

EVALUATING THE LEVEL OF AWARENESS ON LEAN THINKING
CONCEPT IN CONSTRUCTION AMONG HIGHER LEARNING STUDENTS
IN MALAYSIA

SANAZ TABATABAEE

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Construction Management)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2014

*To my beloved mother, father, sisters
and dear Mr. Amir*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to my project report supervisor, Dr. Khairulzan bin Yahya, for his, guidance and critics regarding the processing and editing of this project. Without his continued support and interest, this project report would not have been the same as presented here.

During this work I have collaborated with many people for whom I have great regard, and I wish to extend my warmest thanks to all those who have helped me with my work in the faculty of Civil Engineering in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

I owe my loving thanks to my parents who always pray for my success in everyday life. Without their encouragement it would have been impossible to finish this work.

ABSTRACT

Lean construction has implemented throughout the construction industry in order to smoothen the construction project and increase the contractor's profit by eliminating waste. There is a study has conducted for determining the barriers in implementing the lean construction approach in Malaysia and the results show that most critical barriers are lack of knowledge on lean concept and lack of commitment from management. According to the literature, there are five important factors that a manager have to focus: improvement culture, self-development, qualification, Gemba, and target management. In terms of knowledge, all parties should know about the lean principles, lean construction techniques and causes of waste. This study focuses on these factors to investigate the level of knowledge on lean construction and leadership factors among the postgraduate students before joining to the construction industry. The results show that although "construction management" students almost know about lean thinking, there is lacked of knowledge among the other postgraduate students from faculty of civil engineering and built environment of UTM. Moreover, "construction management" students are fully aware their commitments in implementing lean construction.

ABSTRAK

Pembinaan Mapan telah dilaksanakan dalam industri pembinaan untuk melancarkan perjalanan projek pembinaan dan meningkatkan keuntungan kontraktor dengan menghapuskan pembaziran. Terdapat kajian yang telah dijalankan untuk menentukan halangan dalam melaksanakan pendekatan Pembinaan Mapan di Malaysia dan hasil kajian tersebut menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan halangan kritikal adalah disebabkan kurang pengetahuan mengenai konsep Pembinaan Mapan serta kurangnya komitmen daripada pihak pengurusan. Menurut kajian literatur, pengurus perlu memberi tumpuan terhadap Lima faktor penting: penambahbaikan budaya, pembangunan sendiri, kelayakan, Gemba (bermaksud tambah-nilai) dan objektif pengurusan. Dari segi pengetahuan, semua pihak perlu tahu mengenai prinsip-prinsip pembinaan mapan, teknik pembinaan mapan dan punca-punca pembaziran. Kajian ini memberi tumpuan kepada faktor-faktor ini untuk mengkaji tahap pengetahuan terhadap pembinaan mapan dan faktor kepimpinan dalam kalangan pelajar pasca siswazah sebelum mereka menyertai industri pembinaan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa walaupun pelajar "pengurusan pembinaan" yang mengetahui tentang pemikiran pembangunan mapan, namun terdapat segelintir kalangan pelajar-pelajar pasca siswazah lain dari fakulti kejuruteraan awam dan fakulti alam bina di UTM yang kurang pengetahuan mengenai pembangunan mapan. Selain itu, pelajar-pelajar dari kos "pengurusan pembinaan" menyedari sepenuhnya komitmen mereka dalam melaksanakan pembinaan mapan.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xii |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xiii |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xv |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| | 1.2 Research Background | 2 |
| | 1.3 Problem Statement | 4 |
| | 1.4 Aims and Objectives | 5 |
| | 1.5 Scope and Limitation | 5 |
| | 1.6 Significance of Study | 6 |

