

THE RISK ANALYSIS OF SYSTEM SELECTION AND BUSINESS PROCESS
RE-ENGINEERING TOWARDS THE SUCCESS OF ENTERPRISE RESOURCE
PLANNING PROJECT FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISE

NAZLI SADAT SAFAVI

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Information Technology - Management)

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Systems
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2013

I would like to dedicate this dissertation to my lovely family.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my respectful gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Nor Hidayati Zakaria for all his great recommendations to complete this dissertation. She was abundantly synergetic and patient and offered invaluable assistance, support and guidance during the performing this dissertation. Without her, the accomplishment of this research would otherwise have remained a castle in the air.

I would also like to express my love and gratitude to my beloved family; for their understanding and endless love, through the duration of my thesis.

Last but not least, thanks to all my friends, and to all people who have involved directly and indirectly in making my research project become flourishing and successful.

ABSTRACT

This study contributes to the discussion on Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) implementations in the context of small and medium size enterprise (SME). Ignorance of ERP implementation risks would seem to be the major challenge for SMEs. Several risk factors have been identified to help enterprises better manage their ERP projects; hence this study seeks to assess the impact of risks in the ERP implementation phase. The implementation of an ERP software package involves a mix of business process changes and software configuration to align the software with the business processes. Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) have restricted resources, budgets, and great sensitivity to costs; thus, ERP implementation is such a huge concern for a small enterprise. An SME needs to contemplate numerous details; primarily the cost factors of implementation before taking the first step in implementation of an enterprise resource planning (ERP) system. One of the important factors influencing success or failure of an ERP project is the cost factor and it is critical to find ERP implementation cost factors which are designed especially for SMEs. Risk is a problem that has not yet occurred, but which could result in some loss or threaten the success of the project if it did. This study chooses the critical adequate system selection risk and business process re-engineering risk of the ERP implementation projects which have been identified and designed for the framework. The purpose of this study is to identify and assess relations among these risk factors of ERP through the SMEs that impact upon cost reduction in general, as well as cost reduction on the project's success. The instrument used for data collection is a questionnaire. The questionnaires were distributed in the I.M.D Company (an SME) in Iran. Respondents are staff members who have knowledge of the ERP and data from questionnaires have been previously analysed with SmartPLS software.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini menyumbang kepada perbincangan mengenai pelaksanaan Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) dalam konteks kecil dan sederhana saiz. Kajian literature menunjukkan wujudnya keperluan terhadap kepentingan pemahaman mengenai risiko pelaksanaan ERP ke atas IKS. Oleh yang demikian beberapa faktor risiko telah dikenal pasti untuk membantu perusahaan untuk menguruskan projek ERP mereka yang lebih baik supaya dalam kajian ini cuba bagi menilai risiko ERP dalam fasa pelaksanaannya. Terdapat banyak faktor-faktor kos pelaksanaan yang perlu diambil kira sebelum. Perusahaan industry kecil dan sederhana (IKS) mempunyai bajet kewangan dan sumber yang terhad yang mana ianya amat berisiko bagi membangunkan sesebuah ERP yang memerlukan kos yang tinggi. Disebabkan itu, kajian ini memilih risiko pemilihan sistem yang kritikal dan risiko kejuruteraan semula proses perniagaan pelaksanaan ERP. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti dan menilai hubungan di antara faktor-faktor risiko ERP melalui IKS yang memberi kesan kepada pengurangan ke atas sesebuah project ERP kejayaan. Instrumen yang digunakan untuk pengumpulan data ialah soal selidik. Kajian soal selidik telah diedarkan di kalangan pekerja di Syarikat IMD iaitu sebuah syarikat IKS di Iran. Responden adalah pekerja yang mempunyai pengetahuan mengenai ERP dan data daripada soal selidik dianalisis dengan perisian SmartPLS.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiii
1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem Background	4
1.3	Research Questions	6
1.4	Objective of the Study	6
1.5	Significant of the Study	6
1.6	Project Scope	8
1.7	Summary	9
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Enterprise System	14
2.3	Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)	16
2.3.1	Benefits of ERP	18
2.3.2	Impact of ERP Successful Implementation	18

