ESTIMATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF EXHAUST SHIP EMISSION FROM MARINE TRAFFIC IN THE STRAITS OF MALACCA AND SINGAPORE USING AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS) DATA

HENDRA SAPUTRA

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

ESTIMATION AND DISTRIBUTION OF EXHAUST SHIP EMISSION FROM MARINE TRAFFIC IN THE STRAITS OF MALACCA AND SINGAPORE USING AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS) DATA

HENDRA SAPUTRA

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Marine Technology)

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2013

Special dedication to my beloved family Mama, Papa, Rian, Dedi, and Dea..... Thanks for your valuable sacrifice Love you all...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

"In the name of God, the most gracious, the most compassionate"

First and foremost, all praises and thanks to Allah (S.W.T) to give me strength and ability to complete this project.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my highest appreciation to Prof. Dr. Adi Maimun Bin Abdul Malik, CEng, my respectful thesis supervisor to provide better guidance, encouragement, ideas, comment, recommendation and advice that lead me for the successful completion of this project. My special appreciation to Dr. Eng Jaswar Koto, CEng (Co-Supervisor) and C. L. Siow for encouragement, guidance, critics and suggestions.

To my mother and father that always pray for my successes, all these things cannot pay for all what you all have done. My special thanks also to my fellow postgraduate friends. Special thanks to Mr. Suardi, Mufti Fathonah Muvariz, Risandi Dwirama Putra, Eko Prayetno and Arfena Deah Lestari, their willingness to help and suggest means a lot to me. In addition, my thanks to Kepulauan Riau Government especially to Education Agency of Riau Archipelago Province (*Provinsi Kepulauan Riau, Indonesia*) who have supported my scholarship to do master degree in UTM Malaysia. Special thanks to Drs. Yatim Mustafa, MPd as Head of Education Agency of Riau Archipelago Province, Dr. Muhammad Dali, MM as Head of Secondary and Higher Education Division, Fansuri, ST as Head of Higher Education Subdivision, Mr. Franto Tonggi, Mr. Hengkie and all the people who are in Education Agency of Riau Archipelago Province, thousand thanks to all supported of financial and moral.

Above this all, my highest praises and thanks to Almighty Allah Subhanahu Wa'Talla, the most gracious the most merciful, who gave me the knowledge, courage and patience to accomplish this project. May the peace and blessings of Allah be upon Prophet Muhammad Sallallahu Alaihi Wasallam.

ABSTRACT

Global warming and air pollution have become one of the important issues to the entire world community. Exhaust emissions from ships has been contributing to the health problems and environmental damage. This study focuses on the Strait of Malacca area because it is one of the world's most congested straits used for international shipping where located on the border among three countries of Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore. The study seeks to estimate of the exhaust emission and to know the concentration of emission to several areas around. This is accomplished by evaluating the density of shipping lanes in the Straits of Malacca by using the data which obtained by Automatic Identification System (AIS). To show the movement patterns of ships, operational mode and influence of the ship movement to the distribution of emissions are integrating of AIS data and GIS software. MEET methodology is used to estimate emissions from ships and Gaussian Puff Model used to estimates the concentration in several areas around the Strait. The results show 813 total number of ships through the Strait of Malacca on 2 September 2011 at 07.00 am-08.00 am produces exhaust emission for NOx, CO, CO2, VOC, PM and SOx are about 13715.51 g/second, 25461.525 g/second, 11092.99 g/second, 5858.216 g/second, 415.304 g/second and 6921.746 g/second, respectively. The ships under the Singapore flag contribute approximately 22.72% of total emissions in the Strait of Malacca followed by Panama and Liberia flag approximately 21.32%, 12.89%, respectively. Ships under Malaysia and Indonesia rank of sixth and seventh respectively of the emission rates. The most high-risk areas which affected by the emissions are Sentosa Island (Singapore), Port of Pasir Gudang (Malaysia) and Jurong Island (Singapore) with approximately contaminated about 47.33%, 21.68% and 17.69%, respectively of total emission and other areas around the Strait represent below 1%.