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 7 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 7 |
| | 2.2 Definition of Lean Thinking | 8 |
| | 2.3 History of Lean Production/Manufacturing | 10 |
| | 2.4 Concept of Lean Production | 13 |
| | 2.4.1 Principles of Lean Thinking | 15 |
| | 2.4.2 Lean Production Principles | 16 |
| | 2.4.2.1 Reduce Non-Value Adding Activities | 17 |
| | 2.4.2.2 Increase Output Value | 18 |
| | 2.4.2.3 Reduce Variability | 18 |
| | 2.4.2.4 Reduce the Cycle Time | 19 |
| | 2.4.2.5 Simplify the Number of Steps | 20 |
| | 2.4.2.6 Increase Output Flexibility | 21 |
| | 2.4.2.7 Increase Process Transparency | 21 |
| | 2.4.2.8 Focus Control on the Complete Process | 22 |
| | 2.4.2.9 Build Continuous Improvement | 23 |
| | 2.4.2.10 Balance Flow Improvement | 23 |
| | 2.4.2.11 Benchmark | 24 |
| | 2.5 Applying Lean Thinking in Construction Industry | 24 |
| | 2.6 Concept of Lean Construction | 25 |
| | 2.6.1 Wastes Recourses in Construction Industry | 26 |
| | 2.6.1.1 Overproduction | 27 |
| | 2.6.1.2 Substitution | 28 |
| | 2.6.1.3 Waiting Time | 28 |
| | 2.6.1.4 Transportation | 28 |
| | 2.6.1.5 Processing | 29 |
| | 2.6.1.6 Inventories | 29 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2.6.1.7 | Movement | 29 |
| 2.6.1.8 | Production of defective products | 30 |
| 2.6.2 | Principles of Lean Construction | 30 |
| 2.6.2.1 | Specify Value | 35 |
| 2.6.2.2 | Identify and Map the Value Stream | 35 |
| 2.6.2.3 | Flows | 36 |
| 2.6.2.4 | Pull | 37 |
| 2.6.2.5 | Perfection / Continuous Improvement | 38 |
| 2.6.3 | Lean Construction Techniques | 38 |
| 2.6.3.1 | Concurrent Engineering | 39 |
| 2.6.3.2 | Last Planner System | 40 |
| 2.6.3.3 | Daily Huddle Meetings | 41 |
| 2.6.3.4 | The Kanban System | 42 |
| 2.6.3.5 | Plan Conditions and Work Environment | 42 |
| 2.6.3.6 | Quality Management Tools | 42 |
| 2.6.3.7 | Visual Inspection | 43 |
| 2.7 | Incorporating Lean Principles in Project Development Process | 43 |
| 2.8 | Barriers in Implementing Lean Construction | 47 |
| 2.8.1 | Barriers in Implementing Lean Construction Malaysia | 53 |
| 2.9 | Principles of Lean Leadership | 55 |
| 2.9.1 | Improvement Culture | 57 |
| 2.9.2 | Self-development | 57 |
| 2.9.3 | Qualification | 58 |
| 2.9.4 | Gemba | 58 |
| 2.9.5 | Hoshin Kenari | 60 |
| 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 61 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 61 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----------|
| 3.2 | Literature Review | 61 |
| 3.3 | Data Collection | 62 |
| 3.3.1 | Survey Questionnaire | 62 |
| 3.3.2 | Data Analysis and Obtaining the Results | 63 |
| 3.3.2.1 | Average Index Analysis | 64 |
| 3.3.2.2 | One Sample t-Test | 65 |
| 3.3.2.3 | Chi-Square Test | 66 |
| 3.3.2.4 | Reliability Analysis | 66 |
| 3.4 | Brief Research Methodology | 67 |
| 4 | RESULT AND DISCUSSION | 70 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 70 |
| 4.2 | Barriers in Implementing Lean Construction | 70 |
| 4.2.1 | Reliability Tests | 72 |
| 4.3 | Evaluating Knowledge on Lean Construction/Commitment | 74 |
| 4.3.1 | Respondent Qualification | 75 |
| 4.3.2 | Level of Knowledge on Lean Thinking | 76 |
| 4.3.2.1 | Principles of Lean Production | 77 |
| 4.3.2.2 | Causes of Waste in Construction | 78 |
| 4.3.2.3 | Principles of Lean Construction | 79 |
| 4.3.2.4 | Lean Construction Techniques | 80 |
| 4.3.2.5 | Results of Level of Knowledge | 81 |
| 4.3.3 | Lean Leadership and Management Commitment | 81 |
| 4.3.3.1 | Reliability Tests | 82 |
| 4.3.3.1.1 | One Sample t-Test | 82 |
| 4.3.3.1.2 | Chi-Square test | 84 |
| 4.3.3.1.3 | Cronbach's Alpha Test | 85 |
| 4.3.3.2 | Average Index of Commitment | 87 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 4.3.3.3 | Improvement culture | 87 |
| 4.3.3.4 | Self-development | 89 |
| 4.3.3.5 | Qualification | 90 |
| 4.3.3.6 | Stand for the Real Place (Gemba) | 91 |
| 4.3.3.7 | Target management | 92 |
| 4.3.3.8 | Results of lean leadership and commitment | 93 |
| 5 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 94 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 94 |
| 5.2 | Level of Knowledge | 94 |
| 5.3 | Lean Leadership (Management Commitment) | 95 |
| 5.4 | Recommendations Based on Findings | 96 |
| 5.5 | Recommendations for Further Studies | 96 |
| | REFERENCES | 97 |
| | Appendix A | 104 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| 2-1 | Definition of lean thinking | 9 |
| 2-2 | Time line marketing in the lean production evolution | 12 |
| 2-3 | Lean construction principles | 31 |
| 2-4 | Lean construction principles in construction | 32 |
| 2-5 | Lean tools in construction implementation | 34 |
| 2-6 | Key concepts of lean construction | 44 |
| 2-7 | Key concepts of lean construction in construction process | 46 |
| 2-8 | Summary of Barriers to Lean Construction Concepts | 49 |
| 2-9 | The mean score of restriction factors | 55 |
| 3-1 | Average Index Analysis of Level of agreement | 65 |
| 4-12 | Chi-square test on target management factors | 85 |
| 4-13 | Cronbach's Alpha test on lean leadership factors | 86 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------------|---|-------------|
| 2-1 | Beginning of the lean production | 11 |
| 2-2 | Production as a flow process; simplistic illustration | 13 |
| 2-3 | Performance improvement | 14 |
| 2-4 | “4P” of the lean way | 16 |
| 2-5 | Cycle time compressing | 20 |
| 2-6 | Lean construction principles | 31 |
| 2-7 | Last Planner System | 40 |
| 2-8 | The sequence of last planner process | 41 |
| 2-9 | Prioritizing Lean Construction Barriers | 51 |
| 2-10 | The five principles of lean leadership | 56 |
| 2-11 | The lean leadership model | 60 |
| 3-1 | Sequence of SPSS test | 66 |
| 3-2 | Research methodology outline | 69 |
| 4-1 | The job positions of respondent | 71 |
| 4-2 | knowledge on lean production principles | 77 |
| 4-3 | knowledge on each waste resources | 78 |
| 4-4 | knowledge on lean construction principles | 79 |
| 4-5 | knowledge on each associated lean construction techniques | 80 |
| 4-6 | Average Index of improvement culture factor | 88 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 4-7 | Average Index of self-development factors | 89 |
| 4-8 | Frequency of effectiveness of qualification factors | 90 |
| 4-9 | Average Index of gemba factors | 91 |
| 4-10 | Average Index of target management factors | 92 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|
| A | Questionnaire sample | 106 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Construction industry is unique and complex due to the involvement of many parties and consumption of varieties of resources. According to Ballard and Howell (1998), construction covers a variety ranging from the slow, certain, and simple project to quick, uncertain and complex project. Meanwhile, Koskela (1992) stated that construction is unique in the sense of it is one-of kind nature of projects, site production and temporary multi-organization.

