

CARBON DIOXIDE CAPTURE FROM REFORMING GASES USING
ACETIC ACID MODIFIED CHEMICAL ABSORBENTS

AMIN RAHMANIAN

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Chemical)

Faculty of Chemical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2013

To my beloved mother and father for their encouragements, supports and inspiration throughout my journey of education and to my supervisor Dr. Muhammad Abbas Bin Ahmad Zaini and Dr. Tuan Amran Bin Tuan Abdullah.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praises to Allah for giving me the strength, perseverance and intention to go through and complete my study.

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Dr. Muhammad Abbas Bin Ahmad Zaini, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisors Dr. Tuan Amran Bin Tuan Abdullah for guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here. A bucket of gratitude to all lecturers in chemical engineering, UTM especially for giving me a valuable knowledge and experience throughout the years of spent studying in UTM.

Finally I would like to say a big thank you to my examiner, for all his support, advice and guidance and being there to discuss and exchange information with me.

ABSTRACT

Carbon dioxide gas is a major problem in the production of natural gas. It may also contribute to operation problems such as foaming, corrosion, high solution viscosity and fouling, thereby decreasing the plant life. This study presents experimental results on the evaluation of modified amine solution (Diethanolamine, DEA) for CO₂ absorption. In this study, the absorption capacity of this solvent was compared with traditional DEA, ammonia and acetic acid (C₂H₄O₂). Experiments were carried out at 25C° and 1 bar with DEA concentration between 0.5M and 2M, ammonia concentration varying 1M and 6M and the concentration of acetic acid between 1wt% and 15wt%. The results showed that carbon dioxide absorption by ammonia is better than that carried out by DEA and mixtures of NH₃, DEA and acetic acid. The most efficient absorbents (absorption capacity) were 6M NH₃, 2M DEA and a mixture of 6M NH₃ and 1wt% acetic acid at fixed gas flow rate of 80 ml/min and liquid flow rate of 22 l/h, where the CO₂ removal efficiency of 94.7%, 74% and 73% was obtained, respectively.

ABSTRAK

Gas karbon dioksida adalah masalah utama dalam pengeluaran gas asli. Ia juga boleh menyumbang kepada masalah operasi seperti bebuih, kakisan, kelikatan larutan yang tinggi dan kotoran, yang seterusnya mengurangkan jangka hayat loji. Kajian ini membentangkan keputusan eksperimen yang menilai larutan amin diubahsuai (Diethanolamin, DEA) untuk penyerapan CO₂. Dalam kajian ini, kapasiti penyerapan pelarut ini telah dibandingkan dengan ammonia (NH₃) dan asid asetik (C₂H₄O₂). Eksperimen telah dijalankan pada 25°C dan 1 bar dengan kepekatan DEA antara 0.5M dan 2M, kepekatan ammonia yang berlainan dari 1M ke 6M dan kepekatan asid asetik antara 1% berat dan 15% berat. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa penyerapan karbon dioksida oleh ammonia adalah lebih baik berbanding DEA dan campuran NH₃, DEA dan asid asetik. Penyerap yang paling berkesan (kapasiti penyerapan) adalah 6M NH₃, 2M DEA dan campuran 6M NH₃ dan 1% berat asid asetik pada kadar aliran gas yang tetap 80 ml/min dan kadar aliran cecair 22 l/j, di mana kecekapan penyingkiran CO₂ masing-masingnya ialah 94.7%, 74% dan 73% telah diperolehi.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective of the Study	3
	1.4 Scope of the Study	4
	1.5 Significant of Study	4

