

FACTORS INFLUENCING THE STRENGTH OF
FOAMED BITUMEN STABILISED MIX

MOHD YAZIP BIN MATORI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

**FACTORS INFLUENCING THE STRENGTH OF FOAMED
BITUMEN STABILISED MIX**

MOHD YAZIP BIN MATORI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Transportation and Highway)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2013

To my beloved Wife, Habibah Bt Ahmad, Son Muhammad Hyqal and
Daughter Hyda Yazmin.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, deepest gratitude to Allah S.W.T, for his willing in giving me opportunity and strength to complete this study.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Dr Mohd. Rosli bin Hainin for his guidance, assistance and moral support throughout the period of the study. Suggestion and constructive comments given by him are gratefully acknowledged. Special thanks to all staff of Pavement Laboratory, Kumpulan Ikram Sdn.Bhd for their assistance and guidance.

Great thanks and heartfelt acknowledgments are expressed to my family for their encouragement, patience and sacrifice from the beginning until the end of my study. I am sincerely thankful for their understanding throughout my study, especially during the difficult time.

Last but not least I would like to acknowledge each and every person who has contributed to the success of this report, whether directly or indirectly.

ABSTRACT

Recycling of asphalt pavements has increased significantly since 1970 and in Malaysia the use of foamed bitumen as stabilizing agent was first introduced in year 2001. Recycling of asphalt pavements involves mixing of existing pavement material with stabilizing agent such as foamed bitumen, bitumen emulsion, cement or lime and placed on the milled pavement and compacted. Although the asphalt pavements recycling with foamed bitumen as stabilizing agent is gaining recognition and acceptance as a cost effective method of rehabilitating distressed pavement, so far in Malaysia no study has been carried out on the characteristic of foamed bitumen stabilized mix. The strength of foamed bitumen stabilized mix is influenced by factors such as cement content, moisture level and curing time. This study evaluates the effect of ordinary Portland cement as active filler, curing time and moisture content on the strength properties of foamed bitumen stabilized mix. Foamed bitumen treated samples with different RAP proportions were tested for their strength properties at various active filler contents, curing time and moisture contents. It was found that the strength in terms of resilient modulus, Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) and Indirect Tensile Strength (ITS) values, increased with curing time and percentage of active filler. It was also found that the maximum strength in terms of resilient modulus, Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) and Indirect Tensile Strength (ITS) was not at Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) and the strength decreased as the RAP proportion increased.

ABSTRAK

Kitar semula pavemen telah berkembang dengan pesat sejak tahun 1970 dan di Malaysia penggunaan bitumen buih sebagai ejen penstabil telah pertama kali diperkenalkan pada tahun 2001. Kitar semula pavemen melibatkan proses mencampur ejen penstabil seperti bitumen buih, bitumen emulsi, simen atau 'lime' dengan lapisan pavemen sediaada, di baur, diturap semula dan kemudiannya dipadatkan. Walaupun kitar semula pavemen menggunakan bitumen buih sebagai ejen penstabil telah mendapat pengiktirafan dan diterima sebagai kaedah yang kos efektif untuk membaiki kerosakan jalan, namun setakat ini tiada kajian yang telah dijalankan mengenai ciri ciri campuran ini di Malaysia. Kekuatan atau prestasi campuran bitumen buih dipengaruhi oleh beberapa factor seperti kandungan simen, kandungan RAP, kandungan lembapan dan masa awet (curing). Kajian yang dijalankan ini menilai kesan kandungan RAP, kandungan simen, kandungan lembapan dan masa awet (curing) terhadap kekuatan campuran yang distabil dengan bitumen buih. Adalah didapati kekuatan campuran bitumen buih dari segi modulus, mampatan dan riceh bertambah dengan bertambahnya masa awet (curing) dan adanya kandungan simen. Didapati juga kekuatan maksima bagi modulus, mampatan dan riceh tidak berlaku pada kandungan lembapan yang optima. Kekuatan campuran juga menurun dengan bertambahnya kandungan RAP.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION OF THE STATUS OF THESIS	
	SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION	
	TITLE PAGE	
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope of the study	3
	1.5 Significance of the study	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Cold Recycling	5
	2.2 Stabilizing Agent	6