ABSTRAK

Masalah pemanasan global dan pencemaran udara telah menjadi salah satu daripada isu-isu yang penting kepada masyarakat seluruh dunia. Pelepasan ekzos dari kapal telah menyumbang kepada masalah kesihatan dan kerosakan alam sekitar. Kajian ini difokuskan kepada kawasan Selat Melaka kerana ia adalah salah satu selat di dunia yang paling sesak digunakan untuk pelayaran antarabangsa di mana terletak di sempadan tiga negara antara Indonesia, Malaysia dan Singapura. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menghitung keluaran emisi dan untuk mengetahui kepekatan keluaran emisi seperti SOx, Nox, CO, CO2 dan PM kepada beberapa kawasan sekitarnya. Ini dapat dicapai dengan menilai trafik laluan kapal di Selat Melaka dengan menggunakan data yang diperolehi dari Automatic Identification System (AIS). Untuk menunjukkan corak pergerakan kapal, mod operasi kapal dan pengaruh pergerakan kapal kepada taburan emisi dengan mengintegrasikan data AIS dan perisian GIS. Kaedah MEET Methodology digunakan untuk menghitung keluaran emisi daripada kapal dan Gaussian Puff Model digunakan untuk menghitung kepekatan emisi di beberapa kawasan di sekitar Selat. Hasilnya menunjukkan 813 jumlah kapal yang berlayar di Selat Melaka pada 2 September 2011 pada 07:00-08.00 dan menghasilkan keluaran emisi NOx, CO, CO2, VOC, PM dan SOx kirakira 13715.51 g/second, 25461.525 g/second, 11092.99 g/second, 5858.216 g/second, 415.304 g/second and 6921.746 g/second. Kapal-kapal di bawah bendera Singapura mewakili hampir 22.72% daripada jumlah pelepasan diikuti oleh kapalkapal di bawah bendera Panama dan Liberia dengan jumlah masing-masing 21.32%, 12.89%. Kapal di bawah Malaysia dan Indonesia menduduki tempat keenam dan ketujuh dari kadar pelepasan. Pulau Sentosa (Singapura), pelabuhan Pasir Gudang (Malaysia) dan Pulau Jurong (Singapore) adalah kawasan tertinggi terjejas oleh pelepasan dengan kira-kira 47.33%, 21.68%, 17.69% dari jumlah kepekatan emis daripada trafik kapal dan kawasan lain di sekitar Selat terjejas dibawah 1%.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHA	PTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	CLARATION	ii
	DEI	DICATION	iii
	ACH	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABS	STRACT	\mathbf{v}
	ABS	STRAK	vi
	TAE	BLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIS	T OF TABLES	X
	LIST	T OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST	T OF ABBREVIATIO N	xvi
	LIS	T OF SYMBOLS	xviii
	LIST	T OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Problem Statements	3
	1.3	Objective	3
	1.4	Scope of Study	4
2	STU	JDY LITERATURE	5
	2.1	Literature Review	5
	2.2	Automatic Identification System (AIS)	8
	2.3	Air Pollution	13
		2.3.1 Air Pollution Overview	13

