Reliability Analysis On The Stability Of Slope

## NG KOK SHIEN

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil Engineering – Geotechnics)

Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MARCH 2005

### **ADKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Nurly Gofar for her valuable advice and great encouragement as well as for her excellent guidance and assistance for this research. I am also indebted for the amount of time and effort she has spent reading and correcting my thesis.

A special thanks to my friends, who have given tremendous support throughout my engineering degrees. Lastly, I am very thankful to my family members for their support and encouragement.

### ABSTRACT

Slope stability is one of the geotechnical subject most dominated by uncertainty. The current practice in slope stability analysis is based on the limit equilibrium and not directly addresses uncertainty. The objective of this study is to integrate probabilistic approach as a rational means to incorporate uncertainty in the slope stability analysis. The study was made through a hypothetical problem which includes a sensitivity analysis, and two real cases. The methodology is based on Monte Carlo simulation integrated in commercially available computer program SLOPE/W. The output of the analysis is presented as the probability of failure as a measure of the likelihood of the slope failure. Results of this study have verified that the probability of failure is a better measure of slope stability as compared to the factor of safety because it provides a range of value rather than a single value.

### ABSTRAK

Kestabilan cerun merupakan salah satu subjek geoteknik yang dibelenggui oleh ketidakpastian. Penggunaan umum masa kini dalam taksiran kestabilan cerun adalah berdasarkan had keseimbangan dan ia tidak dapat menangani unsur-unsur ketidakpastian. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengintegrasikan kaedah kebarangkalian dalam analysis cerun supaya unsur ketidakpastian dapat dinilai. Kajian ini dijalankan melalui satu masalah andaian dan dua kajian kes. Metodologi kajian ini melibatkan penggunaan Simulasi Monte Carlo yang sediada dalam perisian SLOPE/W. Hasil analisis disampaikan dalam bentuk kebarangkalian gagal yang boleh dijadikan sebagai ukuran kemungkinan gagal sesuatu cerun. Hasil kajian ini membuktikan kebarangkalian gagal merupakan kaedah yang lebih bagus berbanding dengan faktor keselamatan kerana ia membekalkan satu julat nilai dan bukannya satu nilai sahaja.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iii  |
|------------------|------|
| ABSTRAC          | iv   |
| ABSTRAK          | v    |
| CONTENTS         | vi   |
| LIST OF TABLE    | ix   |
| LIST OF FIGURE   | х    |
| LIST OF SYMBOL   | xiii |

# CHAPTER

1

TITLE

PAGE

| INTF | RODUCTION         | 1 |
|------|-------------------|---|
| 1.0  | Overview          | 1 |
| 1.1  | Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.2  | Objective         | 3 |
| 1.3  | Scope             | 3 |

| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW |        | 4                                      |   |
|---|-------------------|--------|--|---|
|   | 2.1               | Introd | uction                                 | 4 |
|   | 2.2               | Conve  | ntional Slope Stability Analysis       | 5 |
|   |                   | 2.2.1  | Fellenius (Swedish) Method             | 8 |
|   |                   | 2.2.2  | The Bishop (Routine) Method            | 8 |
|   |                   | 2.2.3  | General Limit Equilibrium (GLE) Method | 9 |