2.3	Foamed Bitumen	7
2.4	Foamed Bitumen Stabilised Mix	9
2.5	Property of Aggregate and Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP)	11
2.6	Moisture Content and Density Relationship	13
2.7	Active Filler	15
2.8	Curing	16
2.9	Test for Foamed Bitumen Stabilised mix.	18
2.9.1	Unconfined Compressive Strength Test (UCS)	18
2.9.2	Indirect Tensile Strength Test (ITS)	19
2.9.3	Tensile Strength Ratio (TSR)/Moisture Susceptibility	20
2.9.4	Resilient Modulus Test	21
3	METHODOLOGY	22
3.1	Introduction	22
3.2	Sample Preparation	22
3.3	Sample Preparation for Foamed Bitumen Stabilised Mix	27
3.4	Sample Preparation for the effect of curing time	27
3.5	Sample preparation for the effect of moisture content	28
3.6	Sample Preparation for the effect of cement content	29
3.7	Materials	30
3.7.1	Reclaimed Pavement Samples	30
3.7.2	Existing Pavement Material	31
3.8	Laboratory Testing	32
3.8.1	Aggregate Grading	32
3.8.2	Determination of MDD/OMC	33
3.8.3	Determination of the foaming characteristic of the bitumen	34

3.8.4	Preparation of Samples for ITS/UCS and Resilient Modulus	37
3.8.5	Compaction, curing and testing procedure for ITS _{<i>dry</i>} , ITS <i>soaked</i> and Resilient Modulus	39
3.8.6	Compaction, curing and testing procedure for UCS	42
3.8.7	Determination of Design Bitumen Content	45
3.8.8	Resilient Modulus Test	45
4	RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	48
4.1	Introduction	48
4.2	Effect of Variation of RAP	48
4.2.1	Grading Analysis	48
4.2.2	Maximum Dry Density and Moisture Content	50
4.2.3	Optimum Bitumen Content	51
4.3	Effect of Variation of Curing Time on Mix	52
4.3.1	Effect on UCS	52
4.3.2	Effect on ITS _{<i>dry</i>}	53
4.3.3	Effect on ITS _{<i>soaked</i>}	54
4.3.4	Effect on Resilient Modulus	55
4.3.5	Effect on Tensile Strength Ratio	56
4.4	Effect of Variation of Moisture Content on Mix	57
4.4.1	Effect on UCS	57
4.4.2	Effect on ITS _{<i>dry</i>}	58
4.4.3	Effect on ITS _{<i>soaked</i>}	59
4.4.4	Effect on Resilient Modulus	60
4.4.5	Effect on Tensile Strength Ratio	61
4.5	Effect of Variation of Cement Content on Mix	62
4.5.1	Effect on UCS	62

4.5.2	Effect on ITS _{dry}	63
4.5.3	Effect on ITS _{soaked}	64
4.5.4	Effect on Resilient Modulus	64
4.5.5	Effect on Tensile Strength Ratio	65
4.6	Summary of Findings	66
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	69
5.1	Conclusions	69
5.2	Recommendations	70

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Interpretation of ITS tests	20
3.1	Experimental Matrix of the Study	25
4.1	Sieve analysis result of RAP/Crusher Run proportion	49
4.2	Results of MDD and OMC	50
4.3	Result of Optimum Binder Content	51

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Schematic of the Foamed Bitumen Production	8
2.2	Dispersion of foamed bitumen particles	10
2.3	Aggregate grading zones for foamed bitumen	11
2.4	Cutting drum operation in up-cutting direction	12
3.1	Works Flow Chart	24
3.2	RAP and Crushed Aggregate proportion	30
3.3	Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP)	31
3.4	Crushed Aggregate (CR)	31
3.5	Apparatus for aggregate grading	32
3.6	Vibrating Hammer method	33
3.7	Moisture content and dry density relationship	34
3.8	Half Life/ Expansion ratio	36
3.9	Foamed bitumen laboratory plant and mechanical mixer	38
3.10	100mm diameter ITS specimens	40
3.11	Curing of ITS specimen	40
3.12	ITS Test	41
3.13	Soaked specimen	42
3.14	Mould for UCS test	43
3.15	150mm diameter UCS specimen	43
3.16	UCS test	44

