

EVALUATION OF BOND STRENGTH BETWEEN HOT MIX ASPHALT
SURFACING LAYERS

LIEW YEE SHIAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirement for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil-Highway and Transportation)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2013

To my beloved father and mother

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratefulness to my supervisor, Dr. Haryati for giving me encouragement, and guidance to complete this study. She had guided me throughout every step of my study and spending time on me for discussions.

Special thanks to Chang Fung Lung who contribute a lot to this project. I hope this project could contribute to the research development. Without him, this study would not complete on time.

Last but not least, I am grateful to my family members for their love, care, support and daily encouragement during carrying the study.

ABSTRACT

This paper investigates the effect of tack coat types, application rates, and layer thickness on HMA interface shear strength. The performance of tack coat materials on AC 10 was evaluated. Three emulsions were selected as tack coat material which are RS-1K, RS-2K, and RS-2KL. These tack coat materials were applied at three application rates, namely 0.25 l/m², 0.40 l/m², and 0.55 l/m². The selected application rates are in accordance with the JKR specification and represents low, medium and high rates respectively. Three different surface thickness were considered namely 35 mm, 50 mm and 65 mm. Only laboratory scale specimens at three replicates for each test configuration were used throughout the study. Direct shear test were carried out shearing rate of 1 mm/min. Results show modified emulsion can provide better shear strength than conventional emulsion where RS-2KL performs better than RS-1K and RS-2K due to the present of latex in RS-2KL which relate to the viscosity that can improve shear strength. On the other hand, higher thickness of wearing course and application rate can provide higher interfaces shear strength. However, their relationships were not strong due to inconsistency of R² values.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk menilai pengaruh salut jelujur, kadar aplikasi, dan ketebalan lapisan terhadap kekuatan ikatan antara permukaan. Sebanyak tiga jenis bahan salut jelujur telah digunakan, iaitu RS-1K, RS-2K dan RS-2KL. Ketiga-tiga bahan ini digunakan pada tiga kadar aplikasi yang berbeza, 0.25 l/m², 0.40 l/m² and 0.55 l/m dan tiga kadar aplikasi tersebut mewakili kadar aplikasi rendah, sederhana dan tinggi mengikut spesifikasi JKR. Ujian ricih dijalankan pada ricih 1 mm/min. Analisis yang diperolehi menunjukkan kekuatan ricih antara permukaan lapisan meningkat apabila ketebalan lapisan and kadar aplikasi meningkat. Selain itu, keputusan menunjukkan emulsi diubahsuai boleh memberikan kekuatan ricih yang lebih baik daripada emulsi konvensional di mana RS-2KL melakukan lebih baik daripada RS-1K dan RS-2K kerana kewujudan lateks pada RS-2KL yang berkaitan dengan kelikatan yang boleh meningkatkan kekuatan ricih. Walau bagaimanapun, hubungan mereka tidak kuat kerana nilai R² diperolehi tidak konsisten.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Aim	3
	1.4 Objective	3
	1.5 Scope	3
	1.6 Thesis Structure	4
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Effects of Interface Poor Bonding	5
	2.2 Tack Coat Definition	7
	2.3 Factors Influence Interlayers Bond Strength	7

2.3.1	Tack Coat Types	7
2.3.1.1	Cutback Asphalt	8
2.3.1.2	Asphalt Cement	8
2.3.1.3	Emulsified Asphalt	9
2.3.2	Tack Coat Application Rate	10
2.3.3	Tack Coat Curing Time	11
2.3.4	Temperature	14
2.3.5	Surface Types	15
2.3.6	Surface Conditions	17
2.3.7	Technology, Workmanship and Construction Quality	18 20
2.4	Shear Testing	23
2.4.1	Direct Shear Test	23
2.4.1.1	Leutner Device	24
2.4.1.2	Modified Device, EMPA	24
2.4.1.3	Iowa Device	25
2.4.1.4	NCAT Device	26
2.4.1.5	Romanoschi Device	27
2.4.1.6	Debondt Device	27
2.4.1.7	Ascher Device	28
2.4.1.8	Romanoschi Dynamic Device	28 28
2.4.2	Simple Shear Test	29
2.4.2.1	Shear Box	29
2.4.2.2	ASTRA Device	30
2.4.2.3	SHRP Shear Test Device	31
2.4.2.4	MCS Device	32

