

AGGREGATION SIMULATION MODEL OF FLOW AND RAINFALL SERIES

NURUL ADZURA ISMAIL

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

AGGREGATION SIMULATION MODEL OF FLOW AND RAINFALL SERIES

NURUL ADZURA ISMAIL

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2005

To my beloved soul mate

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, with His mercy and Blessings, this study was finally completed. It gives the author immense pleasure to express her heartiest gratitude to her supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Sobri Harun for his constant guidance, inspiration, suggestion and support in performing and completing the research study. The author also grateful to co-supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Zulkifli Yusop for his assistance and co-operation in carrying out the study in one way or another.

Special thanks are due to Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovations for the financial support. Special thanks are also due to the Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) for providing necessary references and data.

The understanding, patience, moral support and co-operation from Iman are deeply acknowledged. Special thank due to my parents, family members, Yap Sze Jeat and my fellow postgraduate students for helping in this study.

May Allah reward and bless all of them. Finally the author is expressing her sincere gratitude to Allah once again who made the study to complete.

ABSTRACT

Synthetic hydrology series is useful for evaluating the consequences of water supply management decisions and reservoir design. The main objective of this study is to identify and confirm the best model in flow and rainfall simulation. The study covers the application of aggregation and disaggregation methods for flow and rainfall stochastic simulation. In general, the application of various periodic models for the flow simulation was mostly successful. The application of disaggregation models was found to yield sufficient performance and competitive to the periodic models. It has been proven that the transformation does not always guarantee improvement in the candidate models performance. The Periodic Autoregressive of Order One (PAR (1)) model is the best performer for the monthly and annual flow simulation using periodic models for both untransformed and transformed series. The Valencia and Schaake (VLSH) model is the robust model from disaggregation group for the monthly and annual flow simulation. Simulation for monthly and annual rainfall series shows that the VLSH model is the best performer to produce sufficient results for both untransformed and transformed series. The results from this study are based on investigation from graphs and frequency analysis. The outcome of study has potential to assist the water engineers and consultant in making decisions for the operation of the water resources systems. It is suggested that the rainfall simulation should be applied in water resources planning because observed flow series are subjected to disturbance due to development.

ABSTRAK

Siri hidrologi sintetik ialah satu kaedah yang berguna untuk menilai keputusan dalam pengurusan bekalan air dan rekabentuk empangan. Objektif utama kajian ini dibuat adalah untuk mengenalpasti dan menetapkan model yang terbaik dalam simulasi aliran sungai dan hujan. Kajian ini merangkumi aplikasi kaedah agregasi dan disagregasi untuk simulasi aliran sungai dan hujan. Secara amnya, aplikasi pelbagai model berkala untuk simulasi aliran sungai adalah baik. Aplikasi model-model disagregasi didapati menghasilkan pencapaian yang mencukupi dan kompetitif dengan model-model berkala. Ini dapat membuktikan transformasi tidak menjanjikan peningkatan dalam pencapaian model-model yang dipilih. Kalaan purata bergerak tertib satu (PAR (1)) merupakan pencapai yang terbaik untuk simulasi bulanan dan tahunan aliran sungai menggunakan model berkala untuk siri tanpa transformasi dan transformasi. Model Valencia dan Schaake (VLSH) ialah yang paling kuat daripada kumpulan disagregasi untuk simulasi bulanan dan tahunan aliran sungai. Simulasi untuk siri hujan bulanan dan tahunan menunjukkan model VLSH ialah pencapai yang terbaik untuk menghasilkan keputusan yang mencukupi untuk kedua-dua siri tanpa transformasi dan transformasi. Keputusan kajian ini berdasarkan pemerhatian ke atas graf-graf dan analisis frekuensi. Hasil kajian ini berpotensi untuk membantu jurutera-jurutera air dan perunding dalam membuat keputusan untuk operasi sistem sumber air. Kajian ini juga mencadangkan penggunaan simulasi hujan untuk perancangan sumber air adalah pilihan yang terbaik kerana cerapan siri aliran sungai dipengaruhi oleh gangguan akibat pembangunan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Statement of Problems	6
	1.3 Objectives	7
	1.4 Scope	7
	1.5 The Importance of Study	8
	1.6 Research Hypothesis	9

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Importance of Synthetic Series of Flow and Rainfall	13
2.3	Statistical Methods in Hydrology	17
2.3.1	Time Series Analysis	20
2.3.2	Frequency and Hybrid Analysis	21
2.3.3	Stochastic Hydrology	22
2.3.4	Simulation Methods	24
2.4	Review on Flow Simulation	27
2.5	Review on Rainfall Simulation	31
2.6	Summary of Literature Review	34
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	36
3.2	Hydrological Data	37
3.3	Periodic Models (Aggregation Models)	38
3.3.1	Periodic Autoregressive (PAR) Model	39
3.3.2	Periodic Autoregressive Moving Average (PARMA) Model	40
3.4	Disaggregation Models	41
3.4.1	Valencia – Schaake	41
3.4.2	Mejia-Rouselle	42
3.4.3	Lane	43
3.4.4	SPIGOT	44
3.5	Development of Flow Simulation Model	45
3.5.1	Statistical Analysis of Data	45
3.5.2	Fitting a Stochastic Model	47
3.5.3	Synthetic Flow Data Generation	49

3.6	Development of Rainfall Simulation Model	52
3.7	Evaluation the Performance of Simulation Models	54

4

CASE STUDY

4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	River Basins	58
4.2.1	Negeri Sembilan	59
4.2.1.1	Sg. Muar Basins	59
4.2.1.2	Sg. Triang Basins	60
4.2.1.3	Sg. Linggi Basins	60
4.2.2	Melaka	60
4.2.3	Selangor	61
4.2.3.1	Sg. Bernam Basin	61
4.2.3.2	Sg. Selangor Basin	61
4.2.3.3	Sg. Klang Basin	61
4.2.3.4	Sg. Langat Basin	62
4.2.4	Johor	62
4.2.4.1	Sg. Muar Basin	62
4.2.4.2	Sg. Johor Basin	63
4.3	Land Use Changes	63
4.3.1	Negeri Sembilan	63
4.3.2	Melaka	64
4.3.3	Selangor	64
4.3.4	Johor	65
4.4	Climatic Characteristics	66
4.4.1	Impacts of Climate on the Water Resources	67

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

5.1	Applications of Stochastic Models	68
5.2	Discussion on Simulated Monthly Flow Series	69
5.2.1	Seasonal Periodic Models	71
5.2.1.1	Negeri Sembilan	72
5.2.1.2	Melaka	78
5.2.1.3	Selangor	81
5.2.1.4	Johor	83
5.2.2	Disaggregation Models	87
5.2.2.1	Negeri Sembilan	88
5.2.2.2	Melaka	92
5.2.2.3	Selangor	94
5.2.2.4	Johor	976
5.2.3	Annual Mean and Standard deviation	100
5.2.3.1	Negeri Sembilan	101
5.2.3.2	Melaka	106
5.2.3.3	Selangor	108
5.2.3.4	Johor	111
5.3	Summary of Discussions on Generated Flow Simulation	115
5.4	Generation of Synthetic Rainfall Sequences	126
5.4.1	Discussion on Simulated Monthly Rainfall Series	127
5.4.1.1	Ladang Union Station	127
5.4.1.2	Ladang Hopeful Station	130
5.4.1.3	Ladang Bernam Station	131
5.4.1.4	Ladang Paya Lalang Station	132

