments", *Composites*, 1983, pp 14:353.
 61. Mallick, P.K., "Fiber-Reinforced Composites: Materials, Manufacturing, and Design", Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, USA, 1988, pp 17-67.
 62. Weitsman, Y. J., "Effects of Fluids on Polymeric Composites - A Review", Contract Report for Office of Naval Research, No. NOOO 14-90-J- 1 5 56, July, 1995 in Kin Liao, Carl Schultheisz, Catherine Brinson, and Scott Milkovich, "Environmental Durability of Fiber-Reinforced Composites for Infrastructure Applications: A Brief Overview", Proceedings of the Fourth ITI Bridge NDE Users Group Conference, Absecon, NJ, November 1-3, 1995.
 63. Fujita, H., "Diffusion in Polymer-Diluent Systems," *Fortschr. Hochpolym.-Forsch.*, Bd. 3, S. 1-47, 1961.
 64. Dewimille, B. and Bunsell, A. R., "Accelerated Ageing of a Glass Fibre-Reinforced Epoxy Resin in Water", *Composites*, January 1983, pp. 35-40.
 65. Bueche, F., "Physical Properties of Polymers", Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962.
 66. McKague, E.L., Jr., Halkias, J.E., and Reynolds, J.D., "Moisture Diffusion in Composites: The Effect of Supersonic Service on Diffusion", *Journal of Composite Materials*, Vol. 9, 1975, pp 2-9.

67. Shen, Chi-Wung, and Springer, G.S., "Moisture Absorption and Desorption of Composite Materials", *Journal of Composite Materials*, Vol. 10, 1976, pp 2-20.
68. McKague, E.L., Jr., Reynolds, J.D., and Halkias, J.E., "Moisture Diffusion in Fiber Reinforced Plastics", *ASME Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology*, Vol. 98, Series H, 1976, pp 92-95.
69. Browning, C.E., Husman, G.E., and Whitney, J.M., "Moisture Effects in Epoxy Matrix Composites", *Composite Materials: Testing and Design: Fourth Conference*, ASTM STP 617, 481-496, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA (1977).
70. Delasi, R., and Whiteside, J.B., "Effect of Moisture on Epoxy Resins and Composites", in J.R. Vinson (ed.), *Advanced Composite Materials – Environmental Effects*, ASTM STP 658, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1987, pp 2-20.
71. Cairns, D.S., and Adams, D.F., "Moisture and Thermal Expansion Properties of Unidirectional Composite Materials and the Epoxy Matrix", in G.S. Springer (ed.), *Environmental Effects on Composite Materials: Volume 2*, 300-316, Technomic Publishing Co., Lancaster, PA (1984).
72. Gibson, R.F., Yau, A., Mende, E.W., and Osborn, W.E., "The Influence of Environmental Conditions on the Vibration Characteristics of Chopped Fiber Reinforced Composite Materials," *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 1(3), 1982, pp. 225-241.
73. Seymour, R.B., and Carraher, C.E. Jr., "Polymer Chemistry: An Introduction", Second Edition, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1988, p. 431-440.
74. Kin Liao, Carl Schultheisz, Catherine Brinson, and Scott Milkovich, "Environmental Durability of Fiber-Reinforced Composites for Infrastructure Applications: A Brief Overview", *Proceedings of the Fourth ITI Bridge NDE Users Group Conference*, Absecon, NJ, USA, November 1-3, 1995.
75. White, J.R., "Engineering aspects of polymer degradation and stabilisation", *Plastics, Rubber and Composites Processing and Applications*, No. 3, Vol. 27, 1998, pp. 124-131.

76. Bogner, B.R., and Borja, P.P., "Strength Retention of Pultruded Composites After Exposure to Ultra-Violet (UV) Light", Research Report, BP Amoco Research Center, Naperville, Illinois, USA, 1997.
77. White, J. R. and Turnbull, A., "Weathering of Polymers: Mechanisms of Degradation and Stabilization, Testing Strategies and Modeling," *Journal of Materials Science*, 1994, pp. 5 84-613.
78. Scott, K. A. and Paul, K. T., "Weathering Resistance of Plastics Composites," *Composites*, Sept 1974, pp. 201-208.
79. The Weathering of plastics Materials in the Tropics - 7 Evaluation of the Weathering Behaviour of a Variety of Glass Reinforced Polyester and Epoxy Systems, Procurement Executive, Ministry of Defense/British Federation Joint Working Party on the Ageing and Weathering of Polymers and Composites, 1982.
80. Demuts, E. and Shyprykevich, P., "Accelerated Environmental Testing of Composites," *Composites*, Vol. 15, No. 1, January 1984, pp. 25-31.
81. The British Plastics Federation (BPF), "Programme to assess the Effect of Natural Weathering on Glass Reinforced Polyester Resin Laminates", BPF Reinforced Plastics Group, Technical Committee, 1985.
82. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 4762-88, Standard Guide for "Testing Automotive/Industrial Composite Materials", Philadelphia, PA, 1988.
83. British Standards Institution, BS 4618: 1972, Part 4, Environmental and chemical effects, Section 4.2: "Resistance to natural weathering".
84. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 2583-87, Standard Test Method for "Indentation Hardness of Rigid Plastics by Means of a Barcol Impressor", Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
85. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 570-81 (reapproved 1988), Standard Test Method for "Water Absorption of Plastics", Philadelphia, PA, 1988.
86. Lagrange, A., Melennec, Ch., and Jacquemet, R., " Influence of Various Stress Conditions of the Moisture Diffusion of Composite in Distilled Water and in Natural Sea-Water," in *Durability of Polymer Based Composite Systems for Structural Applications*, A. H. Cardon and G. Verchery Eds., Elsevier Applied Science, 1991.