However, failure of establishing a good management system in the construction project will lead to many problems that would cause cost of project increases, late completion of project and low quality, which finally reduce the profit of the contractor. In order to overcome this problems, lean construction based on lean thinking has been introduced in this construction sector.

According to Howell (1999), lean construction is one of the new philosophies that been implemented by Toyota in their manufacturing process, which now applied

throughout the construction industry in order to smoothen the construction project and increase the contractor's profit by eliminating waste. This statement has been supported by Ballard and Howell (1998) whom also stated the same facts that lean thinking in construction concerned in waste reduction.

Lean construction project is very different compared to traditional construction project management where lean approach aims to maximize performance to the customer at the project level, set well-defined objective clearly for delivery process, design concurrent product and process and applies production control throughout the life of the project (Howell, 1999).

Lean approach breaks the construction project to smaller parts of activities, which will be defined clearly the start and end date for completion of each activity with an appointed person to keep on monitoring all the activities to be completed according to schedule. Lean Construction (LC) is aimed at reducing waste and increasing productivity in fulfilling the client's requirements on the construction industry. In general, lean construction projects are easier to manage, safer, completed sooner, and cost less and are of better quality.

1.2 Research Background

Based on Guo (2009), Construction management and technology are the two key factors influencing the development within the construction industry. Over the past 40 years, although several new and advanced technologies have been applied to construction projects, the efficiency within the industry has remained quite low.

