

PRETREATMENT OF COCOA WASTE USING IONIC LIQUID FOR
BIOETHANOL PRODUCTION

AHMAD IDI

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the
degree of Masters of Science (Biotechnology)

Faculty of Bioscience and Bioengineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY, 2012

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my beloved sister Hasiya. May Allah (SWA) grant her Jannatul Firdaus, Ameen

AKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all I will like to thank Allah (SWA) for been the source of my everything. Peace and blessings of Allah be on our beloved prophet (SAW). This work will never be a success without the support and assistance of my supervisor Dr Shaza Eva Mohamad. Words are not enough to say how grateful I am. Thank you for your guidance, patience and advice

I will be ungrateful if I fail acknowledge the support and assistance of all the students in the special equipment laboratory. Special thanks to Summaiya for her support and assistance. Finally to my family, friends and colleagues for been there for me during this challenging time.

ABSTRACT

Bioethanol is a fuel derived from renewable sources of feedstock typically plants and agricultural waste such as cocoa waste. It has improved 'Lifecycle CO₂' performance because the plants used as feedstock take CO₂ from the atmosphere as they grow. This means that almost all the CO₂ produced by burning the fuel is balanced by CO₂ taken from the air. The reduced CO₂ emissions indicate that bioethanol is good for the environment. But unfortunately most of the chemicals used in the pretreatment processes for bioethanol production are not environmentally benign. In this study we employed the use of ionic liquid which is environmentally friendly in the pretreatment of cocoa waste, so that the whole process will be "green" (environmentally benign). To determine how effective ionic liquid pretreatment is, it was compared to the untreated cocoa waste, sulphuric acid pretreatment and sodium hydroxide pretreatment. Ionic liquid pretreatment was found to show minimal biomass destruction of 30.77% after pretreatment while H₂SO₄ showed 61.18% and NaOH 78.89% of biomass destruction after pretreatment. The untreated biomass has 10.23% amount of cellulose but pretreatment with ionic liquid exposed this amount up to 47.30%, H₂SO₄ to 49.13% and NaOH reduced this amount to 7.150%. Two types of yeast were also isolated from Tapai Ubi to do the fermentation. Using DNS method for determining reducing sugar, ionic liquid pretreatment produced 6.3×10^{-2} g/L of reducing sugar and untreated, H₂SO₄ pretreatment NaOH pretreatment produced 2.87×10^{-2} g/L, 7.4×10^{-2} g/L and 3.37×10^{-2} g/L respectively at the end of 24 hours of incubation. Bioethanol produced during the fermentation was analysed using gas chromatography. Ionic liquid produced a total of 7.885g/L, H₂SO₄ produced 7.911g/L NaOH produced 6.824g/L and untreated cocoa waste produced 5.116g/L of ethanol at the end of 24 hours.

ABSTRAK

Bioethanol adalah bahan api yang diperolehi daripada sumber yang boleh diperbaharui seperti bahan mentah biasanya tumbuh-tumbuhan dan bahan buangan pertanian seperti sisa koko. Ia telah meningkatkan prestasi 'Kitaran Hayat CO₂' kerana tumbuh-tumbuhan yang digunakan sebagai bahan mentah mengambil CO₂ dari atmosfera semasa mereka bertumbuh. Ini bermakna bahawa hampir semua CO₂ yang dihasilkan dengan membakar bahan api adalah seimbang oleh CO₂ yang diambil dari udara. Penghasilan CO₂ yang kurang menunjukkan bahawa bioethanol adalah baik untuk alam sekitar. Tetapi malangnya, kebanyakan bahan kimia yang digunakan dalam proses prarawatan untuk penghasilan bioethanol tidak mesra alam. Dalam kajian ini, kami menggunakan cecair ionik yang mesra alam dalam prarawatan coca sisa, supaya keseluruhan proses akan menjadi "hijau" (mesra alam). Untuk menentukan keberkesanan prarawatan cecair ionik, ia dibandingkan dengan sisa koko yang tidak dirawat, prarawatan dengan asid sulfurik dan natrium hidroksida. sisa koko yang tidak dirawat, prarawatan cecair ionik menunjukkan kemusnahan biomass yang minimum (30.77%) selepas prarawatan. Manakala H₂SO₄ menunjukkan 61.18% dan NaOH 78.89% daripada kemusnahan biomass selepas prarawatan. Biomass yang tidak dirawat mempunyai jumlah 10.23% selulosa tetapi sisa koko dengan prarawatan cecair ionik mempunyai jumlah 47.30% selulosa, H₂SO₄ prarawatan mempunyai 49.13% selulosa dan NaOH prarawatan mempunyai 7.150% selulosa. Dua jenis yis telah diisolasi daripada Tapai Ubi penapaian. Menggunakan kaedah DNS untuk menentukan gula sisa kok yang penurum, prarawatan cecair ionik yang menghasilkan 6.3×10^{-2} g/L gula penurum dan tidak dirawat, prarawatan dengan H₂SO₄, dan NaOH prarawatan menghasilkan 2.87×10^{-2} g/L, 7.4×10^{-2} g/L dan 3.3×10^2 g/L masing-masing pada akhir 24 jam penggeraman. Bioethanol yang dihasilkan semasa penapaian disulkat menggunakan kromatografi

