

**CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO CORRELATION WITH
SOIL INDEX PROPERTIES**

MAK WAI KIN

**A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil – Geotechnics)**

**Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia**

MAY 2006

To my beloved parents and sisters

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere appreciation to all people and organization that had contributed towards the preparation of this final project.

Firstly, I wish to thank my supervisor, Dr. Nurly Gofar, for spending her precious time to supervise my works. I would not forget her invaluable guidance and advices throughout this project.

Secondly, I am thankful to my company's director and colleagues for their support and understandings. Their very useful assistance while I am working allows me to concentrate and complete the project within the specified time.

Last but not least, not to forget the full supports that has been given by my parents during my study.

ABSTRACT

California Bearing Ratio (CBR) is a commonly used indirect method to assess the stiffness modulus and shear strength of subgrade in pavement design works, however; civil engineers always encounter difficulties in obtaining representative CBR value for design of pavement. Over the years, many correlations had been proposed by various researchers in which the soil index properties were used to develop these correlations. A study was carried out to find the correlation between CBR values with soil index properties that best suit the type of soils in Malaysia. Analyses were carried out based on the published correlations and soil data obtained from two highway project sites. Based on the results, it is observed that the current published correlations are not suitable to be used in Malaysia. In addition, no typical range could be found based on the soil index properties. A correlation had been proposed in the study to predict the CBR values at top face of the soil sample for fine-grained soil based on the soil data collated. These correlations were developed based on the maximum dry density and optimum moisture content.

