

PROBABILISTIC COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF INSTALLING
EXTENSIVE GREEN ROOF IN MALAYSIA

AMIR MAHDIYAR

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Construction Management)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2014

*To my beloved mother, father, sister and
dear Sanaz*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to my project report supervisor, Dr. Khairulzan bin Yahya, for his, guidance and critics regarding the processing and editing of this project. Without his continued support and interest, this project report would not have been the same as presented here.

During this work I have collaborated with many people for whom I have great regard, and I wish to extend my warmest thanks to all those who have helped me with my work in the faculty of Civil Engineering in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

I owe my loving thanks to my parents who always pray for my success in everyday life. Without their encouragement it would have been impossible to finish this work.

ABSTRACT

Green roofs have been known as an environmentally friendly application and a sustainable approach in the developed countries such as: Germany, United States and Canada. Although environmental cost-benefits related issues of green roofs are already proven by many researchers in developed countries, it is not used widely in developing countries like Malaysia. It is estimated that the market at first focuses on extensive green roof due to the lower initial and maintenance costs; as a result, a probabilistic cost-benefit analysis for extensive green roof is conducted in this study. Installation, maintenance, and disposal costs of extensive green roof system are compared with the related private and social benefits (increase of property value, savings for air conditioning, longevity, air quality improvement, carbon reduction, etc.), determining two indicators: the Net Present Value (NPV) and the Pay Back Period (PBP), using Monte Carlo simulation. The analysis demonstrated that green roofs are not long-term investments in terms of net returns. In general, installing extensive green roof is a low risk investment. Furthermore, the probability of profits out of this application is much higher than the potential financial losses.

ABSTRAK

Bumbung hijau telah dikenali sebagai satu aplikasi yang mesra alam, dan sebuah pendekatan yang mapan di Negara – Negara maju seperti : Jerman, Amerika Syarikat dan Kanada. Walaupun isu berkaitan kos faedah persekitaran bagi bumbung hijau telahpun dibuktikan oleh ramai penyelidik di Negara maju, ianya masih belum digunakan secara meluas di Negara membangun seperti Malaysia. Adalah dianggarkan bahawa matlamat utama pasaran untuk bumbung hijau sepenuhnya hanya menumpukan kepada kos permulaan dan penyelenggaraan yang rendah; hasilnya, satu analisis kos-faedah kebarangkalian untuk bumbung hijau sepenuhnya telah dijalankan dalam penyelidikan ini. Kos pemasangan, penyelenggaraan dan pelupusan bagi bumbung hijau sepenuhnya dibuat perbandingan dengan faedah berkaitan swasta dan sosial (peningkatan nilai hartanah, penjimatan penyaman udara, ketahanan lama, peningkatan kualiti udara, pengurangan karbon, dan lain –lain), menentukan dua penunjuk: Net Present Value (NPV) dan Pay Back Period (PBP), dengan menggunakan simulasi Monte Carlo. Analisis menunjukkan bahawa bumbung hijau bukan satu pelaburan jangka-panjang dari segi jumlah pulangan bersih. Secara umum, pemasangan bumbung hijau sepenuhnya ialah satu pelaburan risiko rendah. Tambahan pula, kebarangkalian keuntungan daripada aplikasi ini lebih tinggi daripada potensi kerugian perbelanjaan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Sustainable Designing and Development	1
	1.2 Background of Study	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	3
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
	1.5 Aim and Objectives	5
	1.6 Significance of Study	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Overview	7