gas. Cecair ionik telah menghasilkan sejumlah 7.885g/L, H₂SO₄ menghasilkan 7.911g/L NaOH menghasilkan 6.824g/L dan biomass yang tidak dirawat menghasilkan 5.116g / L ethanol akhir 24 jam.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
I	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Aim of the study	4
	1.3 Scope of the study	4
	1.4 Significant of the study	5
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	Literature review	6

gas. Cecair ionik telah menghasilkan sejumlah 7.885g/L, H₂SO₄ menghasilkan 7.911g/L NaOH menghasilkan 6.824g/L dan biomass yang tidak dirawat menghasilkan 5.116g / L ethanol akhir 24 jam.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
I	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Aim of the study	4
	1.3 Scope of the study	4
	1.4 Significant of the study	5
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	Literature review	6

gas. Cecair ionik telah menghasilkan sejumlah 7.885g/L, H₂SO₄ menghasilkan 7.911g/L NaOH menghasilkan 6.824g/L dan biomass yang tidak dirawat menghasilkan 5.116g / L ethanol akhir 24 jam.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
I	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Aim of the study	4
	1.3 Scope of the study	4
	1.4 Significant of the study	5
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	Literature review	6

2.1	Components of lignocelluloses biomass	7
2.1.1	Cellulose	7
2.1.2	Hemicelluloses	7
2.1.3	Lignin	8
2.2	Production of ethanol from lignocelluloses biomass	9
2.3	Pretreatment of lignocelluloses biomass	10
2.4	Methods of pretreatment of lignocelluloses biomass	10
2.4.1	Physical method	12
2.4.2	Liquid hot water (thermohydrolysis)	12
2.4.3	Physicochemical pre-treatment	12
2.4.4	Biological pretreatment	14
2.4.5	Chemical pretreatment	15
2.5	Enzymatic hydrolysis and fermentation process	20
2.6	Ionic liquid	21

III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1	Research design	23
3.2	Physical Pretreatment	25
3.3	Chemical pretreatment	25
3.3.1	Ionic liquid pretreatment	25
3.3.2	Dilute acid pretreatment	25
3.3.3	Alkali pretreatment	26
3.4	Determination of cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin	26
3.4.1	Determination of the amount of extractive	26
3.4.2	Determination of the amount of hemicelluloses	26
3.4.3	Determination of the amount of lignin	27
3.4.4	Determination of the amount of cellulose	27
3.5	Isolation of yeast from Tapai Ubi	27
3.5.2	Morphology observation	27
3.6	Fermentation	28
3.6.1	Preparation of media	28
3.6.1.1	PYS medium	28

3.6.1.2	PDA medium	29
3.6.1.3	Nutrient broth	29
3.6.2	Preparation of the inoculums	29
3.6.3	Fermentation	30
3.7	Determination of reducing sugar using DNS	30
3.8	Ethanol analysis	31

IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Effect of different pretreatment on cocoa waste	32
4.1.1	Effect of IL pretreatment on the biomass	33
4.1.2	Effect of H ₂ SO ₄ pretreatment on the biomass	34
4.1.3	Effect of NaOH pretreatment on the biomass	34
4.2	Analysis of cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin	37
4.3	Isolation of yeast from Tapai Ubi	39
4.4	DNS analysis of reducing sugar	41
4.4.1	Reducing sugar produced by Tapai Ubi A yeast	41
4.4.2	Reducing sugar produced by Tapai Ubi B yeast	42
4.4.3	Effect of inoculums size on reducing sugar	43
4.5	Ethanol analysis using GC	44
4.5.1	Ethanol produced by Tapai Ubi A yeast	45
4.5.2	Ethanol produced by Tapai Ubi B yeast	47

V CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

5.1	Conclusion	49
5.2	Future work	51
	Reference	52
	Appendices	61

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Comparison between different pretreatment methods	19
Table 3.1	Composition of PYS media	28
Table 3.2	Components of the Nutrients broth	29
Table 4.1	Effect of different IL pretreatment on cocoa waste	34
Table 4.2	Effect of different kinds of pretreatment on cocoa waste	34
Table 4.3	Analysis of cellulose hemicelluloses and lignin	39

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Structure of cellulose	7
Figure 2.2	Structure of hemicelluloses	8
Figure 2.3	Structure of lignin	9
Figure 2.4	Flow chart of bioethanol production from LB	10
Figure 2.5	Goal of pretreatment	11
Figure 2.6	Schematic of dilute acid pretreatment and component extraction process	17
Figure 3.1	Experimental design	24
Figure 4.1	Colour changes observed on cocoa waste and PYS media after different pretreatment were applied	36
Figure 4.2	Analysis of cellulose, hemicellose and lignin	37
Figure 4.3	Yeast isolated from tapai Ubi	40
Figure 4.4	Reducing sugar produced by Tapai Ubi A yeast	41
Figure 4.5	Reducing sugar produced by Tapai Ubi B yeast	43
Figure 4.6	Effect of inoculum size on reducing sugar	44
Figure 4.7	Ethanol produced by Tapai Ubi A yeast	46
Figure 4.8	Ethanol produced by Tpai Ubi B yeast	47

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

IL	-	Ionic Liquid
LB	-	Lignocellulose biomass
DCM	-	Dichloromethane
DNS	-	Dinitrosalicylic acid
GC	-	Gas chromatography
M	-	Molar
g	-	Gram
g/L	-	Gram per Litre
ml	-	Mililiter
m	-	Slope
w/v	-	weight / volume
v/v	-	volume / volume
°C	-	Degree Celsius
OD	-	Optical density
PDA	-	Potato Dextrose Agar
PYS	-	Peptone Yeast Extract Starch Medium

LIST OF APPENDIX

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Estimation of Reducing Sugar using DNS Method	60
B	Gas chromatography sample preparation	63
C	Reducing sugar produced by Tapai Ubi A yeast	65
D	Reducing sugar produced using Tapai Ubi B yeast	66
E	Reducing sugar with increase inoculums size	67
F	Ethanol produced by Tapai Ubi A yeast	68
G	Ethanol produced by Tapai Ubi B	69
H	Typical ethanol standard chromatograph	71
I	Typical chromatographs of ethanol by Tapai Ubi A	71
J	Typical chromatographs of by Tapai Ubi B	74

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the study

Green chemistry simply refers the use of chemistry in preventing pollution. It is a philosophy of science, particularly chemical research and engineering that encourages the design of products and synthetic processes that minimize the use and generation of hazardous substances. It involves the use of chemicals substances and chemical processes that are designed to eliminate or reduce negative environmental impacts. The use and production of these chemicals may involve reduced waste products, non-toxic components, and improved efficiency. The twelve principles of green chemistry, according to Anastas, and Warner (1998), are:

1. “ **Waste prevention**: this principle advocates the concept of preventing waste from the source as the best method of preventing pollution than cleaning waste after it has been created.
2. **Economy of atom**: the production process should involve all the components of the materials used in production. If possible the by-products can also be converted to other valuable goods to minimize the production of waste.
3. **Chemical process with less hazardous substances**: this involves the substitution of hazardous chemical process (substances) with less hazardous ones use in synthetic processes.

