

DEVELOPMENT OF
A RULE-BASED FAULT DIAGNOSTIC ADVISORY SYSTEM
FOR PRECUT FRACTIONATION COLUMN

HENG HAN YANN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

DEVELOPMENT OF
A RULE-BASED FAULT DIAGNOSTIC ADVISORY SYSTEM
FOR PRECUT FRACTIONATION COLUMN

HENG HAN YANN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Chemical)

Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2005

Specially dedicated to my beloved family:
Daddy, Mommy, Uncles, Aunties, Sisters and Brothers

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Undertaking and successfully completing this research project has been a lengthy arduous task that at times seemed insurmountable. There are many people who should be thanked for helping in the successful completion of this project and for shaping my experiences here at UTM.

First and foremost, I would like to thank my research supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohamad Wijayanuddin Ali and my co-supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd. Zaki Kamsah for their immense support and advice during the course of this research. This research would not have been possible without their constant encouragement, enthusiasm, and their extremely useful insight. Their useful suggestions and timely review of the thesis are deeply appreciated.

My sincerest gratitude is extended to the Ministry of Science, Technology and the Innovation (MOSTI) through the IRPA Grant Vot 74015 and PTP Postgraduate Fellowship Scheme Universiti Teknologi Malaysia who provided early financial support for this research.

Besides that, I would like also to thank Mr. Jason Chong, Mr. Dana Ariya and Mr. Mohd Rizza Othman for their kindness in giving some advice and helping me to solve some computer programming problems.

While this research has been defined by those around me, it has also defined the lives of many of those close to me. My family has given constant encouragement and support. To my lab-mates as well, thank you to all. I hope to use what I have learned to make industrial systems better and safer for future generations.

ABSTRACT

This research presents a Fault Diagnostic Advisory (FDA) System which can be used to detect and diagnose unexpected process deviation in the operation of fatty acid precut fractionation column. The developed algorithm is expected to enhance the safety of operation in oleochemical industry. Early detection and diagnosis is useful to avoid abnormal condition that might lead to the loss of both human live and economic values. The advisory system algorithm used process history based method and presented by rule-based approach. It was developed using Borland C++ Builder 6.0 and had a user friendly interface. Plant model was simulated by using commercial simulator, HYSYS.PlantTM and verified with real plant data. Univariate Statistical Process Control technique (Individual and Moving Range (*x-MR*) chart) and Hazard and Operability (HAZOP) Study were used for the diagnostic task. The system detected and diagnosed process deviations using the saved data and the set limit. Fault occurred if the data value was out of limits. The interface of FDA System then displayed the results in the form of charts. Finally, the causes and consequences of fault were displayed. Although the scheme was developed based on data of fatty acid precut fractionation column, the algorithm of fault detection and diagnosis can be extended to other chemical process by changing the *x-MR* chart and HAZOP for each selected monitoring variables.

ABSTRAK

Penyelidikan ini menghasilkan Sistem Penasihat Diagnostik Kesilapan (FDA) untuk mengesan dan mengenal pasti sisihan proses yang tidak diduga dalam operasi turus penyulingan asid lemak. Algoritma yang dibangunkan ini dijangka meningkatkan operasi keselamatan dalam industri oleo kimia. Pengesanan dan pengenalan pasti awal dapat membantu mengelakkan keadaan abnormal yang akan membawa kepada kemusnahan nyawa manusia dan kemerosotan nilai ekonomi. Algoritma sistem penasihat ini direka berdasarkan kaedah berasaskan sejarah proses dan disampaikan oleh sistem pakar berasaskan peraturan. Ia dibangunkan menggunakan Borland C++ Builder 6.0 dan mempunyai perantara muka pengguna yang mesra. Model loji disimulasi dengan menggunakan penyimulasi komersil HYSYS.PlantTM dan disahkan dengan data logi. Univariat Kawalan Proses Statistik (Carta Tersendiri dan Had Pergerakan (x - MR)) dan Kajian Bahaya dan Kemampuan Operasi (HAZOP) telah digunakan untuk tugas mengenalpastian. Sistem ini telah berjaya mengesan dan mengenalpasti sisihan proses menggunakan data yang telah disimpan dan had yang telah ditentukan. Kesilapan berlaku jika nilai data tidak berada dalam had. Seterusnya antara muka Sistem FDA mempamerkan keputusan dalam bentuk carta. Akhirnya, sebab dan akibat berlakunya kesilapan dipamerkan. Walaupun, disebabkan rancangan kajian ini bergantung kepada data dari turus penyulingan asid lemak, ia boleh diaplikasikan dalam industri kimia lain dengan mengubah carta x - MR dan HAZOP kepada setiap pembolehubah yang diawasi.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Motivation	2
	1.3 Research Objective	4
	1.4 Scopes of Research	4
	1.5 Contribution of Research	5
	1.6 Layout of the Thesis	6