ABSTRAK

Nisbah Galas California (CBR) merupakan satu kaedah tidak langsung untuk mengukur modulus kekerasan and kekuatan rich tanah bagi kerja-kerja rekabentuk jalan raya berturap, tetapi; jurutera awam sentiasa menghadapi masalah untuk mendapatkan nilai CBR yang boleh digunakan untuk rekabentuk. Tahun-tahun yang lepas, banyak pertalian telah dicadangkan oleh banyak penyelidik dimana ciri-ciri indeks tanah telah digunakan untuk mendapatkan pertalian ini. Satu penyelidikan telah dijalankan untuk mendapatkan pertalian antara nilai CBR dengan ciri-ciri indeks tanah yang boleh digunakan untuk jenis tanah di Malaysia. Analisis berpandukan pertalian yang telah diterbitkan dan data tanah yang didapatkan dari dua projek lebuh raya. Keputusan analisis menunjukkan pertalian yang telah diterbitkan ini tidak sesuai digunakan di Malaysia. Tambahan lagi, tipikal had nilai CBR tidak diperolehi berpandukan ciri-ciri indeks tanah. Satu pertalian baru telah dicadangkan dalam penyelidikan ini untuk menganggar nilai CBR di muka atas sampel tanah jelek berpandukan data tanah yang dikumpul. Pertalian ini diterbitkan berpandukan kepada ketumpatan kering maksimum dan kandungan lembapan optimum tanah.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|--|------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | x |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS | xiv |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xv |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem Statement | 3 |
| | 1.3 Aim and Objectives of Study | 3 |
| | 1.4 Scope of Study | 4 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| | 2.1 California Bearing Ratio | 5 |
| | 2.1.1 Applications of California Bearing Ratio | 6 |
| | 2.1.2 Test Methods | 7 |
| | 2.1.2.1 In Situ Field Testing | 8 |
| | 2.1.2.2 Laboratory Testing | 9 |
| | 2.2 Soil Classification | 11 |
| | 2.2.1 Grain Size Distribution | 12 |
| | 2.2.2 Plasticity | 15 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.3 | Correlations between CBR and Soil Classification | 17 |
| 2.3.1 | Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (1994) | 17 |
| 2.3.2 | Black (1962) | 19 |
| 2.3.3 | de Graft - Johnson and Bhatia (1969) | 20 |
| 2.3.4 | Agarwal and Ghanekar (1970) | 21 |
| 2.3.5 | National Cooperative Highway Research Program (2001) | 22 |
| 2.4 | Current Practice in Malaysia | 23 |
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | 26 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 26 |
| 3.2 | Data Collection | 28 |
| 3.2.1 | Source of Data | 28 |
| 3.2.2 | Data Selection | 29 |
| 3.3 | Data Analysis | 30 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | 32 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 32 |
| 4.2 | Particle Size Distribution | 32 |
| 4.3 | Relationship of CBR at Top Face and Bottom Face | 35 |
| 4.4 | Evaluation of Published Correlations | 36 |
| 4.4.1 | Coarse-grained Soil | 37 |
| 4.4.2 | Fine-grained Soil | 39 |
| 4.4.2.1 | NCHRP's Correlation | 39 |
| 4.4.2.2 | Agarwal and Ghanekar's Correlation | 41 |
| 4.5 | Typical Range of CBR Values | 43 |
| 4.5.1 | Coarse-grained Soil | 44 |
| 4.5.2 | Fine-grained Soil | 45 |
| 4.6 | Relationship of Maximum Dry Density with Optimum Moisture Content | 48 |
| 4.7 | Proposed Correlation for CBR Values | 50 |
| 4.8 | Discussion | 52 |
| 4.8.1 | Evaluation of Published Correlations | 52 |
| 4.8.2 | Typical Range of CBR Values | 54 |
| 4.8.3 | CBR Correlation with Soil Index Properties for Malaysia Soils | 55 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------------|
| 5 | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 57 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 57 |
| 5.5 | Recommendations for Future Research | 59 |
| | REFERENCES | 61 |
| | APPENDIX A – L | 63 - 105 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| 2.1 | Definitions of soils classified by grading according to British Soil Classification System | 13 |
| 2.2 | Relationship of plasticity with liquid limit | 16 |
| 2.3 | Subgrade CBR estimation of British soils compacted at natural moisture content (The Highway Agency, 1994) | 18 |
| 4.1 | Particle size distribution test results for fine-grained soils | 33 |
| 4.2 | Particle size distribution test results for coarse-grained soils | 34 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------------|---|-------------|
| 2.1 | Dynamic cone penetrometer equipment | 9 |
| 2.2 | Test equipment for determination of CBR value in laboratory | 11 |
| 2.3 | Example of grading curves | 14 |
| 2.4 | Plasticity chart | 16 |
| 2.5 | Relationship between CBR and plasticity index at various liquidity index values | 19 |
| 2.6 | Correction of CBR values for partial saturation | 19 |
| 2.7 | Relationship between suitability index and soaked CBR values | 20 |
| 2.8 | Relationship between the ratio of maximum dry density to plasticity index and CBR for laterite-quartz gravels | 21 |
| 3.1 | Flowchart of the study | 27 |
| 4.1 | Relationship between CBR _{TOP} and CBR _{BOTTOM} values | 35 |
| 4.2 | Comparison of CBR _{TOP} with NCHRP's line for coarse-grained soil | 37 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.3 | Comparison of CBR_{BOTTOM} with NCHRP's line for coarse-grained soil | 38 |
| 4.4 | Comparison of CBR_{TOP} with NCHRP's line for fine-grained soil | 40 |
| 4.5 | Comparison of CBR_{BOTTOM} with NCHRP's line for fine-grained soil | 40 |
| 4.6 | Relationship between CBR_{TOP} and $CBR_{\text{A\&G}}$ values | 42 |
| 4.7 | Relationship between CBR_{BOTTOM} and $CBR_{\text{A\&G}}$ values | 42 |
| 4.8 | Numbers of measurement of CBR_{TOP} for coarse-grained soil | 44 |
| 4.9 | Numbers of measurement of CBR_{BOTTOM} for coarse-grained soil | 45 |
| 4.10 | Numbers of measurement of CBR_{TOP} for fine-grained soil | 46 |
| 4.11 | Numbers of measurement of $CBR_{\text{TOP}(\pm 3\%)}$ for fine-grained soil | 47 |
| 4.12 | Numbers of measurement of CBR_{BOTTOM} for fine-grained soil | 47 |
| 4.13 | Numbers of measurement of $CBR_{\text{BOTTOM}(\pm 3\%)}$ for fine-grained soil | 48 |
| 4.14 | Relationship of maximum dry density with optimum moisture content | 49 |
| 4.15 | Proposed correlations for CBR_{TOP} for fine-grained soil | 51 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|
| A | - | Percentage passing 2.4 mm BS sieve |
| CBR | - | California Bearing Ratio |
| CBR _{A&G} | - | CBR value predicted by the Agarwal and Ghanekar's correlation |
| CBR _{TOP} | - | CBR value at top face of soil sample |
| CBR _{TOP(±3%)} | - | Minimum CBR _{TOP} within the range of ±3% of OMC |
| CBR _{BOTTOM} | - | CBR value at bottom face of soil sample |
| CBR _{BOTTOM(±3%)} | - | Minimum CBR _{BOTTOM} within the range of ±3% of OMC |
| DCP | - | Dynamic Cone Penetrometer |
| D ₆₀ | - | Diameter at 60% passing from grain size distribution (mm) |
| LL | - | Liquid Limit |
| MDD | - | Maximum Dry Density |
| OMC | - | Optimum Moisture Content |
| PI | - | Plasticity Index |
| w | - | Percentage passing No.200 U.S. sieve (in decimal) |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|--|-------------|
| A | Atterberg limits test results for coarse-grained soils | 63 |
| B | Atterberg limits test results for fine-grained soils | 64 |
| C | Compaction test results for coarse-grained soils | 66 |
| D | Compaction test results for fine-grained soils | 67 |
| E | Measured laboratory CBR values for coarse-grained soils | 68 |
| F | Measured laboratory CBR values for fine-grained soils | 70 |
| G | Measured soil index properties required for NCHRP's correlations | 72 |
| H | Estimated CBR values from NCHRP's correlation for coarse-grained soils | 74 |
| I | Estimated CBR values from NCHRP's correlation for fine-grained soils | 75 |
| J | Estimated CBR values based on Agarwal & Ghanekar's Correlation | 77 |
| K | Determination of the CBR value extracted from BS1377 Part 4:1990 | 78 |