5.4.2	Discussion on Simulated Annual Rainfall Series	133
5.4.2.1	Ladang Union Station	133
5.4.2.2	Ladang Hopeful Station	135
5.4.2.3	Ladang Bernam Station	136
5.4.2.4	Ladang Paya Lalang Station	137
5.5	Summary of Discussion on the Generated Rainfall Simulation	138
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
6.1	Conclusion	140
6.2	Recommendation	143
	REFERENCES	144
	APPENDICES	
	Appendix A	158
	Appendix B	190
	Appendix C	212
	Appendix D	233
	Appendix E	242
	Appendix F	248

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	List of selected flow gauging stations	58
4.2	List of selected rainfall stations	59
5.1	Simplified term of the candidate models	69
5.2	Transformation type selected for the Sg. Pedas	70
5.3	Transformation type selected for the Sg. Pedas using SPIGOT model	71
5.4	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Pedas flow series	78
5.5	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Muar flow series	78
5.6	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Gemencheh flow series	78
5.7	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Melaka flow series	80
5.8	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Kesang flow series	80
5.9	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Selangor flow series	82
5.10	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Bernam flow series	82
5.11	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Segamat flow series	86

5.12	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Muar flow series	86
5.13	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Lenggor flow series	86
5.14	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Sembrong flow series	87
5.15	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Pedas flow series	92
5.16	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Muar flow series	92
5.17	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Gemencheh flow series	92
5.18	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Melaka flow series	94
5.19	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Kesang flow series	94
5.20	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Selangor flow series	96
5.21	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Bernam flow series	96
5.22	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Segamat flow series	100
5.23	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Muar flow series	100
5.24	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Lenggor flow series	100
5.25	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Sg. Sembrong flow series	100
5.26	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Pedas flow series	105
5.27	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Muar flow series	105

5.28	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Gemencheh flow series	105
5.29	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Melaka flow series	108
5.30	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Kesang flow series	108
5.31	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Selangor flow series	110
5.32	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Bernam flow series	110
5.33	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Segamat flow series	114
5.34	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Muar flow series	114
5.35	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Lenggong flow series	114
5.36	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean and standard deviation for Sg. Sembrong flow series	115
5.37	Basic Catchment Characteristics	118
5.38	The best performance in the preservation of monthly mean	119
5.39	The best performance in the preservation of monthly standard deviation	120
5.40	The best performance in the preservation of monthly lag-one season to season correlation coefficient	121
5.41	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean	122
5.42	The best performance in the preservation of annual standard deviation	123
5.43	Frequency analysis for mean monthly (untransformed and transformed flow series)	124
5.44	Frequency analysis for monthly standard deviation (untransformed and transformed flow series)	124

5.45	Frequency analysis for annual mean (untransformed and transformed flow series)	125
5.46	Frequency analysis for annual standard deviation (untransformed and transformed flow series)	125
5.47	Transformation for the disaggregation models (Ladang Union) transformed series	126
5.48	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Ladang Union Station	129
5.49	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Ladang Hopeful Station	130
5.50	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Ladang Bernam Station	132
5.51	The best performance in the preservation of monthly statistical characteristics for Ladang Paya Lalang Station	133
5.52	The best performance in the preservation of annual statistical properties for Ladang Union Station	135
5.53	The best performance in the preservation of annual statistical properties for Ladang Hopeful Station	136
5.54	The best performance in the preservation of annual statistical properties for Ladang Bernam Station	137
5.55	The best performance in the preservation of annual statistical properties for Ladang Paya Lalang Station	138
5.56	Basic rainfall station characteristics	139
5.57	The best performance in the preservation of monthly mean	139
5.58	The best performance in the preservation of monthly standard deviation	139
5.59	The best performance in the preservation of monthly lag-one season to season correlation coefficient	139
5.60	The best performance in the preservation of annual mean	139
5.61	The best performance in the preservation of annual standard deviation	140

5.62	Frequency analysisfor mean monthly and monthly standard deviation (untransformed and transformed rainfall series)	140
5.63	Frequency analysisfor annual mean and annual standard deviation (untransformed and transformed rainfall series)	140
6.1	Rank of the best performance for the seasonal (monthly) flow simulation models	142
6.2	Rank of the best performance for the annual flow simulation models	142
6.3	Rank of the best performance for the rainfall simulation models	143

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
5.1	Sg. Pedas flow series (untransformed flows)	70
5.2	Sg. Pedas flow series (transformed flows)	71
5.3	Monthly mean for PAR models for Sg. Pedas (untransformed flows)	73
5.4	Monthly mean for PARMA models for Sg. Pedas (untransformed flows)	73
5.5	Monthly mean for PAR models for Sg. Pedas (transformed flows)	74
5.6	Monthly mean for PARMA models for Sg. Pedas (transformed flows)	74
5.7	Monthly standard deviation for PAR models for Sg. Pedas (untransformed flows)	74
5.8	Monthly standard deviation for PARMA models for Sg. Pedas (untransformed flows)	74
5.9	Monthly standard deviation for PAR models for Sg. Pedas (transformed flows)	75
5.10.	Monthly standard deviation for PARMA models for Sg. Pedas (transformed flows)	75
5.11	Monthly Lag-1 season to season correlation for PAR models for Sg. Pedas (untransformed flows)	75
5.12	Monthly Lag-1 season to season correlation for PARMA models for Sg. Pedas (untransformed flows)	75

5.13	Monthly lag-one season to season correlation for PAR models for Sg. Pedas (transformed flows)	76
5.14	Monthly lag-one season to season correlation for PARMA models for Sg. Pedas (transformed flows)	76
5.15	Monthly mean for disaggregation models for Sg. Pedas (untransformed flows)	89
5.16	Monthly mean for disaggregation models for Sg. Pedas (transformed flows)	89
5.17	Monthly std. deviation for disaggregation models for Sg. Pedas (untransformed flows)	89
5.18	Monthly std. deviation for disaggregation models for Sg. Pedas (transformed flows)	90
5.19	Monthly lag-one season to season correlation coefficient for disaggregation models for Sg. Pedas (untransformed flows)	90
5.20	Monthly lag-one season to season correlation coefficient for disaggregation models for Sg. Pedas (transformed flows)	102
5.21	Annual mean for Sg. Pedas (Untransformed)	102
5.22	Annual mean for Sg. Pedas (Transformed)	103
5.23	Annual standard deviation for Sg. Pedas (Untransformed)	103
5.24	Annual standard deviation for Sg. Pedas (Transformed)	128
5.25	Monthly mean for Ladang Union Station (Untransformed)	128
5.26	Monthly mean for Ladang Union Station (Transformed)	129
5.27	Monthly standard deviation for Ladang Union Station (Untransformed)	129
5.28	Monthly standard deviation for Ladang Union Station (Transformed)	129
5.29	Monthly lag one season to season correlation for Ladang Union Station (Untransformed)	124
5.30	Monthly lag one season to season correlation for Ladang Union Station (Transformed)	134
5.31	Annual mean for Ladang Union Station (Untransformed)	134
5.32	Annual mean for Ladang Union Station (Transformed)	134

5.33	Annual standard deviation for Ladang Union Station (Untransformed)	134
5.34	Annual standard deviation for Ladang Union Station (Transformed)	135