		2.3.2 Air Pollution from Shipping Activity	15
	2.4	Nitrogen Oxides	17
	2.5	Carbon Monoxides	19
	2.6	Carbon Dioxides	20
	2.7	Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)	21
	2.8	Particulate Matter (PM)	22
	2.9	Sulphur Dioxides	23
	2.10	Methodologies for Estimating Air Pollutant Emissions from	
		Ships	25
		2.10.1 Definitions of Hoteling, Maneuvering and Cruising	31
	2.11	Gaussian Puff Model	31
3	MET	THODOLOGY	38
	3.1	Introduction	38
	3.2	Identification Problem	38
	3.3	Data Processing	39
		3.3.1 AIS Data Collection	39
		3.3.2 Ship Database Collection	39
	3.4	Air Emission Assessment	40
	3.5	Air Emission Distribution Assessment	40
	3.6	Calculation by Programming	41
	3.7	Simulation of Emission Distribution	41
	3.8	Flowchart	42
4	RES	ULT AND ANALYSIS	43
	4.1	Overview	43
	4.2	Investigation Number of Ship from AIS Data	43
	4.3	Analysis Procedure	51
		4.3.1 Ship Emissions Calculation Procedure	51
		4.3.2 Distribution of Emissions Calculation Procedure	52
		4.3.3 Calculation by Programming	57
	4.4	Results of Emissions Assessment	59
		4.4.1 Results of NOx Emissions	59
		4.4.2 Results of CO Emission	62

	4.4.3	Results of CO2 Emission	65
	4.4.4	Results of VOC Emission	68
	4.4.5	Results of PM Emission	71
	4.4.6	Results of SOx Emission	74
	4.4.7	Summary	76
4.5	Resul	ts Distribution of Emission	77
	4.5.1	Results of NOx Emissions	78
	4.5.2	Results of CO Emission	79
	4.5.3	Results of CO2 Emission	80
	4.5.4	Results of VOC Emission	81
	4.5.5	Results of PM Emission	82
	4.5.6	Results of SOx Emission	83
	4.5.7	Summary	84
4.6	Mode	ling the Distribution of Emissions by Surfer	84
	4.6.1	Distribution of NOx emission by Surfer.8	84
	4.6.2	Distribution of CO emission by Surfer.8	87
	4.6.3	Distribution of CO2 emission by Surfer.8	89
	4.6.4	Distribution of VOC emission by Surfer.8	91
	4.6.5	Distribution of PM emission by Surfer.8	93
	4.6.6	Distribution of SOx emission by Surfer.8	95
CON	NCLUS	SION	96
5.1	Concl	usions	96
5.2	Recor	nmendations and Future Work	97
REF	FEREN	CES	99
APP	ENDIX	XA	104
APP	APPENDIX B 10		
APP	APPENDIX C 12		

5

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Information updates rates for AIS dynamic information	11
2.2	Identifiers to be used by ships to report their type on AIS	11
2.3	Classification of air pollution	14
2.4	Classification of Air Pollutants	15
2.5	Exhaust emissions (million tonnes) from total shipping,	
	1990–2007	17
2.6	Nitrogen Oxides (NOx)	18
2.7	Average consumption at full power versus gross tonnage	25
2.8	Emission factors (kg/ton of fuel)	26
2.9	Fraction of maximum fuel consumption in different mode	27
2.10	Pollutants classification	28
2.11	Fuels classification	28
2.12	Ship type class	28
2.13	Engine type class	28
2.14	Installed main engine power as a function of gross tonnage	29
	(GT)	
2.15	Estimated average vessel ratio of Auxiliary Engines / Main	
	Engines by ship type	30
2.16	Estimated % load of MCR (Maximum Continuous Rating)	
	of Main and Auxiliary Engine for different ship activity	30
2.17	Constants a, b, c, d depend on Pasquill Stability categories	
	defined by Turner (1970)	34
2.18	A formula and corresponding parameter values for	
	determining the lateral diffusion coefficient for a	