2.4	Risk	19
2.4.1	Risk Management	21
2.4.2	Risk in ERP Projects	23
2.5	Small Medium Enterprises	25
2.5.1	Characteristics of SMEs	26
2.5.2	ERP in SME	28
2.5.3	Characteristics of ERP Project in SME	30
2.5.4	Implementation of ERP in SME	32
	2.5.4.1 Cost Factors of ERP Implementation	33
	2.5.4.2 Strategy Plan	36
	2.5.4.3 Top Management Impress	37
2.6	Risk Factor in SME	37
2.6.1	Adequate System	41
2.6.2	Business Process Re-Engineering	42
	2.6.2.1 Change Management	45
	2.6.2.2 IT Infrastructure	46
2.6.3	User Training	47
2.6.4	Careful ERP System Selection	48
2.6.5	ERP Consultant	48
2.6.6	ERP Vendor	49
2.7	Summary	51

3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Research Design	54
3.2.1	Research Instruments	55
3.2.2	Case Study	56
3.2.3	Research Procedure	56
3.3	Data Analysis	57
3.3.1	Preliminary Study	58
3.3.2	Writing Proposal	58
3.3.3	Literature Review	58
3.3.4	Data Collection	59

3.3.5	Data Analysis	59
3.3.6	Discussion of Factors Emerged From Analysis	59
3.3.7	Report	60
3.4	Chapter Summary	60

4 RESEARCH MODEL AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT

4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Research Model Development	62
4.2.1	Adequate System Selection	63
4.2.2	Adequate Business Process Re-Engineering	64
4.2.3	Significance of ERP System Selection and BPR Criteria	65
4.2.4	Significant of Cost Reduction and ERP Implementation Success	67
4.3	Purpose Framework	67
4.4	Hypothesis Development	69
4.5	Questionnaire Development	75
4.6	Summary	77

5 DATA ANALYSIS

5.1	Introduction	78
5.2	Questionnaire Design	79
5.3	Scale and Response Format	80
5.4	Constructional Equation Modeling	81
5.5	Result and Hypothesis Test	82
5.5.1	Demographic Information	82
5.5.1.1	Age	83
5.5.1.2	Gender	84
5.5.1.3	Job Tenure	84
5.5.1.4	Education	85
5.5.1.5	Department	85

5.5.2	Result	86
5.6	Discussion	91
5.6.1	Hypothesis 1	92
5.6.2	Hypothesis 2	93
5.6.3	Hypothesis 3	95
5.6	Summary	96
6	CONCLUSION	
6.1	Introduction	97
6.2	Achievement	97
6.2.1	Question 1	98
6.2.2	Question 2	98
6.2.3	Question 3	99
6.3	Limitations of the Research	100
6.4	Research Contributions	101
6.5	Recommendations	101
6.6	Conclusion	102
	REFERENCES	104
	Appendix A	111 - 118

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Deduction of the Key Characteristics of the SME	29
2.2	ERP Implementation Cost Factors	36
2.3	ERP implementation Risk Factor in SME	40
3.1	Research Design Description	58
4.1	Selected Adequate System Selection Criteria	76
4.2	Selected Adequate BPR Criteria Based	77
4.3	Selected Criteria for Cost Reduction and ERP Project Success	77
5.1	Detail of Respondents	81
5.2	Factor loading	89
5.3	Correlations of the Latent Variables and Reliability Measures	90
5.4	Result of Hypotheses Tests	91

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Small and Medium Enterprise System Upgrade and Implementation	15
2.2	Risk management phases	21
2.3	Schematic Framework of a General Risk Management Process	23
3.1	Overview of Research Method	56
4.1	Proposed Framework for Investigating the Relationship between Risk, Cost Reduction, and ERP Success	70
4.2	Criteria for Framework Constructs	78
5.1	Percentages of Respondents Based on Age	84
5.2	Percentages of Respondents Based on Gender	85
5.3	Percentages of Participants Based on Job Tenure	85
5.4	Percentages of Respondents Based on Education	86
5.5	Percentages of Respondents Based on Department	87
5.6	Result of Data Analysis by (SmartPLS) for Proposed Framework	92