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Material	33

3.3	Mixture Design	36
3.4	Specimen Preparation	37
3.4.1	Preparation of Binder Course	39
3.4.2	Application of Tack Coat	39
3.4.3	Preparation of Wearing Course	41
3.4.4	Compact Specimen	42
3.5	Shear Test	44

CHAPTER 4 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	46
4.2	Results	46
4.3	Effects of Wearing Course Layer Thickness and Tack Coat Types on Shear Strength	47
4.4	Effects of Application Rates on Interfaces Shear Strength	50

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION

5.1	Introduction	53
5.2	Conclusions	54
5.3	Recommendations	54

REFERENCE	56-62
------------------	-------

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Aggregate gradation of AC 28	34
3.2	Aggregate gradation of AC 10	35
3.3	Designed OBC for different mix types	36
3.4	Marshall Test results and the specifications for Hot Mix Asphalt AC 10.	36
3.5	Number of specimen	37
3.6	Minimum curing time	40
3.7	Number of compaction blows on the effect of thickness and degree of compaction for AC 10	42
4.1	Result summary	47

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Slippage cracking caused by poor interface bonding	6
2.2	Combination of different mix types, (a) DGAC-DGAC, (b) PAC-DGAC and (c) PAC-SMA.	17
2.3	A view of asphalt distributor truck performing the tack coat application on a road section to be paved	22
2.4	Asphalt emulsion tack coat application using hand wand sprayer in Malaysian road construction	22
2.5	Ponding of asphalt emulsion tack coat at certain location on a pavement.	22
2.6	a) Shear stress distribution at the specimen head in the direct shear test b) Shear stress distribution in the simple shear test.	23
2.7	Leutner test device	24
2.8	Modified device, EMPA	25
2.9	Iowa device	25
2.10	NCAT device	26
2.11	Romanoschi device	27
2.12	De Bondt device	27
2.13	Ascher device	28
2.14	Romanoschi dynamic device	29
2.15	Shear box	30
2.16	ASTRA device	31
2.17	SHRP shear test device	31

2.18	MCS device	32
3.1	Aggregate gradation of AC 28	34
3.2	Aggregate gradation of AC 10	35
3.3	Steps of samples preparation	38
3.4	Prepared binder course layer	39
3.5	Curing of tack coat	40
3.6	Application of tack coat	41
3.7	Preparation of wearing course	42
3.8	Effect of compaction blows to achieve the target density	43
3.9	Prepared specimen	43
3.10	Fabricated mold	44
3.11	Shear box	45
3.12	Destructed specimen after shear test	45
4.1	Effects of surface layer thickness and tack coat types on interfaces shear strength for (a) 0.25l/m^2 (b) 0.40l/m^2 (c) 0.55l/m^2 application rate	49
4.2	Effects of application rates on interfaces shear strength for surface layer thickness of (a) 35mm (b) 50mm (c) 65mm	52

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Modern asphalt pavements are characterized by a composition of several layers. This is true for new pavements as well as for existing pavements following overlay procedures (Tschegg et al., 1995). Adequate bond between layers ensures multiple layers perform as a composite structure. As a result, stresses from applied loads are distributed throughout, subsequently reducing overall pavement damage (Buchanan and Woods, 2004). Poor interlayer bond of hot mix asphalt (HMA) is the factor of many pavement problems. Slippage failure often occur at locations where traffic accelerates, decelerates, or turns, is the most commonly observed problem related to poor bond between layers (West et al., 2005).

Tack coat is an application of asphalt binder used to improve bonding between pavement layers and it is most commonly used between an existing surface and a newly constructed overlay. It is used to ensure adequate bond between the pavement being placed and the existing surface. A tack coat provides necessary bonding between pavement layers to ensure that they behave as a single system to withstand traffic and environmental stresses. Tack coat is normally applied to an existing pavement surface before a new layer of asphalt concrete is placed. It may also be applied to the surface of a new hot mix asphalt (HMA) pavement layer before

the next layer is placed, such as between an HMA levelling course and an HMA surface course (Louay et al., 2012).