3.17	Measured <i>ITS_{dry}</i> , <i>ITS_{soaked}</i> , Density and TSR versus Foamed Bitumen Content.	45
4.1	Results of Grading Analysis	49
4.2	Dry Density and Moisture Content relationship	50
4.3	Optimum Binder Content at various RAP contents	51
4.4	Relationship between UCS and curing time at various RAP contents	52
4.5	Relationship between <i>ITS_{dry}</i> and curing time at various RAP contents	53
4.6	Relationship between <i>ITS_{soaked}</i> and curing time at various RAP contents.	54
4.7	Relationship between Resilient Modulus and curing time at various RAP contents	55
4.8	Relationship between Tensile Strength Ratio (TSR) and curing time at various RAP contents.	56
4.9	Relationship between UCS and moisture content at various RAP contents	57
4.10	Relationship between <i>ITS_{dry}</i> and moisture content at various RAP contents	58
4.11	Relationship between <i>ITS_{soaked}</i> and moisture content at various RAP contents	59
4.12	Relationship between Resilient Modulus and moisture content at various RAP contents	60
4.13	Relationship between Resilient Modulus and moisture content at various RAP contents	61
4.14	Relationship between UCS and cement content at various RAP contents	62
4.15	Relationship between <i>ITS_{dry}</i> and cement content at various RAP contents	63
4.16	Relationship between <i>ITS_{soaked}</i> and cement content at various RAP contents	64
4.17	Relationship between Resilient Modulus and cement content at various RAP contents	65
4.18	Relationship between Tensile Strength Ratio (TSR) and cement content	66

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACWC	Asphaltic Concrete Wearing Course.
ACBC	Asphaltic Concrete Binder Course.
RAP	Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement.
C/R	Crusher Run.
OMC	Optimum Moisture Content.
MDD	Maximum Dry Density.
ITS	Indirect Tensile Strength test.
UCS	Unconfined Compressive Strength test.
TSR	Tensile Strength Ratio.
RM	Resilient Modulus test.
CR	Cold Recycling.
CIPR	Cold In Place Recycling.
HMA	Hot Mix Asphalt.
REAM	Road Engineering Association Malaysia.
PF	Percentage of Fine
BC	Binder Content.
OBC	Optimum Binder Content.
MMC	Moisture Mixing Compaction.
BSM	Bituminous Stabilised Mix.

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Summary of Test Results – 100% RAP	76
B	Summary of Test Results – 75% RAP + 25% CR	77
C	Summary of Test Results – 50% RAP + 50% CR	78
D	Summary of Test Results – 25% RAP + 75% CR	79
E	Summary of Test Results – 100% CR	80
F	Effect of curing time on UCS and <i>ITS_{dry}</i>	81
G	Effect of curing time on <i>ITS_{soaked}</i> and Resilient Modulus	82
H	Effect of curing time on Tensile Strength Ratio (TSR)	83
I	Effect of cement content on UCS and <i>ITS_{dry}</i>	84
J	Effect of cement content on <i>ITS_{soaked}</i> and Resilient Modulus	85
K	Effect of cement content on Tensile Strength Ratio (TSR)	86

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Recycling of asphalt pavements has increased significantly since 1970. In Malaysia the cold recycling technique which involved in-plant recycling or in-situ recycling with foamed bitumen was first introduced in 2001. Since then the technique has become popular as a viable alternative pavement rehabilitation measure. The technique involves milling of existing pavement, mixed with the stabilizing agent and placed on the milled pavement and compacted. The most common stabilizing agents used for cold recycling in Malaysia are foamed bitumen, bitumen emulsion, cement and lime. The cold recycling process is carried out either in plant or in situ using purposed built recycling machine and recycled layer will form a new road base stabilized layer. It is a normal practice in Malaysia to overlay the newly stabilized roadbase layer with 60mm thick of binder course and 50mm thick of wearing course layers.

Although the cold recycling technique with foamed bitumen is gaining recognition as a cost effective method of rehabilitating distressed pavement, so far in Malaysia no study has been carried out on the characteristic of foamed bitumen stabilized mix. The performance of the recycled asphalt layer depends on the proportion of reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP), types of stabilizing agents, and amount of cement [1]. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to evaluate in the

laboratory the effects of RAP, moisture content, curing time and cement to the strength properties of foamed bitumen stabilized mix.

1.2 Problem Statement

A number of cold recycling projects with foamed bitumen stabilised mix have been completed in Malaysia for the past 10 years and on-going performance monitoring are in progress. However, despite such progress, option on foamed bitumen has been limited because of the lack of a standardized mix design, construction procedure and gaps that exist between the current knowledge and the knowledge that is needed to increase the probability of success when using this technology, particularly in the Malaysian hot and wet environment.

Important factors for the success of cold recycling works include site investigation, evaluation of existing materials, laboratory mix design and construction by appropriately skilled contractors. Before the cold recycling project start, representative samples from the existing pavement are obtained and samples are prepared to simulate as close as possible the property of material to be achieved during the actual recycling process, but it must be also noted that the mix design process or procedure cannot fully simulate what will happen in the field at the time of construction. Because of inconsistency of pavement layer thickness and moisture content from the existing pavement, field adjustments are sometime necessary, such as increasing water or stabilizing agent content.