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Natural Gas and Impurities Removal	6
2.1.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Carbon Dioxide Emission	9
2.2.1	Effect of CO ₂ to Human Health	12
2.2.2	Method of CO ₂ Removal	12
2.2.2.1	Adsorption	13
2.2.2.2	Membrane Separation	14
2.2.2.3	Absorption	16
2.3	Advantages of Absorption Using Aqueous Ammonia	21
2.4	Amine as CO ₂ Absorbent	22
2.4.1	Basic Amine Chemistry	26
2.4.2	Advantage of DEA in Gas Treating	27
2.4.3	Advantage of MDEA in Gas Treating	28
2.4.4	Modified Amine Solution for CO ₂ Removal	28
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	31
3.1	Materials	31
3.2	Experimental Parameters	34
3.3	Experimental Methodology	35
3.4	CO ₂ Absorption Process	38
3.4.1	CO ₂ Removal by H ₂ O	38
3.4.2	CO ₂ Removal by NH ₃	38
3.4.3	CO ₂ Removal by Mixture of NH ₃ and Acetic Acid	39
3.4.4	CO ₂ Removal by Diethanolamine	39
3.4.5	CO ₂ Removal by Ammonia, DEA and Acetic Acid	40

3.5	Characterization of CO ₂ Removal	40
3.5.1	Thermal Conductivity Detector	42
4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	44
4.1	CO ₂ Absorption by H ₂ O	44
4.1.1	30 vol.% CO ₂ Absorption by H ₂ O at 25 °C	44
4.2	CO ₂ Absorption by NH ₃	45
4.2.1	30 vol.% CO ₂ Absorption by NH ₃ at 25 °C	45
4.3	CO ₂ Absorption by NH ₃ and Acetic Acid	50
4.3.1	30 vol.% CO ₂ Absorption by NH ₃ and Acetic Acid at 25 °C	50
4.4	CO ₂ Absorption by DEA	53
4.4.1	30 vol.% CO ₂ Absorption by DEA at 25 °C	53
4.5	CO ₂ Absorption by NH ₃ , DEA and Acetic Acid	58
4.5.1	30 vol.% CO ₂ Absorption by NH ₃ , DEA and Acetic Acid at 25 °C	58
4.6	Summary	60
4.6.1	Effect of Gas Flow Rate	60
4.6.2	Effect of Gas Flow Rate	61
4.6.3	Effect of Aqueous Concentration	62
4.6.4	Effect of PH Value	63
5	CONCLUSIONS	65
5.1	Conclusions	65
5.2	Recommendation	66

REFERENCES

67

Appendices A-B

74-81

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	CO ₂ removal by adsorption	14
2.2	CO ₂ removal by membrane	15
2.3	Advantages and disadvantages for various types of membrane	15
2.4	Comparison between Amines and Membranes for CO ₂ Removal Systems	16
2.5	Comparison of chemical and physical solvents	18
2.6	Overall comparisons of natural gas purification technologies	20
2.7	Different types of alkanolamines in CO ₂ removal	25
2.8	Some representative operation parameters for amine systems	27
3.1	Material selection	31
3.2	Typical composition of natural gas	32
3.3	Properties of material used in CO ₂ absorption by modified amine solution	33
3.4	Column parameters and experimental conditions	34
3.5	Volume of 30% NH ₃ -solution	35
3.6	Recommendations of gas purity	41
3.7	Maximum and minimum inlet and detector pressures	42
3.8	Thermal conductivity detector checkout conditions	43

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Absorption and adsorption approaches for CO ₂ removal	19
3.1	A schematic representative of the CO ₂ absorption system	36
3.2	Experimental apparatus	37
4.1	CO ₂ absorption by H ₂ O	45
4.2	Summarize CO ₂ absorption by NH ₃ and water	46
4.3	Ammonia concentration	49
4.4	CO ₂ absorption by NH ₃ and acetic acid	51
4.5	A Schematic representative of the reaction system	52
4.6	Concentration of mixture acetic acid add ammonia	53
4.7	CO ₂ absorption by 0.5M DEA	54
4.8	CO ₂ absorption by 1M DEA	55
4.9	CO ₂ absorption by 2M DEA	56
4.10	Summarize CO ₂ absorption by DEA	57
4.11	CO ₂ absorption by 6M NH ₃ , 2M DEA with 1wt% acetic acid	59
4.12	Effect of gas flow rate	61
4.13	Effect of liquid Flow rate	62
4.14	Effect of aqueous concentration	63
4.15	Compare CO ₂ Absorption by Each of NH ₃ , DEA and Acetic Acid	64

LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	-	Percent
°C	-	Degree Celsius
°	-	Degree
wt. %	-	Weight percent
g/mol	-	Gram per mole
ml/min	-	Mile liter per min
l/h	-	Liter per hour
ppm	-	Parts per million
M	-	Molar

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AMP	-	2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol
C ₂ H ₄	-	Ethylene
C ₂ H ₄ O ₂	-	Acetic acid
C ₂ H ₆	-	Ethane
CO	-	Carbon monoxide
CO ₂	-	Carbon dioxide
DEA	-	Diethanolamine
DGA	-	Diglycolamine
DIPA	-	Di-isopropanolamine
NH ₃	-	Ammonia
Eq	-	Equation
ESA	-	Electric swing adsorption
FID	-	Flame ionization detector
GC	-	Gas chromatography
GPP	-	Gas processing plant
GHG	-	Green house gases
H ₂ S	-	Hydrogen sulfide
H ₂	-	Hydrogen
MDEA	-	Methyldiethanolamine
MEA	-	Monoethanolamine
MS	-	Mass spectrometry
MW	-	Molecular weight
NTP	-	Normal temperature and pressure
PSA	-	Pressure swing adsorption
TEA	-	Triethanolamine
TSA	-	Temperature swing adsorption
TCD	-	Thermal conductivity detector

VSA	-	Vacuum swing adsorption
Wt.	-	Weight
EOR	-	Enhancing the oil recovery

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Calibration Curve	74
B	Calculation	81

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Removing of acid gas impurities, including Hydrogen Sulfide (H₂S) and carbon dioxide CO₂, from gas streams is a major operation in processing of gases. Natural and synthesis of the raw gases contain acid gases such as H₂S and CO₂. Removal of acid gas from gas mixtures is very important in natural gas processing (Bhide *et al.*, 1998). Acid gases should be separated and removed from natural gas in order to: (a) decrease the volume of gas transported in pipelines, (b) increase the heating value and reduction of the corrosion through the transport of natural gas.

Many possible combinations of processes and separation methods can be conceived for CO₂ removal such as absorption, adsorption, membranes and cryogenic separation (Geankoplis, 2003a). More than seventy years the absorption method utilizing alkanolamines has been known and considered the best way in eliminating H₂S and CO₂ for purification and separation (Chew *et al.*, 2010). It works regarding to the reaction of weak base and weak acid to form a water soluble salt.

1.2 Problem Statement

From 1960s and 1970s on, a number of alkanolamine have come into general use, but there is not much information available on which amine is the best to do a particular service. Many incompetent amine gas sweetening units can be optimized simply by varying the amines. 50 to 70% of the initial investment for an amine sweetening unit is directly associated with the magnitude of the solvent circulation rate and another 10–20% of the initial investment depend on the regeneration energy requirement.

Approximately 70% of gas sweetening plants operating costs, excluding labour expenses, is due to the amount of energy required for solvent regeneration (Loo and versteeg, 2006). Amines are different in properties and each one of them has a unique set of properties this makes them either desirable or undesirable under certain condition. The kind of alkanolamine choice will affect the required circulation rate of amine solution, the energy consumption for regeneration and the ability to selectively remove either H₂S alone or CO₂ alone if desired. The overall cost of a sweetening unit can be dependent on choosing the best amine for the conditions of process. Therefore, it is important to know the performance of MEA, DEA and MDEA as chemical solvents in acid gas for removing acid gas impurities including hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide from refinery, synthesis and natural gas streams in major operation of gas processing (Lepaumier *et al.*, 2009c).