LIST OF SYMBOLS

GENERAL

mm	Millimeter
km	Kilometer
m	Meter
km ²	Kilometer square
km ³	Kilometer cube
%	Percent
°C	Degree Celsius
N	Sample size
X	Original observed series
a, b	transformation coefficients
τ	season
v	year
μ	mean
σ	standard deviation
ω	Number of season in the year
Y	monthly flow or rainfall
Y_{τ}	Column matrix containing the seasonal values
Y_{t-1}	A column matrix of the previous matrix of the previous substation
ε	The current value from a completely random series (stochastic term)
$\varepsilon_{v,\tau}$	Matrix of an independent random variable at year v and season τ
Q_t	Matrix of the annual/seasonal flow or rainfall value of year
χ^2	chi-square test density function

PERIODIC MODELS (AGGREGATION MODELS)

PAR	Periodic autoregressive model
PARMA	Periodic autoregressive and moving average model
A, B	Parameter matrices
Y	Monthly flow
$Y_{v,\tau}$	Matrix of monthly flow at year v and season τ
$r_k(\varepsilon)$	Correlogram of the residuals
L	Maximum lag considered
σ^2	Maximum likelihood estimate of the residual variance
c	Lower bound of three parameter log normal distribution
p	is the term of periodic autoregressive parameter
q	Order of autoregressive parameter of MA model
G	Backward shift operator of PARMA models
$\rho_{k,\tau}$	Autocorrelation function
$\phi_{1,\tau}, \dots, \phi_{p,\tau}$	Seasonal autoregressive parameters
$\theta_{1,\tau}, \dots, \theta_{q,\tau}$	Seasonal moving average parameters
$\theta_\tau(G)$	Periodic polynomials

DISAGGREGATION MODELS

A, B and C	Parameter matrices
Q_v	Annual series vector
$Q_{v,\tau}$	Generated annual flow/rainfall vector.
m	Rank of residuals matrix
M_0	Lag-zero correlation matrix or population moment
M^1	Lag-one correlation matrix or population moment
M^{-1}	Inverse matrix of population moment
M^T	Transpose matrix of population moment
Q	Single value and a column vector which contains an annual value
Q_t	Matrix of the annual rainfall/flow value of year
p	Order of autoregressive parameter of AR model
q	Order of autoregressive parameter of MA model

SVD	Singular Value Decomposition
VLSH	Valencia-Schaake disaggregation model
MJRS	Mejia-Rouselle disaggregation model
LANE	Lane disaggregation model
SPIGOT	Stedinger disaggregation model

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
APPENDIX A		
A.1	Monthly skewness for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Pedas flow series.	159
A.2	Graphical plots for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Muar flow series.	160
A.3	Graphical plots for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Gemencheh flow series.	164
A.4	Graphical plots for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Melaka flow series.	166
A.5	Graphical plots for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Kesang flow series.	170
A.6	Graphical plots for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Selangor flow series.	174
A.7	Graphical plots for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Bernam flow series.	178
A.8	Graphical plots for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Segamat flow series.	182
A.9	Graphical plots for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Muar, Johor flow series.	184
A.10	Graphical plots for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Lenggong flow series.	186
A.11	Graphical plots for Periodic models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Sembrong flow series.	188

APPENDIX B

B.1	Monthly skewness for Disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Pedas flow series.	191
B.2	Graphical plots for disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Muar flow series.	192
B.3	Graphical plots for disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Gemencheh flow series.	194
B.4	Graphical plots for disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Melaka flow series.	196
B.5	Graphical plots for disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Kesang flow series.	198
B.6	Graphical plots for disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Selangor flow series.	200
B.7	Graphical plots for disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Bernam flow series.	202
B.8	Graphical plots for disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Segamat flow series.	204
B.9	Graphical plots for disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Muar flow series.	206
B.10	Graphical plots for disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Lenggor flow series.	208
B.11	Graphical plots for disaggregation models for untransformed and transformed Sg. Sembrong flow series.	210

APPENDIX C

C.1	Box-Whisker plots for Sg. Muar	213
C.2	Box-Whisker plots for Sg. Gemencheh	215
C.3	Box-Whisker plots for Sg. Melaka	217
C.4	Box-Whisker plots for Sg. Kesang	219
C.5	Box-Whisker plots for Sg. Selangor	221
C.6	Box-Whisker plots for Sg. Bernam	223
C.7	Box-Whisker plots for Sg. Segamat	225
C.8	Box-Whisker plots for Sg. Muar, Johor	227
C.9	Box-Whisker plots for Sg. Lenggong	229
C.10	Box-Whisker plots for Sg. Sembrong	230

APPENDIX D

D.1	Monthly skewness for Ladang Union	234
D.2	Seasonal Rainfall Series Analysis (Ladang Hopeful)	235
D.3	Seasonal Rainfall Series Analysis (Ladang Bernam)	237
D.4	Seasonal Rainfall Series Analysis (Ladang Paya Lalang)	239

APPENDIX E

E.1	Annual Rainfall Series Analysis (Ladang Hopeful)	242
E.2	Annual Rainfall Series Analysis (Ladang Bernam)	244
E.3	Annual Rainfall Series Analysis (Ladang Paya Lalang)	246

APPENDIX F

F.1	Parameter Estimation PAR (1)model	248
-----	-----------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Hydrological data such as flows and rainfall are the basic information used for the design of water resources systems. Hence, the studies are needed regarding the relatively accuracy of the data required for various types of water resources planning and management. Therefore, modeling rainfall and flow series at a useful time and specified scale for different applications has been important problem in hydrology for the last 30 years (Sanso and Guenni, 1999). This situation needs one to select the most appropriate time interval of hydrological data for the design purposes because the characteristics (mostly statistical) behaviors between time interval is different to each other. Based on the literature review done by previous researchers, the overall statistical characteristics (such as standard deviation, skewness coefficient and lag-one correlation coefficient) decrease as the time interval increases. Long sequences of daily rainfall or flows are required increasingly, not only for hydrological purposes but also to provide inputs for models of crop growth, landfills, tailing dams, land disposal of liquid waste and other environmentally-sensitive projects (Buras, 1975). Rainfall and flows are generally measured at the daily time scale and this forms the basis for monthly and annual rainfall and flows series. The need for hourly data for hydrological applications, especially in flood studies, suggests the use of disaggregation model (Koutsoyannis and Onof, 2001). Meanwhile, observations taken in minutes or hours will exhibit temporal dependence will tend decrease and to be

very small or non-existent of the annual scale. Beside of that, as the time interval is longer, the underlying time series becomes simpler to analyze and to model; conversely, as the sample time series is smaller, the amount of information contained in the sample is longer but the characteristics of the series become more complex and the corresponding statistical modeling are more difficult.

Lane (1980) suggested simulating the very short time period because at the short time interval weather persistence and season has an effect. Beside, Salas et al. (1980) proposed the aggregation model regarding to the basic form of the original on lower level time series. In fact, the need to preserve annual and seasonal time series properties inspired the development of simulation models (Salas, 1989; Grygier and Stedinger, 1991, Shah et al., 1996). The monthly and annual hydrological data have been used for the short and medium term planning and operation of water resource systems (Maheepala and Perera, 1996).