2	FUNDAMENTAL THEORY AND LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Types of Faults in Process Chemical Industries	7
2.3	Common Task of Fault Detection and Diagnosis	9
	2.3.1 Classification of Fault Detection and Diagnosis Algorithm	10
	2.3.2 Fault Diagnostic Advisory System	13
2.4	Rule-based Feature Extraction	15
	2.4.1 Expert System Approach in Fault Detection and Diagnosis	15
	2.4.2 Expert Systems	20
	2.4.3 Expert System Development Life Cycle (ESDLC)	24
2.5	Application of Statistical Technique - SPC for Fault Detection	26
	2.5.1 Quality and Statistical Process Control	28
	2.5.2 Variables Charts For Limited Data Group Data	29
2.6	Knowledge Based Hazard Identification	30
	2.6.1 HAZOP Study	32
	2.6.2 The HAZOP Procedure	35
2.7	Summary	37
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	The Diagnostic Advisory Module Environment	38
3.3	Design of Detection Workspace	42
	3.3.1 Plant Simulation	43

3.3.2	Monitoring Variables	44
3.3.3	Individual and Moving-Range Chart (<i>x-MR</i> Chart)	45
3.3.4	Assigned Discrete State	46
3.4	Design of Fault Diagnosis Workspace	46
3.4.1	Structural HAZOP Study	47
3.5	Inference Logic	47
3.6	Structure of Rule-based FDA System	48
3.6.1	Development of User Interface	48
3.6.2	Development of Detection Knowledge Base (Phase I)	49
3.6.3	Development of Diagnosis Knowledge Base (Phase II)	50
3.6.4	Development of Production Rule (Phase III)	50
3.6.4.1	Development of Rules for Phase I	50
3.6.4.2	Development of Rules for Phase II	51
3.6.5	Development of Inference Engine (Phase IV)	51
3.7	Summary	52

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Assumptions for Fault Diagnostic Advisory System	53
4.3	Actual Description of Plant Model	55
4.3.1	Modeling and Simulation Assumption	57

4.3.2	Simulation Results	58
4.3.3	Validation of Simulation Results	60
4.4	Result of Developed Algorithm	61
4.4.1	Development of Fault Detection Knowledge Base for Phase I	61
4.4.1.1	Upper and Lower Limits	66
4.4.1.2	Specification Limits of Controller	67
4.4.1.3	The Capability Analysis	67
4.4.2	Development of Diagnosis Knowledge Base for Phase II	68
4.4.2.1	Sensitivity Analysis	69
4.4.2.2	Results of HAZOP Study	69
4.4.3	Development of Rule Base for Phase I	77
4.4.3.1	Process Deviation	77
4.4.4	Development of Production Rules for Phase II	78
4.4.4.1	Diagnosis Condition of Monitoring Variables	78
4.4.5	Development of Inference Engine	79
4.4.5.1	Main Specific Procedures of FDA System	79
4.4.5.2	Database	80
4.4.5.3	Program Interface and Code Programming for FDA System	83
4.5	Results from the FDA System	89
4.5.1	Testing of FDA System	90
4.5.2	Experiments and Discussions for Offline FDA System	100

4.5.2.1	Fault Case 1: Faulty Condition at Sensor for LIC 102	102
4.5.2.2	Fault Case 2: Faulty Condition at Sensor for LIC 103	102
4.5.2.3	Fault Case 3: Faulty Condition at Sensor for FIC 101	103
4.5.2.4	Fault Case 4: Faulty Condition at Sensor for TIC 100	
4.5.2.5	Fault Case 5: Faulty Condition at Sensor for TIC 101	
4.6	Summary	108
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS	
5.1	Introduction	109
5.2	Summary	109
5.3	Conclusions	110
5.4	Future Work	112
	REFERENCES	113
	Appendices A - C	121 - 145

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Hybrid six-step ESDLC (Awad, 1996)	25
2.2	Typical guide words	33
4.1	Sensor and monitoring variables	59
4.2	Nodes for HAZOP study	60
4.3	Comparison of plant data and simulation for precut column	61
4.4	Percentage of noise	64
4.5	Results of skewness and kurtosis	65
4.6	Upper and lower limits	66
4.7	The limits function of controller	67
4.8	Results of capability analysis	68
4.9	Sensitivity analysis for controller TIC 100 (° C)	69
4.10	HAZOP worksheet for node 1	70
4.11	HAZOP worksheet for node 2	71
4.12	HAZOP worksheet for node 3	72
4.13	HAZOP worksheet for node 4	73
4.14	HAZOP worksheet for node 5	74
4.15	HAZOP worksheet for node 6	75
4.16	Summary of experiment for FDA system	101