One of the new management philosophies that have been considered in the construction industry is that of lean thinking. Lean construction has the goal of meeting customers' needs while using less of everything, a term created by the International Group for Lean Construction (Gleeson and Townend, 1993) in United Kingdom. This refers to the application of lean production principles and practices in design-construction processes to maximize value and to reduce waste (Howell and Ballard, 1998).

Sustainability has been defined as economic development that meets the current customers' need without compromising the opportunity and ability for future generation needs. In materializing this effort, the construction industry is urged to move from traditional, labor consuming, energy inefficient and waste generated method of construction to more environmentally friendly, energy efficient and less waste generation of the construction environment. Pratt (2000) stated that Malaysian projects in the last decade were not cost and function effective. On certain construction projects, the budgets were overstepped, longer construction period and quality of the end products were poor (Ibrahim et al., 2010).

In Malaysia other than Lim (2008), among other pioneer researches on lean construction was conducted by Abdullah et al. (2009). The study concluded that the application of lean construction is limited due to the nature of the construction industry, which is very unique, high risks and one-off. Lim (2008) added earlier that its knowledge has been widely accepted by the stakeholders. It was indicated that there is a need for more holistic approaches such as incorporating the other important aspects to the lean construction key concepts towards sustainable and better future environment.

1.3 Problem Statement

According to Ibrahim and Ong (2003), construction is known as a very reluctant industry to accept changes to its current practice because of the belief that construction industry is completely different in nature. However, with the problems that industry inherits such as lack of focus to customers, lack of quality, and adversarial relationship among team members, inefficient project communication and project delay force the industry to reconsider its current practice.

Various studies on the construction industry have been conducted to develop the best practice that is not only capable of improving organization profit but also assists in producing a systematic work process which will encourage the optimal use of resources. Concurrently, the emergence of the lean construction concept is seen as a current approach that can be used to produce best practices because it was viewed as an effort to bring construction industry towards a more optimum productivity level with the efficient usage of resources as well as to produce the maximum value. Through the concept of waste elimination and value enhancement in a construction project, this approach is seen as being able to create a process of implementing activities in the project in a systematic and effective manner.

Based on Lim study (2008) in Malaysia, the use of the lean construction concept in the industry is still considered as a new approach. In fact, its application within the construction firms throughout the country is very limited. Even with the scarce numbers of research done towards the application of the lean concept in the Malaysian construction industry, it has indirectly shown that the usage of this concept is still unpopular within the country's construction firms even though these firms engage learned and skilled academic professionals in construction processes as well as being aware of the change and improvements that are occurring within the construction industry, whether it is from the aspects of technology, implementation methods, management and others.

1.4 Aims and Objectives

This study aims to appraise the level of awareness of lean thinking as a sustainable approach in postgraduate level of construction management program in higher learning in UTM. To achieve the aim of this study, following objectives have determined:

1. To identify the lean thinking concept, principles and techniques in construction.
2. To determine the most important barrier factors in implementing lean construction within the Malaysian construction industry.
3. To evaluate level of knowledge of students on lean construction and level of awareness of lean leadership among postgraduate students based on the findings in objective 2.

1.5 Scope and Limitation

This study focus on Malaysian construction industry in implementing the lean construction concept. Emphasis is given to evaluate the level of knowledge and the factors related to management support and commitment among the Built Environment and Civil Engineering students in UTM.

1.6 Significance of Study

Nowadays, the construction firms attempt to increase their productivity and improve their efficiency in fulfilling the client's requirements by adopting a positive approach to solve the arising problems, in the initial stage of the projects. One of the most appropriate construction management approach is lean construction.

The significance of this study is in the reason that lean construction is a new construction management approach in Malaysia and majority of current professionals don't have adequate perception of lean concept and lean implementation in construction industry. Moreover, construction industry embraces an increasing number of construction academics who will get professional position in a near future. Thus, it seems crucial to investigate the probable barriers which might occur in implementing lean construction, for the parties associated with construction industry, to remedy the situation.

It is obvious that finding the barriers of implementing lean construction and its major causes, play a critical role in the first step to facilitate and enhancing the efficiency of performing this new approach. This study is conducted to indicate the major barriers and also the factors which affect the most important barriers of lean implementation, "lack of understanding the concept of lean construction" and "lack of commitment and leadership from top management" to point out future possibilities to improve in these areas.