|     | 2.2.4   | Other Methods of Slices                       | 11 |
|-----|---------|---|----|
|     | 2.2.5   | Limitation of Conventional Method             | 13 |
| 2.3 | Sourc   | es of Uncertainty in Slope Stability Analysis | 13 |
|     | 2.3.1   | Parameter Uncertainty                         | 13 |
|     | 2.3.2   | Model Uncertainty                             | 14 |
|     | 2.3.3   | Human Uncertainty                             | 15 |
|     | 2.3.4   | Selection of Parameter and Its Variability    | 15 |
| 2.4 | Statist | ical Analysis of Soil Data                    | 17 |
|     | 2.4.1   | Probability Density Function                  | 17 |
|     | 2.4.2   | Measure of Random Variables                   | 19 |
|     | 2.4.3   | Cross Correlation among Parameters            | 20 |
| 2.5 | Metho   | od of Probabilistic Analysis                  | 21 |
|     | 2.5.1   | Analytical Methods                            | 21 |
|     | 2.5.2   | Approximate methods                           | 22 |
|     |         | 2.5.2.1 First Order Second Moment             | 22 |
|     |         | Reliability Method                            |    |
|     |         | 2.5.2.2 Point Estimate Method                 | 23 |
|     | 2.5.3   | Monte Carlo Simulation                        | 24 |
|     | 2.5.4   | Limitations of Existing Probabilistic         | 26 |
|     |         | Methods                                       |    |
| 2.6 | Relia   | bility Analysis                               | 27 |
|     | 2.6.1   | Basic Concept                                 | 28 |
|     | 2.6.2   | Confidence Level                              | 28 |
|     | 2.6.3   | Capacity Demand Model                         | 29 |
|     | 2.6.4   | Reliability Assessment                        | 32 |

| METHODO      | DLOGY                                  | 35 |
|--------------|--|----|
| 3.1 Introduc | ction                                  | 35 |
| 3.2 Simulati | ion Process                            | 35 |
| 3.2.1        | Determination of Critical Slip Surface | 37 |
| 3.2.2        | Monte Carlo Simulation                 | 39 |
|              | 3.2.2.1 Optimum Number of Trial        | 39 |
|              |  |    |

3

| 3.2.2.2            | Interpretation of Output | 39 |
|--------------------|--------------------------|----|
| 3.3 Variable Study |                          | 40 |
| 3.4 Case Study     |                          | 41 |

| 4 | RES | ULT A   | ND ANALYSIS                               | 42 |
|---|-----|---------|---|----|
|   | 4.1 | Hypotl  | hetical Problem                           | 42 |
|   |     | 4.1.1   | Without c- $\phi$ correlation coefficient | 47 |
|   |     | 4.1.2   | With c- $\phi$ correlation coefficient    | 54 |
|   |     | 4.1.3   | Sensitivity Study                         | 62 |
|   |     | 4.1.4   | Discussion                                | 68 |
|   | 4.2 | Case St | tudy                                      | 69 |
|   |     | 4.2.1   | Cut Slope in UTM, Skudai                  | 69 |
|   |     | 4.2.2   | Muar Trial Embankment                     | 71 |

| CONCLUSION          | 75 |
|---------------------|----|
| 5.1 Summary         | 75 |
| 5.2 Conclusion      | 76 |
| 5.3 Recommendations | 77 |

# REFERENCES

5

78

# LIST OF TABLE

# TABLE NO. TITLE

| 2.1 | Element of statical equilirium satisfied by various Limit<br>Equilibrium Methods (Source: Fredlund, 1984) | 12 |
|-----|---|----|
| 2.2 | Coefficients of Variation for Geotechnical Parameters<br>(Source: U.S Army Corps of Engineer, 1999)       | 16 |
| 2.3 | Probability of Failure Criteria for slope   | 31 |
| 2.4 | Target Reliability Indices (US Army, 1999)  | 34 |
| 4.1 | Soil properties used for hypothetical case  | 43 |
| 4.2 | Result of probabilistic analysis for case 1 to 3 and c- $\phi$ uncorrelated                               | 47 |
| 4.3 | Result of probabilistic analysis for case 1 to 3 – with c- $\phi$ correlation coefficient of -0.5.        | 54 |
| 4.4 | Sensitivity result for cases of c- $\phi$ uncorrelated  | 62 |
| 4.5 | Sensitivity result for cases with c- $\phi$ correlation coefficient of –0.5                               | 65 |
| 4.6 | Soil data of peak strength and residual strength parameter obtained from direct shear test                | 70 |
| 4.7 | Result using data from direct shear box test.   | 70 |
| 4.8 | Statistical Parameters for Input Variable of undrained shear strength                                     | 73 |
| 4.9 | Probabilistic Result for Muar Trial Embankemnt  | 73 |