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Classification of diagnostic algorithms (Venkatasubramanian <i>et.al.</i> , 2003)	12
2.2	The architecture of Expert System (Darlington, 2000)	21
2.3	The HAZOP study procedure (Wells, 1996)	36
3.1	Fault diagnostic advisory system development flowchart	39
3.2	Development environment of Borland C++ Builder (BCB) 6.0	40
3.3	Architecture of fault diagnostic advisory system	41
3.4	Tools used in development of FDA system	42
3.5	Development environment of HYSYS.Plant simulator	43
3.6	A typical packed column	44
3.7	Flow diagram of structural HAZOP study	47
3.8	Flow chart of forward chaining	52
4.1	Transformation in FDA system	54
4.2 a	Main flowsheet for precut fractionation process using HYSYS.Plant	56

4.2 b	Column flowsheet for precut fractionation process using HYSYS.Plant	56
4.3	Case study – precut column	59
4.4a	Maximum time constant	62
4.4b	Minimum time constant	63
4.5	Graph of autocorrelation plot	63
4.6	Histograms for each monitoring variables	65
4.7	Boxplot for each monitoring variables	66
4.8	Flowchart of fault diagnostic advisory system	80
4.9	Development environment of Database Desktop	81
4.10	Development environment of SQL explorer	81
4.11	Interface of Microsoft Access in developing table	82
4.12	Example of Macro in Microsoft Access interface	83
4.13	Main user interface of FDA system	84
4.14a	The main window of fault detection and diagnosis	84
4.14b	The interface of fault diagnosis	84
4.15	Interface of editing limits	85
4.16	String list editor Phase I	87
4.17	Editing data source of the chart	88
4.18	String list editor for Phase II	88
4.19	Description of Fault Detection and Diagnosis interface	90
4.20	FDD workspace (open file window)	91
4.21a	Fault diagnosis results	91
4.21b	Fault diagnosis advisory results and control chart	93
4.21c	FDA results of sensor 1	94

4.21d	FDA results of sensor 3	94
4.21e	FDA results of sensor 4	94
4.21f	FDA results of sensor 5	94
4.21g	FDA results of sensor 6	95
4.21h	FDA results of sensor 7	95
4.22a	Forward chaining procedure for FDA system	96
4.22b	Forward chaining procedure for FDA system	97
4.23a	Plotting x - MR chart for each sensor	98
4.23b	Plotting x - MR chart for each sensor	96
4.24	Fault detected and diagnosed results for sensor of LIC 102	102
4.25	Fault detected and diagnosed results for sensor of LIC 103	103
4.26a	Fault detected and diagnosed results for sensor of FIC 101 – sensor 2	104
4.26b	Fault detected and diagnosed results for sensor of FIC 101 – sensor 5	104
4.27a	Fault detected and diagnosed results for sensor of TIC 100 – sensor 1	105
4.27b	Fault detected and diagnosed results for sensor of TIC 100 – sensor 4	105
4.27c	Fault detected and diagnosed results for sensor of TIC 100 – sensor 7	106
4.28a	Fault detected and diagnosed results for sensor of TIC 101- sensor 3	107
4.28b	Fault detected and diagnosed results for sensor of TIC 101 – sensor 4	107
4.28c	Fault detected and diagnosed results for sensor of TIC 101 – sensor 6	107

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<i>x-MR</i>	-	Individual and Moving Range
AEM	-	Abnormal Event Management
AI	-	Artificial Intelligence
API	-	Application Programming Interface
ASM	-	Abnormal Situation Management
BCB	-	Borland C++ Builder
BDE	-	Borland Database Engine
CL	-	Center Line
CVS	-	Comma Separated Values
DMP	-	Dump
ESDLC	-	Expert System Development Life Cycle
ESs	-	Expert Systems
FDD	-	Fault Detection and Diagnosis
FDA	-	Fault Diagnostic Advisory
FIC	-	Flow Indicator Controller
HAZOP	-	Hazard and Operability Study
HETP	-	Height-Equivalent Theoretical Plate
LCL	-	Lower Control Limit
LIC	-	Level Indicator Controller
ODBC	-	Open Database Connectivity
PFDD	-	Process Fault Detection and Diagnosis
PI	-	Pressure Indicator
PV	-	Process Variable

RAD	-	Rapid Application Development
S1	-	Node 1 – From Input Reboiler to Column
S2	-	Node 2 – From <i>Sidestream</i> back to Column
SPC	-	Statistical Process Control
SQL	-	Structured Query Language
TIC	-	Temperature Indicator Controller
UCL	-	Upper Control Limit
VLV	-	Valve

LIST OF SYMBOLS

x	-	Individual
\bar{x}	-	Mean
D	-	Constant for Moving-rang Chart
E	-	Constant for Individual Chart
MR	-	Moving Range

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Sensitivity Analysis	121
B	FDA System's Source Code (Matlab and BCB)	123
C	Results for FDA System	138