The most commonly used tack coat material is asphalt emulsion followed by paving grade asphalt and cutback asphalt. Asphalt emulsion is favoured due to the simplicity of being capable to be applied at lower temperature and relatively pollution free. In some places, paving grade asphalt and cutback asphalt is still in used, but the usage of cutback asphalt as tack coat has been restricted due to issues related with environmental concern.

1.2 Problem Statement

Interface bonding has always been a major concern in pavement structures. Loss of bonding or poor bonding between pavement layers can cause early pavement distresses. Slippage failure occurs when there is insufficient bond between the interfaces of the two layers in contact. At location where vehicle is likely to exert high horizontal force, sharp curves and busy junction of continuous acceleration and deceleration, slippage failures may be frequently encountered as well (Romanoschi et al. 2001). On the other hand, delamination and potholes can be considered as one of the most common types of pavement distress related to poor bonding in Malaysia, though slippage failure can be occasionally found.

Tack coat is intended to bond pavement layers together and ensure that the layers act monolithically when subjected to traffic loads. Insufficient or improper application of tack coat can result in a weak bond between HMA pavement layers, causing the layers to act independently. Hence, an optimum tack coat application rate needs to be determined. That is the interest of this research to be carried out in order to solve and improve on the problems related to bond between hot mix asphalt layer interfaces.

1.3 Aim

The aim for this study is to evaluate the performance of bond strength on HMA surfacing layer.

1.4 Objectives

To determine the effect of tack coat types, application rates, and layer thickness on HMA interface bond strength.

1.5 Scope

The performance of tack coat materials on AC10 was evaluated. A total of three tack coat materials, which include three emulsions, RS-1K, RS-2K, and RS-2KL were selected. These tack coat materials was applied at three application rates, which is 0.25 l/m², 0.40 l/m², and 0.55 l/m². The selected application rates are in accordance with the JKR specification and represents low, medium and high rates respectively. Three different wearing course layer thickness were considered namely 35mm, 50mm and 65mm. Only laboratory scale specimens at three replicates for each test configuration are used throughout the study. Direct shear test was carried out at shearing rate of 1mm/min.

1.6 Thesis Structure

The thesis has been categorized into specific chapters for better understanding of the research. The lists of chapters are as follow:

Chapter 1: Introduction – This chapter gives an overview of the thesis including five important things such as overview of the study, problem definition, objective of the study, and scope of study of the research.

Chapter 2: Literature review – This chapter provides important theoretical and conceptual understanding of related topics based on various researches.

Chapter 3: Methodology – The experimental setup of the study will be described. The experimental procedure including design method, and standard referred will be presented in this chapter.

Chapter 4: Result and analysis – Results, analysis, and discussion of experiment are described in this chapter..

Chapter 5: Conclusion – The final chapter will summarize all the results and findings related to this study which achieved the objective, and all the recommendations for further works are presented here.

REFERENCES

- Abraham Bae, Louay N. Mohammad, Mostafa A. Elseifi, Joe Button, and Nachiketa Patel. (2010). *Effects of Temperature on Interface Shear Strength of Emulsified Tack Coats and Its Relationship to Rheological Properties*. Journal of the Transportation Research Board, No. 2180, pp. 102–109. DOI: 10.3141/2180-12
- Ahmad b Ramly. (2002). *Prinsip Dan Praktis Pengurusan Penyelenggaraan Bangunan*. Kuala Lumpur: Pustaka Ilmi, Percetakan Putrajaya Sdn. Bhd. (in Malay Language)
- Al-Qadi, Carpenter, S. H., Leng, Z., Ozer, H., & Trepanier, J. S. (2008). *Tack coat Optimization for HMA overlays: Laboratory testing*.
- Ascher, D., Wellner F. (2007). *Investigation of the effectiveness of bonding and its influence on the service life of asphalt pavements*. Technical University of Dresden, Germany, Report No.13589 BR/1.
- Asphalt Institute (2000). *A Basic Asphalt Emulsion Manual*. Manual Series No. 19 (MS-19), Third Edition, The Asphalt Institute, Lexington, KY
- ASTM (2003). *ASTM D 8-02 Standard Terminology Relating to Materials for Roads and Pavements*, Annual Book of ASTM Standards 2003, Section 4: Construction, Vol. 04.03, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA.