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Questionnaire Sample	111

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Today's business environment is drastically changing. Enterprises are increasingly confronted with issues such as: globalization tests, international rivalry, technological difficulty, and growing customer focus. Firms must expand product portfolios, decrease time-to-marketplace issues, reduce product-life cycles, and generate better quality productions by means of, specifically: quick reply, reduced costs, and more customization to meet market requirements. Industry operation and firms concentrate on their core competences and collaborate with other companies having corresponding resources and knowledge. Also, cooperation or partnership has become a common attitude, as well as an achievement element, in today's business arena. Firms that move closer to a completely collaborative model must enhance their own business procedures and practices accordingly. The critical in-house information that companies earlier fervently protected must also be shared by them with their suppliers, customers, and distributors. Furthermore, jobs within the company must be designed to improve employees' ability to produce and communicate precise information in a well-timed manner. As a result, companies are focusing more and more on Enterprise Resource planning (ERP) systems in order to fulfil these objectives (Iskanius, 2010).

Enterprise system can be determined as: “commercial software packages that enable the integration of transaction-oriented data and business processes throughout an organization (and perhaps eventually throughout the entire inter-organizational supply chain)” (Markus and Tanis, 2000). “Supply chain management (SCM), Enterprise Resource planning (ERP), customer relationship management (CRM), and e-procurement systems make up the Enterprise System (ES)” (Ramdani *et al.*, 2009).

The implementation of an enterprise system (ES) is typically a time-consuming and difficult process, whereby the firm needs to remove various obstacles so as to achieve success as well as experiencing numerous challenges. Obviously, the result of ES implementation can be either a total “flop” in the outcome of the company’s bankruptcy, or a complete success, as reflected in the growth of the firm’s profitability. Subsequently, it is imperative to inspect ES implementation projects and to attempt to determine the problems that impact upon the results of this implementation. Specifically, so as to avert traps and reduce the risk of failure, it is significant to investigate the problems faced by the Activists and learn from their experiment.

Diverse levels of generality which are portrayed through various problems are proposed in prior literature studies. The difficulties that materialise during the implementation process are usually due to certain problems, such as shortage of benefits. Other acknowledged difficulties are distinct adversities faced throughout the implementation process, as well as the cause of additional difficulties(O’Leary, 2000).

Business processes not being redesigned or system shortcomings are some of the examples of such difficulties. Also, various causes may also have those source difficulties, which indicates that there may be a series of cause and effect relationships among difficulties (Wright and Wright, 2002). As enterprises encounter certain difficulties and problems because of rapid changes in the business environment, they are inclined towards the requirement for a system that assimilates

their functions towards the attainment of one system. These systems are known as Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems (Soja, 2006).

All the resources, information, and activities required to complete business processes are coordinated by ERP, which is an information stem designed for this. The ERP system is a mercantile software package which allows the assimilation of transactions-oriented data and business functions during an enterprise. It holds the possibility of being able to tremendously improve organizational performance and creating a competitive advantage (Goni *et al.*, 2011). By the impressive utilization of information technology, they let enterprises share the data in the firm with third parties such as vendors and customers. Assimilating the functions of the business enterprise-wide is the target of the ERP systems. This is achieved during enabling of the information stream across diverse processes and within departments in the enterprise. ERP systems can create the prospect for various sections of a firm to communicate with each other and also share their data, because they have one single database (Soja and Paliwoda-Pekosz, 2009). All the resources, information, and activities required to complete business processes are coordinated by ERP, which is an information stem specifically designed for this.

To attempt to change the demand of customer favour in a time of international and local competition, enterprise resource planning (ERP) plays a role with regards to a new strategy of product development cycles. It is frequently taken as being one of the answers for their survival. To counter a susceptible financial market, ERP provides a flexible answer with effective abilities to succeed (Taylor and Murphy, 2004).

“SMEs are assumed to be key economic players and a powerful source of national, regional and local economic progress” (Taylor and Murphy, 2004). SMEs differ from large organizations in significant ways which ultimately impact upon their information-search practices (Ramdani *et al.*, 2009). Small and Medium sized Enterprises (SMEs) are regarded as being critically important for numerous

economies. Some 51% of all employment comes from firms with less than 500 employees (Snider *et al.*, 2009).

