

**RECOVERING THE DAMAGED INDUSTRIALISED BUILDING SYSTEM  
BEAM FLEXURAL STRENGTH FOR RE-USE**

**MAHDI SABBAR WHAIB**

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Civil-Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
University Technology Malaysia (UTM)

JANUARY 2014

*To my beloved parents (father, mother), Dr. brothers and sisters, family, my boys Mohamad, Ali, Jafar, Ahmad, Fatimah, Noor who have been my Inspirations in whole my life.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to Sincerest thanks to Associate Professor Dr. Abdul Kadir Marsono for their patience and support for guide me in this research and taught me the concept of structures and theories.

Besides, I would like to thank to laboratory staff for their helping, they provided suitable area and equipment for doing experimental part in the laboratory of Civil-Engineering Faculty.

Finally, this thesis is dedicated to my father and mother who have given me the opportunity of an education from the best institutions and support throughout my life and *Dr.hadi, Dr.Sajed* my brothers and my sister and my family who have always stood by me.

In addition, I could not be successful Without God's kindness. I thank Him for my health and my success in all of steps during these years

## ABSTRACT

Industrialized Building System (IBS) is a construction method where components are manufactured in factory or on-situ in a controlled and monitored environment, transported and assembled with minimum workforce. This system is widely used in the worlds. Upgrading of reinforced concrete structures may be required for many different reasons. The concrete may have become structurally inadequate due to deterioration of materials, poor design, or construction, lack of maintenance, upgrading of design loads or accidental events such as earthquakes. Therefor in such conditions there are two possible solutions: replacement or retrofitting. Full structure replacement might have includes some disadvantages such as high costs for material, labor and in addition to more using time. This research details the experimental work of two case of reinforced concrete IBS beams (previously has been tested to failure) retrofitted by using external steel plate placed at the bottom soffit level of the beam, and the outer steel stirrup is covering the concrete to increase its stiffness and flexural strength have been tested under two point loading at three steps of loading. The objectives of this study were to investigate the behaviour of retrofitted beams experimentally, and be cord the mechanism of re-failure of retrofitted IBS beams in laboratory environment. The result shows that the beams recover its capacity by 60% of their original capacities.

## ABSTRAK

Sistem Bangunan Berindustri (IBS) merupakan satu kaedah pembinaan dengan komponen diperbuat di kilang dalam persekitaran yang dikawal dan dipantau, diangkut dan dipasang dengan tenaga kerja yang minimum. Sistem ini telah digunakan secara meluas. Konkrit mungkin menjadi struktur yang lemah kerana bahan, reka bentuk etonomi, kurangnya penyelenggaraan, peningkatan beban reka bentuk atau berlakunya perkara yang tidak dijangk, seperti gempa bumi. Pada keadaan ini terdapat dua penyelesaian yang mungkin dengan cara penggantian atau pemulihan. Penggantian struktur sepenuhnya, akan meudser kos yang tinggi bagi bahan, buruh dan masa. Kajian ini adalah kerja eksperimen dua konkrit bertetulang rasuk IBS yang telah diuji secara kegagalan sepenuhnya semula dipasang dengan menggunakan plat keluli diletakkan di tampang bawah rasuk, dan keluli plat tipis vjian membalut konkrit yang rosak untuk meningkatkan kekuatan lenturan telah diuji. di bawah dua titik pada tiga langkah pembebanan. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menyiasat kelakuan rasuk diperkuat, dan pengeliatkan mekanisme kegagalan semula rasuk IBS rasuk dalam persekitaran makmal. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa rasuk boleh dipulihkan kapasitinya sebanyak 60 % daripada kapasiti asal.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER  | TITLE                      | PAGE     |
|----------|----------------------------|----------|
|          | DECLARATION                | ii       |
|          | DEDICATION                 | iii      |
|          | DEDICATION                 | iv       |
|          | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT            | v        |
|          | ABSTRACT                   | vi       |
|          | ABSTRAK                    | vii      |
|          | TABLE OF CONTENT           | viii     |
|          | LIST OF TABLES             | xii      |
|          | LIST OF FIGURES            | xiii     |
| <b>1</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>        | <b>1</b> |
|          | 1.1 Background             | 1        |
|          | 1.2 Problem statement      | 3        |
|          | 1.3 Objective of the study | 3        |
|          | 1.4 Case study             | 4        |
|          | 1.5 Scope of study         | 4        |
|          | 1.6 Significance of study  | 4        |