Despite the resolution of the time interval, the data quality and accuracy should also be taken into account. The data uncertainties and randomness that is one of the factors that stems from difficulties in estimating future demands for water developments. Shah et al. (1996) stated, there may be some situations where rainfall exhibits reasonable spatial uniformity (e.g. frontal storms over basins with gently varying topography), these tend to be the exception rather than the rule, particularly where a rainfall regime is dominated by convective storms or is subjected to pronounce orographic effects. This situation shows that by applying stochastic approach can currently provide the only effective route towards a hydrological description of rainfall in the absence of satisfactory mathematical and physical representatives of the laws governing its complexity (Stedinger and Taylor, 1982). The stochastic models of daily rainfall with annually varying parameters usually do not preserve the variance of monthly and annual precipitation (Buishand, 1977; Zucchini and Guttorp, 1991; Woolhiser et al., 1993; Boughton, 1999). This underestimation may be due to real long-term trends in rainfall, changes in the data collection techniques or in rain gauge exposure, model inadequacies, and/or the existence of large-scale atmospheric circulation patterns that do not exhibit annual

periodicities (Woolhiser, 1992). Hydrology and its process must also be affected by human activities and various disruptions in nature.

Many hydrologists use the forecasting technique to design and implementations the water resources systems. The main objective of forecasting is to use the time series model fitted to a data set to obtain the most accurate estimate or prediction of the future unknown series. However, forecasting itself unable to test whether or not a class of time series models statistically preserves important historical statistics of the data sets to which the set of models is fitted (Box and Jenkins, 1976). One would quite naturally, like to employ models, which can account for the key statistical characteristics of hydrological time series. However, when a mathematical model can be employed for determining exactly of a system, the model is said to be deterministic. Deterministic models are designed and used for identifying and evaluating system performance in its uncertain environmental and it is a point of implicit stochastic models (Troch et al., 1993).

Stochastic modelings were preceded by structural analysis of temporal and spatial stochastic process such as the analysis of errors, trend types and composition, intermittency, periodicity and stochasticity (Hipel and McLeod, 1994). By considering stochastic hydrology it becomes the light of its application to simulation and optimization in water resources planning and operation. Furthermore, synthetic hydrology stays in fact the overall science of fitting stochastic models to hydrological series and using these models for simulation purposes. The goal of simulation is to employ the fitted model to generate a set of stochastically equivalent observation series, which could possibly occur in the future. Simulation or generation of samples may be very effective tool for experimentally finding the sampling frequency distributions of testing parameters and various other estimates (Yevjevich, 1989). The synthetic flow or rainfall data generation (or in short synthetic hydrology) was later suggests as the term operational hydrology would be more appropriate. It is because, synthetic hydrology can be useful in both analytical and simulation models.

However, there is nothing about approximate methods that makes better use of the limited data and most such approximate methods have been demonstrated to be highly unreliable. There are many techniques, which can be used to adopt the limited data to simulation. By careful use of simulation models, data of poor quality can be checked, missing records completed and a considerable extension of the record can be made (Hipel et al., 1977b; Koch, 1985; Grygier and Stedinger, 1991). The most critical data for simulation are the flows and rainfall series and without both series it is impossible to carry on a study by any other reasonable adequate hydrologic technique and a simulation study. It will usually take no more time to develop the necessary data for simulation than it will require developing the estimates desired. Meanwhile, one simulation of rainfall or flows runs, it will provide an abundance of data, which can answer many hydrologic problems. As an example, if one wish to explain the effect of changing vegetal cover on the watershed, of increasing the amount of urban land use, or other possible land use changes, this is easily done with simulation (Chatfield, 1979). Using conventional methods, is would be difficult, if not impossible, to estimate the effect of such changes. In any case, if time and cost are measured against the quality and completeness of the results, simulation is far ahead of the conventional technique (Hipel and McLeod, 1994). In such case, Loucks et al. (1981) stated that, the type of condensation and storage of data in the format models and estimated parameters are more useful rather than keeping the original data in master data files as is done at present with data bank storage for final backup and for verification purposes when needed.

Based on the simulation methods, the basic disaggregation and aggregation models can be used to simulate and generate both data sequences. Disaggregation models are generally considered a very variable feature for flows and rainfall simulation (Salas et al., 1980). The earliest model such as Thomas-Fiering model (Thomas and Fiering, 1962), H-C model (Harms and Campbell, 1967) and Box-Jenkins model (Box and Jenkins, 1976) seem to currently unsuitable for a fully simulation or generating use. The first well-accepted model was presented by Valencia and Schaake (1973) by developing the Valencia-Schaake technique (VLSH). Further studies, modification and applications of disaggregation model, have been made in the past year such as Mejia and Rouselle (MJRS) model (Mejia and Rouselle,

1976), Lane (LANE) model (Lane, 1980) and Grygier and Stedinger (SPIGOT) model (Grygier and Stedinger, 1991). Aggregation is a new study technique by which an assumption is made regarding the basic form of the original or lower level time series are calculated. Work also has been performed using Fourier series model (Yevjevich, 1984). In order to model adequately the seasonally varying correlation structure and to preserve the stationary statistical properties within each season, one would have to consider the families of the periodic autoregressive (PAR) model (Salas et al., 1980) or periodic autoregressive and moving average (PARMA) model (Vecchia, 1985a). The application of these models has been attractive in simulation area mainly because, the form has an intuitive type of time dependence and they are simplest models to use.

Recent development of using the alternative simulation models available led to developing many software packages. For instance well-known packages are IMSL, STATGRAPHICS, ITSM, SASS/ETS, SPSS and MATLAB. However, despite of the availability of such general-purpose programs, specialized software for simulation of hydrological time series have been attractive because of several reasons (i.e. HEC-4 (U.S ARMY Corps. of Engineer, 1971), LAST (Lane and Frevert, 1988), SPIGOT (Grygier and Stedinger, 1991) and SAMS (Salas et al., 1996)).

Based on the above-mentioned fact, this study will focus on rainfall and flows simulation based on the disaggregation procedures using VLSH model, MJRS model, LANE model and SPIGOT model. Despite of that, the aggregation models in the class of PAR and PARMA models will be used for simulation the flows sequences. Two software packages namely SAMS and SPIGOT would be used to generate the historical flows and rainfall sequences. The effectiveness of models depends on the estimation of model parameters, fitting stage and diagnostic check. The model estimated stage needs to be checked in order to verify how well it represents the historical flow and rainfall series. The evaluation of the selected models are based on the preservation of statistical characteristics such as mean, standard deviation, skewness coefficient and lag one season to season correlation coefficient. It is therefore necessary to evaluate the validity of a model before it is used for such purposes.