ERP implementation remains one of the most substantial hurdles for IS practitioners over the last 10 years. While numerous enterprises are drawn by the possibility of enormous benefits anticipated from the implementation of an ERP system, others can be discouraged by different horror stories that dot the development of the still new enterprise system industry. ERP implementation projects need enormous investment levels and involve quite substantial perils that are necessitated to be lessened in a suitable manner (Uwizeyemungu and Raymond, 2010).

1.2 Problem Background

Being unchallenged in the economy is one of the important qualities of small and medium enterprises (SMEs). Some of the barriers confronting all companies, regardless of size, are specifically: globalization, internationalization of markets, e-commerce and the knowledge economy. Completion area is critical for organizations; if they want to survive and become more competitive in their environment, they should use information technology (IT) and information systems (IS). The enterprise resource planning (ERP) system market has changed into a rapidly-growing sector of industry having enormous numbers of employees, namely, IS/IT (Poba-Nzaou *et al.*, 2008). Information system costs are dropping in addition to the big business markets becoming crowded or saturated. Thus, a rising number of SMEs are currently implementing ERP systems. Because of their explicit features, SMEs seem to detect it as being more problematic than do large firms to resolve disappointments in the ERP implementation (Muscatello *et al.*, 2003).

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) have restricted resources, budgets, and great sensitivity to costs. SMEs need to contemplate numerous things; primarily

among these is the cost of implementation before taking the first step towards implementation of an enterprise resource planning (ERP) system. Risks and cost can be enormous for both the ERP implementation, and the implementation phase which involves considerable amounts of hidden costs that impact on project success during the ERP life cycle (Aloini *et al.*, 2007). Estimating indirect costs beforehand is problematic and, as a result, inaccurate and optimistic budget and schedule forecasts cause most ERP implementations to flop.

Investigators have generally given less attention to the implementation of IT by SMEs. This is especially correct when considering ERP implementation by SMEs. Most investigative discoveries cannot be simply incorporated into SMEs because of their specific features; until now, ERP systems have focused on the big business sector. Some researchers such as Tomas, (2005) have suggested the application of risk management at the implementation stage in an attempt to decrease the risks involved with implementing ERP systems. However, this stresses the proficiency of risk management when it is presented at the earliest possible chance in the life cycle of the system in question, when planning issues are most essential and the criteria for system selection is identified.

The failure of managers to accurately predict availability and handle the risks concerned in their projects are some of the reasons frequently cited for any software project failure. “Most project managers assume risk management processes as involving additional work and cost; hence, risk management processes are frequently removed if there is a project schedule gaffe (Kwak and Stoddard, 2004).

Different ways have to enhance the rate of ERP project success introduction have been suggested in the past but, regrettably, without much effect. The nature of IT project risks was determined by tactical needs for projects, repetition of failure, etc. Based on research by Aloini in 2006, it can be seen that IT projects have a high failure rate (Aloini *et al.*, 2007). ERP implementations face a tremendous risk of failure by the shortcoming of a definite cost factors model for ERP implementation (Haddara, 2011).

1.3 Research Questions

1. What are the risk factors for ERP implementation?
2. What relations are there among risk factors of system selection and BPR on cost reduction in ERP implementation based on SME?
3. What relations are there between cost reduction and project success in an ERP implementation base on SME?

1.4 Objective of the Study

In order to carry out this research, three objectives are considered:

1. To identify the risk factors for ERP implementation.
2. To identify the relations among risk factors of system selection and BPR on cost reduction in ERP implementation based on SMEs.
3. To identify the relations between cost reduction and ERP implementation success.

1.5 Significance of the Study

This research concentrates on enterprise resource planning (ERP) implementation and the influence of risks on its implementation in SMEs. The utilization of ERP by SMEs has increased in recent years. Hence, the confirmation of elements that have an effect on the implementation of ERP has become the centre of consideration (Chien *et al.*, 2007). Implementation of ERP creates an increase in operational competence and efficiency although it involves enormous costs, hence making a decision about implementing ERP has to be considered prudently. It should

be noted that ERP plays an important role in modern business, by reason of ERP's ability to combine the flow of material, finance, support organizational strategies and information (Wei *et al.*, 2005).