4. **Chemical are designed for safer use:** chemical should be designed in such a way that their impact to the environment is reduced to a minimal level.
5. **Use of auxiliaries and safer solvent:** solvent and auxiliary substances used in chemical process should be minimized and if possible less toxic ones should be used.
6. **Synthetic processes are designed for energy efficiency:** energy require for chemical process should be determined and minimized. Chemical process should be carried out at room temperature (if possible) to reduce their economic and environmental impact.
7. **Minimum use of derivatives:** Synthesis processes should minimize the use of derivatives (related chemical product) to reduce the additional reagent which generate waste.
8. **Maximum use of renewable resources:** this step encourages the use of renewable raw materials in the synthetic process rather than non-renewable resources.
9. **Rate of reaction:** speeding up of chemical reaction using catalyst should be encouraged over using stoichiometry.
10. **Degradation design:** synthetic process should be designed in such a way that can be degraded to substances that are harmless to the environment.
11. **Pollution control through real-time analysis:** analytical methods should be used to monitor, evaluates and provides possible solutions to potential environmental damages.
12. **Accident prevention through the use of safer chemistry:** this involves the selection of chemical that either prevent or reduced chemical accident, example explosion". (Anastas and Warner, 1998).

These principles guide firms in designing new products and processes in such a way that their impact on the environment is reduced. This may unknowingly eliminates some serious environmental problems before we even know they exist. This is a very good method of controlling pollution because it applies innovative scientific solutions to real-world environmental situations. The concept of “green

chemistry” is well-known among scientists today, especially the exploration of novel solvents that can replace the volatile organic compounds that is used in synthesis, catalysis, and separation processes, (Kazunori Nakashima, *et al.*, 2005). One of the developments in green chemistry is to substitute the volatile organic solvent with ionic liquids.

Ionic liquids (ILs) are ionic, salt-like materials that are liquid below 100°C. ILs have ambient temperature and possess many attractive properties such as negligible volatility, non-flammability, high thermal stability, and controllable hydrophobicity, (Kojiro Shimojo *et al.*, 2006). ILs has solvent properties and is miscible with organic solvents and water. Their non-flammability and negligible vapour pressure make them not readily lost to the environment. In recent years, ILs has been extensively studied as an alternative to organic solvent. They have been widely examined as extracting phases in liquid-liquid extraction systems and show good extraction performance and separation ability for metal ions when compare with organic solvents, (Kojiro Shimojo *et al.*, 2006). Dai *et al.*, (1999) studied the extraction of alkaline and alkaline metal in ILs and achieved high extraction efficiency compared to that of ordinary organic solvent. The extraction behaviour of strontium into imidazolium cation based ILs was also examined by Dai *et al.* (1999), and obtained a high distribution coefficient compared to that of ordinary organic solvent. From these studies, it can be deduced that apart from being environmentally benign, ILs also show high extraction performance compared to conventional organic solvents.

Although several works have been done on ILs, this particular work focuses on demonstrating the use of IL in pretreatment of cocoa waste for production of bioethanol. This study also compared the efficiency of using ILs and other chemical pretreatments methods, such as H₂SO₄ and NaOH.

1.2 Aim and objectives of the study

The aim of this study is to introduce the concept of ionic liquids as a solvent in pretreatment of cocoa waste. Specifically, the study was designed to address the following objectives:

- a. To determine the effect of IL, H₂SO₄, NaOH pretreatments on cocoa waste
- b. To determine the amount of cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin content of IL, H₂SO₄, NaOH pretreatments and compare to the untreated cocoa waste
- c. To compare the sugar produced by IL, H₂SO₄, NaOH pretreatment with the one produced by untreated cocoa waste
- d. To detect the present of ethanol produced by IL, H₂SO₄, NaOH pretreatments as evidence that fermentation has taken place.

1.3 Scope of the study

This study is limited to a single type of lignocelluloses biomass; cocoa waste which was obtained from JB Koko. The study focused on the usage of ILs to pretreat cocoa waste and compare with several known physical and chemical pretreatment. The sugar and bioethanol produced was measured and the best pretreatment method was determined.