1.2 Statement of Problems

Rainfall and flow series are essential parameters for the water resources planning and management. However, the observed rainfall data have randomness, systematic (or inconsistency) and sampling errors based on the effects of anticipate climate change and historical flow data is due to the non-homogeneity (conceived as changes in nature by humans and natural disruptions). As this problem cannot, in general, be solved analytically, a simulation approach must be adopted in which a stochastic model of rainfall or flow is used to generate a long synthetic input series to the mathematical model; the required magnitude frequency relationship can then be estimated from the derived synthetic output series. One of the major problems in water resources design is the selection of the stochastic process to model the given flow or rainfall record. This involves using the historical rainfall and flow records to estimate the model parameters of an appropriate model, which may then be used to simulate the desired length of data series. Various types of stochastic models are available for use in engineering design, such as, aggregation and disaggregation models. For such a system, if simulation is conducted which used only the historical records as inputs data and is then used as a basis for decision. It is implied that the future history of the system will repeat the same pattern, which is hardly ever likely to be the case. Worse little idea of the risks, which will be encountered in making any decision, will be obtained. To avoid this situation, statistical models have been developed which generate synthetic records of flow or rainfall that are statistically similar to observed flow and rainfall records, that can be used in simulating the behavior of water resource systems. However, the generation of flow series required the totally undisturbed observed data sequences. In fact, this situation is quite impossible due to the above-mentioned problem. Due to this need, the rainfall simulation is carried out to overcome the possibility of the weakness in flow simulation. Despite, the simulated rainfall can be transformed to flow using the simple monthly linear rainfall-flow model and the rainfall simulation itself; will supply the synthetic rainfall data to the rainfall-runoff model. The need for the long-term planning of reservoir planning, management and design required the good model for synthetic data generation. However, to show the widespread applicability, the generated data series were evaluate from the preservation of the historical statistical

properties. The study on synthetic simulation will identify the right model to preserve the historical statistical characteristics. In addition, use of the simulation techniques offers the potential benefits to solve natural processes of rainfall and flow pattern based on the statistical characteristics. In reality, the flow and rainfall processes are random and uncertain. Therefore, the stochastic time series modeling is essential to model the random component in the system.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this study are:

- i. To propose the application of aggregation and disaggregation models in the flow simulation and the application of disaggregation model in the rainfall simulation.
- ii. To investigate the performance of aggregation and disaggregation model in the flow simulation and the disaggregation model in the rainfall simulation.
- iii. To identify and confirm the best model in flow and rainfall simulation.

1.4 Scope of Study

The study covers the application of aggregation and disaggregation methods for flow simulation and the disaggregation models in the rainfall simulation. The aggregation model usually called as a seasonal series model follows a periodic autoregressive (PAR) and periodic autoregressive and moving average (PARMA) models. For disaggregation model, the well-known model namely, Valencia and Schaake (VLSH), Mejia and Rouselle (MJRS), Lane (LANE) and Grygier and Stedinger (SPIGOT) models are used for flow simulation. Meanwhile, the VLSH, MJRS and LANE models are used for rainfall simulation. The data analysis methods consist of time series plots and Box-Whisker plots. The development stages are

designed to decide the families of models to be considered for fitting to a flow and rainfall series. The overall methodology to fitting models consists of identification, estimation and diagnostic checking. At the identification stage the most suitable models to fit to the data can be selected by examining various types of graphs. If a transformation is required but this fact is not discovered at the identification stage, the need for a data transformation will probably be detected at the diagnostic check of model development when properties of the residuals are examined. The data will be transformed using either Box-Cox; Logarithmic or Power transformation. Efficient estimates of the model parameters can be obtained at the estimation stage by employing the method of moments. Following this, the fitted models can be checked for possible inadequacies. The diagnostic checks were employed to ensure that the selected model adequately describes the flow and rainfall series under consideration by subjecting the model to a range of statistical tests. The results of generating monthly sequences will be investigated and compared the historical mean, standard deviation, lag one correlation coefficient and skewness coefficient to identify the best model. The best model is identified based on the model ability to preserve the statistical properties. The flow and rainfall gauging stations are based on four states namely; Negeri Sembilan, Melaka, Selangor and Johor. Despite of this, river basin and catchment area under studies are: Sg. Linggi basin, Sg. Triang basin, Sg. Muar basin, Sg. Selangor basin, Sg. Bernam basin, Sg. Melaka catchment and Sg. Johor basin. Four rainfall stations are under studies which two of them in the Sg. Selangor catchment area and the others in Sg. Melaka catchment and Sg. Segamat catchment. The duration of the monthly records range from less than 20 years to more than 40 years for some stations.

1.5 The Importance of Study

The need for monthly and annual data for hydrological applications, especially in flood studies, suggests the use of aggregation and disaggregation model to use the available data information. In this way, the model would provide a continuous simulation tool for use for simulation studies and design. This study will present an

improved aggregation and disaggregation method for generation of alternative sequences of monthly and annual hydrologic data sequences. This study also proposed the significant advantage over the current models for such studies. The proposed model is therefore a valuable tool for flow and rainfall simulation studies, which abound Malaysia. Using the synthetic data then provides a broad base for development of proper water resources planning and management. The results of this study also provide a new tool for keeping the data in the form of models and estimated parameters rather than in original data.

1.6 Research Hypothesis

To achieve the goal the following hypothesis have been made;

- i. The applications of the VLSH model yield a better performance than the widely used disaggregation models for flow and rainfall simulation.
- ii. The modeling of periodic series is more complex than modeling the annual series because the former have the influence of the annual cycle which produces the periodic variations in some or all of the statistical characteristics of the series.
- iii. The preservation of historical statistical characteristics of rainfall simulation yields a better performance than flow simulation.
- iv. The transformation of rainfall and flow series to normal distribution does guarantee the best results in the rainfall and flow simulation.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, K., (1998). Hydrology for Sustainable Water Resources Planning, Development and Management in Malaysia. *International Conference on Hydrology and Water Resources of Humid Tropics*, Ipoh, Malaysia, 24-26 November 1998.
- Adamowsky, K., and Smith, A. F. (1972). Stochastic Generation of Rainfall. *J. Hydraul. Eng.* 98: 1935–1945.
- Akaike, H. (1974). A New Look At The Statistical Model Identification. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control.* 19: 716-723.
- Amarocho, J., and Slack, J.R. (1970). Simulation of Cyclonic Storm Fields for Hydrologic Modelling. *Proc. Am. Geophys. Union Annual Meeting*, Washington, D.C., December, 1970.
- Anderson, P. L., and Merschaert, M. W. (1998). Modelling River Flows With Heavy Tails. *Water Resour. Res.* 34(9): 2271-2280.
- Boes, D.C., and Salas, J.D. (1978). Non-Stationarity in The Mean and The Hurst Phenomenon. *Water Resour. Res.* 14(1): 135-143.
- Boughton, W.C. (1999). *A Daily Rainfall Generating Model for Water Yield and Flood Studies*. Report 99/9, CRC for Catchment Hydrology, Monash University, Melbourne.
- Box, G.E.P. (1974). Statistics and The Environment. *J. Wash., Acad. Sci.* 64(2):52-59.

- Box, G.E.P., and Jenkins, G.M. (1976). *Time Series Analysis Forecasting and Control*, Holden-Day Inc, California
- Box, G.E.P., Jenkins, G.M., and Reinsel, G.C. (1994). *Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control*. Third edition, Prentice Hall.
- Buishand, T.A. (1977). *Stochastic Modelling Daily Rainfall Sequences*. Meded. Landbouwhogeschool, Wageningen.
- Buras, N. (1975). *Scientific Allocation of Water Resources, Water Resources Development and Utilization –A Rational Approach*. American Elsevier.
- Burlando, P., and Rosso, R. (1993). Stochastic models of temporal rainfall: Reproducibility, Estimation and Prediction of Extreme Events. In: Marco, J, Harboe, R. and Salas, J.D. ed. *Stochastic Hydrology and Its Use in Water Resources Systems Simulation and Optimization*. 137-174
- Burian, S.J., Durrans, S.R., Tomic, S., Pimmel, R.L., and Wai, C.N. (2000). Rainfall Disaggregation Using Artificial Neural Networks. *J. Hydrol. Engng.* 5: 299–307.
- Cavadias, G.S. (1966). River Flow as a Stochastic Process. Paper present in 5th *Canadian Hydrology Symposium*. February 24th and 25th, 1966, McGill University.
- Chang, T.J., Kavvas, M.L., Delleur, J.W. (1984). Daily Precipitation Modelling of Discrete Autoregressive Moving Average Processes. *Water Resour. Res.* 20: 565–580.
- Chatfield, C. (1979). *The Analysis of Time Series: Theory and Practice*. London.
- Chavalit, C., and Nguyen, V.T.V. (1994). A Stochastic Procedure for Generating Multisite Multiseason Streamflows. 3rd *Regional Conf. on Computer Applications in Civil Engineering*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