REFERENCES

- Atkin, B. and Potheary, E. (1994). *Building Futures*. University of Reading. UK.
- Abdullah, Sh., Abdul Razak, A., Abu Bakar, A., Sarrazin, I. (2012). *Towards Producing Best Practice in the Malaysian Construction Industry: The barriers in implementing the lean construction approach*. Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Alarcon, L. (1997). Tools for the identification and reduction of waste in construction projects, in: Alarcon (Ed.), *Lean Construction*, A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
- Alarcon, L., Diethelm, S., Rojo, O. Calderon, R. (2008). *Assessing the Impacts of Implementing Lean Construction*. *Revista Ingenieria de Construccion*, Volume 23, No.1: pp. 26-33.
- Alinaitwe, H. (2009). *Prioritizing Lean Construction Barriers in Uganda's Construction Industry*. *Journal of Construction in Developing Countries*. Volume 14, No.1: pp.15-30.
- Aziz, R.F., Hafez, S.M. (2013). *Applying lean thinking in construction and performance improvement*, Alexandria Eng. J.
- Anumba C., Baugh, C and Khalfan, M. (2002). *Organisational structures to support concurrent engineering in construction*. *Industrial Management Data Systems*, 5(6): pp. 260–270.
- Ballard, G. (2000). *The Last Planner System of Production Control*, PhD Thesis. University of Birmingham: UK.
- Ballard, G. and Howell, G. (1998). *Shielding production: Essential step I production control*. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 124(1): pp.11–17.
- Ballard, G. and Howell, G. (2003) “Lean project management”. *Building Research and Information*, 31(2), pp. 119-133.

- Bashir, A. M., Suresh, S., Proverbs, D. & Gameson, R. (2011). A critical, theoretical, review of the impacts of lean construction tools in reducing accidents on construction sites In: Egbu, C. and Lou, E.C.W. (Eds.) *Procs 27th Annual ARCOM Conference*, Bristol, UK, Association of Researchers in Construction Management, pp. 249-258.
- Bechdol, P. (1995). Re-engineering the business of construction. *Construction Business Review*, May/June: 40–42.
- Bendell, A., Disney, J. Pridmore, W.A. (1989). *Taguchi Methods: Applications in World Industry*. IFS Publications/Springer, Bedford, pp. 399.
- Bennett, J. (1988). *Building Britain 2001*. Center for Strategic Studies in Construction, University of Reading, Reading.
- Bennett, J., Croome, D., Atkin, B. (1989). *Investing in Britain 2001*. Center for Strategic Studies in Construction, University of Reading, Reading.
- Bennett, J., Potheary, R., Robinson, G. (1996). *Designing and Building a World Class Industry*. Center for Strategic Studies in Construction, University of Reading, Reading.
- Bertelsen, S. (2004). “Lean construction: where are we and how to proceed”. Retrieved 26 August 2011 from <http://www.kth.se>
- Bly, R.W. (1993). *Keeping Clients Satisfied: Make Your Service Business More Successful and Profitable*. New Jersey. Prentice Hall.
- Castka, P., Bamber, C. and Sharp, J. (2004). Benchmarking intangible assets: enhancing teamwork performance using self-assessment. *Benchmarking*, 11(6): pp. 571–583.
- Child et al. (1991). The Management of Complexity. *Sloan Management Review*, Fall: pp. 73- 80.
- Conte, A.S and Gransberg, D. (2001). Lean construction: From theory to practice. *AACE International Transactions*. CSC 10.1–CSC10.5.
- Cua, K.O., McKone, K.E., and Schroeder, R.G. (2001). Relationships between implementation of TQM, JIT and TPM and manufacturing performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 19(6): pp. 675–694.
- Demir, S.T, Bryde, D.J., Fearon, D.J., Ochieng, E.G. (2012). Re-conceptualizing Lean in Construction Environments –the case for “AgiLean” Project Management. 48th ASC Annual International Conference Proceedings.