PAGE

# LIST OF FIGURE

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE   | PAGE |
|------------|---|------|
| 2.1        | Methods of slices   | 6    |
| 2.2        | Uncertainty in Soil Properties (Source: Christian, Ladd, Beacher, 1994)   | 14   |
| 2.3        | Probability density function (PDF)  | 18   |
| 2.4        | Cumulative distribution function (CDF)  | 18   |
| 2.5        | Combination of uncorrelated parameter input distribution  | 20   |
| 2.6        | Combination of correlated parameter input distribution  | 21   |
| 2.7        | Confidence interval of 90%  | 29   |
| 2.8        | Concept of Reliability Analysis   | 30   |
| 2.9        | Probability of failure as a function of the reliability index<br>for a normal distributed factor of safety (Source: Christian,<br>Ladd and Baecher, 1994) | 32   |
| 3.1        | Monte Carlo simulation procedure for Slope Stability<br>Problem   | 35   |
| 3.2        | Hypothetical Problem  | 40   |
| 4.1        | Slope geometry and soil properties of the hypothetical problem for the hypothetical study.  | 42   |
| 4.2        | Critical slip surface for slope without water table   | 43   |
| 4.3        | Critical slip surface for slope with water table  | 44   |

| 4.4  | Optimum number of N=15000 in case 1-withwater table and c- $\phi$ uncorrelated              | 45 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.5  | Optimum number of N=15000 in case 1-withwater table and c- $\phi$ uncorrelated              | 46 |
| 4.6  | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 1 of no water table and $c-\phi$ uncorrelated. | 48 |
| 4.7  | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 1 with water table and $c-\phi$ uncorrelated.  | 49 |
| 4.8  | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 2 of no water table and c-\$ uncorrelated.     | 50 |
| 4.9  | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 2 with water table and $c-\phi$ uncorrelated.  | 51 |
| 4.10 | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 3 of no water table and $c-\phi$ uncorrelated. | 52 |
| 4.11 | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 3 with water table and $c-\phi$ uncorrelated.  | 53 |
| 4.12 | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 1 of no water table and $c-\phi$ correlated.   | 55 |
| 4.13 | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 1 with water table and $c-\phi$ correlated.    | 56 |
| 4.14 | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 2 of no water table and $c-\phi$ correlated.   | 57 |
| 4.15 | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 2 with water table and $c-\phi$ correlated.    | 58 |
| 4.16 | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 2 of no water table and $c-\phi$ correlated.   | 59 |
| 4.17 | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety for case 2 with water table and $c-\phi$ correlated.    | 60 |
| 4.18 | Comparison between cases with c- $\phi$ correlated and uncorrelated                         | 61 |
| 4.19 | Sensitivity of FOS to variability in soil parameterfor c- $\phi$ uncorrelated               | 63 |

xi

| 4.20 | Sensitivity of probability of failure to variability in soil parameter for $c-\phi$ uncorrelated                   | 64 |
|------|--|----|
| 4.21 | Sensitivity result for soil parameter to factor of safety for cases with c- $\phi$ correlation coefficient of -0.5 | 66 |
| 4.22 | Sensitivity result for soil parameter to probability of failure- without c-phi correlation coefficient of -0.5     | 67 |
| 4.23 | Slip surface specified in the model.   | 69 |
| 4.24 | PDF of the factor of safety for specified slip surface slope:<br>a) Peak strength and b) Residual strength         | 71 |
| 4.25 | Critical slip surface for Muar Trial Embankment  | 72 |
| 4.26 | PDF and CDF of the factor of safety, Muar Trial Embankment   | 74 |