- Chavalit, C. (1999). Stochastic Procedure for Generating Seasonal Flows. *J. Hydrol. Engng.* 4 (4): 297-387.
- Claps, P. (1989). Conceptual Basis of Stochastic Models of Monthly Streamflows. *Proceeding of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Stochastic Hydrology and its use in Water Resources Systems Simulation and Optimization*. Peñíscola, Spain. September 18-29, 1989.
- Claps, P., Rossi, F., and Vitale, C. (1993). Conceptual – Stochastic Modelling of Seasonal Runoff Using Autoregressive Moving Average Models and Different Scales of Aggregation. *Water Resour. Res.* 29 (8): 2545-2559.
- Clarke, R.T. (1971). The Representation of a Short Period of Experimental Catchment Data By a Linear Stochastic Difference Equation. *IASH International Symposium on Mathematical Models in Hydrology*. Int. Ass. Sci. Hydrol., Poland, July, 1971.
- Cline, T.B. (1981). Selecting seasonal streamflow models. *Water Resour. Res.* 17(4): 975-984.
- Delleur, J.W., Tao, P.C., and Kavvas, M.L. (1976). An Evaluation of the Practicality and Complexity of Some Rainfall and Runoff Time Series Models. *Water Resour. Res.* 12(5): 953-970.
- Delleur, J. W., and Kavvas, M. L. (1978). Stochastic Model for Monthly Rainfall Forecasting and Synthetic Generation. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*. 17: 1528-1536.
- Evora, N.D., and Rouselle, J. (2000). Hybrid Stochastic Model for Daily Flows Simulation in Semiarid Climates. *J. Hydrol.* 5 (1): 32-42.
- Fiering, M.B., and Jackson, B.J. (1971). *Synthetic Streamflows*. Water Resour. Monogr. Ser., 1: 98.

- Gabriel, K.R., and Neumann, J. (1962). A Markov Chain Model for Daily Rainfall Occurrence at Tel Aviv. *Quart. J. Met. Soc.* 88: 90–95.
- Ghani, A. A. A., and Metcalfe, A.V. (1986). Spectral Predictions of Flood Risk. In: Sheen, H.W., Obeysekera, J. T. B., Yevjevich, V. and DeCourseg, D.G. ed. *Multivariate Analysis of Hydrologic Processes*. Engineering Research Centre, Colorado State. 744-754.
- Grygier, J.C., and Stedinger, J.R. (1988). Condensed Disaggregation Procedures and Conservation Corrections for Stochastic Hydrology. *Water Resour. Res.* 24(10): 1574-1584.
- Grygier, J.C., and Stedinger, J.R. (1991). *SPIGOT: A synthetic streamflow generation software package user's manual V2.6*. School of Civ. And Envir. Engrng., Cornell University, Ithaca, N.Y.
- Gyasi, A., and Agyei, Y. (1999). Identification of Regional Parameters of Stochastic Model for Rainfall Disaggregation. *J. Hydrol.* 223: 148-163.
- Hannan, E.J. (1955). A Test for Singularities in Sydney Rainfall, *J. Phys.* 8 (2):289-297.
- Harms, A. A., and Campbell, T. H. (1967). An Extension to the Thomas-Fiering Model for the Sequential Generation of Streamflow. *Water Resour. Res.* 3 (3): 653-661.
- Harun, S. (1999). *Forecasting and Simulation of Net Inflows for Reservoir Operation and Management*. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, UTM.
- Harold, A., Thomas, J.R., and Fiering, M.B. (1966). *Design of water resource system*. Mass et al., Harvard University Press, Cambridge.

- Hershenhorn, J., and Woolhiser, D.A. (1987). Disaggregation of Daily Rainfall. *J. Hydrol.* 95: 299-322.
- Hipel, K.W., McLeod, A.I., and Lennox, W.C. (1977a). Advances in Box-Jenkins Modelling 1; Model construction. *Water Resour. Res.* 15(6): 1603-1615.
- Hipel, K.W., McLeod, A.I., and McBean, E.A. (1977b). Stochastic Modelling of the Practicality and Complexity of Some Rainfall and Runoff Time Series Models. *Water Resour. Res.* 12(5): 953-970.
- Hipel, K.W., and McLeod, A.I. (1978). Simulation Procedures for Box- Jenkins models. *Water Resour. Res.* 14(5): 969-975.
- Hipel, K. W., McBean, E. A., and McLeod, A. I. (1979). Hydrological Generating Model Selection. *Journal of Water Resour. Plng. and Mgmt. Division.* 105: 223-242.
- Hipel, K.W. (1985). Time Series Analysis in Perspective. *Water Resour. Res.* 21(4): 609-624.
- Hipel, K.W. (1989). Philosophy of Model Building. *Proceeding of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Stochastic Hydrology and its use in Water Resources Systems Simulation and Optimization.* Peñíscola, Spain. September 18-29, 1989.
- Hipel, K.W., and McLeod, A.I. (1994). *Time Series Modelling of Water Resources and Environmental Systems.* Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Hirsch, R.M., (1979) Synthetic Hydrology and water supply reliability. *Water Resour. Res.* 15(6): 1603-1615.
- Hurst, H.E. (1951). Long-Term Capacity of Reservoirs. *Trans. ASCE.* 116: 770-808.

- Hurst, H. E. (1956). Methods of Using Long-Term Storage Reservoirs. *Proceedings of the Institute of Civil Engineers*. 1: 519-543.
- Jimah, O.D., and Webster, P. (1999). Stochastic Modelling of Daily Rainfall in Nigeria; Intra Annual Variation of Model Parameters. *J. Hydrol.* 222: 1-17.
- Kavvas, M.L., Cote, L.J., and Delleur, J.W. (1977). Time Resolution of the Hydrological Time-Series Models. *J. Hydrol.* 32: 347-361.
- Kavvas, M.L., and Delleur, J.W. (1981). A Stochastic Cluster Model of Daily Rainfall Sequences. *Water Resour. Res.* 17: 1151-1160.
- Koch, R. W. (1985). A Stochastic Streamflow Model Based on Physical Principles. *Water Resour. Res.* 21(4): 545-553.
- Kottegoda, N.T. (1981). Some Aspects of Non-Stationary Behaviour in. In: El-Shaarawi, A.H., and Esterby, S.R. ed. *Hydrology in Time Series Methods in Hydrosiences*. Elsevier Scientific Publishing, New York, pp. 130.
- Kottegoda, N.T., Natale, L., and Raiteri, E. (2000). Statistical Modelling of Daily Streamflow Using Rainfall Input and Curve Number Technique. *J. Hydrol.* (234): 170-184.
- Kottegoda, N.T., Natale, L., and Raiteri, E. (2003). A Parsimonious Approach to Stochastic Multisite Modelling and Disaggregation of Daily Rainfall. *J. Hydrol.* 274: 41-61.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., and Manetas, A. (1996). Simple Disaggregation by Accurate Adjusting Procedures. *Water Resour. Res.* 32 (7): 2105-2117.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., and Onof, C. (2001). Rainfall Disaggregation Using Adjusting Procedures on a Poisson Cluster Model. *J. Hydrol.* (256): 109-122.