This experimental laboratory works simulate the on- site condition of the foamed bitumen stabilised mix and the study is therefore aimed to answer problems with regard to the effect of RAP, cement content, curing time and moisture content to the strength properties of foamed bitumen stabilised mix. Among the problems faced during the construction of a cold recycling project are;

- i. Proportion of RAP and the existing unbound material is not consistent, as the pavement layer thicknesses vary from place to place.

- ii. During construction, it is difficult to meet the optimum moisture content (OMC) and maximum dry density (MDD) target, existing moisture content at the site normally varies from place to place.
- iii. Before the recycling commences, cement in powder form is spread manually based on pre-determined percentage required. During construction the quantity or percentage of cement will vary because of the cement being blown away by the movement of heavy traffic and by strong wind.
- iv. After the completion of recycling works, the determination of the appropriate curing time before foamed bitumen stabilised layer can be opened to traffic or be paved with asphaltic layer ACBC and ACWC depends on the judgment of the contractor based on previous experience.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this study are:

- i. To investigate the effect of cement, moisture content and curing time at varying RAP proportions to the strength of foamed bitumen stabilised mix.
- ii. To analyse and compare the results obtained with the requirement of the National Specification for Roadworks.
- iii. To provide recommendations on the required cement content, moisture content and curing time for foamed bitumen stabilised mix based on the findings of the research.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The scope of the study covers the strength test for the foamed bitumen stabilised mix and the study is limited to the evaluation of the specimens prepared in the laboratory. The scope of this study is as follows:

- i. To review and research the literature relating factors influencing the strength of foamed bitumen stabilised mix.
- ii. Development of work flow chart and experimental test matrix for the study.
- iii. Preparation of test specimens in accordance with prescribed procedures.
For the purpose of this study the materials used are limited to;
 - RAP from Grand Saga mill and replace project.
 - Aggregate (CR) from Kajang Rock Quarry.
 - Bitumen Penetration Grade 80/100 from SHELL.
- iv. Investigation and laboratory testing on the strength of the foamed bitumen stabilised mix and the laboratory tests carried out are as follows:
 - Unconfined Compressive Strength test (UCS).
 - Indirect Tensile Strength test (ITS) at dry and soaked condition.
 - Determination of Tensile Strength Ratio (TSR).
 - Resilient Modulus Test (RM).
- v. Analysis of the test results and evaluating the strength properties of the foamed bitumen stabilised mix.
- vi. Findings, conclusion and recommendation from this study for further research.

1.5 Significance of the Study

Although the cold recycling technique with foamed bitumen is gaining recognition and acceptance as a cost effective method of rehabilitating distressed pavement, so far in Malaysia no study has been carried out to study the characteristic of foamed bitumen stabilized mix. Very little local data is available and the results and output of this study can be used for the development of standard specification and guidelines for cold recycling with foamed bitumen in Malaysia.

REFERENCES

1. Cooley A. Dane. *Effects of Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement on Mechanical Properties of Base Materials*. A thesis submitted to the faculty of Brigham Young University. 2005
2. Prithvi S. Kandhal. *Recycling of Asphalt Pavements – An Overview of Current Practices*. 2nd International Symposium on Maintenance of Pavement and Technological Control. July 29 – August 1, USA. 2001.
3. Myre Jostein. *The Use of Cold Bitumen Stabilized Base Course Mixes in Norway*. Norwegian Public Road Administration. 2001.
4. U.S Department of Transportation. Federal Highway Administration. *Recycling Guidelines for State and Local Governments*. Publication No. FHWA-SA-98-042. December 1997.
5. Sufian et al. *Cold In Place Recycling in Malaysia*. International Symposium on Pavement Recycling. Sao Paolo, Brazil. 2005.
6. Lewis AJN et al. *Cold in Place Recycling: A relevant Process for Road Rehabilitation and Upgrading*. 7th Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa. CAPSA. 1999.
7. K M Muthen. *Foamed Asphalt Mixes – Mix Design Procedure*. Contract Report CR – 98/077. December 1998.
8. S. A. Romanoschi et al. *Asphalt Stabilized Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement: A Promising Technology for Mid-Western Roads*. Proceedings of the 2003 Mid-Continent Transportation Research Symposium. Ames, Iowa. August 2003.