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Errors by operators and maintenance workers as well as equipment failures are recognized as major causes of industrial accidents (Kletz, 1990). Major chemical accidents can be attributed to explosive, i.e., catastrophic vessel failures as well as non-explosive, i.e., discharge through relief systems, pipe breaks, release of toxic and flammable materials. Concern in control and management of chemical major hazards has increased since the occurrence of major accidents: Flixborough - 1974, Seveso - 1976, Piper Alpha oil platform fire - 1988, Zeebrugge ferry disaster - 1987, Phillips petroleum fire and explosion - 1989, Challenger disaster - 1986, Esso Australia Longford explosion - 1998, Kuwait Petrochemical's refinery - 2000 and Toulouse - 2001.

In the wake of major well-publicized chemical accidents that have occurred in various parts of the world over the past two decades, many private and national companies operating in the developing countries have recognized their own vulnerability to such disastrous accidents. Nowadays, most of the companies in the chemical industry have their own safety policies. It was their responsibility to prevent and control any potential accident occurs in processing plant. Much thought have also been given to ways of reducing and minimizing consequences of the accidents, one of the methods such as building an advisory system.

An effective control is required in the process plant due to the complexity of process operation. However, effective control could not guarantee unless all information feed to control system are correct. Hence, diagnostic system is needed to detect any abnormally such as bias in measurement, sensor failure and equipments failure. Computer-based solution or a knowledge-based system for diagnostic system is needed to help ordinary field operators (Venkatasubramanian, 2003). They need information to make rapid decisions on vital questions, advising emergency services, recommending avoidance and control measures during the accidental release.

1.2 Motivation

In the past, control community has succeeded using alarm and interlock systems in removing regulatory control from hands of human operators. These systems have performed in an automated manner aided by computer due to the complicated plant operation and led to great progress in the quest for higher productivity, process safety, process efficiency and profitability.

Poor control or process disruption might lead to plant shutdown and such situations are expected to be solved by human operators with the assistance of an alarm system (Ahmad, 2004). If correcting abnormal events is fully reliance on human operators, they might tend to make erroneous decisions and take actions which make matters even worse. Literature has shown that most industrial accidents are caused by human errors and these abnormal events have significant economics, safety and environmental impacts (Lees, 1996). Hence, fault detection and diagnosis is one of the means for process safety management to aid the operator in improving the process operation.

Abnormal events could occur due to several factors such as the broad scope of the diagnostic activity that include a variety of malfunctions - process unit failures,

process unit degradation, and parameter drifts and so on. Prolonged situations such as in the case of instrument failure or any rupture of pipeline could result in disasters, forced shutdowns, or at least higher operating costs from sub-optimal plant operation and it could not be avoided unless the failure is promptly detected and accomplished in time with corrective actions. Thus, it is the purpose of diagnosis to detect any fault and give advice to personnel in taking action which caused by failure of the control system. This entire activity is called Abnormal Event Management (AEM), a key component of supervisory control (Venkatasubramanian, 2003).

In the area of plant-wide control at the supervisory level, the process fault detection and diagnosis system plays a key role. Foreseeable, the important of supervisory system and the potential of computer to provide closer supervision and better information of process safety by monitoring critical parameters and, when circumstances warrant it, initialing and carrying out a safe shutdown. Due to the problems stated, that is the next grand challenges for control engineers in implementing a sophisticated system that can be able to monitor process operation.

Diagnosis consists of two different but closely related procedures. The first step is to receive response of the system through measuring device. The second step is to make a decision on the state of the system based on the sensory values. Researchers seek a way of using a computer to mimic human reasoning. There are different search techniques that can be applied to perform diagnosis based on the available process knowledge. Venkatasubramanian (2003) and his partner has summarized the basic approach in implementing diagnostic system. Knowledge engineering and advanced software tools such as expert systems can also be used for process supervision purposes.

1.3 Research Objective

The objectives of the research are as follows:

- i. To develop a fault detection and diagnosis algorithm for the safety purposes in fatty acid fractionation column operation by using Univariate Statistical Process Control techniques, \bar{x} - MR chart and Hazard and Operability (HAZOP) Study supported by rule-based approaches.
- ii. To develop a fault diagnostic advisory system using Borland C++ Builder 6.0.

1.4 Scope of Research

The scope of the work consists of the following:

- i. To develop Fault Diagnostic Advisory algorithm focusing on a fatty acid pre-cut fractionation column.
- ii. To develop fault detection algorithm – discriminator base on Univariate Statistical Process Control, \bar{x} - MR chart.
- iii. To diagnose common possible causes and consequences of process deviations using HAZOP study.
- iv. To develop and integrate rule-based fault detection and diagnosis algorithm.
- v. To verify and validate the designed fault detection and diagnosis algorithm by developing a prototype using Borland C++ Builder 6.0.