|          |  |          |
|----------|--|----------|
| <b>2</b> | <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                               | <b>5</b> |
|          | 2.1 Introduction                                       | 5        |
|          | 2.2 Definition of Industrialized Building System (IBS) | 5        |
|          | 2.3 Classification of IBS system.                      | 7        |
|          | 2.4 Advantages of IBS system                           | 11       |
|          | 2.5 Disadvantages of IBS system                        | 13       |
|          | 2.6 Previous Research                                  | 14       |
|          | 2.7 Summary of literature review                       | 25       |
| <br>     |  |          |
| <b>3</b> | <b>METHODOLOGY</b>                                     |          |
|          | 3.1 Introduction                                       | 26       |
|          | 3.2 Research Design                                    | 26       |
|          | 3.3 Procedure of the testing                           | 28       |
|          | 3.3.1 Case study on                                    | 28       |
|          | 3.3.1.1 Assembling of IBS sub frame                    | 30       |
|          | 3.3.1.2 Mapping pre-existing cracking for<br>The beam  | 31       |
|          | 3.3.1.3 Experimental work                              | 32       |
|          | 3.3.1.4 Tools and equipment of retro                   | 35       |
|          | 3. 3.1.5 Loading                                       | 36       |
|          | 3.3.1.6 Deflection and rotation measurements           | 37       |
|          | 3.3.2. Case Study Two                                  | 39       |
|          | 3.3.2.1 Assembling of IBS frame                        | 40       |
|          | 3.3.2.2 Mapping pre-existing cracking for the beam     | 42       |
|          | 3.3.2.3 Experimental work                              | 45       |
|          | 3.3.2.4 Loading  | 46       |
|          | 3.3.2.5 Deflection and rotation measurements           | 47       |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>4</b> | <b>RESULT AND ANALYSIS OF EXPERIMENTAL TEST</b> |           |
| 4.1      | Introduction                                    | 49        |
| 4.2      | Behaviour of IBS frame case study one           | 49        |
| 4.2.1    | Load –Deflection Results                        | 50        |
| 4.2.2    | Mid span deflection                             | 50        |
| 4.2.3    | Deflection under 1/3 and 2/3 of the span        | 51        |
| 4.2.2    | Load -Rotation Results                          | 53        |
| 4.2.4    | Crack pattern and Mode of Failure               | 56        |
| 4.2.5    | Load - Crack Closing Results                    | 57        |
| 4.3      | Behavior of IBS Frame Case Study Two            | 59        |
| 4.3.1    | Load –Deflection Results                        | 59        |
| 4.3.2    | Mid span deflection                             | 60        |
| 4.3.3    | Deflection under 1/3 and 2/3 of the span        | 61        |
| 4.3.4    | Crack pattern and Mode of Failure               | 63        |
| <br>     |   |           |
| <b>5</b> | <b>COMPARISON OF TEST RESULTS</b>               | <b>65</b> |
| 5.1      | Introduction                                    | 65        |
| 5.2      | Mechanism of Failure                            | 65        |
| 5.3      | Load-deflection relationship of case study one  | 66        |
| 5.3.1    | Design load                                     | 69        |
| 5.3.2    | Recover flexural strength                       | 70        |
| 5.3.3    | Comparison Results of case study one            | 70        |



|          |  |                |
|----------|--|----------------|
| 5.4      | Load-deflection relationship of case study two | 71             |
| 5.4.1    | Design load                                    | 73             |
| 5.4.2    | Recover flexural strength                      | 74             |
| 5.4.3    | Comparison Results of case study two           | 76             |
| <br>     |  |                |
| <b>6</b> | <b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>           | <b>79</b>      |
| <br>     |  |                |
| 6.1      | Conclusion                                     | 79             |
| <br>     |  |                |
|          | <b>REFERENCES</b>                              | <b>80</b>      |
| <br>     |  |                |
|          | <b>APPENDIX A</b>                              | <b>83 - 90</b> |
| <br>     |  |                |
|          | <b>APPENDIX B</b>                              | <b>91- 95</b>  |
| <br>     |  |                |
|          | <b>APPENDIX C</b>                              | <b>96-112</b>  |

## LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE   | PAGE |
|-----------|---|------|
| 2.1       | Building system classification according to<br>Relative weight of Component | 10   |
| 3.1       | Section properties of IBS beam and column<br>(Mustafa 2012).                | 34   |
| 3.2       | Section properties of IBS beam and column                                   | 44   |
| 4.1       | Load – Deflection data of IBS retrofit beam<br>Case study one               | 55   |
| 4.2       | Load – Deflection data of IBS retrofit beam Case<br>Study two               | 64   |
| 5.1       | Comparison test Results of case study one                                   | 71   |
| 5.2       | Comparison test Results of case study two                                   | 75   |
| 5.3       | Comparision Results of Case study one and<br>Case study two                 | 78   |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE  | PAGE |
|------------|--|------|
| 2.1        | Type of building system in Malaysia<br>(Thanoon <i>et al</i> , 2003) | 8    |
| 2.2        | External bars at soffit of beam prior to<br>Welding and anchoring    | 16   |
| 2.3        | Seismic Retrofit for Beams   | 18   |
| 2.4        | Model for the design of anchorage                                    | 19   |
| 2.5        | Roll of CFRP plate.  | 20   |
| 2.6        | The arrangement of the CFRP laminates                                | 20   |
| 2.7        | Details of Test Beams  | 23   |
| 3.1        | Shows the flow chart of procedures in carrying<br>Out this study     | 27   |
| 3.2        | Transfer the damaged Beam foe refer                                  | 28   |
| 3.3        | Preparation IBS beam for setting                                     | 29   |
| 3.4        | Prepare the column for setting                                       | 29   |
| 3.5        | Cruciform steel connector of IBS column                              | 30   |
| 3.6        | Bolts that supported by one nut in each side.                        | 30   |
| 3.7        | Assembling the beam and columns.                                     | 31   |
| 3.8        | Crack pattern of the beam of pervious testing                        | 31   |
| 3.9        | Un- repaired flexural cracks from previous testing                   | 32   |

|       |  |    |
|-------|--|----|
| 3.10  | Steel plate size (100x10x3200) mm at<br>The soffit level of the beam | 33 |
| 3.11  | Strap link assembly  | 33 |
| 3.12  | Types of retrofit tools  | 35 |
| 3.13  | Applied two point loading  | 36 |
| 3.14  | Three LVDT under the beam  | 37 |
| 3.15  | Installed inclinometer (A) and (B)                                   | 38 |
| 3.16  | Recording the readings of inclinometer                               | 39 |
| 3.17  | Main bars and spiral stirrup, (Ameer 2012)                           | 40 |
| 3.18. | Rotating and Preparing   | 41 |
| 3.19  | Sub frame assembly of case study 2                                   | 41 |
| 3.20  | Mapping the crack pattern of IBS beam                                | 42 |
| 3.21  | Un- repaired flexural cracks for previous testing                    | 43 |
| 3.22  | Outer steel strap  | 45 |
| 3.23  | Application of loading at two points                                 | 46 |
| 3.24  | Install LVDT in three points under the beam                          | 47 |
| 3.25  | Inclinometers to measure the end rotation<br>Of the beam and column. | 48 |
| 4.1   | Load – deflection curve at the mid span                              | 50 |
| 4.2   | Load – deflection curve at the left of beam span                     | 51 |
| 4.3   | Load – deflection curve at the Right of beam span                    | 52 |
| 4.4   | Combination of deflection curve of case study one                    | 52 |
| 4.5   | Load –rotation C1 of IBS retrofit beam                               | 53 |
| 4.6   | Load –rotation C2 of IBS retrofit beam                               | 54 |
| 4.7   | Load –rotation C3 of IBS retrofit beam                               | 54 |
| 4.8   | Load – Rotation of IBS column  | 55 |
| 4.9   | Major cracks at mid span   | 56 |
| 4.10  | Point load which the first crack occurred was 30 KN                  | 57 |
| 4.11  | Load – crack closing for previous major flexural crack               | 58 |
| 4.12  | Recording the crack closing at mid span                              | 58 |
| 4.13  | Load – deflection at mid span of case study two                      | 60 |
| 4.14  | Load – deflection at the Left  | 61 |
| 4.15  | Load – deflection at the Right                                       | 62 |