# LIST OF SYMBOL

| CDF                       | - | Cumulative distribution function    |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| COV                       | - | Coefficient of variation            |
| c                         | - | Cohesion                            |
| c'                        | - | Effective cohesion                  |
| D                         | - | Line load                           |
| Е, Т, Х                   | - | Shear force                         |
| FOS                       | - | Factor of safety                    |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{m}}$ | - | Moment equilibrium factor of safety |
| $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | - | Force equilibrium factor of safety  |
| k                         | - | Coefficient of permeability         |
| N'                        | - | Normal force                        |
| PDF                       | - | Probability density function        |
| $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | - | Probability of failure              |
| r <sub>u</sub>            | - | Pore pressure coefficient           |
| s or SD                   | - | Standard deviation                  |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{u}}$ | - | Undrained shear strength            |
| U                         | - | Water force                         |
| и                         | - | Pore water pressure                 |
| W                         | - | Weight                              |
| x                         | - | Mean value or expected value        |
| $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$       | - | Shear strength                      |
| φ                         | - | Angle of friction                   |
| φ'                        | - | Effective angle of friction         |
| α                         | - | Angle                               |
| β                         | - | Reliability index                   |
| γ                         | _ | Unit weight                         |
| 1                         |   | e                                   |

### **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.0 Overview

The stability of slopes is of great importance to geotechnical engineers worldwide. In Malaysia, the collapse of Block 1 of Highland Towers in 1993, slope failure at Taman Hillview in November 2002 and the recent tragic landslide at Bukit Lanjan in 2003 had prompted our government and public to concern about the stability of slope and the risk involve in such occasion. Hence, it is importance that the current practice of slope stability analysis to be revised in order to minimize the tragedies.

Nowadays, most of the slope analysis and design are based on deterministic approaches. The shear strength, slope geometry, external load and pore water pressures are assigned as specific unvarying values and as a result, single factor of safety is then determined. However, the single deterministic safety factor of a slope is often not enough to analyze the slope stability due to uncertainty in input parameters.

Probabilistic methods were introduced in the late sixties,, most notably by Wu and Kraft (1970), to quantify the sources of geotechinical uncertainties and to study the effect of those uncertainties on the reliability of a slope. In slope problem, uncertainty arises as a result of the variability of soil parameters, systematic error, changing environmental conditions, unexpected failure mechanism, simplifications and approximations adopted in geotechnical model and human error. The impact of uncertainty on the performance predictions in geotechnical practice is substantial (Morgenstern, 2000). Therefore, the implementation of statistic method is essential in the evaluation slope performance.

Reliability analysis or probabilistic methods are gradually being accepted in civil engineering because of economic and theoretical pressures (Mostyn and Li, 1993). The concept of probabilistic slope stability analysis (PSSA) had developed since 70's and wealth in literature. However, the adoption of the method in geotechnical design is slow. There are some reasons toward it. First, lack of formal training in statistics and probability theory among geotechnical engineers. Second, there is a common misconception that probabilistic analyses require significant amounts of data, time and effort and are, thus, not practical. Finally, poor definition on the limits of the acceptable probability of failure and the there is no link between a probabilistic assessment and a conventional deterministic assessment. These make the understanding of probabilistic analysis difficult.

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

Most slope analysis and design is based on deterministic approach i.e a set of single valued design parameter are adopted and a set of single valued factor of safety (FOS) is determined. Usually the FOS is selected in view of the understanding and knowledge of the material parameters, the problem geometry, the method of analysis and the consequences of failure. This results in different FOS obtained by different designers. This inherent variability characteristic dictates that slope stability problem is a probabilistic problem rather than deterministic problem. Furthermore, the FOS approach cannot quantify the probability of failure or level of risk associated with a particular design situation.

Given the appeal of probabilistic slope stability analysis (PSSA) and the advanced state-of-practice of reliability techniques, there is a need to facilitate the adoption of PSSA concept in slope design practice among geotechnical engineer.

### 1.3 Objective

The objective of this research is to integrate reliability concept into slope stability analysis by developing a probabilistic model using Monte Carlo Simulation integrated in readily commercial software, SLOPE/W. The output of this study is the probability distribution of factor of safety and reliability index of a slope.