	Gaussian plume	35
2.19	A formula and corresponding parameter values for	
	determining the vertical diffusion coefficient for a	
	Gaussian plume	35
4.1	Total MMSI (Ship) on September 2011	46
4.2	Total MMSI (Ships) on second day of September 2011	47
4.3	Number of ships by type	50
4.4	Number of ships by flag	50
4.5	Number of ships by flag	51
4.6	51 points of receptor	53
4.7	NOx emission by type of ship	59
4.8	NOx emission by flag	60
4.9	NOx emission by mode operational	62
4.10	CO emission by type of ship	62
4.11	CO emission by flag	63
4.12	CO emission mode operational	64
4.13	CO2 emission by type of ship	65
4.14	CO2 emission by flag	66
4.15	CO2 emissions by mode operational	67
4.16	VOC emission by type of ship	68
4.17	VOC emission by flag	69
4.18	VOC emission by mode operational	70
4.19	PM emission by type of ship	71
4.20	PM emission by flag	72
4.21	PM emission by mode operational	73
4.22	SOx emission by type of ship	74
4.23	SOx emission by flag	75
4.24	SOx emission by mode operational	76
5.1	The highest affected area by the emission	97
A.1	Sample of Ship Raw Data from AIS Receiver on September	ſ
	2nd 2011 at 7.00am-08.00am	104

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	. TITLE		
2.1	Automatic Identification System (AIS) operation view	13	
2.2	Ship movement characteristics	31	
2.3	Transfer coordinates	33	
3.1	Flowchart of the study	42	
4.1	Data processing of AIS using MySQL	44	
4.2	Traffic density for each day on September 2011	45	
4.3	Traffic density for each hour on second day of September 201	1 45	
4.4	Data of ship from marine traffic website	48	
4.5	Number of ships by type	49	
4.6	Number of ships by flag	49	
4.7	Number of ships by mode operational	49	
4.8	Mode operational patterns of 813 ships by GIS	51	
4.9	51 points of receptor	55	
4.10	Programming of emission calculation	57	
4.11	Percentage of NOx emission by types of ship	60	
4.12	Percentage of NOx emission by flag	61	
4.13	Percentage of NOx emission by mode operational	62	
4.14	Percentage of CO emission by types of ship	63	
4.15	Percentage of CO emission by flag	64	
4.16	Percentage of CO emission by mode operational	65	
4.17	Percentage of CO2 emission by types of ship	66	
4.18	Percentage of CO2 emission by flag	67	
4.19	Percentage of CO2 emission by mode operational	67	
4.20	Percentage of VOC emission by types of ship	68	

4.21	Percentage of VOC emission by flag	69
4.22	Percentage of VOC emission by mode operational	70
4.23	Percentage of PM emission by types of ship	71
4.24	Percentage of PM emission by flag	72
4.25	Percentage of PM emission by mode operational	73
4.26	Percentage of SOx emission by types of ship	74
4.27	Percentage of SOx emission by flag	75
4.28	Percentage of SOx emission by mode operational	76
4.29	Concentration of NOx emission	78
4.30	Concentration of NOx emission in receptor 34-39	78
4.31	Concentration of CO emission	79
4.32	Concentration of CO emission in receptor 34-39	79
4.33	Concentration of CO2 emission	80
4.34	Concentration of CO2 emission in receptor 34-39	80
4.35	Concentration of VOC emission	81
4.36	Concentration of VOC emission in receptor 34-39	81
4.37	Concentration of PM emission	82
4.38	Concentration of PM emission in receptor 34-39	82
4.39	Concentration of SOx emission	83
4.40	Concentration of SOx emission in receptor 34-39	83
4.41	Distribution of NOx emission by Surfer.8 at Δt = 40 min	84
4.42	Distribution of NOx emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 40 \min$	85
4.43	Distribution of NOx emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t= 20$ min	85
4.44	Distribution of NOx emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t= 20 \min$	85
4.45	Distribution of NOx emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t= 1 \text{ min}$	86
4.46	Distribution of NOx emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 1 \min$	86
4.47	Distribution of CO emission by Surfer.8 at Δt = 40 min	87
4.48	Distribution of CO emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 40 \min$	87
4.49	Distribution of CO emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t= 20$ min	87
4.50	Distribution of CO emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	