1.5 Contribution of Research

Process Hazard Analysis (PHA) has been proven to be an important tool in improving the safety of plant designs and operation. This thesis provides improvement to the existing method of fault diagnostic algorithm by introducing Fault Diagnostic Advisory (FDA) system which combines SPC and HAZOP study approaches. A case study involving pre-cut fractionation column was used to illustrate the capability of the proposed methodology. Besides, a third party application – external database was used to improve the advisory results by giving detail information of fault position - causes and consequences.

The following publications are results from the work described in the thesis:

- i. H. Y. Heng, M. W. Ali and M. Z. Kamsah. (2003). *Fault Detection and Diagnosis Using Rule-Based Support System on Fatty Acid Fractionation Colum.* International Conference on Chemical and Bioprocess Engineering (ICCBPE 2003). 103 - 108.
- ii. H. Y. Heng, M. W. Ali and M. Z. Kamsah. (2003). *Fault Detection and Diagnosis Support System for Fatty Acid Fractionation Column.* 17th Symposium of Malaysian Chemical Engineers (SOMChE 2003). 767 - 772.
- iii. H. Y. Heng, M. W. Ali and M. Z. Kamsah. (2004). *Fault Diagnostic Algorithm for Precut Fractionation Column.* 18th Symposium of Malaysian Chemical Engineers (SOMChE 2004). 1 - 6.

1.6 Layout of the Thesis

The thesis is divided into seven chapters. Literature review and fundamental theory of knowledge that covered in this study are discussed in Chapter II. In this chapter, x - MR chart, HAZOP study, expert system and people works on fault detection and diagnosis are elaborated. This is followed by the discussion of research methodology in Chapter III; presented the algorithm to build the fault diagnostic system. Chapter IV gives the results and discussion of the developed diagnostic system. Finally, Chapter V concludes the thesis and recommends some future works.

REFERENCES

- Abraham, K. and Gideon, L. (1992). *Hybrid Architectures for Intelligent Systems*. Boca Raton: FL CRC Press.
- Alexander, S.M. (1987). The Application of Expert Systems to Manufacturing Process Control. *Computer & Industrial Engineering*. 12(4): 307-314.
- Ahmad, A. (2004). *Towards Better Process Control for The Chemical Industry*. Proceedings of the 18th Symposium of Malaysian Chemical Engineers. American Institute of Chemical Engineers. Center for Chemical Process Safety (1994). *Guidelines for Preventing Human Error In Process Safety*. New York: AICE
- Apostolakis, G. (1991). *Probabilistic Safety Assessment and Management*. Vol. 1&2 New York: Elsevier.
- Awad, E.M. (1996). *Building Expert Systems Principles, Procedures, and Applications*. West Publishing Company.
- Balagurusamy and Howe (1990). *Expert systems for Management and Engineering*. New York: E. Horwood.
- Bartolozzi, V., Castiglione, L., Picciotto, A. and Galluzzo, M. (2000). Qualitative Models of Equipment Units and their use in automatic HAZOP Analysis. *Elsevier Science: Reliability Engineering and System Safety*. 70: 49-57.
- Basila, M. R., Stefanek, G., and Cinar, A. (1990). A model – object based supervisory expert system for fault tolerant chemical reactor control. *Computers & Chemical Engineering. Elsevier Science*. 14(4-5): 551 – 560.
- Benouarets, M., A.L. Dexter, R.S. Fargus, P. Haves, T.I. Salsbury and J.A. Wright. 1994. Model-Based Approaches to Fault Detection and Diagnosis in Air-Conditioning Systems. *Proceedings of System Simulation in Buildings '94*, Liege, Belgium.
- Buchanan, B. G, and Shortliffe, E. H. (1984). *Rule-Based Expert System he MYCIN*