A successful ERP project includes, namely: managing the change of business process, choosing an ERP system, implementing the ERP system, and testing the practicality of the ERP new system. Owing to a number of factors, including: the complication of the business environment, the restrictions in existing resources, and the variety of ERP alternatives, system selection of ERP is monotonous and time consuming. Due to the substantial financial investment involved, as well as potential risks and profit, the emphasis of a related ERP system selection cannot be overemphasized. This corroborates the idea that encasing an ERP system is much more than having another information technology tool; it is a resolution concerning how to shape the organization of the business (Muscatello *et al.*, 2003). For successful implementation of ERP, a variety of risk factors need to be considered. Risks associated with business process re-engineering, software and human resources should be taken into account according to the progress of ERP implementation (Huang *et al.*, 2004).

Unfortunately, too many firms implement their ERP systems hastily; they carry out installation without sufficient knowledge about their business or the need for compatibility with overall company strategies and goals. Muscatello, et al (2013) stated that "Smaller firms, with their limited resources, are less likely than their larger counterparts to survive or quickly overcome a failed implementation of an expensive ERP system. Therefore, it is extremely important to gather, analyse and disseminate information that will help them to choose appropriate ERP systems and then implement these projects successfully".

The risks associated with ERP projects may be able to demarcate a possible problem, i.e., risk is a problem that has not yet happened but which could result in some loss or threaten the success of the project if it did (Soja and Paliwoda-Pekosz, 2009). There are many research studies on ERP implementation in large

organizations, but still relatively little knowledge about the usage of the ERP system in small to medium enterprises. Previously, complicated and costly ERP systems were not used by most firms; with just a few large organizations having the capacity to implement these systems. But recently, small to medium enterprises have shown a lot of attention towards the ERP system due to its effectual outcomes in profits when compared with other diverse organizations. The automatic process systems will enhance the proficiency of organizational activities thereby creating improvements in the organization (Carton, 2004).

1.6 Project Scope

Presently, the key area which distinguishes countries as being either developed or developing is economics. A system that can be taken as a parameter in the success of a business is enterprise resource planning. In order to confront global challenges, one of the solutions for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) is Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP). Many benefits can be realised through an effectual ERP implementation, starting with the most general theory, for example, decrease in cost, productivity enhancement and quality enhancement.

SMEs which follow essential rules in economic issues are focused on in the research. The SME firm which is the sample of this research is either agreeable to or in the process of implementing the ERP. One of the effectual factors in SMEs that has a high capability for utilizing ERP in their jobs is the staff. Throughout the ERP implementation stage, one of the elements which will have considerable effect on the failure or success of an ERP project is the risk of ERP implementation. Since an ERP project might be of higher risk, the costs of an unsuccessful ERP implementation can be high and cost is accordingly a very important factor. The study concentrates on the impact risk of ERP implementation on cost reduction and ERP project success.

For this investigation, an organization in south Iran is selected as representation of a company in a developing country. The Iran I.M.D Company is recognized as an SME which has 460 employees in 2 sections, namely, a central office and a factory, which exist in separate locations. The central office includes Financial, Human Resource, Information, Technology, Manufacturing, Marketing, Procurement, Sales, as well as Service and Stores departments. Each department consists of employees and a manager. The instrument used for data collection is a questionnaire. This study has chosen to use the quantitative method so questionnaires are distributed in each department; the respondents being staff who have knowledge and experience of ERP. Data from the questionnaire is analysed with SmartPLS software.

1.7 Summary

In this chapter, an introduction about the main points of the project has first been discussed. The problem background and statements have also been mentioned in order to clarify introduction of the project, and to explain why this project has been chosen. The objectives, scope and importance of this project have also been described.