	$\Delta t = 20 \min$	88
4.51	Distribution of CO emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t= 1 \text{ min}$	88
4.52	Distribution of CO emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 1 \min$	88
4.53	Distribution of CO2 emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t = 40$ min	89
4.54	Distribution of CO2 emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 40 \min$	89
4.55	Distribution of CO2 emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t= 20$ min	89
4.56	Distribution of CO2 emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 20 \min$	90
4.57	Distribution of CO2 emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t = 1 \text{ min}$	90
4.58	Distribution of CO emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 1 \min$	90
4.59	Distribution of VOC emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t = 40$ min	91
4.60	Distribution of VOC emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 40 \min$	91
4.61	Distribution of VOC emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t= 20$ min	91
4.62	Distribution of VOC emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 20 \min$	92
4.63	Distribution of VOC emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t= 1$ min	92
4.64	Distribution of VOC emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 1 \min$	92
4.65	Distribution of PM emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t = 40$ min	93
4.66	Distribution of PM emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 40 \min$	93
4.67	Distribution of PM emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t= 20$ min	93
4.68	Distribution of PM emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 20 \min$	94
4.69	Distribution of PM emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t= 1 \text{ min}$	94
4.70	Distribution of PM emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 1 \min$	94
4.71	Distribution of SOx emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t = 40 \text{ min}$	95
4.72	Distribution of SOx emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 40 \min$	95

4.73	Distribution of SOx emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t= 20$ min	95
4.74	Distribution of SOx emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t= 20 \min$	96
4.75	Distribution of SOx emission by Surfer.8 at $\Delta t = 1$ min	96
4.76	Distribution of SOx emission by Surfer.8 and QGIS at	
	$\Delta t = 1 \min$	96

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

AIS	-	Automatic Identification System
IMO	-	International Maritime Organization
SOLAS	-	Safety of Life at Sea
CO	-	Carbon Monoxides
CO_2	-	Carbon Dioxides
g	-	Gram
g/s	-	gram per second
H_2S	-	Hydrogen Sulphide
HNO ₃	-	Nitric Acid
km	-	Kilometer
km ²	-	Kilometre square
m	-	Meter
m/s	-	Meter per second
NO	-	Nitric oxide
N_2O_2	-	Dinitrogen dioxide
N_2O_3	-	Dinitrogen trioxide
NO_2	-	Nitrogen Dioxides
NOx	-	Nitric Oxides
N_2O	-	nitrous oxide
N_2O_4	-	Dinitrogen tetroxide
N_2O_5	-	dinitrogen pentoxide
O ₂	-	Oxygen
O ₃	-	Ozone

PM	-	Particulate matter
SO_2	-	Sulphur Dioxides
SO3	-	Sulphur Trioxides
SOx	-	Sulphur Oxides
VOC	-	volatile organic compunds
WHO	-	World Health Organization
$\mu g/m^3$	-	microgram per meter cube

LIST OF SYMBOL

%	-	Percent
Cr	-	Concentration of emission
Q	-	Emission rate
Δh	-	Effective stack height
Δt	-	Length of time of the release itself
σy	-	Horizontal standard deviation
σΖ	-	Vertical standard deviation
α	-	Wind direction
Ei	-	total emissions of pollutant <i>i</i>
f	-	Auxiliary engine fuel consumption
Fijlm	-	Average emission factors of pollutant i from fuel j in engines
		type l in mode m
i	-	Pollutant
j	-	Fuel
k	-	Ship class
L	-	Load factor
Р	-	Auxiliary engine rated output
S	-	Reference reduction scenario
Sjkm (GT)	-	daily consumption of fuel <i>j</i> in ship class k as a function of
		gross tonnage
tjklm	-	days in navigation of ships of class k with engines type l using
		fuel j in mode operational m
U	-	Wind speed
xr, yr, zr	-	Cartesian coordinates downwind of the puff

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Sample of Ship Raw Data from AIS Receiver	104
В	Code of Programming with Visual Basic 2010	105
С	Sample of Gridding Report (NOx) in Surfer.8	121