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| L | Determination of the CBR value extracted from ASTM D 1883 - 92 | 98 |
|---|---|----|

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

California Bearing Ratio (CBR) is frequently used index test value for civil engineer particularly those in pavement construction to assess the stiffness modulus and shear strength of subgrade. It is actually an indirect measure which represents comparison of the strength of subgrade material to the strength of standard crushed rock quoted in percentage values. The method was originally developed at California Division of Highways in 1930s to provide an assessment of the relative stability of fine crushed rock base material.

California Bearing Ratio is not something new to civil engineers in Malaysia especially for those involved in road and airport pavement works. Usually, the CBR values are used by pavement engineers to design the thickness of pavement that will be laid on top of the subgrade. Subgrade that has lower CBR value will have thicker pavement compared with the subgrade that has higher CBR value. In other words, the design of pavement is very much dependent on the CBR value of subgrade. Different soil types give different values of CBR although it is compacted at the same amount of energy and rate of penetration.

Conventionally, CBR value can be measured directly in the laboratory test in accordance with BS1377 on soil sample acquired from site. The soil sample will be compacted as required in a standard mould and then a plunger is made to penetrate the soil at a specified penetration rate. Load – deflection curve plotted from the

result of the penetration will be compared with that obtained from the standard crush rock.

Apart from CBR test carried out in laboratory, engineer frequently conducts indirect measurement of CBR value at project site. Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) is a popular in-situ test method commonly used to estimate the in-situ CBR value. However, the CBR value obtained from DCP test shall not be relied upon for pavement design as it may represent unsoaked CBR value rather than soaked CBR value which is required for design. Therefore, engineer is advised not to use the CBR value obtained from DCP test for pavement design but only as a comparison and estimation of CBR values that can be achieved by the subgrade.

DCP test although does not give exact soaked CBR value for design, it is always proposed by engineers for subgrade assessment because it is an easy, cheap and fast method compared with laboratory test. While laboratory test takes at least four (4) days to measure the CBR value for each soil sample, DCP tests can give immediate results of CBR values at various locations just in one day. Nevertheless, it is still a good engineering practice that DCP test is being carried in a project as a supplement to laboratory testing when assessing the shear strength and stiffness modulus of subgrade.