REFERENCES

- Adam, F., & O'doherty, P. (2000). Lessons from enterprise resource planning implementations in Ireland—towards smaller and shorter ERP projects. *Journal of Information Technology*, 15(4), 305-316.
- Al-Fawaz, K., Eldabi, T., & Naseer, A. (2010). Challenges and influential factors in ERP adoption and implementation.
- Al- Mashari, M. (2001). Process orientation through enterprise resource planning (ERP): a review of critical issues. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 8(3), 175-185.
- Aladwani, A. M. (2001). Change management strategies for successful ERP implementation. *Business Process Management Journal*, 7(3), 266-275.
- Aloini, D., Dulmin, R., & Mininno, V. (2007). Risk management in ERP project introduction: Review of the literature. *Information & Management*, 44(6), 547-567.
- Aloini, D., Dulmin, R., & Mininno, V. (2012a). Modelling and assessing ERP project risks: A Petri Net approach. *European journal of operational research*, 220(2), 484-495.
- Aloini, D., Dulmin, R., & Mininno, V. (2012b). Risk assessment in ERP projects. *Information Systems*, 37(3), 183-199.
- Alter, S. (1979). Implementation risk analysis. *TIMS Studies in Management Sciences*, 13(2), 103-119.
- Basu, R., Upadhyay, P., Das, M. C., & Dan, P. K. (2012). An approach to identify issues affecting ERP implementation in Indian SMEs. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 5(1), 133-154.
- Beatty, R. C., & Williams, C. D. (2006). ERP II: best practices for successfully implementing an ERP upgrade. *Communications of the ACM*, 49(3), 105-109.

- Boehm, B. W. (1991). Software risk management: principles and practices. *Software, IEEE*, 8(1), 32-41.
- Carton, D. F. (2004). The impact of ERP integration on management decision making. *Business Information Systems*, University College Cork.
- Cereola, S. J. (2008). The performance effects of latent factors on assimilation of commercial open-source ERP software on small-medium enterprises. Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, Virginia.
- Chien, S.-W., Hu, C., Reimers, K., & Lin, J.-S. (2007). The influence of centrifugal and centripetal forces on ERP project success in small and medium-sized enterprises in China and Taiwan. *International journal of production economics*, 107(2), 380-396.
- Davis, G. B. (1982). Strategies for information requirements determination. *IEEEEXplore*.
- Deb Sledgianowski. (2008). SME ERP system sourcing strategies: a case study. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Dezdar, S., & Sulaiman, A. (2009). Successful enterprise resource planning implementation: taxonomy of critical factors. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 109(8), 1037-1052.
- Dunn, G., & Everitt, B. S. (2004). *An Introduction to Mathematical Taxonomy*: Courier Dover Publications.
- Ehie, I. C., & Madsen, M. (2005). Identifying critical issues in enterprise resource planning (ERP) implementation. *Computers in industry*, 56(6), 545-557.
- Equey, C., Kusters, R. J., Varone, S., & Montandon, N. (2008). Empirical Study of ERP Systems Implementation Costs in Swiss SMEs. Paper presented at the ICEIS (1).
- Gefen, D., & Straub, D. (2005). A practical guide to factorial validity using PLS-Graph: Tutorial and annotated example. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 16(1), 109.
- Ghoneim, A. (2007). A comprehensive analysis of it/is indirect costs: Enhancing the evaluation of information systems investments.
- Goni, F. A., Chofreh, A. G., & Sahran, S. (2011). Critical Success Factors for Enterprise Resource Planning System Implementation: A Case Study in Malaysian SME. *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, 1(2), 200-205.

- Grabski, S. V., Leech, S. A., & Lu, B. (2001). Risks and controls in the implementation of ERP systems. *The International Journal of Digital Accounting Research*, 1(01), 4.
- Gulla, J. A., & Brasethvik, T. (2002). A model-driven ERP environment with search facilities. *Data & Knowledge Engineering*, 42(3), 327-341.
- Haddara, M. (2011). ERP adoption cost factors in SMEs. Paper presented at the European and Mediterranean Conference on Information Systems (EMCIS 2011), Athens, Greece.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1998). *Multivariate analysis*. Englewood: Prentice Hall International.
- Hakim, A., & Hakim, H. (2010). A practical model on controlling the ERP implementation risks. *Information Systems*, 35(2), 204-214.
- He, H. (2008). *The Green Innovation Modes in Enterprise Systems of SMEs*.
- Huang, S.-M., Chang, I.-C., Li, S.-H., & Lin, M.-T. (2004). Assessing risk in ERP projects: identify and prioritize the factors. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 104(8), 681-688.
- Hustad, E., & Bechina, A. A. (2011). A study of the ERP Project Life Cycles in Small-and-Medium-Sized Enterprises: Critical Issues and Lessons Learned. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 60, 2011.
- Ifinedo, P., & Nahar, N. (2006). Prioritization of enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems success measures: viewpoints of two organizational stakeholder groups. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2006 ACM symposium on Applied computing.
- Iskanius, P. (2009). The ERP project risk assessment—A case study. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering.
- Iskanius, P. (2009). Risk Management in ERP Project in the Context of SMEs. *Engineering Letters*, 17(4), 266.
- Iskanius, P. (2010). Risk Analysis of ERP Projects in the Manufacturing SMES: Case Study Electronic Engineering and Computing Technology (pp. 691-701): Springer.
- Jafari, S., Osman, M., Yusuff, R., & Tang, S. (2006). ERP systems implementation in Malaysia: The Importance of critical success factors. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 3(1), 125-131.