- Dombrowski, U., Mielke, T. (2013). Lean Leadership fundamental principles and their application. Forty Sixth CIRP Conference on Manufacturing Systems Forty Sixth CIRP Conference on Manufacturing Systems 2013.
- Dombrowski, U., T. Mielke, T., Engel, C. (2012). “Knowledge Management in Lean Production Systems” in *Procedia CIRP: 45th CIRP Conference on Manufacturing Systems*, Athens, Greece.
- Dombrowski, U., Mielke, Y., Schulze, S. (2011.) “Employee Participation in the Implementation of Lean Production Systems” in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Changeable, Agile, Reconfigurable and Virtual Production (CARV)*, Montreal, Canada.
- B. Emiliani, B. (2008). *Practical Lean Leadership - A Strategic Guide for Executives*, Wethersfield: Center for Lean Business Management.
- Formoso, C., Soibelman, T., De Cesare, C., Isatto, E. (2002). Material waste in building industry: main causes and prevention, *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management* 128 (4): pp. 316–325.
- Garnett, N., Jones, D.T and Murray, S. (1998). *Strategic Application of Lean Thinking*. Proceedings IGLC-6. Guaruja, Brazil.
- George, J.M., Jones, G.R. (2008). *Understanding and managing organizational behavior*. Pearson International Edition.
- Gray, C. (1996). Aiming the Lean Enterprise the Piano 100 Case. Proc 4th IGLC Conference Birmingham, UK.
- Greif, Michel. (1991). *The Visual Factory*. Productivity Press, Cambridge, pp. 281.
- Harris, F., & McCaffer, R. (1997). *Modern Construction Management* London: Blackwell Science.
- Haupt, T.C.,Whiteman, D.E. (2004). Inhibiting factors of implementing total quality management on construction sites. *The TQM Magazine*, 16(3): pp. 166–173.
- Hopp, W.J., Spearman, M.L. & Woodruff, D.L. (1990). Practical Strategies for Lead Time Reduction. *Manufacturing Review*, Volume 3, No. 2: pp 78 – 84.
- Howell, G. (1999). “What is Lean Construction?” Proceeding Seventh Annual Conference of International Group of Lean Construction, IGLC-7, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.
- Ibrahim M.M. and Ong C.K. (2003) Reviewing the Potential of Applying Lean Production Principles to Malaysian Construction Industry. *Proceedings of 5th*

- Asia-Pacific Structural Engineering and Construction Conference (APSEC 2003), Johor Bahru, Malaysia.
- Jorgensen, B., & Emmitt, S. (2008). Lost in Transition: The Transfer of Lean Manufacturing to Construction Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 15 (4), pp. 383-398.
- M. Imai. (1997). Gemba Kaizen, New York: McGraw Hill.
- Kilpatrick, J. (2003). Lean Principles, Utah Manufacturing Extension Partnership. Available on <http://www.mep.org/textfiles/LeanPrinciples.pdf> (Accessed on: 14 May 2008).
- Kim, D., Park, H-S. (2006). Innovative Construction Management Method: Assessment of Lean Construction Implementation. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering, 10(6), pp. 381-388.
- Koskela, L. (1992). Application of the New Production Philosophy to Construction. Technical Report 72, CIFE, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.
- Koskela, L. (1992). Improvement and Automation in Construction-Opposing or Complementing Approaches? The 9th International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction. Tokyo, pp. 105-112.
- Koskela, L. (1999). Management of production in construction: A theoretical view. Proceedings IGLC-7, University of California, Berkeley.
- Koskela, L., Huovila, P. (1997). On foundations of concurrent Engineering. In Anumba C. and Evbuomwan, N. (eds.), Concurrent Engineering in Construction. CEC97, 3-4 July, The Institution of Structural Engineers, London.
- Krupka, Dan C. 1992. Time as a Primary System Metric. In: Heim, Joseph A. and Compton, W. Dale (Ed.). 1992. Manufacturing Systems: Foundations of World Class Practice. National Academy Press, Washington, DC. pp. 166-172.
- Lamming, R. (1993). Beyond Partnership: Strategies for Innovation and Lean Supply. Hemel Hempsted: Prentice Hall International (UK).
- Lean Enterprise Institute. (2009). Principles of lean Retrieved 25 August 2012 from <http://www.lean.org>
- Liker, J. (2004). The Toyota Way: 14 principles from the world's greatest manufacture, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- J. K. Liker and G. L. Convis, (2012). The Toyota Way to Lean Leadership - Achieving and sustaining excellence through leadership development, New York: McGraw Hill.