The CO₂ gas separation is important in many industrial processes such as gas processing plant (GPP). CO₂ is highly acidic and corrosive when combines with water. Chemical solvents scrubbing technology has been effectively applied for CO₂ capture. Therefore, absorption technology has been utilized for removing toxic gases such as carbonyl sulfide, hydrogen sulfide, carbon dioxide, and so on. Alkanolamines was recently used to enhance the CO₂ separation by means of absorption. Therefore search for amines mixture with suitable modification to accommodate CO₂ removal has become a subject of considerable interest (Franco *et al.*, 2009; Bedella, 2009).

In process of replacing alkanolamines with acidic oxides absorption degradation and Corrosion are the phenomenons which are not desirable. In plant opration, degradation products are considered to be a big problem (Thitakamol *et al.*, 2009, Thitakamol and Veawab, 2008).

Today MDEA among all of the alkanolamines is widely used as an absorption solvent of acid gases because MDEA has characteristics such as higher absorption capacity, high H₂S selectivity, lower heat degradation, lower regeneration energy and low corrosion (Closmann *et al.*, 2009; Klare *et al.*, 2000). MDEA is commonly used in the 20 to 50 mass percent ranges (Aroonwilas and Veawab, 2004).

It has been shown by Sakwattanapong which for MEA systems the replacement cost of amine would be 4% of the total cost of CO₂ (Sakwattanapong *et al.*, 2009).

1.3 Objective of the Study

The objective of this work is to investigate the effects of different mixtures of amine, ammonia and modified amine solution with ammonia and acetic acid on CO₂ capture from reforming gas.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The following scopes are necessary to establish the desired objectives of this work.

- i. The fixed parameters for this work are column diameter and height, and operation temperature, and pressure.
- ii. Mixtures of different mass percent of diethanolamine (DEA) and ammonia (NH₃) ranging from 0% DEA - 100% NH₃, and 100% DEA - 0% NH₃ are employed to determine the best mixture for CO₂ removal.
- iii. Acetic acid between 1 to 15% of total mass is mixed with the best mixture of DEA and NH₃ to study its effect towards CO₂ removal.
- iv. The CO₂ removal will be characterized using gas chromatography (GC) and gas analyzer.

1.5 Significant of Study

The study is essential, to model and improve the process of acid gas removal based on diethanolamine (DEA) and ammonia (NH₃) by using modified amine solution with acetic acid. Additionally, this study also significant, to identify the best chemical solvent for process by comparing DEA, NH₃ and mixtures of different mass percent of diethanolamine (DEA) and ammonia (NH₃) ranging from 0% DEA - 100% NH₃, and

100%DEA - 0%NH₃ are employed to determine the best mixture and Acetic acid between 1 to 15% of total mass is mixed with the best amine mixture to study its effect towards CO₂ removal. This study presents measurements of CO₂ absorption in NH₃, DEA, mixture NH₃ to acetic acid and Mixture NH₃, DEA to acetic acid. Previous researchers have studied CO₂ absorption into aqueous solution of DEA (Glasscock, 1990; Little, 1991; Phillips *et al.*, 2007) and NH₃ (Resnik *et al.*, 2004; Yeh *et al.*, 2005), but not in mixture of these reactive solvents.