- Kale, P., Banwait, S., & Laroia, S. (2010). Performance evaluation of ERP implementation in Indian SMEs. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 21(6), 758-780.
- Kansal, V. (2007). Systemic Analysis for Inter-Relation of Identified Critical Success Factors in Enterprise Systems Projects. *Contemporary Management Research*, 3(4).
- Khan, Z., Bali, R. K., & Wickramasinghe, N. (2007). Developing a BPI framework and PAM for SMEs. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 107(3), 345-360.
- Kim, Y., Lee, Z., & Gosain, S. (2005). Impediments to successful ERP implementation process. *Business Process Management Journal*, 11(2), 158-170.
- Klaus, H., Rosemann, M., & Gable, G. G. (2000). What is ERP? *Information systems frontiers*, 2(2), 141-162.
- Koh, S., & Maguire, S. (2004). Identifying the adoption of e-business and knowledge management within SMEs. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 11(3), 338-348.
- Kwak, Y., & Stoddard, J. (2004). Project risk management: lessons learned from software development environment. *Technovation*, 24(11), 915-920.
- Lissitz, R. W., & Green, S. B. (1975). Effect of the number of scale points on reliability: A Monte Carlo approach. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 60(1), 10.
- Love, P. E., Irani, Z., & Edwards, D. J. (2004). Industry-centric benchmarking of information technology benefits, costs and risks for small-to-medium sized enterprises in construction. *Automation in construction*, 13(4), 507-524.
- Love, P. E., Irani, Z., Standing, C., Lin, C., & Burn, J. M. (2005). The enigma of evaluation: benefits, costs and risks of IT in Australian small-medium-sized enterprises. *Information & Management*, 42(7), 947-964.
- MacCallum, R. C., & Browne, M. W. (1993). The use of causal indicators in covariance structure models: some practical issues. *Psychological bulletin*, 114(3), 533.
- Malhotra, R., & Temponi, C. (2010). Critical decisions for ERP integration: Small business issues. *International Journal of Information Management*, 30(1), 28-37.

- Markus, M. L., & Tanis, C. (2000). The enterprise systems experience—from adoption to success. *Framing the domains of IT research: Glimpsing the future through the past*, 173, 207-173.
- Mathrani, S., & Viehland, D. (2009). Business Benefits from Enterprise Systems Implementation in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems*, 16(1).
- McAdam, R., & Galloway, A. (2005). Enterprise resource planning and organisational innovation: a management perspective. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 105(3), 280-290.
- Mirbagheri, F. A., & Khajavi, G. (2012). IMPACT OF ERP IMPLEMENTATION AT MALAYSIAN SMES: ANALYSIS OF FIVE DIMENSIONS BENEFIT.
- Mullins, R., Christos, C., & Iannacci, F. (2011). An empirical study of ERP implementation, in Small and Medium Enterprises in Greece.
- Muscattello, J. R., Small, M. H., & Chen, I. J. (2003). Implementing enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems in small and midsize manufacturing firms. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 23(8), 850-871.
- Nah, F. F.-H., Lau, J. L.-S., & Kuang, J. (2001). Critical factors for successful implementation of enterprise systems. *Business Process Management Journal*, 7(3), 285-296.
- Neuman, L. W. (2007). *Social Research Methods*, 6/E: Pearson Education India.
- Newman, M., & Zhao, Y. (2008). The process of enterprise resource planning implementation and business process re- engineering: tales from two Chinese small and medium- sized enterprises. *Information Systems Journal*, 18(4), 405-426.
- O'Leary, D. E. (2000). *Enterprise resource planning systems: systems, life cycle, electronic commerce, and risk*: Cambridge University Press.
- Peslak, A. R. (2006). Enterprise resource planning success: An exploratory study of the financial executive perspective. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 106(9), 1288-1303.
- Plant, R., & Willcocks, L. (2007). Critical success factors in international ERP implementations: a case research approach. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, 47(3), 60.