2.2 Construction, and Sustainable Environment	8
2.3 A Brief History of Green Roofs	9
2.3.1 Novelty	9
2.3.2 Cost	10
2.4 Major Types of Green Roofs	10
2.4.1 Intensive	10
2.4.2 Extensive	11
2.5 Green Roof Benefits and Costs	12
2.5.1 Initial Cost	13
2.5.2 Property Value	15
2.5.3 Tax Reduction	15
2.5.4 Stormwater Management	16
2.5.5 Lower Energy Cost	18
2.5.6 Longer Life for the Roof Membrane	27
2.5.7 Mitigate of Urban Heat Island Effect	29
2.5.8 Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Cost	31
2.5.9 Air Pollution vs. Air Quality Improvement	32
2.5.10 Carbon Reduction	34
2.5.11 Reduction of infrastructure improvement costs	35
2.5.12 Reduction of Flood Risk	36
2.5.13 Habitat Creation for Urban Wildlife	36
2.5.14 Aesthetic, and Provision of Recreational Space	37
2.5.15 Land fill and Disposal	39
2.6 Barriers and Opportunity of Installing Green Roof	41
2.7 Retrofitting With Green Roof	42
2.8 Performance Evaluation of Plant Selection	43
2.8.1 Different Vegetation	44

2.9	Economic Analyses	45
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	52
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Monte Carlo Analysis	52
3.3	Net Present Value (NPV)	54
3.4	Payback Period	54
3.5	Lifecycle Probabilistic Analysis	55
3.5.1	Identifying Factors Affecting Economic Feasibility	56
3.5.2	Using Software and Doing the Calculation	56
3.6	Research Outline	59
4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	60
4.1	Introduction	60
4.2	Variables	61
4.3	Data Collection	61
4.3.1	Personal Cost and Benefits	62
4.3.1.7.1	Intensive:	68
4.3.1.7.2	Extensive	68
4.3.2	Social Costs and Benefits	69
4.3.3	Data Collection Summary	77
4.4	Net Present Value	78
4.4.1	Personal Sector	78
4.4.2	Personal and Social Sectors	79
4.5	Payback Period	81
4.5.1	Personal Sector	81
4.5.2	Personal and Social Sector	84

4.6 Comparison between Two Scenarios in Terms of NPV and Payback	88
4.7 Sensitivity Analysis	89
4.8 Discussion	90
5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	92
5.1 Introduction	92
5.2 Evaluation on the Objectives of the Study	92
5.2.1 Objective 1	93
5.2.2 Objective 2	93
5.2.3 Objective 3	94
5.3 Limitations of Study	94
5.4 Recommendations	94
5.4.1 Recommendations Based on Findings	95
5.4.2 Recommendations for Further Research Studies	95
REFERENCES	96

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Categorization on types of green roofs	12
4.1	Initial cost value	63
4.2	Property value	64
4.3	Avoided storm water in drainage system	65
4.4	Energy reduction- cooling and heating benefit	66
4.5	Operation and maintenance (O&M) cost	68
4.6	Air pollution	70
4.7	Carbon reduction	71
4.8	Air quality improvements	72
4.9	Reduction of infrastructure	72
4.10	Reduction of flood risk	73
4.11	Mitigation of urban heat island effect	74
4.12	Landfill cost- disposal	75
4.13	Inflation rate	76
4.14	Discount rate	76

4.15	Data input for personal and social probabilistic analysis	77
------	---	----

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2-1	Intensive green roof	11
2-2	Extensive green roof	11
2-3	Surface temperature fluctuations	28
2-4	Beautiful amenity space	38
3-1	Risk Solver Platform	57
3-2	How to apply distribution in Risk Solver Platform	57
3-3	How to obtain result in Risk Solver Platform	58
3-4	Research Outline	59
4-1	Frequency of personal NPV	79
4-2	Cumulative frequency of personal NPV	80
4-3	Frequency of personal and social NPV	81
4-4	Cumulative frequency of personal and social NPV	81
4-5	Frequency of cash flow year 4	82
4-6	Cumulative frequency of cash flow year 4	83
4-7	Frequency of cash flow year 5	83

4-8	Payback period-year 14	84
4-9	Cumulative frequency of cash flow year 5	84
4-10	Cumulative payback period-year 14	85
4-11	Frequency of cash flow in year 3	86
4-12	Cumulative frequency of Cash flow in year 3	86
4-13	Cumulative frequency of cash flow in year 4	87
4-14	Frequency of cash flow in year 4	87
4-15	Frequency of cash flow in year 9	88
4-16	Cumulative frequency of cash flow in year 9	88
4-17	NPV during lifespan of extensive green roof	89
4-18	Payback of extensive green roof	89
4-19	Sensitivity of factors in calculating NPV and Payback	90

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Sustainable Designing and Development

Nowadays, sustainability is a crucial issue all around the world and governments have found the necessity of energy saving for next generations, as a result, they try to find out some solutions to reduce energy consumption. Indeed, sustainable development, is a review on modernism and tradition and also the interaction between them. Sustainable development has been expressed in Norwegian meeting as “a development to provide our requirements without any reduction for next generation” (D’Orazio, et al., 2012).