REFERENCE

- Abu-Zahra, M.R.M., Niederer, J.P.M., Feron, P.H.M. and Versteeg, G.F. (2007). CO₂ Capture from Power Plants Part II. A Parametric Study of the Economical Performance Based on Mono-Ethanolamine. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* 1: 135–142.
- Akanksha, Pant K.K, Srivastava V.K. (2007). Carbon dioxide absorption into monoethanolamine in a continuous film contactor. *Chem. Eng. Journal* 133, 229–237.
- Alper, E. (1990). Reaction Mechanism and Kinetics of Aqueous Solutions of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol and Carbon Dioxide. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 29: 1725–1728.
- Aresta, M. (2003). Carbon Dioxide Recovery and Utilization. Dordrecht/ Boston/ London: Kluwer Academic publisher. 67-77.
- Arnold, D.S., Barrett, D.A. and Isom, R.H. (1982) Carbon Dioxide can be Produced from Flue Gas. *Oil Gas J.* 80: 130–136.
- Aroonwilas, A. and Veawab, A. (2004). Characterization and Comparison of the CO₂ Absorption Performance into Single and Blended Alkanolamines in a Packed Column. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 43: 2228–2237.
- Baker, R. W. (2002). Future directions of membrane gas separation technology. *Industrial & Eng. Chem. Res.*, Vol. 41, No. 6, pp. 1393-1411.

- Baker, R. W. (2004). *Membrane Technology and Applications* (2nd edition), John Wiley & Sons, ISBN 0-470-85445-6, West Sussex.
- Barth D., Tondre, C., Lappai, G. and Delpecch, J. J. (1981). "Kinetic Study of Carbon Dioxide Reaction and Tertiary Amines in Aqueous Solutions," *J. Phys, Chem*, 85, 3660, 1981.
- Bedella, S.A. (2009). Oxidative degradation mechanisms for amines in flue gas capture *Energy Procedia* 1: 771-8.
- Belmabkhout, Y., Weireld, G.D. and Sayari, A. (2009). Amine-Bearing Mesoporous Silica for CO₂ and H₂S Removal from Natural Gas and Biogas. *Langmuir* 25: 13275–13278.
- Bhide, B.D., Voskericyan, A., Stem, S.A. (1998). Hybrid processes for the removal of acid gases from natural gas, *Journal of Membrane Science* 140: 27-49.
- Bishnoi, S. and Rochelle, G.T. (2000). Absorption of Carbon Dioxide into Aqueous Piperazine: Reaction Kinetics, Mass Transfer and Solubility. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 55: 5531–5543.
- Bishnoi, S. and Rochelle, G.T. (2002). Absorption of Carbon Dioxide in Aqueous Piperazine/ Methyl-diethanolamine. *AIChE J.* 48: 2788–2799.
- Bougie F. and Iliuta M. C. (2010). Analysis of regeneration of sterically hindered alkanolamines aqueous solutions with and without activator, *Chem.Eng. Sci.*, 65, 4746–4750.
- Cheng, H.H. and Tan, C.S. (2009). Carbon Dioxide Capture by Blended Alkanolamines in Rotating Packed Bed. *Energy Procedia* 1: 925–932.
- Cheng, H.H. and Tan, C.S. (2011). Removal of CO₂ from Indoor Air by Alkanolamine in a Rotating Packed Bed. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 82: 156–166.

- Chew, T. L., Abdul, L. A., and Subash, B. (2010). Ordered mesoporous silica (OMS) as an adsorbent and membrane for separation of carbon dioxide (CO₂). *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*. 153, 43-47.
- Closmann, F. (2009). Solvent degradation –MEA and MDEA/PZ blends systems, retrieved on December, 2009, available at the website.
http://ena.chemeng.ntnu.no/TrondheimJointAbsorptionSeminar/Closmann_Tronheim_150609.
- Cornelissen, A. E. (1982). "Simulation of Absorption of H₂S and CO₂ into Aqueous Alkanolamines," Shell Laboratory p. 3.1-315, 1982.
- Franco, J., A., Montigny, D., Kentisha, S., E., Perera, J., M., and Stevens, G., W. (2009). Effect of amine degradation products on the membrane gas absorption process *Chem. Eng. Sci* **64**: 4016 – 23.
- Geankoplis, C. J. (2003a). Classification of transport processes and separation processes. *Trans. Pro. And sep. pro.princ.* 3-5.
- Geankoplis, C. J. (2003b). *Transport processes and separation principles*. 4th ed. Upper Saddle River, N. J.: Prentice Hall. 625-626.
- Gielen, D. (2003). CO₂ Removal in the Iron and Steel Industry. *Energy Convers. Manage.* 44: 1027–1037.
- Glasscock, D. A. (1990). Modelling and experimental study of carbon dioxide absorption into aqueous alkanolamines. Ph.D. dissertation, The University of Texas, Austin.
- Goff, G., S., and Rochelle, G., T. (2006). Oxidation Inhibitors for Copper and Iron Catalyzed Degradation of Monoethanolamine in CO₂ Capture Processes. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **45**: 2513-21.
- Haszeldine, R.S. (2009). Carbon Capture and Storage: How Green Can Black Be? *Science* 325: 1647–1651.