#### 1.4 Scope

The study is focused on the use of Monte Carlo simulation integrated in SLOPE/W software package to analyze the probability of failure of a slope. The analysis is made using Bishop Method where circular failure surface is assumed. The critical slip surface is determined based on the mean value of the input parameter. Sensitivity analysis is conducted on the variability of the soil parameters and the position of groundwater table.

#### 5.3 Recommendations

This research demonstrated the feasibility of probabilistic slope analysis. However, before this research is implemented into practice several issues need further development and refinement.

- The main draw back in the use of reliability analysis is the scarcity of suitable data, quantitative information about how closely these data represent field conditions and determination of the correlation coefficient between the random variables representing soil parameter.
- 2. In SLOPE/W, the critical slip surface is first determined based on the mean value of the input parameters in deterministic analysis. Probabilistic analysis is then performed on the critical slip surface. However in reality, the critical slip surface from deterministic analysis may not be the critical slip surface for probabilistic analysis.
- The variability of the input parameters in SLOPE/W is assumed to be normally distributed with user-specified mean values and standard deviations. This will give result that are not correctly simulated the soil conditions.

#### REFERENCES

Alonso, E.E.(1976). Risk Analysis of Slopes and Its Application to Slopes in Canadian Sensitive Clays. *Geotechnique*. Vol.26 (3): 453-472.

Anderson, L.R., Sharp, K.D., Bowles, D.S. and Canfield, R.V.(1984). Application of Method of Probabilistic Characterization of Soil Properties. *Proceeding of ASCE Symposium on Probabilistic Characterization of Soil Properties- Bridge between Theory and Practice.* Atlanta, USA, May 17. pp 90-105.

Ang, A.H-S, and Tang, W.H. (1975). *Probability Concepts in Engineering Planning and Design, Volume I Basic Principles.* John Wiley & Sons, New York.

B.Indraratna, A.S. Balasubramaniam, and S. Balachandran (1992). Performance of Test Embankment Constructed to Failure on Soft Marine Clay. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE.* Vol. 118 (1).

Baecher, B.T. and Chritian J.T. (2003). *Reliability and Statistics in Geotechnical Engineering*. England, John Wiley & Sons.

Bergado, D.T., Patron, B.C, Youyongwatana, W., Chai, J.C. and Yudhbir (1994). Reliability-based Analysis of Embankment on Soft Bangkok Clay. *Journal of Structural Safety*. Vol.(13): 247-266.

Box, G.E.P. and Muller, M.E. 1(958). A note on the generation of random normal deviates. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, American Statistical Association, USA.*, Vol. 29: 610-613.

Bjerrum,L. (1973). Problems of soil mechanics and construction on soft clays. Proceedings of 8<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Soil Mechanics and foundation Engineering. Moscow. Vol. 3:111-159

Brand, E.W., and Premchitt, J. (1989). Comparison of the predicted and observed performance of the Muar test embankement. *Proceedings of the Symposium on Trial Embankemnts on Malaysian Marine Clays.* Kuala Lumpur, Malayisa, November. Vol. 3.

Bromhead, E.N. (1994). *The Stability of Slopes*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Blackie Academic & professional, Glasgow.

Claes, A. (1996). Application of Probabilistic Approach in Slope Stability Analyses. *Proceeding of 7<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Landslide, Trondheim, Norway.* June 17-21. pp 1137-1142.

Cornell, C.A. (1972). First Order Uncertainty Analysis of Soil Deformation and Stability. *Proceeding of 1<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Application of Statistic and Probability to Soil and Structural Engineering, Hong Kong.* September 13-16, 1971, pp 129-144.

Chowdhury, R.N (1984). Recent Developments in Landslide Studies: Probabilistic Methods State-of-the-Art-Report – Session VII (a). 4<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Landslides. pp 209-228.

Chowdhury, R.N and Tang, W.H. (1987). Comparison of Risk Model for Slopes. Reliability and Risk Analysis in Civil Engineering 2: *Proceeding of 5<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Application of Statistic and Probability in Soil and Structural Engineering*. Vancouver, Canada, May 25-29. Vol. 2: 863-869.

