AN INVESTIGATION ON VEHICLE OVERLOADING IN MUAR – MELAKA ROAD

HAZLINA BINTI MARWAN

A project report submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil)

Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DEDICATION

To My dear Parents (Sutiah Binti Siraj and Marwan Bn Arshad)
For their endless support

My Supportive Husband (*Md Khair Bin Rathaman*)

For motivating and believing in me

My Lovely Daughters (Nur Alya Nafisah and Nur Hasya Maisara)
and My Son (Muhammad Khalief)
For their everlasting encouragement in my education

My Friends

This project paper is dedicated to them

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

"In the name of God, the most gracious, the most compassionate"

I wish to express my deep gratitude to my supervisor, Prof Dr Mohd Rosli Bin Hainin for his valuable time, supervision and encouragement throughout the course of this study. Special thanks dedicated to Panel members who gave very constructive comments and suggestions in guiding the study on the right track during the first and second presentation (Prof. Hasanan Bin Md Nor and Dr Haryati Binti Yaacob).

I would also like to acknowledge the staff of Jabatan Pengangkutan Jalan (JPJ) Jalan Kesang Muar especially En Hafiz for the in cooperation and assistance in providing the data of vehicles overloading. My sincere appreciation goes to all Selia Senggara staffs especially En Halim and En Kamil for their constant support during my study. No words can express my gratitude to my family for all they have given me through my entire life especially in and academic fulfillment and advancement career.

ABSTRACT

Vehicle overloading is considered as one of the most substantial concerns in road transport due to a possible road surface damage. The ability of a pavement structure in carrying out its function reduces in line with the increase of traffic load, especially if there are overloaded heavy vehicle passing through the road. This paper investigates the overloading of vehicles in the Muar-Melaka roads. The main objective of this paper is to examine the percentage of overloading based on types of vehicles and their equivalence factor (EF). Data were collected from fixed weighbridge station by considering gross vehicle weight, maximum permissible gross vehicle and axle load for the period of two years. The result showed that heavy vehicle with 2 axles is the most overloaded vehicle with 74.3%. Besides that, 29% of all heavy vehicles weighted are between 1% to 25% overloading. Equivalence Factor (EF) for this case study area is equal to 3.14. Thus, it is can be noted that EF of this road are still in standard design.

ABSTRAK

Kenderaan lebih muatan dianggap sebagai salah satu pekara yang perlu diambil berat dalam sistem pengangkutan jalan raya kerana pekara ini boleh menyebabkan kerosakan pada permukaan jalan. Kebolehupayaan struktur turapan akan berkurangan selaras dengan peningkatan beban trafik, terutamanya jika terdapat terlalu banyak kenderaan berat lebih muatan melalui jalan raya tersebut. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengkaji kenderaan lebih muatan di jalan Muar-Melaka. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji peratusan lebih muatan berdasarkan kepada jenis kenderaan dan juga "equivalence factor" (EF) bagi jalan tersebut. Data yang dikumpul dari stesen jambatan timbang (jenis tetap) dengan mempertimbangkan berat kasar kenderaan, berat kasar maksimum kenderaan yang dibenarkan dan beban gandar adalah bagi tempoh dua tahun. Hasil dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa kenderaan berat dengan 2 gandar adalah penyumbang terbesar kenderaan lebih muatan di kawasan kajian ini dengan 74.3%.. Selain daripada itu,, 29% kenderaan lebih muatan yang ditimbang mempunyai muatan lebih 1% hingga 25%. "Equivalence Factor" (EF) bagi kawasan ini kajian kes adalah bersamaan dengan 3.14 dan ini menunjukkan bahawa EF bagi jalan ini ini masih sama dengan standard rekabentuk yang sediada.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE		PAGE
	DEC	CLARATION	ii
	DED	DICATION	iii
	ACK	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABS	TRACT	V
	ABS	TRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES		vii
			X
	LIST OF FIGURES		xi
	LIST	Γ OF APPENDICES	xiii
1	INTRODUCTION		1
	1.1	Background	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	2
	1.3	Objectives of this Study	3
	1.4	Scope of the Study	3
	1.5	Study Area	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW		5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	Pravious Study	6