According to this statement, sustainable development, is a development in a scale of responding to human requirements without disturbing the facilities that have potential capability of providing next generations requirements. Since, sustainable designing is an intersection between human and virgin nature which try to innovate some solution to find a balance between environmental, social, and economical targets to increase the life quality of futures.

In contemporary architecture, changes according to climate circumstances and sustainable issues is becoming more popular and it is not just for sustainability of building's shell, and it could be occurred as an integrated totality for earth and energy sources.

One of the most important parts of a building is roof and many wastages of energy is related to this part. there are many introduced solution due to solve this problem but, we can clearly see the increasing public, industry and government interest in establishing green roofs in many cities due to their demonstrated environmental benefits.

Green roofs can be classified as intensive and extensive roofs based on their purpose and characteristics. Green roofs are built with different layers and variable thicknesses depending on the roof type and/or weather conditions. Basic layers, from bottom to top, of green roof systems usually consists of a root barrier, drainage, filter, growing medium, and vegetation layer.

1.2 Background of Study

In a study, (Jaffal, et al., 2012) emphasized that green roofs are considered to be an effective contribution to the resolution of several environmental problems at the building and urban levels and have several environmental benefits, such as improving building energy efficiency. In addition to the creation of a pleasant environment, green roofs offer several benefits in comparison to conventional roofs. They improve stormwater management as well as reduce air pollution and noise. Green roofs increase vegetal and animal biodiversity in cities, and they also reduce a city's carbon footprint by converting carbon dioxide to oxygen through photosynthesis.

There are different green roof systems available in the market to supply for different weather conditions and user expectations. Usually, green roofs have, from bottom to top, a root barrier, drainage, filter, growing medium, and vegetation. Manufacturers use worldwide-produced polymers, like low density polyethylene (LDPE) and polypropylene (PP), due to their easy installation, high strength, durability and low production cost. Generally, recycled LDPE is used to manufacture the root barrier, and recycled PP is used to manufacture the water retention and drainage layers.

These plastics improve the performance of green roof systems, reduce cost and overall weight of the system; however, their use as green roof layers has a socio-environmental cost. Green roofs take on average 25 years to balance the pollution released to air due to the production process of polymers. Thereby, reusing waste materials can reduce the green footprint of vegetative roofs. Responsible construction management requires quantitative estimates of costs and benefits of the alternative uses of the environment. (Carter, et al., 2008), and (Clark, et al., 2008) have proven the economic advantages of green roofs. However, a lifecycle benefit-cost value representing a unit of area of a green roof is proven by (Bianchini & Hewage, 2012).

1.3 Problem Statement

Currently, sustainability is a crucial issue all around the world and governments have found the necessity using sustainable approaches for the next generations. Green roofs are accepted as sustainable construction practice and as it can be seen in developed countries like European countries and US, there are ample installed green roofs from all types (Cantor & Steven , 2008). Although Malaysia has a significant potential of using this environmentally friendly application, it is not used widely in this country.

It is difficult to find reliable data to estimate and do the cost-benefit analyses. Investigating on cost-related issues is the first step in using a new application and the result of economic-feasibility could play a critical role in decision making about usage or rejecting that application, however, it may have many environmental advantages.

However, myriad of benefits of green roofs are proven in Malaysia (Musa, 2006), clients developers and contractors are not enthusiastic to use of this this alternative as conventional roofs and the most important reason could be the shortage of economic type of researches in two types of green roof; as a result if someone doesn't know about costs and benefits of using an application, rarely will change his mind to use an alternative instead of a proven construction practice.