Christian, J.T, Ladd, C., & Baecher, G.B. (1994). Reliability Applied to Slope Stability Analysis. *Journal of Geotechnical and Engineering Division, ASCE*. Vol. 120: 2180-2207. Duncan, J. M. (2000). Factor of Safety and Reliability in Geotechnical Engineering. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, ASCE*. Vol. 126 (4): 307-316.

Fredlund, D.G., and Krahn, J. (1977). Comparison of slope stability methods of analysis. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. Vol.14: 429–439.

Fredlund, D.G., Krahn, J., and Pufahl, D.E. (1981). The Relationship Between Limit Equilibrium Slope stability Methods. *In Proceedings of the 10th Conference of the International Society for Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering (ISSMFE), Stockholm, Sweden.* Vol. 3: 409–416.

Fredlund, D.G. (1984). Analytical Methods for Slope Stability Analysis. 4<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Landslides. Vol.1: 229-250.

Grivas, D.A. (1981). How Reliable are The Present Slope Prediction Method? *Proceeding of The Tenth international Conference of The Soil Mechanic and Foundation Engineering, Stockholm, Sweden*. Vol. 3 : 427-430.

Gue, C.S (2003). Slope stability : A Case Study of Slope Failure at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai. Universit Teknologi Malaysia: Projek Sarjana Muda.

Harr, M.E. (1977). *Mechanic of Particulate Media – A Probabilistic Approach*. Mcgraw-Hill.

Harr, M.E. (1987). *Reliability Based Design in Civil Engineering*. McGraw-Hill Inc, USA.

Hassan, A. and Wolff, T. (1999). Search Algorithm for Minimun Relaibility Index of Earth Slope. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, ASCE*. 125 (4): 301-308.

Honjo, Y. and Kuroda, K. (1991). A New Look on Fluctuating Geotechnical Data for Reliability Design. *Soil and Foundation*. Vol. 31(1): 110-120

Hutchinson, S. & Bandalos, D. (1997). A Guide to Monte Carlo Simulation Research for Applied Researchers. *Journal of Vocational Education Research*. Vol. 22: 233-245.

Jeniffer, L. P. (1999). *Probability Analysis of Slope Stability. Morgantown, West Virgina, USA*. Thesis of Master of Science.

Kim, H.S., Major, G. and Ross-Brown, D.M. (1978). Application of Monte Carlo technique to Slope Stability Analyses. *Proceeding of 19<sup>th</sup> U.S Symposium on Rock Mechanic, Nevada, USA*. pp 28-39.

Lacasse, S. and Nadim, F. (1996). Uncertainties in Characterizing Soil Properties, in Uncertainty in the Geologic Environment: From Theory to Practice. *Proceedings of Uncertainty '96, ASCE Geotechnical Special publication No. 58, C.D. Shackelford, P.P Nelson, and M.J.S. Roth.* pp. 49-75.

Law, A.M., and MaComas, M.G. (1986). Pitfalls in The Simulation of Manufacturing System. *Proceeding of The Winter Simulation Conference*, *Washington D.C.* pp539-542.

Liew, S. S. (2004). Slope Failures in Tropical Residual Soils. *Tropical Residual Soils Engineering (TRSE)*. 6 – 7 July 2004.

Li, K.S. (1992a). A Point Estimate Method for Calculating The Reliability Index of Slope. *Proceeding of the* 6<sup>th</sup> *Australian-New Zealand Conference on Geomechanics, Christchurch.* pp 448-451.

Li, K.S. and Lumb, P. (1987) Probabilistic Design of Slope. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 24: 520-535.

Li, K.S. and White, W. (1987). Reliability Index of Slope. Reliability and Risk Analysis in Civil Engineering: *Proceeding of 5<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Application of Statistic and Probability to Soil and Structural Engineering, Vancouver, Canada.* May 25-29. Vol. 2: 755-762.

Lumb, P. (1970). Probability of Failure in Earth Works. *Proceeding of 2<sup>nd</sup> Southeast* Asian Conference on Soil Engineering, Singapore. pp139-147.