|      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| 4.16 | Combinations Load – deflection case study two              | 62 |
| 4.17 | First crack at 40 KN                                       | 63 |
| 4.18 | Flexural cracks at mid span                                | 63 |
| 5.1  | Load-deflection curve at middle<br>Of span case study one. | 69 |
| 5.2. | Fully leveled beam at 50 KN of load                        | 69 |
| 5.3  | Deflection of beam at 60 KN of load                        | 70 |
| 5.4  | Flexural cracks at failure in 60 KN of load                | 70 |
| 5.5  | Load-deflection curves at middle<br>Of span case study two | 74 |
| 5.6  | Previous deflection of beam before testing                 | 74 |
| 5.7  | Fully leveled beam at 50 KN of load                        | 75 |
| 5.8  | Deflections at mid span at 131 KN of load                  | 75 |
| 5.9  | Flexural cracks at failure in 131 KN of load               | 76 |
| 5.10 | Combination curve case study one and two                   | 79 |

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

The Industrialised Building System (IBS) is a construction system that is built using prefabricated components that are systematically done using dedicated machine, formworks and special jointing mechanism.

IBS offers benefits to the adopters in term of cost and time, attaining better construction quality and productivity, reducing risk related to occupational safety and health, alleviating issue on unskilled workers and dependency on manual foreign labour and achieving ultimate goal of reducing overall cost of construction (Taherkhani, 2006).

Industrialised Building System (IBS) has proven that it can contribute many advantages in construction project. The Industrialised Building System (IBS) can reduce the number of unskilled and foreign workers on site. Its presence can increase cost and wastage in construction industry. In addition, IBS can make the time period of construction progress become shorter and it can be finished before or on time. The quality of the structural works can be guaranteed because the size and the dimensions of the components had been specified through the design. The safe environment

platform can be provided to the workers since the site is clean from the mess of construction tools, prevent the congested environment that full with too many workers and prevent social problems among the workers (Hassim, 2009)

There are five commended types of IBS, precast concrete system , steel frame system , block work system , timber frame system , system formwork (Taherkhani, 2006) .

Reinforced concrete structures often are subject to change and improve the efficiency of their performance during her life in service. The main contributing factors are change in their use, new design standards, deterioration due to corrosion in the steel caused by exposure to an aggressive environment and accident at events such as earthquakes.

In such conditions there are two possible solutions: replacement or retrofitting. Full structure replacement might have carries some disadvantages such as high costs for material and labour, a bigger environmental impact and inconvenience due to interruption of the function of the structure. When possible, it is often better to repair or upgrade the structure by using retrofitting.

In this research an experimental investigations is carried out in two case of reinforced concrete IBS beams which previously has been tested to a complete failure. Each case consisting of two columns were in good condition with box steel plate for connection, and one beam with box steel plate in the ends to connect with the columns. Beams in each case where retrofit using external steel plate at the bottom soffit level of the beam and outer stirrup steel strap around the beam length to increase the strength capacity. This work is a study on the behaviour of retrofitted IBS beams that reloaded until the ultimate failure repeated.

## 1.2 Problem statement

In case of an earthquake in an area where constructions are composed of units IBS, it leads often to damage and destruction in buildings and leaving population from their homes. It's very necessary to rebuild these buildings as soon possible. The re-construction of damage buildings certainly required more cost time and effort for re-design of these units.

Among the solutions are in this study is the having an IBS components to re-create, restoration and retrofitting of damaged parts such as beams in the site without transported to the factory but partially disassembly or us disassembly at all. Repair is perform using inexpensive materials, available and requires less time and effort beside re-manufacturing and construction.

## 1.3 Objective of the Study

The objectives of this study are:

1. To identify the opportunity to reclaim IBS strength of severely damaged IBS beams.
2. To identify new strength mechanism repaired IBS beams.
3. To carry out a test to determine the mechanism of failure of repaired IBS beams in laboratory environment.