1.4 Scope of Study

In order to achieve the objectives appropriately, each study must be limited on specific scopes. This research is not an exception from this rule too. Green roofs carry a large number of environmental benefits that could be appropriate for tropical climates with rainy weather. This study focuses on factors affecting on economic feasibility of extensive type of green roof in Malaysia. In this study, all the critical data is related to Malaysia and due to lack of literature in some fields, some of them gathered from reliable sources.

1.5 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study is to investigate the economic-financial feasibility of installing extensive green roof with probabilistic cost-benefit analysis in Malaysia. To achieve these aims following objectives have determined:

1. To identify both personal and social factors affecting on economic feasibility of extensive green roof in Malaysia.
2. To calculate the NPV investment of extensive green roof considering personal and social costs and benefits.
3. To indicate the payback period of installing an extensive green roof in Malaysia.

1.6 Significance of Study

Nowadays, taking the advantages of sustainable approaches is a crucial issue for developing countries and green roof is no exception. As it can be seen in developed countries like European countries and US, there are ample installed green roofs as a sustainable application and different types of green roofs are being constructed. Although Malaysia has a significant potential of using this environmentally friendly application, there is no research that has been conducted on economic-financial feasibility of installing any types of green roof. It is obvious that economic issues play a critical role in the first step of investigating in feasibility of using a new application. This study is conducted to illustrate all the personal and social costs and benefits to

generate a new insight into cost-related issues of using green roofs in comparison with conventional roofs for investors.

References

- Alexandri & Eleftheria, 2008. Temperature decreases in an urban canyon due to green walls and green. *building and environment*, Volume 43, pp. 480-493.
- Ascione, F. et al., 2013. Green roofs in European climates. Are effective solutions for the energy. *Applied Energy*, Volume 104, pp. 845-859.
- Barrio & Del, E. P., 1998. Analysis of the green roofs cooling potential in buildings. *energy and buildings*, Volume 27, pp. 179-193.
- Berndtsson & Czemieli, J., 2010. Green roof performance towards management of runoff water quantity and. *Ecological Engineering*, Volume 36, pp. 351-360.
- Bianchini, F. & Hewage, K., 2012. How“green”are the green roofs? Lifecycle analysis of. *elsevier*, 48(*build environ*), pp. 57-65.
- Bianchini, F. & Hewage, K., 2012. Probabilistic social cost-benefit analysis for green roofs: A lifecycle approach. *elsevier*, 58(*Building and Environment*), pp. 152-162.
- C.Y, J. & S.W, T., 2011. Ecological energetics of tropical intensive green roof. *energy and buildings*, Volume 43, pp. 2696-2704.
- Cantor & Steven , L., 2008. *Green Roofs in Sustainable Landscape Design*. s.l.:W.W.Norton & Company.
- Carter, Keeler, A. & Timothy, 2008. Life-cycle cost–benefit analysis of extensive vegetated roof systems. *Journal of Environmental Management*, Volume 87, pp. 350-363.
- Castleton, H., V. Stovin, S.B.M. Beck & J.B. Davison, 2010. Green roofs; building energy savings and the potential for retrofit. *energy and buildings*, Volume 42, pp. 1582-1591.
- CLARK, C., PETER ADRIAENS, AND F & BRIAN TALBOT, 2008. Green Roof Valuation: A Probabilistic Economic Analysis of Environmental Benefits. *Environ. Sci. Technol*, Volume 42, pp. 2155-2161.