- Banerjee, A., A, P. J., Fortier, S. A. d., Amit, B., & Pablo, A.-M. J. (2010). *Curing Rates for Asphalt Emulsions*. Paper presented at the Transportation Research Board 89th Annual Meeting, Washington.
- Blades, C., & Kearney, E. (2004). *Asphalt Paving Principles: Cornell Local Roads Program*.
- Caltrans. (2003). *CPB 03-1 Paint Binder (Tack Coat) Guidelines*, California Department of Transportation, Construction Procedure Bulletin.
- Caltrans. (2009). *Tack Coat Guidelines: State of California, Department of Transportation, Division of Construction*.
- Chang, F.L., Yaacob, H., Hainin, M. R., Putra, R. (2013). *Curing Time of Malaysian Asphalt Emulsified Tack Coat*. [unpublished raw data]
- Chen, J. S., & Huang, C. C. (2010). *Effect of Surface Characteristics on Bonding Properties of Bituminous Tack Coat*. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2180, 142-149. doi: 10.3141/2180-16
- Chong, C.Y. (2006). *The implementation of quality management syetem (ISO 9001) in analysing the workmanships performance in selected projects*. Master's Thesis in Construction Management, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Cooley, L. A. (1999). *No tack Inlay on Milled Surface: Project Report*.
- Cross, S.A., and P.P. Shrestha. (2005). *Guidelines for Using Prime and Tack Coats*. Federal Highway Administration, Publication No. FHWA-CFL-04-001.

- Hachiya, Y., & Sato, K. (1997). *Effect of Tack Coat on Bonding Characteristics at Interface Between Asphalt Concrete Layers*. Paper presented at the Eighth International Conference on Asphalt Pavements, Seattle, Washington
- Hansen, K. (2008). *Avoid Slippage By Using Good Tack Coat Practices*. Idaho Technology Assistance Newsletter, 1.
- Huang, Y.H. (2003). *Pavement Analysis and Design*, Second Edition. Prentice Hall, NJ.
- JKR. (2008). *Section 4: Flexible Pavement Standard Specification for Road Works*: Jabatan Kerja Raya.
- Khweir, K. and Fordyce, D. (2003). *Influence of Layer Bonding on the Prediction of Pavement Life*. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineering Transport 156, pp 73-83.
- Kruntcheva, M., Collop, A., & Thom, N. (2006). *Properties of Asphalt Concrete Layer Interfaces*. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 18(3), 467-471.
- Lavin, P. G. (2003). *Asphalt Pavements*: Spon Press, Taylor and Francis Group.
- Leutner, R. (1979). *Investigations of the bituminous layer composite superstructure..* Technical Articles, 3/1979.
- Louay.N.M, Mostafa.A.E, Abraham.B, Nachiketa.P. (2012). *Optimization of Tack Coat for HMA Placement*. Louisiana Transportation Research Center Louisiana State University. NCHRP REPORT 712
- Low, S. P. (1993). *The rationalization of quality in the construction industry: Some empirical findings*. Construction Management and Economics, 11(4): p. 247-259.

- Miro Recasens R., Perez Jimenez F., Borrás Gonzalez J. M. (2003). *Evaluation of the effect of tack coats*. LCB shear test. 6th RILEM Symposium PTEBM'03, Zurich, Switzerland, pp 550-556.
- Mohammad, L. N., Raqib, M. A., Wu, Z., & Huang, B. (2002). *Measurement of Interlayer Bond Strength Through Direct Shear Test*. Paper presented at the 3rd International Conference Bituminous Mixtures and Pavements.
- Mohammad, L. N., Wu, Z., & Raqib, M. A. (2005). *Investigation of the Behavior of Asphalt Tack Interface Layer*.
- NAPA. (2000). *Hot Mix Asphalt Construction Reference for Quality HMA Pavements*, QIP 112: National Asphalt Pavement Association.
- OHIO. (2001). *Proper Tack Coat Application: Flexible Pavements of OHIO*.
- Partl, M. N., & Raab, C. (1999). *Shear adhesion between top layers of fresh asphalt pavements in Switzerland*. Paper presented at the 7th Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa.
- Patel, N. (2010). *Factors Affecting the Interface Shear Strength of Pavement Layers*. Master's Thesis, Louisiana State University.
- Paul, H.R., and J.A. Scherocman. (1998). *Friction Testing of Tack Coat Surfaces*. Transportation Research Record No. 1616, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, DC, pp. 6-12
- Raab, C; Parti, M. N. 1999. Methods to determine the bond of asphalt pavements ASTRAProject FA 12/94, Report No 442.