1.4 Significant of the study

To achieve enzymatic degradation in production of ethanol, a pretreatment process is necessary. The purpose of all pretreatments is to remove lignin and hemicellulose, reduce cellulose crystallinity and increase the porosity of the materials. According to Mohammad J and Keikhosro K, (2008), all kinds of pretreatment must meet the following requirements:

- Production of reactive cellulosic fiber for enzymatic attack
- Avoiding destruction of hemicelluloses and cellulose,
- Avoiding formation of possible inhibitors for hydrolytic enzymes and fermenting microorganisms,
- Minimizing the energy demand
- Reducing the cost of size reduction for feedstocks,
- Reducing the cost of material for construction of pretreatment reactors,
- Producing less residues,
- Consumption of little or no chemical and using a cheap chemical.

Most of the pretreatment methods fail to meet all these requirements, thus there is need to exploit some other alternative methods which are environmentally friendly and are in line with the green chemistry. Hence the significant of this study is to exploit other alternative method for pretreatment of lignocelluloses biomass which is environmentally friendly and may possibly meet the above requirements.

REFERENCES

- Alfani F, A Gallifuoco, A Saporosi and M Contarella (2000). Comparison of SHF and SSF processes for the bioconversion of steam-exploded wheat straw, *J. of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 25 (200) 184-192.
- Anastas, P. T.; Warner, J. C. (1998). *Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice*, Oxford University Press: New York, 1998 p.30
- Bailey M.J., Siikaaho M., Valkeajarvi A., Penttila M.E. (1993) Hydrolytic properties of two cellulases of *Trichoderma reesei* expressed in yeast. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.*, 17, 65-76.
- Benitez, F.J. Beltran Heredia, J. Torregrosa, J.; Acero, J.L. (1997). Improvement of the anaerobic biodegradation of olive mill wastewaters by prior ozonation pretreatment. *Bioprocess Eng.* 1997,17, 169-175.
- Bjerre Anne Belinda' Anne Bjerring Olesen' Tomas Fernqvist, Annette Plöger, Anette Skammelsen Schmidt (1996). Pretreatment of wheat straw using combined wet oxidation and alkaline hydrolysis resulting in convertible cellulose and hemicelluloses *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 49, 568–577.

Blasi, C.D., Signorelli, C., Di Russo, C. Rea. C. (1999). Product distribution from pyrolysis of wood and agricultural residues. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 38 (6), 2216-2224

Bo Zhang and Abolghasem Shahbazi (2011). Recent Developments in Pretreatment Technologies for Production of Lignocellulosic Biofuels *Petroleum & Environmental Biotechnology Pet Environ Biotechnol* Volume 2 • Issue 2 • 1000108 ISSN: 2157-7463 JPEB, (open access journal)

Caixia Wan, M.S. (2011). Microbial Pretreatment of Lignocellulosic Biomass with *Ceriporiopsis Subvermispora* for Enzymatic Hydrolysis and Ethanol Production.

Chen, Y.G.; Jiang, S.; Yuan, H.Y.; Zhou, Q.; Gu, G.W. (2007). Hydrolysis and acidification of waste activated sludge at different pHs. *Water Res.* 2007, 41, 683-689.

Chum H.L, D.K. Johnson, S. Black, J. Baker, K. Grohmann, and K. V. and Sarkanen (1988). Organosolv pretreatment for enzymatic hydrolysis of poplars: I. enzyme hydrolysis of cellulosic residues. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 31:643-649.

Coughlan, M.P., Ljungdahl, L.G. (1988). Comparative biochemistry of fungal and bacterial cellulolytic enzyme system. In: Aubert, J.-P., Beguin, P., Millet, J. (Eds.), *Biochemistry and Genetics of Cellulose Degradation*, pp. 11–30.

Dai, S.; Ju, Y. H.; Barnes, C. E. J. (1999). Solvent extraction of strontium nitrate by a crown ether using room-temperature ionic liquid. *Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* 1999,1201.