- Labadie, J.W. (1993). Decision Support System in Water Resources System. Invited papers on Water Resources Systems Optimization and Simulation; *Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Institute*, Peninscola, Spain, September 18-29, 1989.
- Lall, U., and Sharma, A. (1996). A Nearest Neighbour Bootstrap for Time Series Resampling. *Water Resour. Res.* 32(3): 679-693.
- Lane, W.L. (1979). *Applied Stochastic Techniques (LAST computerpackage), User Manual*. Division of Planning Technical services, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colarado, USA.
- Lane, W.L. (1980). *Applied Stochastic Techniques, User Manual*, Ist Revision, Devision of Planning Technical Sevices, Water and Power Resources Division.
- Lane, W.L. (1982) Corrected Parameter Estimates for Disaggregation Schemes. In: *Statistical Analysis of Rainfall and Runoff*. Water Resources Publications, Littleton.
- Lane, W.L., and Frevert, D.K. (1988). *Applied Stochastic Techniques, User's Manual*, Bureau of Reclamation, Engineering and Research Center, Denver, Colo.
- Lane, W.L. (1989). Aggregation and Disaggregation Modelling. *Proceeding of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Stochastic Hydrology and its use in Water Resources Systems Simulation and Optimization*. Peñíscola, Spain. 18-29 September 1989.
- Lawrence, A. J., and Kottegoda, N.T. (1977). Stochastic Modeling of River Flow Time Series. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*. Series A. 140 (1): 1-47.
- Lettenmier, D. P. (1989). Some Thoughts About the State of the Art in Stochastic Hydrology and Streamflow Forecasting. *Proceeding of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Stochastic Hydrology and its use in Water Resources Systems Simulation and Optimization*. Peñíscola, Spain. 18-29 September 1989.

- Lettenmaier, D.P., and Sheer, D.P. (1991). Climatic Sensitivity of California Water Resources. *Journal of Water Resour. Plng. and Mgmt.* ASCE 117(1), 108-125
- Lin, G.F., and Lee, F. C. (1992). An aggregation-Disaggregation Approach for Hydrologic Time Series Modelling. *J. Hydrol.* 138: 543-557.
- Lin, G.F., and Lee, F. C. (1994). Assessment of Aggregated Hydrologic Time Series Modelling. *J. Hydrol.* 138: 543-557.
- Loucks, D.P., Stedinger, J.R., and Haith, D.A. (1981). *Water Resource Systems Planning and Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New York.
- Maheepala, S., and Perera, B.J.C. (1996). Monthly Hydrologic Data Generation by Disaggregation. *J. Hydrol.* 178: 277-291.
- Mandelbrot, B.B., and Wallis, J.R. (1968). Noah, Joseph and Operational Hydrology. *Water Resour. Res.* 45(5): 909-918.
- Marco, J. B. (1989). ARMAX and Transfer Function Modelling in Hydrology. Proceeding of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Stochastic Hydrology and its use in Water Resources Systems Simulation and Optimization. Peñíscola, Spain. 18- 29 September 1989.
- Matalas, N.C. (1967). Mathematical Assessment of Synthetic Hydrology. *Water Resour. Res.* 3(4): 937-947.
- McCuen, R.H. (1989). *Hydrologic Analysis and Design*. Printed Hall., Inc. Englewood Cliff, New Jersey.
- McKerchar, A.I., and Delleur, J.W. (1974). Application of Seasonal Parametric Linear Stochastic Models to Monthly Flow Data. *Water Resour. Res.* 10(3): 246-255.

- McLeod, A.I. (1995). Diagnostic Checking Periodic Autoregression Models With Application. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*. 15(2), 221-233.
- Mejia, J.M., Dawdy, D.R., and Nordim, C.F. (1974). Streamflow Simulation 3: The Broken Line Process and Operational Hydrology. *Water Resour. Res.* 10(2): 242-245.
- Mejia, J.M., and Rouselle, J. (1976). Disaggregation Models in Hydrology Revisited. *Water Resour. Res.* 12(2): 185-186.
- Obeyskera, J. T.B., and Salas, J. D. (1982). On The Aggregation and Disaggregation of Streamflow Time Series. *EOS*. 63: 18 .
- Obeyskera, J. T.B., and Salas, J. D. (1986). Modelling of Aggregated Hydrologic Time Series. *J. Hydrol.* 86: 197-219.
- O'Donnell, T., Hall, M.J., and O'Connell, P.E. (1972). Some Applications of Stochastic Hydrologic Models. Paper presented at *International Symposium on Mathematical Modelling Techniques*, 1972.
- Onaf, C.J., and Wheather, H.S. (1993). Modelling of British Rainfall Using A Random Parameter Bartlett-Leis Rectangular Pulse Model. *J. Hydrol.* 149: 67 - 95.
- Rao, A.R., Kashyap, R.L., and Moa, L. (1982). Optimal Choice of Type and Order of River Flow Time Series Models. *Water Resour. Res.* 18(4): 1097-1109.
- Rao, A.R., Rao, A.G., and Kashyap, R.L. (1985). Stochastic Analysis of Time-Aggregated Hydrological Data. *Water Resources Bulletin*. 21(5): 757-771.
- Salas, J. D., and Yevjevich, V. (1972). *Stochastic Structure of Water Use Time Series. Hydrology*. Paper No. 52, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.

- Salas, J. D., Delleur, J. W., Yevjevich, V., and Lane, W.L. (1980). *Applied Modeling of Hydrological Time Series*. Water Resources Publication. Littleton, Colorado.
- Salas, J. D., Obeysekera, J. T. B., and Smith, R. A., (1981). Identification of Streamflow Stochastic Models. *J. Hydraul. Div.* 107(7): 853-856.
- Salas, J. D., and Obeysekera, J. T. B., (1982). ARMA Model Identification of Hydrologic Time Series. *Water Resour. Res.* 18 (4): 1011-1021.
- Salas, J. D., Boes, D.C., and Smith, R. A. (1982). Estimation of ARMA Models With Seasonal Parameters. *Water Resour. Res.* 18(4): 1006-1010.
- Salas, J. D., and Obeysekera, J. T. B. (1985). Modelling of Seasonal Streamflow Time Series Models. *J. Hydraul. Div. ASCE. Div.* 108(7): 853-856.
- Salas, J.D. (1989). Models for data generation in Hydrology: Multivariate Techniques, Proceeding of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Stochastic Hydrology and its use in *Water Resources Systems Simulation and Optimization*. Peñíscola, Spain. 18-29 Sep. 1989.
- Salas, J. D., and Fernandez, B. (1989). Models for Data Generation In Hydrology: Univariate Techniques. *Proceeding of the NATO Advanced Study Institute on Stochastic Hydrology and its use in Water Resources Systems Simulation and Optimization*. Peñíscola, Spain. 18-29 September 1989.
- Salas, J. D., and Obeysekera, J.T. B. (1992). Conceptual Basis of Seasonal Time Series Models. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*. 118 (8): 1186-1194.
- Salas, J.D. (1993). Analysis and Modeling of Hydrologic Time Series. In: Maidment, D., (Ed.). *Handbook of Hydrology*. McGraw Hill, New York.