- Poba-Nzaou, P., Raymond, L., & Fabi, B. (2008). Adoption and risk of ERP systems in manufacturing SMEs: a positivist case study. *Business Process Management Journal*, 14(4), 530-550.
- Qureshi, I., & Compeau, D. (2009). Assessing between-group differences in information systems research: a comparison of covariance-and component-based SEM. *MIS Quarterly*, 33(1), 197-214.
- Ramdani, B., Kawalek, P., & Lorenzo, O. (2009). Predicting SMEs' adoption of enterprise systems. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*.
- Salmeron, J. L., & Lopez, C. (2012). Forecasting risk impact on ERP maintenance with augmented fuzzy cognitive maps. *Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions on*, 38(2), 439-452.
- Shehab, E., Sharp, M., Supramaniam, L., & Spedding, T. A. (2004). Enterprise resource planning: An integrative review. *Business Process Management Journal*, 10(4), 359-386.
- Snider, B., da Silveira, G. J., & Balakrishnan, J. (2009). ERP implementation at SMEs: analysis of five Canadian cases. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 29(1), 4-29.
- Soja, P. (2006). Success factors in ERP systems implementations: lessons from practice. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 19(6), 646-661.
- Soja, P., & Paliwoda-Pekosz, G. (2009). What are real problems in enterprise system adoption? *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 109(5), 610-627.
- Somers, T. M., & Nelson, K. G. (2004). A taxonomy of players and activities across the ERP project life cycle. *Information & Management*, 41(3), 257-278.
- Straub, D., Boudreau, M.-C., & Gefen, D. (2004). Validation guidelines for IS positivist research. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 13(24), 380-427.
- Sumner, M. (2000). Risk factors in enterprise-wide/ERP projects. *Journal of Information Technology*, 15(4), 317-327.
- Taylor, M., & Murphy, A. (2004). SMEs and e-business. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 11(3), 280-289.
- Teoh, S. (2010). Competency and Capability Development Process: An SME Enterprise System Upgrade and Implementation. *Journal of Information Technology Management*, 21(3), 36-50.

- Tsai, W.-H., Lee, P.-L., Shen, Y.-S., & Lin, H.-L. (2012). A comprehensive study of the relationship between enterprise resource planning selection criteria and enterprise resource planning system success. *Information & Management*, 49(1), 36-46.
- Tsai, W.-H., Lin, S.-J., Liu, J.-Y., Lee, K.-C., Lin, W.-R., & Hsu, J.-L. (2010). Examining the implementation risks affecting different aspects of Enterprise Resource Planning project success. Paper presented at the Computers and Industrial Engineering (CIE), 2010 40th International Conference on.
- Upadhyay, P., & Dan, P. K. (2008). An explorative study to identify the Critical Success Factors for ERP implementation in Indian small and medium scale enterprises. Paper presented at the Information Technology, 2008. ICIT'08. International Conference on.
- Uwizeyemungu, S., & Raymond, L. (2010). Linking the effects of ERP to organizational performance: Development and initial validation of an evaluation method. *Information Systems Management*, 27(1), 25-41.
- Vilpola, I., & Kouri, I. (2005). Improving ERP requirement specification process of SMEs with a customer-centered analysis method. *Proceedings of the Frontiers of e-Business Research (FeBR)*, 140-151.
- Wei, C.-C., Chien, C.-F., & Wang, M.-J. J. (2005). An AHP-based approach to ERP system selection. *International journal of production economics*, 96(1), 47-62.
- Wright, S., & Wright, A. M. (2002). Information system assurance for enterprise resource planning systems: unique risk considerations. *Journal of Information Systems*, 16(s-1), 99-113.
- Xu, L., Yu, W. F., Lim, R., & Hock, L. E. (2010). A methodology for successful implementation of ERP in smaller companies. Paper presented at the Service Operations and Logistics and Informatics (SOLI), 2010 IEEE International Conference on.