- Dale, B.E., Moreira, M.J., (1982). A freeze-explosion technique for increasing cellulose hydrolysis. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering Symposium* 12, 31-43.
- Emmel A, Mathias AL, Wypych F, Ramos LP. (2003). Fractionation of *Eucalyptus grandis* chips by dilute acid- catalysed steam explosion. *Bioresource Technol.* 2003, 86, 105-115.
- Esteghlailian A.(1997). Modelling and optimization of the dilute sulphuric-acid pretreatment of corn stover, poplar and switchgrass. *Bioresour.Technol.* 59:129-136 *Gas Science and Technology Revue de l'IFP* 54 (1), 67-94 (in French).
- Feng L, Chen Z J. (2008). Research progress on dissolution and functional modification of cellulose in ionic liquid. *J Mol Liq*, 2008; 142: 1-5.
- Graenacher, C. Cellulose Solution, U.S. Patent 1,943,176, 1934.
- Haibo Xie¹, Ilkka Kilpeläinen, Alistair King, Timo Leskinen, Paula Järvi and Dimitris S. Argyropoulos (2010) . Opportunities with Wood Dissolved in Ionic Liquids. American Chemical Society. Chapter 19, pp 343-363
- Hamelinck Carlo N, Geertje van Hooijdonk and Andre´ PC Faaij (2005). Ethanol from lignocellulosic biomass: techno-economic performance in short-, middle- and long-term. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 28, 384-410.
- Hari Krishna S, K Prasanthi, G V Chowdary and C Ayyanna (1998). Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of pretreated sugar cane leaves to ethanol. *Process Biochemistry* 33 (8), 825-830.
- Holtzapple, M.T., Lundeen, J.E., Sturgis, R., Lewis, J.E. and Dale, B.E. (1992). Pretreatment of lignocellulosic municipal solid waste by ammonia fiber explosion (AFEX). *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 34/35:5-21.

- Holtzapple, M.T., Jun, J., Ashok, G., Patibandla, S.L., and Dale, B.E. (1991). The ammonia freeze explosion (AFEX) process: a practical lignocellulose pretreatment. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 28/29:59-74.
- J.Q., Overend R.P. (Eds.) (1993). *Enzymatic Conversion of biomass for fuels production*. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC pp 292-324.
- Kaparaju Prasad, María Serrano, Anne Belinda Thomsen, Prawit Kongjan and Irini Angelidaki (2009). Bioethanol, biohydrogen and biogas production from wheat straw in a biorefinery concept. *Bioresour. Technol.*, 100: 2562-2568. DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.2008.11.011
- Kazunori Nakashima, Fukiko Kubota, Tatsuo Maruyama, Masahiro Goto (2005). Feasibility of Ionic Liquids as Alternative Separation Media for Industrial Solvent Extraction Processes *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2005, 44, 4368-4372
- Kajiro Shimojo, Nakashima K, Kamiya N and, Goto M (2006). Crown Ether-Mediated Extraction and Functional Conversion of Cytochrome c in Ionic Liquids *Biomacromolecules* 2006, 7, 2-5
- Kurakake M, Ide N and Komaki T. (2007) Biological pretreatment with two bacterial strains for enzymatic hydrolysis of office paper. *Curr Microbiol*, 2007; 54: 424-428.
- Laser M, Schulman D, Allen SG, Lichwa J, Antal MJ Jr, and Lynd LR (2002), a comparison of liquid hot water and steam pretreatments of sugar cane bagasse for bioconversion to ethanol. *Bioresour. Technology* 81, 33-44.

- Li C, Knierim B, Manisseri C, Arora R, and Scheller HV (2010).
Comparison of dilute acid and ionic liquid pretreatment of
switchgrass: Biomass recalcitrance, delignification and enzymatic
saccharification. *Bioresource Technology* 101: 4900-4906.
- Lynd, L.R. Paul J. Weimer, Willem H. van Zyl, and Isak S. Pretorius (2002).
Microbial cellulose utilization: fundamentals and biotechnology.
Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 66 (3), 506–577.
- MCMillan J.D. (1994). Pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. In: Himmel,
M.E., Baker
- Mes-hartree M, B.E. Dale and K Craig (1988). Comparison of steam and
ammonia pretreatment for enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose. *Appl.*
Microbiol. Biotechnol. 29:462-468.
- Miao, W.; Chan, T. H (2006). Ionic-Liquid-Supported Synthesis: A Novel
Liquid- Phase Strategy for Organic Synthesis. *Acc. Chem. Res.*
2006, 897–908.
- Millet, M.A., Baker, A.J., Scatter, L.D., (1976). Physical and chemical
pretreatment for enhancing cellulose saccharification. *Biotech.*
Bioeng. Symp. 6, 125–153.
- Mohammad J. Taherzadeh and Keikhosro Karimi (2008). Pretreatment of
Lignocellulosic Wastes to Improve Ethanol and Biogas Production:
A Review *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* ISSN 1422-
0067
- Mosier, N.; Wyman C.; Dale, B.; Elander, R.; Lee, Y.Y.; Holtzapple, M. and
Ladisch, M. (2005). Features of promising technologies for
pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. *Bioresource Technology*,
Vol. 96,673-686.