	2.3	Vehicl	les Classification	8	
	2.4	Gross	Gross Vehicle Weight		
	2.5	Axle a	and Tire Configuration	11	
	2.6	Axle I	Load	12	
		2.6.1	Axle Load Limit	13	
	2.7	Load I	Equivalence Factor	15	
		2.7.1	Fourth Power Rule	17	
		2.7.2	Deficiencies of the AASHTO Load	18	
			Equivalency Factor		
	2.8	Weigh	nt data	20	
	2.9	Weigh	abridge	21	
		2.9.1	Fixed/Permanent Weighbridge	22	
		2.9.2	Weight In Motion	24	
	2.10	Impac	t of Overloading on Pavement	25	
		2.10.1	Load spreading to a pavement	27	
		2.10.2	Effects of Increased Legal Load Limit	28	
3	RESI	ESEARCH METHODOLOGY			
	3.1	Introduction			
	3.2	Operat	tional Framework	30	
	3.3		Preparation Stage		
	3.4	Data Collection		31	
		3.4.1	Weighing Bridge Station	31	
		3.4.2	Vehicles Classification	32	
		3.4.3	Parameter for Vehicles Overloading	34	
			Measurement		
		3.4.4	Weighing Procedure	34	
	3.5	Data A	Analysis	37	
	26	Evalua	ation	38	
	3.6	Lvaiuc	2011	50	

4	ANA	LYSIS		39
	4.1	Introd	luction	39
	4.2	Overl	oaded Vehicles Analysis	40
		4.2.1	Overloaded Weight by Types of	40
			Vehicles	
		4.2.2	Overloading Vehicles by Percentage	42
			Of Overloaded Weight	
		4.2.3	Pattern of Overloaded Weight by	45
			Month	
	4.3	Determination of Equivalence Factor (EF)		
		4.3.1	Calculating EF for each Axle	54
		4.3.2	Calculating the Average od EF	56
			Per Vehicle	
5	CON	ICLUSI	ON AND RECOMMENDATION	59
	5.1	Introd	uction	59
	5.2	Concl	60	
	5.3	Recor	nmendation for The Future Research	60
	REF	ERENC	CES	62
	APP	ENDIC	ES	65

LIST OF TABLE

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Maximum Permissible Gross Vehicle Weight	10
2.2	Maximum axle load for Malaysia	13
2.3	Load Limits and Regional Characteristics	14
2.4	Axle Load Limit In Various Country	15
2.5	OIML Approved Types of Weighing Systems	21
4.1	Calculation of LEF for all vehicles	56
4.2	Guide for Load Equivalence factor without Axle	57
	Load Study	
4.3	Percentage of selected commercial vehicles	57
4.4	Comparison of EF	58

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	NO TITLE	
PAGE		
1 1	Chudu Anao	4
1.1	Study Area	4
2.1	Standard Vehicles Classification Chart	9
2.2	Tire Axle Combinations	11
2.3	Deflection Basin Under A .Loaded Wheel	13
2.4	One Overloaded Axle Causes Damage Equivalent To Approximately	16
2.5	Sources of errors at the weighing place, uneven place of weighing	21
2.6	Sources Of Errors At The Weighing Place; Weighing Site Gradients	22
2.7	Permanent weighbridges	23
2.8	WIM weighbridges	25
2.9	Impact of overloading on pavement performance (Legal Load Limit)	26
2.10	Impact of overloading on pavement performance (Overloading)	26
2.11	A typical load spreading in a road pavement.	27
3.1	Flow chart of the Study	30
3.2	JPJ Weighing Bridge Station	32
3.3	Heavy vehicle category listing (source JPJ Muar)	33
3.4	Permanent weighbridge to accommodate heavy Vehicles	35

3.5	Truck will categorize as overloaded vehicles if it weight over the Maximum Permissible Gross Vehicle Weight	35
3.6	Weighing the front axle	36
3.7	Weighing the rear axle	36
3.8	Gross vehicle weight and weight per axle will display in this screen after the weighing process finish	37
4.1	Distribution By Types of Vehicles in the year of 2012	42
4.2	Distribution By Types of Vehicles in the year of 2011	42
4.3	Number of overloaded vehicles with 1% -25% overloading	44
4.4	Number of overloaded vehicles with 26% -50% overloading	44
4.5	Number of overloaded vehicles with 51% -75% overloading	45
4.6	Number of overloaded vehicles with 76% -100% overloading	45
4.7	Number of overloaded vehicles with above 100% overloading	46
4.8	Percentage of Overloaded Vehicles in the year of 2012	49
4.9	Percentage of Overloaded Vehicles in the year of 2012	50
4.10	Percentage of Overloaded Vehicles in the year of 2011	53
4.11	Percentage of Overloaded Vehicles in the year of 2011	54
4.12	Calculation of EF for all vehicles	57

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1	Axle Load Calculation for 2 Axle Heavy Vehicle	65
Table 2	Axle Load Calculation for 3 Axle Heavy Vehicle	73
Table 3	Axle Load Calculation for 4 Axle Heavy Vehicle	74
Table 4	Axle Load Calculation for 6 Axle Heavy Vehicle	75