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Global warming and air pollution have become one of the important issues to the entire world community. About 80% of the international trade and movement of goods carried by ship through the sea (UNCTAD, 2010) cause exhaust emission from marine traffic which contribute to the global warming and air pollution problems. Exhaust emission from ship consisted of sulfur oxides (SOx), Nitrogen oxides (NOx), Green House Gas (GHG) or CO2, Particulate Matter (PM), hydrocarbons (HC) and carbon monoxide (CO) have been contributing to the health problems and environmental damage. For instance, Corbett et al (2007) estimated 64.000 cardiopulmonary, lung cancer mortalities and 92% premature death as globally caused by Particulate Matter (PM) from ship traffic. This emission effect arises especially in inland seas, territorial waters, canals, port region, and Straits area.

The Straits of Malacca and Singapore is one of the most important shipping channels in the world connecting the Indian Ocean with the South China Sea and the Pacific Ocean. The Straits remains as one of the world's most congested straits used for international shipping. Approximately over 60,000 vessels pass through The Straits annually (Mihmanli, 2011) and recorder over 74,000 vessels in 2010 (Khalid,

2011), the Strait play role in producing of shipping emission and contributed to air pollution. Previous attempts, showed by Street et al (1997), shipping emission of sulfur oxide (SO2) on the Strait of Malacca almost the same in Sumatra, Indonesia (32,000 t SO2 of Strait of Malacca and 52,000 t SO2 per year of Sumatra) and approximately one-quarter of the total emissions in each of Singapore and Malaysia (Arndt et al, 1996 and Bhatti et al., 1992).

The Strait of Malacca is a narrow stretch of water lying between the east coast of Sumatra Island in Indonesia and the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia, and is linked to the Straits of Singapore at its Southeast end. Approximately 990 kilometers long and 2.7 kilometers wide, the Straits of Malacca connects The Indian and Pacific Ocean and it's recognized as one of the most economically, strategically and the busiest shipping lanes in the world.

This study focuses on the Strait of Malacca and Singapore area because it is one of the world's most congested straits used for international shipping where located on the border among three countries of Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore. The strait of Malacca is a narrow strait where major hub is to be found and it is one of the heavy marine traffic.

The objective of this study is to estimate the air pollution (SOx, Nox, CO, CO2 and Particulate Matter) resulting from the marine traffic in the Strait of Malacca and Singapore areas using Automatic Identification System (AIS) data. The same method, previously conducted by Jalkanen et al (2009; 2011), Perez et al (2009) and Pitana (2010). AIS is used as an initial data, it is can identify Maritime Mobil Service Identity (MMSI) of ship, ship speed, initial position and type of ship. This data is used to evaluate the traffic density of the Strait of Malacca and Singapore area. The initial data will combine with ship database to obtain gross tonnage (GT) of the ship for emission estimation consideration.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The Strait of Malacca and Singapore is one of the world's most congested straits used for international shipping, a narrow Strait where major hub to be found and it is one of the heavy marine traffic. Consequently, that it may cause air pollution levels are high. Previous studies of ship emissions in the Malacca Strait, performed by the Street (1997), the study only measured levels of sulfur oxides (SOx) using ATMOS (Atmospheric Transport Modeling System) as dispersion model for emission. This project will try to find out how the air emission (SOx, NOx, CO, CO2 and particulate matter) from the ships in the Strait currently.

In addition, because the Straits located on the border among three countries of Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore, so it is necessary to know how the dispersion and how big influence of its emission on border countries alongside the coast of The Strait of Malacca and Singapore.

1.3 OBJECTIVE

The objectives of this study are:

- i. To estimate of exhaust gas emission that is produced by ships which is passing through The Strait of Malacca and Singapore.
- ii. To estimate the distribution of its emissions from ships on hoteling, maneuvering and cruising mode operation.
- iii. To determine the distribution's effect of its emission to several territories along the coast of the Straits of Malacca and Singapore by using the Gaussian Puff Model.