87. Shimizu, H., and Dolowy, J.F., "Fatigue Testing and Thermal-Mechanical Treatment Effects on Aluminum-Boron Composites", *Composite Materials: Testing and Design*, ASTM STP 460, American Society for Testing and Materials, 1969, pp. 192-202.
88. Voloshin, A, and Arcan, M, "Failure of Unidirectional Fiber-reinforced Materials – New Methodology and Results", *Experimental Mechanics*, August 1980, pp. 280-284.
89. Voloshin, A, and Arcan, M, "Pure Shear Moduli of Unidirectional Fibre-Reinforced Materials (FRM)", *Fibre Science and Technology*, 13, 1980, pp. 125-134.
90. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 2563-70 (reapproved 1987), Standard Practice for "Classifying Visual Defects in Glass-Reinforced Plastic Laminate Parts", Philadelphia, PA, 1987.
91. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 2734-91, Standard Test Method for "Void Content of Reinforced Plastics", Philadelphia, PA, 1991.
92. TML, Precise & Flexible Strain Gauge, Tokyo Sokki Kenkyujo Co., Ltd., TML Pam E-101H, Producer Manual, Japan, 1995.
93. Cook, J., and Gordon, J.E., "A mechanism for the control of crack propagation in all brittle systems", *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.*, A282:508 (1964) as in Mallick, P.K., "Fiber-Reinforced Composites: Materials, Manufacturing and Design", Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, USA, 1988, p. 91.
94. Adams, D.F., and Doner, D.R., "Transverse normal loading of a unidirectional composite", *Journal Composite Material*, 1:152, 1967, as in Mallick, P.K., "Fiber-Reinforced Composites: Materials, Manufacturing and Design", Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, USA, 1988, p. 91.
95. Zweben, C., Hahn, H.T., and Chou, T.W., "Mechanical Behavior and Properties of Composite Materials", *Delaware Composites Design Encyclopedia*, Vol. 1, Technomic Publishing Co. Inc., USA, 1989.
96. Soutis, C., "Compressive Behaviour of Composites", *Rapra Technology Ltd., Rapra Review Reports*, Report 94, Volume 8, Number 10, 1997, p. 6.

97. Chirinos-Padron, A.J., and Allen, N.S., : in "Handbook of polymer degradation", (ed. S.H. Hamid et al.), Chapter 8, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1992, pp. 261-303.
98. Raymond E. Fornes and Richard D. Gilbert, "Polymer and Fiber Science: Recent Advances", VCH Publishers, Inc., New York, USA, 1992, pp. 2-4.
99. Lewis, E.E., "Introduction to Reliability Engineering", Second Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, USA, 1996.
100. Nielsen, L.E., and Landel, R.F., "Mechanical properties of polymers and composites", 2nd edition, Marcel Dekker, USA, 1994, pp. 251-252.
101. Isaac M. Daniel and Ori ishai, "Engineering Mechanics of Composite Materials", Oxford University Press Inc., New York, USA, 1994.
102. Chamis, C.C., and Sinclair, J.H., "Durability/Life of Fiber Composites in Hygrothermomechanical Environments", in I.M. Daniel (ed.), "Composite Materials: Testing and Design (Sixth Conference)", ASTM STP 787, 498-512, American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1982, and also in R.F. Gibson, "Principles of Composite Material Mechanics", McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, USA, 1994.
103. Mahmood Husein Dato, "Mechanics of Fibrous Composites", Elsevier Applied Science, London, UK, 1991.
104. Greszczuk, L.B., "Theoretical and experimental studies on properties and behavior of filamentary composites", Proc. 21st Annual Technical Conference, Society of the Plastic Industry, 1966 as in Mallick, P.K., "Fiber-Reinforced Composites: Materials, Manufacturing and Design", Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, USA, 1988, p. 94.
105. Gere, J.M., and Timoshenko, S.P., "Mechanics of Materials", Second Edition, PWS-KENT Publishing Company, Boston, USA, 1984.
106. American Society for Testing and Materials, ASTM Standard D 1505-68 (Reapproved 1979), "Density of Plastics by the Density-Gradient Technique", Philadelphia, PA, 1986.
107. Kelly, A., and Cahn, R.W., "*Concise Encyclopedia of Composite Materials*", Pergamon Press, First Edition, 1989.
108. Probert, C., Norris J.F., and Crowder, J.R., "Weathering of Plastics Under Stress", PRI Symposium, 'The Weathering of Plastics and Rubbers', London, 1976.

109. Chateauminois, A., Chabert, B., Soulier, J. P., and Vincent, L., " Effects of Hygrothermal Aging on the Durability of Glass/Epoxy Composites. Physico-Chemical Analysis and Damage Mapping in Static Fatigue," Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Composite Materials (ICCM/9), pp. 593-600,1993.