## **1.4 Case Study**

The case study is a full scaled to rally damaged IBS beams. The beam is repaired to regain flexural and shear strength parameters that affecting the ultimate strength of the retrofitted IBS beams.

## **1.5 Scope of Study**

The scopes of study are the evaluation of

1. Mechanism of failure in cladding flexural and shear
2. Re strengthening instrumentation to restore original strength.

## **1.6 Significance of study**

The study is to propose a better alternative for re-use the damage IBS building due to events such as earthquake risk minimal tooling on sites

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, M. R., & Egbu, C. (2010). Selection criteria framework for choosing industrialized building systems, (september), 1131–1139.
- Abedi, M., Fathi, M. S., Mirasa, A. K., Technology, A., Campus, I., & Lumpur, K. (2011). Establishment and development of ibs in malaysia.
- Anuar, K., Kamar, M., Hamid, Z. A., & Nor, M. (2011). Industrialized Building System ( IBS ): Revisiting Issues of Definition and Classification, *I*(June), 120–132.
- BS1881-110:1983. "Testing Concrete: Part 110: Method for Making Test Cylinders from Fresh Concrete." Cement, Gypsum, Aggregates and Quarry Products Standards Committee.
- BS1881-111:1993. "Testing Concrete: Part 111: Method of Normal Curing of Test Specimens (20 °C Method)." Cement, Gypsum, Aggregates and Quarry Products Standards Committee.
- BS1881-117:1983. "Testing Concrete: Part 117: Method for Determination of Tensile Splitting Strength." Cement, Gypsum, Aggregates and Quarry Products Standards Committee.
- Din, M. I., Bahri, N., Dzulkifly, M. A., Norman, M. R., Kamar, A. M., & Hamid, Z. A. (2007). The adoption of Industrialised Building System ( IBS ) construction in Malaysia : The history , policies , experiences and lesson learned, *I*.

- Eurocode-2. "Design of Concrete Structures." In part 1-1: General rules and rules for buildings, 1992-1-1:2004.
- Eurocode-2. "Design of Concrete Structures." In Part 2: Concrete bridges — Design and detailing rules, 1992-2:2005.
- Hagio, H., Katsumata, H., & Kimura, K. (2000). The beam retrofitted by carbon fiber-experiment and designs, 1–8.
- Hamid, A. A. (2006). Retrofitting of reinforced concrete beams using advanced, 1–12.
- Hassim, S. (2009). The Contractor Perception Towers Industrialised Building System Risk in Construction Projects in Malaysia Salihudin Hassim , Mohd Saleh Jaafar and Saiful Azri Abu Hasan Sazalli Department of Civil Engineering , Faculty of Engineering , Universiti Putra Ma, 6(5), 937–942.
- Haddadi,A.(2012) .Ductile Behaviour of IBS Beam
- Kadir, M. R. A., Lee, W. P., Jaafar, M. S., Sapuan, S. M., & Ali, a. a. a. (2005). Factors affecting construction labour productivity for Malaysian residential projects. *Structural Survey*, 23(1), 42–54. doi:10.1108/02630800510586907
- Kothandaraman, S., & Vasudevan, G. (2010). Flexural retrofitting of RC beams using external bars at soffit level – An experimental study. *Construction and Building Materials*, 24(11), 2208–2216. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.04.036
- Majzub. (1977) Modular housing systems used around the world. *International Journal of Housing Science*.
- Obaidat, Y. T., Heyden, S., Dahlblom, O., Abu-Farsakh, G., & Abdel-Jawad, Y. (2011). Retrofitting of reinforced concrete beams using composite laminates. *Construction and Building Materials*, 25(2), 591–597. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.06.082

Sacks, R., Warszawski, A., & Kirsch, U. (1999). Structural design in an automated building system. *Automation in Construction*, 10(1), 181–197.  
doi:10.1016/S0926-5805(00)00074-1

Sen, T., Reddy, H. N. J., & Shubhalakshmi, B. S. (2011). *ES*, (10), 70–75.

Taherkhani, R. (2006). *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Policy*, 1(2), 66–79.

W.A.Thanoon. (2003). The essential characteristics of industrialised building system, (1999), 10–11.