O'scar J. Sa'nchez and Carlos A. Cardona (2007). Trends in biotechnological production of fuel ethanol from different feedstocks *Bioresource technology*. 10/2008; 99(13):5270-95.

Ogier JC, Ballerini D, Leygue JP, Rigal L and Pourquoi J (1999). Ethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass. *Oil Gas Technol.-Rev. Inst. Fanc. Petrol*. 54: 67–94.

Pan Xuejun, Claudio Arato, Neil Gilkes, David Gregg, Warren Mabee, Kendall Pye, Zhizhuang Xiao, Xiao Zhang and John Saddler (2005). Biorefining of softwoods using ethanol organosolv pulping: Preliminary evaluation of process streams for manufacture of fuel-grade ethanol and co products, 90, 473-481.

Petia Petrova, Viara Ivanova (2010). Perspective for the Production of Bioethanol from lignocelluloses *Biotechnol. & Biotechnol. Eq.* 24/2010/se Second Balkan Conference on Biology Special Edition/online 21-23 May 2010.

Rabecca A. Silverstein, Ye Chen, Ratna R. Sharma-Shivappa, Michael D. Boyette and Jason Osborne (2006). A comparison of chemical pretreatment methods for improving saccharification of cotton stalks *Bioresource Technology* 98 3000–3011
doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2006.10.022

Saha, B.C., Cotta, M.A., (2006). Ethanol production from alkaline peroxide pretreated enzymatically saccharified wheat straw. *Biotechnology Progress* 22, 449–453.

- Sai Venkatesh Pingali, Volker S. Urban, William T. Heller, Joseph McGaughey, Hugh O'Neill, Marcus Foston, Dean A. Myles, Arthur Ragauskas, and Barbara R. Evans (2010). Breakdown of Cell Wall Nanostructure in Dilute Acid Pretreated Biomass Biomacromolecules 2010, 11, 2329–2335
- Silverstein, R.A. (2007). comparison of chemical pretreatment methods for improving saccharification of cotton stalks. *Bioresource Technol.* 2007, 98, 3000-3011.
- Stenberg K., M.Galbe, G. Zacchi.(2000). The influence of lactic acid formation on the simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) of softwood to ethanol, *Enzyme Microbial Technology* 26: 71-79
- Sun, Y., Cheng, J.J., (2002). Hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials for ethanol production: a review. *Bioresour. Technol.* 83, 1–11.
- Swatloski, R. P.; Spear, S. K.; Holbrey, J. D.; Rogers, R. D (2000). Dissolution of cellulose with ionic liquids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 124, 4974.
- Varga Eniko, (2003). Bioethanol Production: Pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis of corn Stover, Unpublished ph.d. Thesis
- Weemaes M, Grootaerd H, Simoens F and Verstraete W (2000). digestion of ozonized biosolids. *Water Res.* 34 (8) 2330-2336.
- Ye and Jiayang (2011). Hydrolysis of lignocelluloses materials for ethanol production: a review. PII:S0960-8524 (0) 00212 2-1
- Zhao, H.; Holladay, J. E.; Brown, H. and Zhang Z. C. (2007). Metal Chlorides in Ionic Liquid Solvents Convert Sugars to 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural. *Science* 316, 1597.

Zheng, Y.Z., Lin, H.M., Tsao, G.T., (1998). Pretreatment for cellulose hydrolysis by carbon dioxide explosion. *Biotechnol. Prog.* 14, 890–896.

Zhu and Pan (2010). Woody biomass pretreatment for cellulosic ethanol production: technology and energy consumption evaluation. *Journal of Biotechnology* DOI: 10.1016/j.biotech.2009.11.007