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Roads represent the largest asset value of a transport infrastructure in most countries. They are one of society's most essential components. Without them, it would be very difficult to travel from one place to another in a time saving and convenience way. Therefore, every country should have an excellent road system and in order to fulfill this function, roads must be properly designed and possesses durability. However, there are roads built on weaker subgrade material resulting in poor performance and cause losses in both serviceability and economy. Although different factors such as material, environment and design consideration may be able to cause the pavement deterioration, the most important contributing factor is traffic loading especially contributed by heavy good vehicles.(Chatti et al. 2004)

An overloading is defined as a load that exceeds the legal truck limit. According to Mohammadi et al.(1992), overload vehicles are expected to contribute more dramatically to the accumulative damage and the damage ratio is 384 442 to when comparing an 80 000 pound (36 288 kg) heavily loaded truck on five axles with a 2000 pound (908 kg) compact size passenger car. This is

especially true when these heavier loads happen frequently. The increase in the overload occurrences was found to cause a noticeable increase in damage done to pavements. Since the greater percentage of goods transported by road is increasing, it is expected that heavy vehicles will remain a common sight on our roads in the foreseeable future. Careful attention should therefore be given in minimizing the use of heavy vehicles in order to prevent damage of road structure caused by them.

1.2 Problem Statement

Malaysia's road is divided into three main categories namely toll expressway (1,700km), federal roads (17,500km) and state roads (61,100km) and the life spans are between 10 to 15 years (Zakaria and Hassan, 2005). Nevertheless, the damages of the pavements occur earlier than expected. One of the recent issues related to road transportation in Malaysia is the behaviour of overloading vehicles especially the increasing number of trucks. This resulted to damages of roads especially in industrial areas because of the over capacity loads that is not aligned with the specified design lifespan of the road structure.

On the other hand, heavy vehicle also contributed to deterioration of the road. There were 19.3 million registered vehicles in the Malaysian roads, and the government spent RM5 billion between 2001 and 2010 to keep sustaining all the Federal roads. This value can be reduced if overloading of vehicles is prevented. So far, little documented research has been carried out pertaining to on axle load in relation to road damages. The need for one is inevitable due to increasing number of extensive development in Malaysia.

Overloaded vehicles could put road user's lives at risk. These vehicles are difficult to steer, less stable and they require a longer stopping distance; which make them very dangerous especially in sharp curves and steep slopes. Besides, overloading can also cause several detrimental impacts on the reliability of

pavement structure. It does not only reduce the lifespan of the pavement itself but also could cause bad road destruction that could lead to accidents. In addition, data from Malaysia Institute of Road Safety Research showed that 25% of fatal accidents involved heavy vehicles.

1.3 Objectives of this Study

The aim of this study is to evaluate the overloading vehicles at Muar – Melaka roads. The objectives were to:

- i. determine the percentage of overloading vehicles.
- ii. determine an average equivalency factor (EF) of the road.

1.4 Scope of the Study

In this study, the research scope and limitation are as follow:

- i. This study focuses on overloading situation in Muar-Melaka road segment, that is the two-way four lane divided (4/2 D) flexible pavement.
- ii. The overloading data were collected in 2011 and 2012
- iii. The calculation of equivalence factor (EF) is based on TRRL Road Note 40.

1.5 Study Area

The location of this study is in Muar - Melaka road segment, which consists of Johor Baharu - Muar - Melaka federal road specifically located in Jalan Kesang, Muar District as shown in Figure 1.1. The length of this road section is approximately 46 km. Muar-Malacca road segment is a flexible structure that consists of asphalt surface constructed on stabilized base and sub base course.