- experiments of the Stanford Heuristic Programming Project.* Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley
- Buswell R.A., P. Haves and T.I. Salsbury. (1997). A Model-Based Approach to the Commissioning of HVAC Systems. *Proceedings of CLIMA 2000, Brussels.*
- Cai, Zi.Xing. (1997). *Intelligent Control: Principles, Techniques and Applications.* Singapore: World Scientific Pub.
- Cheng, C.S. and Norma Faris Hubele. (1992). Design of a Knowledge-Based Expert System for Statistical Process Control. *Computer Industry Engineering.* 22(4): 501-517.
- Chung, Donald T. and Mohammad Modarres (1989). GOTRES: An Expert System for Fault Detection and Analysis. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety, Essex: Applied Science Pub.* 24:113-137.
- D'Ambrosio, A. (1990). Modeling Real-World Process: Deep and Shallow Knowledge Integrated with Approximate Reasoning in a Diagnostic Expert System. In: Maviouvouniotis, M. M. *Artificial Intelligence in Process Engineering.* San Diego, Calif.: Academic Pr.
- Darlington, K. (2000). *The Essence of Expert Systems.* Harlow, England: Prentice Hall.
- Dash, S. and Venkatasubramanian, V. (2000). Challenges in the Industrial Applications of Fault Diagnostic Systems. *Comput Chem Eng, 24(2-7), Proceedings of the conference on Process Systems Engineering (PSE 2000),* 785-791.
- De Hoop, C. F. (1991). *Manufacturing Expert Systems for Fault Diagnosis.* Texas A&M University: Ph. D. Thesis.
- Desai, A. (2000). *SQL sever 7: backup and recovery.* Osborne: McGraw-Hill.
- Devore, J and Farnum, N. (1999). *Applied Statistics for engineers and Scientists.* Duxubury Press
- Dhurjati, P. S., Lamb, D. E. and Chester D. L., (1986). The FALCON Project: Application of an Expert System to Fault Diagnosis. *New Orleans: AICHE National Meeting.*
- Diego, S.(1990). *Artificial Intelligence in Process Engineering.* Calif.: Academic Pr.
- Ding, H, Gui, X and Yang, S. (1993). An Implementation Architecture of Knowledge-based System for Engine Diagnosis. *Applied Artificial Intelligence.* 7: 397- 417
- Du, H. (1996). *Diagnostic Expert Systems in Instrumental Analysis.* The University

- of Western Ontario(Canada). Ph. D. Thesis
- Durkin, J. (1994). *Expert System Design and Development*. Macmillan Publishing Company. Easton, V.J., McColl, J.H., and Young, S.G. (1997). *STEPS Statistical Glossary v1.1*.
- Elias, M. (1996). *.Building expert systems: principles, procedures, and applications*. Minneapolis: West Pub, Awad.
- Elnemr. H. A. and Elewa M.M. (1996). Expert System Technique for Distillation Column. IEEE.
- Ferreira, P. B. (1999). *Incipient Fault Detection and Isolation of Sensors and Field Devices*. The University of Tennessee, Knoxville: Ph. D. Thesis.
- Giarratano, J. (1989). *Expert Systems*. Boston, Mass: PWS-Kent Pub.
- González, C.A., Acosta, G., Mira, J. and Prada, C. D. (1998). Knowledge based process control supervision and diagnosis: the AEROLID approach. *Expert Systems with Application*. 14: 371 – 383.
- Goring, M.H. and Schecker, H.G. (1992). *An Intergrated Expert System to Support Hazard Analysis in Process Plant Design*. Toulouse, Frances.
- Gupta, J.P. (2002). The Bhopal Gas Tragedy: Could It Have Happened In A Developed Country? *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries* 15. Elsevier. 15(1): 1-4.
- Haves,P., Jorgensen,D.R., Salsbury, T.I. and Dexter, A.L. (1996). Development and Testing of a Prototype Tool for HVAC Control System Commissioning. *ASHRAE Transactions*. Vol. 102, Pt 1.
- Heino, P., Poucet, A. and Suokars, T. (1992). Computer Tools for Hazard Identification, Modeling and Analysis. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 29. 445-463.
- Heino, P. and Kotikunnas, E. (1995). Computer-aided HAZOP with Knowledge-based Identification of Hazadous Event Chains. In Mewis, J. J. and International Symposium on Loss Prevention and Safety Promotion in the Process Industry. *Loss Prevention and Safety Promotion in the Process Industries*. Amsterdam : Elsevier. 645-655.
- Himmelblau, D.M. (1978). *Fault Detection and Diagnosis in Chemical and Petrochemical Processes*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Scientific Publisher.

- Hub, L. (1995). *Improved Safety of Chemical Processes by On-line Supervision using Advanced Software Tools*. Elsevier Science. 149-155.
- Hubrer, T. and Hormann, K. (1989). A Model-based Expert Systems for the Diagnosis of Fault in a Robot System for Cleaning Casting. In NATO Advanced Study Institute on Expert Systems and Robotics, Jordanides, T., Torby, B.J. and North Atlantic Treaty Organization. Scientific Affairs Division *Expert Systems and Robotics*. Berlin : Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- Institution of Chemical Engineers (Great Britain). (1985). *Assessment and Control of Major Hazards*. New York: Pergamon Pr.
- Isermann, R. (1989). Process Fault Diagnosis Based on Dynamic Models and Parameter Estimation Methods. In Patton,R. Frank, P. and Clark, R. "Fault Diagnosis In Dynamic Systems. *Theory and Applications*. New York: Prentice-Hall. 253 – 291.
- Isermann R. and Balle, P. (1997). Trends in the application of model-based fault detection and diagnosis of technical process, *Control Engineering Practice* 5(5), 709-719
- Janos J.and Gertler (1998). *Fault Detection and Diagnosis in Engineering Systems*. United States of America: Eastern Hemisphere Distribution.
- Jun, C.H. and Suh, S.H. (1999). Statistical tool breakage detection schemes based on vibration signals in NC milling. *International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture*. 39: 733- 1746.
- Kang, Byoungwan, Lee, Byoungwoo, Kang, Kyoung Wook, Suh, Jung Chul and Yoon, En Sup (1999). AHA: A Knowledge Based System for Automatic Hazard Identification in Chemical Plant by Multimodel Approach. *Expert Sytems with Applications*. Elsevier Science. 16:183-195.
- Kaszkurewicz, E., Bhaya, A. and Ebecken, N.F.F. (1997). A fault Detection and Diagnosis Module for Oil Production Plants in Offshore Platforms. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 12(2): 189-194.
- Kim I.S. and Modarres M. (1987). Application of Goal Tree-Success Tree Model as The Knowledge-Base of Operator Advisory System. *Nuclear Engineering & Design Journal*. 104: 67-81.
- Kim,Y.J. (1996). A Framework for an On-line Diagnostic Expert System for Intelligent Manufacturing. *Expert System with Applications*. 9(1): 55-61.
- Kosko, B. (1992). *Neural Networks and Fuzzy Systems: A Dynamical Systems*