- Raab, C., & Partl, M. (2009). *Laboratory Study on Interlayer Bonding Using Cationic Tack Coats*. Paper presented at the 7th International RILEM Symposium on Advanced Testing and Characterisation of Bituminous Materials, Rhodes, GREECE.
- Roberts, F.L., Kandhal, P.S., Brown, E.R., Lee, D., and Kennedy, T.W. (1996). *Hot Mix Asphalt Materials, Mixture Design, Construction*, 2nd Edition, Lanham, Maryland, National Asphalt Pavement Association and Research Education Foundation.
- Romanoschi, S. (1999). *Characterization of pavement layer interfaces*. Ph.D. Thesis Ph.D. Thesis, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.
- Romanoschi, S., & Metcalf, J. (2001). *Characterization of Asphalt Concrete Layer Interfaces*. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 1778, 132-139.
- Romanoschi, S. A., Metcalf J. B. (2002). *The characterization of pavement layer interfaces*. 9th International Conference on Asphalt Pavements, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Sanders, P. J., Brown, S. F., Thom N. H. (1999). *Reinforced asphalt for crack and rut control*. 7th Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa. CAPSA '99, Victoria Falls, Zimbabwe, Document Transformation Technologies pp. 847-855.
- Satterfield, Z. (2005). *Quality Control in Construction Projects*. Tech Brief, National Environmental Services Center.
- Santucci, L. (2009). *Recent Findings on the Use of Tack Coat Between Pavement Layers*. Pavement Technology Update, 1.

- Shahin, M., Van Dam, T., Kirchner, K., and Blackmon, E. W. (1987). *Consequence of Layer Separation on Pavement Performance*. Report DOT/FAA/PM-86/48, Federal Aviation Administration, Washington, D.C.
- Sholar, G. A., Page, G. C., Musselman, J. A., Upshaw, P. B., & Moseley, H. L. (2003). *Preliminary Investigation of a Test Method to Evaluate Bond Strength of Bituminous Tack Coats*. *Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists*, 73, 23-52.
- Tandon, V., & Deysarkar, I. (2005). *Field Evaluation of Tack Coat Quality Measurement Equipments*. *International Journal of Pavements*, 4(1-2), 25-37.
- Tashman, L., Nam, K., Papagiannakis, T., Willoughby, K., Pierce, L., & Baker, T. (2008). *Evaluation of Construction Practices That Influence the Bond Strength at the Interface between Pavement Layers*. *Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities*, 22(3), 154-161
- Tran, N. H., Willis, R., & Julian, G. (2012). *Refinement of the Bond Strength Procedure And Investigation of a Specification*.
- Tschegg, E. K., Kroyer, G., Tan, D.-M., Stanzl-Tschegg, S., & Litzka, J. (1995). *Investigation of Bonding between Asphalt Layers on Road Construction*. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 121(4), 309-316.
- USACE (1991). *Guide Specifications for Military Construction*. CEGS-02556, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC..
- USACE (2000). *Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Handbook 2000*. AC 150/5370-14A, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC.

USACE (2001). *Unified Facilities Criteria Standard Practice Manual for Flexible Pavements*, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Air Force Civil Engineer Support Agency.

Uzan J., M. Livneh, and Y. Eshed. (1978). *Investigation of Adhesion Properties Between Asphaltic Concrete Layers*. Proceedings of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, Volume 47, Lake Buena Vista, FL, pp. 495-521

West, R. C., Zhang, J., & Moore, J. (2005). *Evaluation of bond strength between pavement layers*. (Report 05-08). NCAT.

WSDOT. (2003). *Tack coat*. Tech Notes.

Yaacob, H., Hainin, M. R., Safuan, A. and Chang, F. L. (2013). *Single face compaction on laboratory marshall specimen towards satisfactory degree of compaction and thickness*. Manuscript submitted for publication.

Yaacob, H., Hainin, M. R., Safuan, A., and Chang, F. L (2013). *Construction of hot mix asphalt surfacing layers: Information for the Malaysian Asphalt Industry towards Better Bonding*. Manuscript submitted for publication.