Major, G., Ross-Brown, D.M, and Kim, H.S. (1978). A General Probabilistic Analysis for Three Dimensional Wedge Failure. *Proceeding of 19<sup>th</sup> U.S Symposium on Rock Mechanic, Nevada, USA*. pp 45-56.

Malaysia Highway Authority (1989). Proceeding of The International Symposium on Trial Embankment on Malaysian Marine Clays. Vol. 1. Nov. 1989.

Matsuo, M., and Kuroda, K. (1974). Probabilistic Approach to The Design of Embankment. *Soil and Foundation*. Vol. 14 (2):1-17.

Marek, J.N. and Savely, J.P. (1978). Probabilistic Analysis on The Plane Shear Failure Mode. *Proceeding of The 9<sup>th</sup> US Symposium on The Rock Mechanic, Nevada, USA*. pp 40-44

McGuffey, V. Grica, D., Lori, J., and Kyfor, Z. (1982). Conventional and Probabilistic Embankment Design. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE*. Vol. 108 (10): 1246-1254

McMahon, B.K. (1975). Probability of Failure and Expected Volume of Failure in High Rock Slope. *Proceeding of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Australian-New Zealand Conference on Geomechanics, Brisbane*. pp 308-313.

Morgenstern, N.R. (2000). *Performance in Geotechnical Predictions*. The First lumb lecture, Hong Kong, May 10.

Morgenstern, N.R. (1995). Managing Risk in Geotechncial Engineering. *Proceeding* of the 10<sup>th</sup> Pan American Conference on Soil Mechanic and Foundation Engineering. Vol. 4.

Mostyn G. R. and Li, K.S. (1993). *Probabilistic Slope Analysis-State of Play*. In Probabilistic Methods in geotechnical Engineering., Li and Lo,(eds).pp 89-109.

Naval Facilities Engineering Command (1986). *Soil Mechanics: Design Manual* 7.01. pp 309-310.

Nguyen, V.U. and Chowdhury R.N. (1984). Probabilistic of A Spoil Pile Stability in Strip Coal Mines-Two Technique Compare. *International Journal of Rock Mechanic, Mining Science and Geomechanic*. Vol. 21 (6): 303-312.

Peck, R.B. (1973). Influence of Non-technical Factors on The Quality of Embankment Dams. *In Embankment Dam Engineering, Casagrande*. John Wiley & Sons, New York. pp 201-208.

Polous, H.H., Lee, Y.C., and Small, J.C. (1990). *Predicted and observed behaviour of a test embankment on Malaysian soft clays*. Research Report No. R620, University of Sydney

Priest. D.S, and Brown, E.T (1983). *Probabilistic Stability Analyses of Variable Rock Slope*. Transaction of the Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (Section A: Mining Industry), 92: A1-A12

Ramalho-Ortigao, J.A., Mauro, L.g., and Wiley, A.L (1984). Embankment Failure on Clay near Rio De Janeiro. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE*. Vol.109 (11): 1460-1479.

Hassan E.R. (2001). *Probabilistic Analyses of Land Slide Hazards and Risk: Bridging Theory and Risk. Edmontum Alberta*: Thesis of Doctor of Philosophy Rosenblueth, E. (1975). Point Estimates for Probability Moments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA*.

Rosenblueth, E. (1981). Two-Point Estimates in Probabilities. *Applied Mathematical Modeling*. Vol. 5.

Santamarina, J., Altschaeffl, A., & Chameau, J. (1992). *Reliability of Slopes: Incorporating Qualitative Information*. Transportation Research Record 1343, 1-5.

SLOPE/W. 2001. A software package for slope stability analysis. Ver. 5.14. GEO-SLOPE International, Calgary, Alta.

Spencer, E. 1967. A Method of Analysis of Embankments Assuming Parallel Interslice Forces. *Géotechnique*. 17(1): 11–26. Zienkiewicz, O.C. 1971. The finite element method in engineering.