9. KJ Jenkins et al. *Characterization of Foamed Bitumen*. 7th Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa (CAPSA). 1999.
10. Asphalt Academy SA. *Technical Guideline: Bitumen Stabilised Materials – A Guideline for the Design and Construction Bitumen Emulsion and Foamed Bitumen Stabilised Materials*, TG 2, Second Edition. May 2009.
11. Marcelo C Curi. *Cold Recycling with Foam Bitumen*. 2nd International Symposium on Maintenance of Pavement and Technological Control, USA. July 29 – August 1, 2001.
12. FSV. De Souza et al. *Foamed Bitumen Experiences in Pavement Rehabilitation in Brasil: Potential for Use on High Volume Roads*. 2nd International Symposium on Maintenance of Pavement and Technological Control. USA. July 29 – August 1, 2001.
13. Akeroyd F.M.L. & Hicks B.J. *Foamed Bitumen Road Recycling*. *Highways*. Volume 56, Number 1933. 1988. 42 - 45.
14. Ruckel P.J., Acott S.M. & Bowering. *Foamed-asphalt paving mixtures: preparation of design mixes and treatment of test specimens in asphalt materials, mixtures, construction, moisture effects and sulfur*. Washington, DC: Transportation Research Board (Transportation Research Record; 911). 1982. 88-95.
15. Road Engineering Association Malaysia (REAM). *Specification For Cold In-Place Recycling*. 2005.
16. Sakr H.A. & Manke P.G. *Innovations in Oklahoma foamix design procedures in asphalt materials, mixes, construction and quality*. Washington DC Transportation Research Board. (Transportation Research Record;1034). 1985. 26-34.

17. Bissada A.F. *Structural response of foamed-asphalt-sand mixtures in hot environments in asphalt materials and mixtures*. Washington, DC: Transportation Research Board. (Transportation Research Record, 1115). 1987. 134-149.
18. Semmelink C.J. *The effect of material properties on the compactibility of some untreated road building materials*. PHD thesis, University of Pretoria, Pretoria. 1991.
19. Bowering R.H. *Properties and Behavior of Foamed Bitumen Mixtures for Road Building*. Proceedings of the 5th Australian Road Research Board Conference. Canberra, Australia. 1970.
20. Lee D.Y. *Treating marginal aggregates and soil with foamed asphalt*. In Proceedings of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists (Vol 50). 1981. 211-150.
21. Castedo-Franco L.H. & Wood E.L. *Stabilisation with foamed asphalt of aggregates commonly used in low volume roads*. 3rd International Conference. Washington DC Transportation Research Board. (Transportation Research Record; 898). 1983. 297-302.
22. Wirtgern GmbH. *Wirtgern Cold Recycling Manual*. 2nd Edition, ISBN 3-936215-05-7. Windhagen, Germany. 2004.
23. Lee D.Y. *Treating Iowa's Marginal Aggregates and Soils by Foamix Process*. Iowa State University. May 1980.
24. Sri Sunarjono. *Tensile Strength and Stiffness Modulus of Foamed Asphalt Applied to A Grading Representative of Indonesian Road Recycled Pavement Materials*. Dinamika Teknik Sipil, Volume 7. January 2007. 1 – 10.
25. Maccarrone, S., Holleran, G. & Ky. *A Cold Asphalt System as an Alternative to Hot Mix*. In 9th AAPA International Asphalt Conference. 1995.

26. Van Wijk A. and L.E. Wood. *Use of Foamed Asphalt in Recycling of an Asphalt Pavement*. In Transportation Research Record No. 911, Transportation Research Board. Washington DC. 1983. 96-103.
27. Bowering R.H. & Martin C.L. *Performance of newly constructed full depth foamed bitumen pavements*. In Proceedings of the 8th Australian Road Research Board Conference. Perth, Australia. 1976.
28. Ramanujam J.M. and D.P. Fernando. *Foam Bitumen Trial at Gladfield-Cunningham Highway*. In the Proceedings of the Southern Region Symposium. Australia. 1997.
29. Lancaster. J., L. McArthur, and R. Warwick. *VICROADS experience with foamed bitumen stabilization*. In the Proceedings of the 17th ARRB Conference. Volume 17, Part 3. Gold Coast, Queensland. August 15-19, 1994. 193-211.
30. M Houston and F.Long. *Correlations Between Different ITS and UCS Test Protocols for Foamed Bitumen Treated Materials*. Proceedings of the 8th Conference on Asphalt Pavements for Southern Africa (CAPSA). 2004.