1.4 SCOPE OF STUDY

The scopes of this thesis are:

- i. The estimation of exhaust ship emission will focuses on the Strait of Malacca and Singapore area because it is one of the world's most congested straits used for shipping.
- ii. An analysis of actual vessel data will focus only data which are detected by AIS receiver installed at the Marine Technology Department, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, UTM Skudai, Johor Bahru, Malaysia.
- iii. The Estimation of exhaust ship emission will focuses on one certain day which is occurred the highest traffic in the last one year (2011/2012).
- iv. Analysis of the range of the most congested shipping hour of choosing a day that occurs highest traffic in the last one year (2011/2012).
- v. Analysis of emission effects of air pollution only on areas which is passed by ships which is detected by AIS receiver.

REFERENCES

- ———. 1987. Air Quality Guidelines for Europe. WHO Regional Publications, European Series 23. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe.
- Allen, C. T., G. S. Young, and S. E. Haupt. 2006. Improving Pollutant Source Characterization by Optimizing Meteorological Data with a Genetic Algorithm, Submitted to Atmos. Environ.

Altwicker, E. R. e. a. (2000). Air Pollution. Lewis Publishers.

- Colls, J. (2002). Air Pollution. 2nd Edition. London: New Fetter Lane.
- Daly, A. and P. Zannetti. 2007. An Introduction to Air Pollution Definitions, Classifications, and History. Chapter 1 of AMBIENT AIR POLLUTION (P. Zannetti, D. Al-Ajmi, and S. Al-Rashied, Editors). Published by The Arab School for Science and Technology (ASST) (http://www.arabschool.org.sy) and The EnviroComp Institute (http://www.envirocomp.org/).
- EEA. 2009. EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory guidebook 2009, Published by EEA (European Environment Agency), Technical report No 9/2009
- Environment Canada. 2004. Air Pollutant Emissions. Criteria Air Contaminants Emission Summaries, 2000. Available on-line at www.ec.gc.ca/pdb/ape/cac_ESummaries_e.cfm

- Environment Canada. 2003. Future Canadian Measures for Reducing Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) from Consumer and Commercial Products. A Discussion Paper in Advance of a Notice of Intent by the Federal Minister of the Environment. Transboundary Ai Issues Branch, Air Pollution Prevention Directorate.
- EPA. 1985. Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors: Volume II: Mobile Sources - Vessels. AP-42, Fourth Edition, September 1985
- EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1999. Nitrogen Oxides (NOx), Why and How They Are Controlled. Clean Air Technology Center (MD-12), Information Transfer and Program Integration Division Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards U.S. Environmental
- Flang, Richard, C and Seinfeld J.H. 1988. Fundamental Of Air Pollution Engineering. New Jersey : Prentice Halls,
- Harrould-Kolieb, Ellycia. 2008. Shipping Impacts On Climate: A Source With Solutions. Oceana-Protecting the World's Oceans, 350 Connecticut Ave., NW, 5th Floor Washington, DC 20036 USA
- IMO Resolution MSC.74 (69). "Recommendation on Performance Standards for A Universal Shipborne Automatic Identification System (AIS)".
- IMO (International Maritime Organization).1998. IMO Resolution MSC.74 (69)."Recommendation on Performance Standards for A Universal Shipborne Automatic Identification System (AIS)".
- IMO. 2009. Second IMO GHG Study 2009. International Maritime Organization (IMO), 4 Albert Embankment, London SE1 7SR Protection Agency Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711
- IMO (International Maritime Organization. 2003. Guidelines For The Installation Of A Shipborne Automatic Identification System (AIS).