Sowers, G.S. (1991). The Human Factor in Failure. *Civil Engineering, ASCE*. March. pp72-73.

Tang, W., Yucemen, M., & Ang, A. (1976). Probability-Based Short Term Design of Soil Slopes. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. Vol. 13: 201-215.

Tejchman, A., Gwizdala, K., Brzozowski, T. (1996). Quasi-probabilistic Method of Slope Stability Anlysis. *Proceeding of* 7<sup>th</sup> *International Symposium on Landslide, Trondheim, Norway.* June 17-21. pp 1181-1385.

Thornton, S., (1994). Probability Calculation for Slope Stability. *Computer Methods* and Advances in Geomechanics. 2505-2509.

Tobutt, D. (1982). Monte Carlo Simulation Methods for Slope Stability. *Computers* & *Geosciences*. Vol. 8: 199-208.

Tobutt, D.C & Richards E.A (1979). The Reliability of Earth Slopes. International *Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanic*. Vol. 3: 323-354.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1992). *Reliability Assessment of Navigation Structures*. ETL 1110-2-532, 1 May 1992.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1995b). *Introduction to Probability and Reliability Methods for Use in Geotechnical Engineering*. ETL 1110-2-547, 30 September 1995.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (1999). *Risk-Based Analysis in Geotechnical Engineering. For Support of Planning Studies.* ETL 1110-2-556, 28 May 1999.

Vanmarcke, E.H. (1977b). Reliability of Earth Slope. *Journal of Geotechncial Engineering, ASCE*. Vol. 103 (11):1247-1265

Vanmarcke, E.H. (1980). Probabilistic Stability Analysis of Earth Slopes. *Engienering Geology*. Vol.16: 29-50

Whitlow, R. (2001). *Basic Soil Mechanics*. Pearson Education Ltd. England. Fourth edition. Pearson Education Ltd, England.

Whitman, V.W. (1996). Organizing and Evaluating Uncertainty in geotechnical Engineering. In Uncertainty in geologic Environment: From Theory to Practice, *Proceedings of Uncertainty '96. Geotechnical Special Publication No 58, ASCE.* Vol. 1: 1-38

Wolff, T. F. (1985). *Analysis and Design of Embankment Dam Slopes: A Probabilistic Approach*. PhD Thesis of Purdue University, USA.

Wolff, T. F. (1991). Aplication Brief- Embankment Relaibility Versus Factor of Safety: Before and After Slide Repair. *International journal for Numerical And Analytical Method in Geomechanic*. Vol. 15: 41-50.

Wolff, T. F and Harr, M.E. (1987). Slope Design for Dam. Reliability and Risk Analysis in Civil Engineering 2: *Proceeding of 5<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Application of Statistic and Probability to Soil and Structural Engineering, Vancouver, Canada.* May 25-29. Vol. 2: 725-732.

Wolff, T. F (1996). Probabilistic Slope Stability in Theory and Practice. In Uncertainty in The Geologic Environment: *From Theory to Practice, Proceeding of Uncertainty '96*.

*Geotechnical Special Publication, No. 58, ASCE.* NY, USA. August 31- July 3. Vol. 2: 419-422.

Wu, T.H., Lee, I.M., Potter, J.C. and Kjekstad, O. (1987). Uncertainties inEvaluation of Strength of Marine Sand. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE*.113 (7): 719-738.

Wu, T.H., and Tang, W.H. (1970).Safety Analysis of Slope. *Journal of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering Division, ASCE.* 96,SM2, 1970, pp. 609-630.

Yucemen, M.S. and Tang, W.H. (1975). Long Term Stability of Slopes, A Reliability Approach. *Proceeding of 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Application of Statistics and Probability in Soil and Structural Engineering, Aachen, Germany.* September 15-18, pp 215-230.

Yucemen, M.S., and Al-Homoud, A.S. (1990). Probabilistic Three-Dimension Stability Analysis of Slope. *Journal of Structural Safety*. Vol. 9: 1-20