A more reliable method of predicting CBR value of subgrade shall be explored so that the engineers will have more options and confidence in obtaining a representative soaked CBR value for pavement design.

One of the methods is by developing a correlation between CBR values with soil index properties. There are few correlations that have been published by many researchers since 1960s. In Malaysia, practising engineers seldom use these correlations as it may be due to its unproven results on the Malaysia soils. Although there are some researches had been carried out by our local universities, no extensive data have been collated from a number of projects in Malaysia for verification purposes.

1.2 Problem Statement

Civil engineers always encounter difficulties in obtaining representative CBR value for design of pavement. Inadequate soil investigation data due to budget constraint and poor planning of soil investigation works are regularly happened here in Malaysia. In addition, laboratory CBR test required a relatively large soil sample and is time consuming. Furthermore, the results sometimes are not accurate due to the poor quality of handling and laboratory testing on the soil samples. Thus, identification of factors that governs the CBR value such as index properties and classification of the soil can be used as a base of the judgement on the validity of the CBR values obtained in the field.

1.3 Aim and Objectives of Study

The aim of the study is to find correlation between CBR values with soil index properties that best suit the type of soils in Malaysia. In order to achieve this aim, three objectives have been identified for the study:

1. To evaluate published correlation for CBR value and the index properties of soil based on collated data acquired from a number of projects in Malaysia.
2. To tabulate the CBR values obtained from collated soil samples and propose a typical range of CBR values samples based on the soil index properties.
3. To obtain a correlation between CBR values with soil index properties that is best suited for the type of soils in Malaysia.

1.4 Scope of Study

The study covers only the Malaysian practices in predicting CBR values for pavement design. Site and laboratory tests will not be carried out thus all the soil information and test results will be obtained from soil investigation contractors and commercial laboratories.

The correlations to be reviewed and analysed in this study will be limited to published correlations of CBR values with soil index properties that are generally acceptable by engineers worldwide.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal, K.B. and Ghanekar, K.D. (1970). Prediction of CBR from Plasticity Characteristics of Soil. *Proceeding of 2nd South-east Asian Conference on Soil Engineering, Singapore*. June 11-15, 1970. Bangkok: Asian Institute of Technology, 571-576.r
- American Standard Test Method (1992). *Standard Test Method for CBR (California Bearing Ratio) of Laboratory-Compacted Soils*. United States of America, ASTM Designation D1883-92.
- Black, W.P.M. (1962). A Method of Estimating the CBR of Cohesive Soils from Plasticity Data. *Geotechnique*. Vol.12: 271 - 272.
- British Standards Institution (1990). *Methods of Test for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes*. London, BS 1377.
- British Standards Institution (1999). *Code of Practice for Site Investigations*. London, BS 5950.
- Carter, M. and Bentley, S. P. (1991). *Correlations of Soil Properties*. London: Pentech Press.
- de Graft - Johnson, J.W.S. and Bhatia, H.S. (1969). The Engineering Characteristics of the Lateritic Gravels of Ghana. *Proceedings of 7th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Mexico*. August 28-29. Bangkok: Asian Institute of Technology. Vol.2: 13 - 43.

National Cooperative Highway Research Program (2001) Guide for Mechanistic and Empirical – Design for New and Rehabilitated Pavement Structures, Final Document. In: *Appendix CC-1: Correlation of CBR Values with Soil Index Properties*. West University Avenue Champaign, Illinois: Ara, Inc.

Steve, L. W., Richard, H. G. and Thomas, P. W. (1992) *Description and Applications of Dual Mass Dynamic Penetrometer*. Washington, DC: US Army Corps of Engineers.

Terzaghi, K., Peck, R.B. and Mesri, G. (1996) *Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice*. 3rd ed. United States of America: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

The Highway Agency (1994) Design Manual for Roads and Bridges. In: *Volume 7: Section 2 Part 2 HD 25/94*. London: Stationery Ltd.