- ITU-R Recommendation M.1371-1, "Technical Characteristics For A Universal Shipborne Automatic Identification System Using Time Division Multiple Access In The Maritime Mobile Band."
- Ishida, T. 2003. Emission of Estimate Methods of Air Pollution and Green House Gases from Ships, J. Jap. Inst. Mar. Eng., 37(1), 2003.
- Long, K.J., S.E. Haupt, G.S. Young, and C.T. Allen, 2007: Characterizing Contaminant Source and Meteorological Forcing using Data Assimilation with a Genetic Algorithm, Fifth Conference on Artificial Intelligence Applications to Environmental Science at AMS Annual Meeting, San Antonio, TX, Jan. 16, Paper number 4.3.
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). 1976. Criteria for a Recommended Standard, Occupational Exposure to Carbon Dioxide. August 1976.
- Neftal, A., Oeschger, H., Schwander, J., Steuffer, B. and Zumbrunn, R., 1982. Ice core sample measurement gives atmospheric CO2 content over the past 40,000 yr. Nature, 1982, 295, 220–223.
- Nelson, L. 2000. Carbon Dioxide Poisoning. Emerg. Medicine 32(5):36-38. Summary of physiological effects and toxicology of CO2 on humans.
- Petit, J. R. et al., 1999. Climate and atmospheric history of the past 420,00 years from the Vostok ice core, Antarctic. Nature, 1999, 399, 429–436.
- Perez, M., Chang, R., Billings, R., Kosub, T.L., 2009. Automatic Identification System (AIS) data use in marine vessel emission estimation. 18th Annual International Emission Inventory Conference.
- Pingjian, L., Kobayashi, E., Ohsawa, T., Sakata, M., Case Study on Health Assessments Related to a Modal Shift in Transportation, Journal of Marine Science and Technology-JASNACE, 2006.

- Pollution Probe. 2005. Primer On Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs). 625 Church Pollution Probe , Street Suite 402 Toronto, Ontario Canada M4Y 2G1.
- Robertson, D. S. 2006. Health effects of increase in concentration of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. Current Science, Vol. 90, No. 12, 25 June 2006.
- Schwartz, Joel, and S. Zegler. 1990. "Passive Smoking, Air Pollution and Acute Respiratory Symptoms in a Diary Study of Student Nurses." American Review of Respiratory Disease 141: 62–67.
- Trozzi C. 2010. Update of Emission Estimate Methodology for Maritime Navigation, Techne Consulting report ETC.EF.10 DD, May 2010
- Turner, D. (1970). Workbook of atmospheric dispersion estimates (Revised). US EPA: Office of Air Programs, Research Triangle Park, N.C. Pub. No. AP-26.
- USEPA (1995). User's guide for the Industrial Source Complex (ISC3) Dispersion Models. US EPA: Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards Emissions, Monitoring, and Analysis Division, Research Triangle Park, N.C. Vol. 2,EPA-454/B-95-003b.
- Vallius, Marko . 2005. Characteristics And Sources Of Fine Particulate Matter In Urban Air. National Public Health Institute, Department of Environmental Health Kuopio, Finland
- Wark, K. and Warner, C.F. (1976). Air Pollution; Its Origin and Control. New York: Purdue University, A Dun-Donnelley Publisher.
- Ward, Peter L. 2009. Sulfur dioxide initiates global climate change in four ways. Thin Solid Films, Volume 517, issue 11 (April 2, 2009), p. 3188-3203. ISSN: 0040-6090 DOI: 10.1016/j.tsf.2009.01.005. Elsevier Science

- WHO. 1999. Environmental Health Criteria 213: Carbon Monoxide (Second Edition). World Health Organization. Geneva, 1999. SBN 9241572132 (NLM classification: QV 662) ISSN 0250-863X
- WHO. 2006. Health risks of particulate matter from long-range transboundary air pollution. World Health Organization
- Word Bank Group. 1998. Sulfur Oxides. Pollution Prevention and Abatement Handbook. WORLD BANK GROUP: Effective July 1998.
- World Bank Group. 1998. Pollution Prevention and Abatement Handbook. Project Guidelines:Pollutants-Effective July 1998.