- Salas, J. D., Saada, N. M., Lane, W. L., and Frevert, D. K. (1996). *Stochastic Analysis Modeling , and Simulation (SAMS) Version 96.1 User's Manual.* Technical Report No.8, Hydrological Science and Eng. Program, Eng. Res. Center, Col State.
- Salas, J.D., Saada, N.M., Chen-hua Chung, Lane, W. L., and Frevert, D.K. (2000). *Stochastic Analysis, Modeling and Simulation (SAMS) Version 2000-User's Manual.*
- Sanso, B., and Guenni, L. (1999). A Stochastic Model for tropical Rainfall at a Single Location. *J. Hydrol.* 214: 64-73.
- Santos, E.G., and Salas, J.D. (1992). Stepwise Disaggregation Scheme for Synthetic Hydrology. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering.* ASCE. 118(5): 165-784.
- Shah, S.M.S., O'Connell, P.E., and Hosking, J.R.M. (1996). Modeling The Effects of Spatial Variability In Rainfall on Catchment Response I: Formulation and Calibration of a stochastic rainfall field model. *J. Hydrol.* 175: 67-88.
- Sharma, A., Tarboton, D. G., and Lall, U. (1997). Streamflow Simulation : A Nonparametric Approach. *Water Resour. Res.* 33(2): 291-308.
- Sieh, L., and Law, T. (1987). Measures and Problems in Flood Mitigation. In: Karasudhu, E., Nutalaya, P. and Chiu, A. (eds.) *Proceedings US-ASIA Conference on Engineering for Mitigating Natural Damage, Bangkok.*
- Sivakumar, B. (2000). Chaos Theory in Hydrology: Important Issues and Interpretations. *J. Hydrol.* 227, 1-20.
- Sivakumar, B., Sorooshian, S., Gupta, V.J., and Gao, X. (2001). A Chaotic Approach to Rainfall Disaggregation. *Water Resour. Res.* 37: 61-72.
- Socolofsky, S., and Adams, E.E. (2001). Disaggregation of Daily Rainfall for Continuous Watershed Modeling. *J. Hydrol. Engng.* ASCE. 6: 300-309.

- Srikanthan, R., and McMahon, T.A. (1985). *Stochastic Generation of Rainfall and Evaporation Data*. AWRC Technical Paper No. 84, 301..
- Srivinas, V., and Srivinasan, T. (2001). Post-Blackening Approach for Modelling Periodic Streamflows. *J. Hydrol.* 241: 221-269.
- Stedinger, J.R., and Taylor, M.R. (1982). Synthetic Streamflow Generation 1: Model Verification and Validation. *Water Resour. Res.* 18(4): 909-918.
- Stedinger, J.R., and Vogel, R.M. (1984). Disaggregation Procedures for Generating Serially Correlated Flow Vectors. *Water Resour. Res.* 20(1): 47-56.
- Stedinger, J.R., Pei, D., and Chan, T.A., (1985). A Condensed Disaggregation Model for Incorporating Parameter Uncertainty Into Monthly Streamflow Simulation.” *Water Resour. Res.* 21(15): 665-675.
- Susan, E. F., Finney, B.A., Willis, R., and Dracup, J.A. (1996). Disaggregation Modeling Process for Climatic Time Series. *Water Resources Planning and Managemnet.* 122(3) May/June 1996.
- Thomas, H.A., and Fiering, M.B. (1962). Mathematical Synthesis of Streamflow Sequences for the Analysis of River Basins by Simulation. In: Maass, A., Hufschmidt, M.M., Dorfman, R., Thomas, H. A., Marglin, S.A., and Fair, G. M. (eds.) *Design of Water Resource*. Cambridge, Massachusetts, Harvard University Press.
- Thyer, M.A., and Kuczera, G. (1999). Modelling Long-Term Persistence in Rainfall Time Series, Sydney Rainfall Case Study. *Hydrology and Water Resources Symposium*. Institution of Engineers, Australia.
- Toa, P.C., and Delleur, J.W. (1976). Seasonal and Non-Seasonal ARMA Models. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*. ASCE. 102(HY10): 1541-1559.

- Troch, P. A., De Troch, F.P., and Van Hyfte, J. (1993). Linear Stochastic Non-Stationary Rainfall-Runoff Modelling and Forecasting. In: Marco, J, Harboe, R. and Salas, J.D.,(eds.) *Stochastic Hydrology and Its Use in Water Resources Systems Simulation and Optimization*. Kluwer Academic Publisher, Netherlands.
- U.S ARMY Corps. of Engineer (1971). *HEC-4 Monthly Streamflow Simulation*. Hydrologic Engineering Center, Davis, Calif.
- Valencia, R.D., and Schaake, J.C. (1973). Disaggregation processes in stochastic Hydrology. *Water Resour. Res.* 9(3): 1295-1306.
- Vecchia, A.V., Obeysekera, J.T. , Salas, J.D., and Boes, D.C. (1983). Aggregation and Estimation for Low-Order Periodic ARMA Models. *Water Resour. Research.* 19(5): 1297-1306.
- Vecchia, A.V. (1985a). Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Periodic Autoregressive Moving Average Models. *Technometrics.* 27: 375-384.
- Vecchia, A.V. (1985b). Periodic Autoregressive – Moving Average (PARMA) Modelling With Applications To Water Resources. *Water Resources Bulletin.* 21(5): 721-730.
- Vecchia, A.V., and Ballerini, R. (1991). Testing for Periodic Autocorrelations in Seasonal Time Series Data. *Biometrika.* 78: 53-63.
- Vogel, R. M., and Stedinger, J. R. (1988). The Value of Stochastic Streamflow Models In Over year Reservoir Design Applications. *Water Resour. Res.* 24 (9): 1483-1490.
- Vogel, R.M., Yushiou, T., and Limbrunner, J. F. (1998). The Regional Persistence and Variability of Annual Streamflow in The United States. *Water Resour. Res.*, 34(12):3445-3459.

- Weiss, C. (1977) Shot Noise Models for the Generation of Synthetic Streamflow Data. *Water Resour. Res.* 9(15): 1297-1306.
- Woodward, W.A., and Gray, H.C. (1979). *The Relationship Between The R and S Array and the Box-Jenkins Method of ARMA Model Identification*. Tech. Rep., 134: Dep. Of STAT, Southern Methodist Univ: July.
- Woolhiser, D.A. (1992). Modelling Daily Precipitation – Progress and Problems. In: Walden, A. T., and Guttorp, P. (Eds.). *Statistics in The Environmental & Earth Sciences*. Edward Arnold, UK. 71–89.
- Woolhiser, D.A., Keefer, T.O., and Redmond, K.T. (1993). Southern Oscillation Effects on Daily Precipitation in the Southwestern United States. *Water Resour. Res.* 29:1287–1295.
- Yevjevich, V. (1963). *Fluctuation of Wet and Dry Years Part I: Research Data Assembly and Mathematical Models*. Hydrology Paper, Colorado State University , FortCollins, Colo.
- Yevjevich, V. (1984). *Structure of Daily Hydrologic Series*. Water Resources Publications, Littleton, Color.
- Yevjevich, V. (1989). General Introduction to Application of Stochastic Hydrology in Water Resources. *NATO Proceeding* Peñíscola, Spain. 18-29 September 1989.
- Zucchini, W. and Guttorp, P. (1991). A Hidden Markov Model for Space-Time Precipitation. *Water Resour. Res.*, 27, 1917–1923.