- Lim, V. L. J. (2008). Lean construction: knowledge and barriers in implementing into Malaysia construction industry. August 2011 from <http://eprints.utm.my>
- Lukowski, J. (2010). Lean construction principles eliminate waste. Retrieved 25 August 2012 from <http://www.powermag.com>
- Mann, D. (2009). "The Missing Link: Lean Leadership". *Frontiers of Health Services Management*, pp. 15- 26.
- Marhani, A.M., Jappar, A., Ahmad Bari, N. A. (2012). Lean construction toward enhancing sustainable construction in Malaysia. Center for environment-behavior studies. Faculty of architecture, planning and surveying, Universiti teknologi MARA, Malaysia.
- Mathews, J., Pellew, L., Phua, F. and Rowlinson, S. (2000). Quality relationships: partnering in the construction supply chain. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 7(4/5): 493–510.
- Mohd Yunus, N. M. (2006) Implementation of OHSAS 18001:1999: The experienced of construction companies in Malaysia, Universiti Teknologi MARA Shah Alam, Malaysia.
- Ong C.K. (2002). Implementing lean principles in Malaysia Construction Industry. Master's Degree, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Orr, C. (2005). "Lean Leadership in Construction". *Proceedings of the 13th Annual Conference of the International Group for Lean Construction (IGLC- 13)*, pp. 345-351.
- Paez, O., Solomon, J., Salem, S., Genaidy, A. (2005). Moving from lean manufacturing to lean construction: toward a common socio-technological framework, *Wiley Periodicals, Human Factors and Ergonomics, Manufacturing Journal* 15 (2): pp. 233–245.
- Pichler, J., Ziegler, J., Aldrian, U., Allerberger, F. (2013). Evaluating levels of knowledge on food safety among food handlers from restaurants and various catering business in Vienna, Austria.
- Pheng, L. S., Chuan, C.J. (2001). Just in time management of precast concrete components. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 127 (6): pp. 494–501.
- Pheng, L.S. Hui, M.S. (1999). The application of JIT philosophy to construction: a case study in site layout. *Construction Management and Economics*, 17(5): pp. 657–668.

- Polat, G. and Arditi, D. (2005). The JIT materials management system in developing countries. *Construction Management and Economics*, 23 (7): pp. 697–712.
- Pratt, R. (2000). Project management in Malaysia, some ideas on the way ahead. Paper presented at Asia Pacific Diligence Sdn Bhd seminar, Project management: strategies, techniques, operations and control, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
- Rummler, Geary A. & Brache, Alan P. (1990). *Improving Performance*. Jossey-Bass Publishers, San Francisco, pp. 227.
- Salem, O., Solomon, J., Genaidy, A., Minkarah, I. (2006). Lean construction: From theory to implementation. *J. Manage. Eng.*, 22(4), pp.168-175.
- Samsul, H.B. (2008). Collaborative usage of ICT DBMS in Construction Cost Control: The Post Contract Perspectives. Master's Degree, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Seppanen, O., Ballard, G. & Pesonen, S. (2010). The combination of last planner system and location based management system. Retrieved 15 October 2012 from <http://www.lean.org>
- Shah, R., Ward, P.T. (2007), Defining and developing measures of lean production, *Journal of Operations Management* 25: pp. 785-805.
- K. Shimizu. (2004). "Reorienting Kaizen Activities at Toyota", *Okayama Economic Review*, pp. S.255- 278.
- Shingo, S. (1985). *Zero quality control: Source Inspection and the Pokayoke System*, Productivity, Cambridge, MA, pp. 57-69.
- Shingo, S. (1992). *Non-stock production*. Productivity Press, Cambridge, MA. Pp. 454.
- Shmanske, S. (2003). JIT and the complementarily of buffers and lot size. *American Business Review*, 21(1): pp. 100–106.
- Small, H. M., & Yasin, M. M. (2011). Assessing the implementation and effectiveness of process management initiatives at technologically consistent firms *Business Process Management*, 6-20.
- Stalk, G. Hout, T.M. (1989). *Competing Against Time*. Free Press, NY.
- Stewart, Thomas A. (1992). The Search for the Organization of Tomorrow. *Fortune*, May 18, pp 92 - 98.

- Summers, D. C. (2005). *Quality Management, Creating and Sustaining Organizational Effectiveness*. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: PEARSON Prentice Hall.
- Womack, James P., Jones, Daniel T. (1996). *Lean Thinking*. Simon & Schuster, New York, pp 350.
- Womack, J. P., Jones, D. T., and Roos, D. (1991). *The Machine that changed the World*, New York: Macmillan.
- Womack, J. P. (2011). *Gemba Walks*, Cambridge: Lean Enterprise Institute.
- Wright, G. (2000). *Lean Construction Boosts Productivity Building Design and Construction*, 41(12), pp. 29-32.