- Approach to Machine Intelligence / [disket]*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Land, L. (1995). *Knowledge Based System Usage: Benefits Experienced and Lessons Learned*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Lees, F. P. (1996). *Loss Prevention in the Process Industries Hazard Identification, Assessment and control /*. Oxford: Butterworth Heinemann.
- Lejer, R.P., Garland.Wm.J and Poehlman W.F.S. (1998). Fault Detection and Diagnosis using Statistical Control Charts and Artificial Neural Networks. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Engineering*, Elsevier Science Ltd, Oxford. 12: 35 – 57.
- Levinson, W.A. and Tumbelty, F. (1977). *SPC Essential and Productivity Improvement: A Manufacturing Approach*. Harris Corporation, Semiconductor Sector.
- Ling, L. Y. (2004). *Plantwide Control of a Fatty Acid Fractionation Process*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Master Thesis.
- Liu, L. (1997). *Development of an Integrated System for Machine Fault Diagnosis*. University of Houston: Ph. D. Thesis.
- Mendenhall, W. (1984). *Statistics for the Engineering and Computer Sciences*. Dellen Publishing Company.
- Milne, R., Nicol, C., and Travé – Massuyès, L. (2001). TIGER with Model Based Diagnosis: Initial Deployment. *Knowledge-Based Systems*. 14: 213-222.
- Mohamad Shukri Zainal Abidin (2001). *Model-based Fault Detection and Diagnosis Using Parameter Estimation and Fuzzy Inference*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Master Thesis.
- Mohd. Kamaruddin Abd. Hamid (2004). *Multiple Faults Detection Using Artificial Neural Network*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Master Thesis.
- Mohd. Yusri Mohd. Yunus (2002). *Hazard Identification on Fractionation Column of Oleochemical Plant using Rule-based Expert System*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Master Thesis.
- Murdoch, J. (1979). *Control Charts*. The Macmillan Press Ltd.
- Myers, D. R. (1990). *A Knowledge-Based Approach for Diagnosis of Discrete Processes Involving Programmable Logic Controllers*. The OHIO State University: Ph. D. Thesis.

- Nelson, L. S. (1985) Interpreting Shewhart \bar{X} Control Charts. *Technical Aids. Journal of Quality Technology*. 17(2): 114:116.
- Neville, S. W. (1998). *Approaches for Early Fault Detection in Large Scale Engineering Plants*. University of Victoria: Ph. D. Thesis.
- Ogunnaike, B. A. and Ray, W.H. (1994). *Process Dynamics, Modeling and Control*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Petti, T. F., Klein, J. and Dhurjati, P. S. (1990). Diagnostic Model Processor: Using Deep Knowledge for Process Fault Diagnosis. *AIChE Journal*. 36(4): 565-575
- Pitt, Hy. (1994). *SPC for the Rest of Us - A Personal Path to - Statistical Process Control*. Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley.
- Prett, D. M. (1988). *Fundamental process control*. Oxford Butterworth
- Purkait, P. and Chakravorti, S. (2002). Investigations on the usefulness of an expert system for impulse fault analysis in distribution transformers. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 65: 149 – 157.
- Rich, S. H., Venkatasubramanian, V., Nasrallah, M. and Matteo, C. (1989). Development of a Diagnostic Expert System for a Whipped Toppings Process. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*. 2(3): 145 – 154.
- Ruse, C. (1990). *Oxford Student's Dictionary*. 2nd Edition. Oxford University Press.
- Rzerski, Adey and International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Engineering (6th: 1991: Oxford, UK). (1991). *Applications of Artificial Intelligence In Engineering VI*. Southampton : CMP.
- Schildt, H. (1987). *Artificial Intelligence Using C*. Berkeley, Calif.: McGraw Hill.
- Sravana, K. K. (1994). *Diagnostic Knowledge-Based System for Batch Chemical Processes: Hypothesis Queuing and Evaluation*. The OHIO state University: Ph. D. Thesis.
- Smith, G. (2004). *Statistical process control and quality improvement*. Upper Saddle River, N.J.: Pearson/Prentice Hall
- Spatz, C. (2001). *Basic Statistics, Tales of Distributions*. US: Wadsworth.
- Stephenson, J. (1991). *System Safety 2000: A practical Guide for Planning, Managing and Conducting System Safety Programs*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.