- D’Orazio, M., C. Di Perna & E. Di Giuseppe, 2012. Green roof yearly performance: A case study in a highly insulated building under. *energy and buildings*, Volume 55, pp. 439-451.
- Feng, C., Qinglin Meng & Yufeng Zhang, 2010. Theoretical and experimental analysis of the energy balance of. *Energy and Buildings*, Volume 42, pp. 959-965.
- Fioretti, R., 2010. Green roof energy and water related performance in the Mediterranean climate. *building and environment*, Volume 45, pp. 1890-1904.
- GD, G., 2002. Social and environmental benefits of forestry phase 2: landscape, s.l.: Centre for Research in Environmental Appraisal and Management, University of Newcastle upon Tyne.
- Hodo-Abalo, S., Magolmèèna Banna & Belkacem Zeghmati, 2012. Performance analysis of a planted roof as a passive cooling technique. *renewable energy*, Volume 39, pp. 140-148.
- Investopedia, 2013. [Online]
Available at: <http://www.investopedia.com/terms/d/discountrate.asp>
- Jaffal, I., Salah-Eddine Ouldboukhitine & Rafik Belarbi, 2012. A comprehensive study of the impact of green roofs on building. *renewable energy*, Volume 43, pp. 157-164.
- Li, J.-f.et al., 2010. Effect of green roof on ambient CO₂ concentration. *Building and Environment*, Volume 45, pp. 2644-2651.
- MacIvor, J. S. & Jeremy Lundholm, 2011. Performance evaluation of native plants suited to extensive. *Ecological Engineering*, Volume 37, pp. 407-417.
- Mohd Idrus Hj Mohd Masirin, 2008. An Overview Of Landfill Management And Technologies : A Malaysian Case Study At Ampar Tenang.
- Musa, S., 2006. Potential Of Storm Water Capacity Using Vegetated Roofs In Malaysia.
- N.H. Wong, D. c. H. Y. C. A., 2003. he effects of rooftop garden on energy. *elsevier*, 35(*Environmental and Building*), pp. 353-364.
- Nagase, A. & Nigel Dunnett, 2010. Drought tolerance in different vegetation types for extensive green roofs:. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, Volume 97, pp. 318-327.
- Parizotto, S., 2011. Investigation of green roof thermal performance in temperate climate: A case. *energy and building*, Volume 43, pp. 1712-1722.

- Peri, G., Marzia Traverso, Matthias Finkbeiner & Gianfranco Rizzo, 2012. Embedding“substrate”in environmental assessment of green roofs life cycle:. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Volume 35, pp. 274-287.
- Peri, G., Marzia Traverso, Matthias Finkbeiner & Gianfranco Rizzo, 2012. The cost of green roofs disposal in a life cycle perspective: Covering the gap. *Energy*, Volume 48, pp. 406-414.
- Philip C.H. Yu, W. C., 2007. A discussion on potentials of saving energy use for commercial. *elsevier*, 32(*Energy*), pp. 83-94.
- Post, 2007. Green-roof study results offer positive surprises, s.l.: s.n.
- J. K. R, 2007.
- Sailor, D., 2008. A green roof model for building energy simulation programs. *energy and buildings*, Volume 40, pp. 1466-1478.
- Susca, T., S.R. Gaffin & G.R. Dell’Osso, 2011. Positive effects of vegetation: Urban heat island and green roofs. *Environmental Pollution*, Volume 159, pp. 2119-2126.
- Takebayashi, H. & Masakazu Moriyama, 2007. Surface heat budget on green roof and high reflection. *building and environment* , Volume 42, pp. 2971-2979.
- Villarreal, E. L. & Lars Bengtsson, 2005. Response of aSedumgreen-roof to individual rain events. *Ecological Engineering*, Volume 25, pp. 1-7.
- Williams, N. S., John P. Rayner & Kirsten J. Raynor, 2010. Green roofs for a wide brown land: Opportunities and barriers for rooftop. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, Volume 9, pp. 245-251.
- Wonga, N. H., 2003. Life cycle cost analysis of rooftop gardens in Singapore. *elsevier*, 38(*building and environment*), pp. 499-509.
- Wong, N. H. et al., 2003. Life cycle cost analysis of rooftop gardens in Singapore. *building and environment*, Volume 38, pp. 499-509.
- Yang, J., Qian Yu & Peng Gong, 2008. Quantifying air pollution removal by green roofs in Chicago. *Atmospheric Environment*, Volume 42, pp. 7266-7273.
- Zhang, X., Shen, L., Tam, V. W. & Lee, W. W. Y., 2012. Barriers to implement extensive green roof systems: A Hong Kong study. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Volume 16, pp. 314-319.