Figure 1.1: Study Area

REFERENCES

- AASHTO,(1993). AASHTO guide for Design of Pavement Structures, American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C.
- Alavi, Sirous H, Kevin A. Senn.(1999). *Development of New Pavement Design Equivalent Single Axle Load (ESAL)*, Final Report. FHWA-AZ99-455. Nichols Consulting Engineers.
- Chatti,K, Salama H.K, and Mohtar El C. (2004). Effect of Heavy Trucks with Large Axle Groups on Asphalt Pavement Damage, International Symposium on Heavy Vehicle Weights And Dimensions, Johannesburg, South Africa
- CSIR, Roads and Transport Technology,(1997). *The Damaging Effects of Overloaded Heavy Vehicles on Roads (Pad27 revised)*, Department of Transport, Republic of South Africa, 1997CSIR
- Dodoo, N. A. & Thorpe, N. (2005). New Approach for Allocating Pavement Damage between Heavy Good Vehicles for Road-user Charging. School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, University of Newcastle Tyne, pp. 419-430.
- Eason, K. & Greene, D. (2008), *Potential Impact of 97,000-Gvw on Logging Costs*. Retrieved from Agricultural Transportation Efficiency Coalition website: http://ag-haul.org/resources/ multimedia/PDF/ AgTEC-GVWstatement.pdf.
- Federal Highway Administration Guide to LTPP Traffic Data Collection and Processing (2001).FHWA, Washington, D.C.
- Hallenbeck, M. and Weinblatt, H.(2004). *Equipment for Collecting Traffic Load Data*, NHCRP REPORT 509, Washington, D.C.

- Highway Planning Division, (2012). *Road Traffic Volume Malaysia (RTVM) 2011*Ministry of Works Malaysia
- Huang, Wen, Li Xu-hong, Ju Peng & He Jie (2005). Site Survey and Analysis of Highway Trucks Overloading Status Quo in Anhui., Journal of Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies 6:14.
- Ioannides, A. M. and Khazanovich L. (1993). *Load Equivalency Concepts: A Mechanistic Reappraisal*, Transportation Research Record 1388,

 Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C.
- Jarvis, S. (2008). *Increase Truck Weight Limits For Agricultural Transportation* from 80,000 to 97,000 Pounds. Retrieved from Agricultural Transportation Efficiency Coalition website: http://ag-haul.org/resources/multimedia/PDF/ AgTEC-GVWstatement.pdf.
- Johnsson, Richard (2004). The Cost of Relying on the wrong Power-road wear and the importance of the Fourth Power Rule, Transport Policy 11:9
- JICA Study Team, (2011). Study for the Harmonization of Vehicle Overload

 Control in the East African Community, Final Report The East African

 Trade and Transport Facilitation Project (EATTFP)
- Matthews, J. A. & Baumeister, K. L. (1976). Damage to Pavement due to Axle Load. Technical Report Document Page, California Department of Transportation, Sacramento, California 95807.
- Mohd Hizam bin Harun (2011). *The new JKR manual on pavement design*, Final Report, JKR 20601-LK-0156-KP-05.
- Mohammadi, J. and Shah, N. (1992). *Statistical Evaluation of Truck Overloads*. Journal of *Transportation Engineering*., 118(5), 651–665.
- Mulyono, A.T, Parikesit D, Antameng M, and Rahim R (2010). *Analysis of Loss Cost of Road Pavement Distress due to Overloading Freight Transportation*, Journal of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies, vol. 8.
- Osama, Mahmoud Yassenn,, Mohamed Ahmed Hafez, Intan Rohani Endut,
 Bahardin Bin Baharom, and Md Yunus Ab Wahab (2012). *Axle Overloading At The Southern Part Of The North-South Expressway In Malaysia*, IEEE Business, Engineering & Industrial Applications
 Colloquium (BEIAC).

- Osama, Mahmoud Yassenn, Mohamed Ahmed Hafez, Intan Rohani Endut,
 Bahardin Bin Baharom, and Md Yunus Ab Wahab (2011). *Overloading At The Northern Part Of The Malaysian Expressway*, IEEE Colloquium on
 Humanities, Science and Engineering Research (CHUSER).
- Rahim (2000). Analysis of Road Damage Due to Overloading on the Causeway in Eastern. Sumatra .Riau Province. Thesis-S2, Master System and Transportation Engineering, Gajahmada University (UGM), Yogyakarta
- Road Note 40 (2004). A Guide To Axle Load Surveys & Traffic Counts For Determining Traffic Loading On Pavement, Transportation and Road Research Laboratory(TRRL) ISSN 0951-8797
- Road Department of Botswana (2000). *Guideline For Axle Load Surveys*. Ministry of Works, Transport & Communication Botswana. ISBN 99912 0 358 3
- Salem, H.M.A, (2008). Effect Of Excess Axle Weights On Pavement Life, Emirates Journal for Engineering Research, 13 (1).
- Sulisty, B.S. and Handayani, C. (2002). *The effect of heavy vehicle's overloading* to the pavement damage/service life, Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering University of Diponegoro Semarang, Indonesia.
- Warsak, Kanok-Nukulchai, , (2005). *Heavy weight Champion of the Road*, http://www.thaiengineering.com/viewnew.php?id=231
- Zakaria, S. & Hasan, M. Z. (2005). *Data Collection Strategies in Malaysia*, Public Work Department Malaysia