- Stone, J.R. (1991). Expert Systems and the Control of Risk in Engineering. *Expert Systems and Safety. IEEE Collogiumon.* 5/2.
- Struss, P. (1990). New Techniques in Model-based Diagnosis. In International Conference on Knowledge Based Computer Systems (1989: Bombay, India), Ramani, S., Chandrasekar, R. and Anjaneyulu, K. S. R. *Knowledge Based Computer Systems.* Berlin; New Delhi: Springer-Verlag ; Narosa Pub. House.
- Subramanyan, N.S. and Touchton, R.A. (1990). ProSys –Model-based Reasoning for Monitoring and Diagnosis. In Balagurusamy, E. and Howe, J. A. M. *Expert Systems For Management and Engineering.* New York : E. Horwood. 223 -235
- Thomson, M., Twigg, P.M., Majeed, B.A., and Ruck, N. (2000). Statistical process control based fault detection of CHP units. *Control Engineering Practice.* 8:13 -20.
- Turney, R. and Pitblado, R. (1996) *Risk Assessment in the Process Industries.* Warwickshire Institution of Chemical Engineers.
- Tzafestas G.S. (1989). System Fault Diagnosis Using the Knowledge-based Methodology. Patton, R. Frank, P. and Clark, R. *Fault Diagnosis In Dynamic Systems: Theory and Applications.* New York: Prentice-Hall. 509 - 571.
- Vaidhyanathan, R. and Venkatasubramanian, V. (1994). A Knowledge-based Framework for Automating HAZOP Analysis. *ALChE Journal.* 4(3): 496-505.
- Vaidhyanathan, R. and Venkatasubramanian, V. (1996). A Semi-quantitative Reasoning Methodology for Filtering and Ranking HAZOP Results in HAZOPExpert. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety, Elsevier Science.* 53: 185-203.
- Vedam, H. (1999). *Op-Aide: An Intelligent Operator Decision Support System for Diagnosis and Assessment of Abnormal Situations in Process Plants.* Purdue University: Ph. D. Thesis.
- Venkatasubramanian, V., Rengaswamy, R., Yin, K. and Kavuri, S.N. (2003). A review of process fault detection and diagnosis Part I: Quantitative model-based methods. *Computer & Chemical Engineering, Science Direct.* 27: 293- 311.

- Venkatasubramanian, V., Rengaswamy, R., Yin, K. and Kavuri, S.N. (2003). "A review of process fault detection and diagnosis Part III: Process history based methods." *Computer & Chemical Engineering, Science Direct* .27.327- 346.
- Vinson, J. M. (1995). Fault Diagnosis Using Qualitative and Quantitative Methods (Sensor Validation).University of Pennsylvania. Ph.D. Thesis.
- Weiss, N.A. (1993). *Elementary Statistics*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Wells, G. (1996). *Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment*. Houston, TX: GulfPub.
- Westfall, L. (2000). *Are We Doing Well, Or Are We Doing Poorly*. The Westfall Team.
- Wong, T.S. (2002). *Dynamic Simulation for a Palm Oil Fractionation Process*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Master Thesis.
- Wu, M., She, J. H. and Nakano, M. (1996). A Model-based Expert Control System for the Leaching Process in Zinc Hydrometallurgy. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 16(2): 135-143.
- Wu, M., She, J. H., Nakano, M. and Gui, W. H. (2002). Expert Control and Fault Diagnosis of Leaching Process in a Zinc Hydrometallurgy Plant. *Control Engineering Practice*. 10(4): 433-442.
- Yukiyasu, S. (1995). Computer-aided Operability Study for Batch Plants. In Mewis, J. J. and International Symposium on Loss Prevention and Safety Promotion in the Process Industry. *Loss Prevention and Safety Promotion in the Process Industries*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Zhang, J. and Roberts, P. D. (1991). Process Fault Diagnosis with Diagnostic Rules Based on Structural Decomposition. *Journal of Process Control*. 1(5): 259 – 269.
- Zhang , J. (1991). *Expert Systems in On-line Process Control and Fault Diagnosis*. The City University (London, UK): Ph. D. Thesis.