- Haws, R. (2010). Contaminants in Amine Gas Treating Retrieved on 13 July 2010.
- Hikita, H., Asai, S., Katsu, Y. and Ikuno, S. (1979). Absorption of Carbon Dioxide into Aqueous Monoethanolamine Solutions. *AIChE J.* 25: 793–800.
- Jiang, B., Kish, V., Fauth, D.J., Gray, M.L., Pennline, H.W. and Li, B. (2011). Performance of Amine-Multilayered Solid Sorbents for CO₂ Removal: Effect of Fabrication Variables. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* 5: 1170–1175.
- Klare, M., Scheen, J., Vogelsang, K., Jacobs, H. and Broekaert, J., A.,C. (2000). Degradation of short-chain alkyl- and alkanolamines by TiO₂- and Pt/TiO₂-assisted photocatalysis *Chemosphere* **41**: 353-62.
- Kohl, A.L. and Nielsen, R.B. (1997). *Gas Purification*, 5th Ed., Gulf Publishing, Houston, TX.
- Koros, W. J. and R. Mahajan. (2001). Pushing the limits on possibilities for large scale gas separation: which strategies? *Journal of Membrane Science*, Vol. 181, No. 1, pp. 141-141.
- Lawal, O., Bello, A. and Idem, R. (2005). The Role of Methyl Diethanolamine (MDEA) in Preventing the Oxidative Degradation of CO₂ Loaded and Concentrated Aqueous Monoethanolamine (MEA)-MDEA Blends during CO₂ Absorption from Flue Gases *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **44**: 1874-96.
- Lepaumier, H., Picq, D. and Carrette, P.-L. (2009). New Amines for CO₂ Capture. II. Oxidative Degradation Mechanisms *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res* **48**: 9068–75.
- Liao, C.H. and Li, M.H. (2002). Kinetics of Absorption of Carbon Dioxide into Aqueous Solutions of Monoethanolamine + N-Methyldiethanolamine. *Chem.Eng. Sci.* 57: 4569–4582.

- Lin, C.Y., Soriano, A.N., Li, M.H. (2009). Kinetics study of carbon dioxide absorption into aqueous solutions containing N-methyldiethanolamine + diethanolamine. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* 40, 403–412.
- Little, R. J. (1991) Selective carbonyl sulx de removal in acid gas treating processes. Doctoral dissertation, Twente University of Technology, The Netherlands.
- Loo, S. V., Elk, E.P.V., and versteeg, G. F., (2006). The Removal of Carbon Dioxide with Activated Solutions of Methl-Diethanol- Amine, *journal. Of petrol. Sci. and Eng.* 55, 135-145.
- Ma'mun, S., Svendsen, H. F., Hoff, K. A., and juliussen, O. (2007). Selection of New of Absorbents for Carbon Dioxide Capture. *Energy convers and manage.* 48, 251-258.
- Mandal, B.P., Guha, M., Biswas, A.K., Bandyopadhyay, S.S. (2001). Removal of carbon dioxide by absorption in mixed amines: modelling of absorption in aqueous MDEA/MEA and AMP/MEA solutions. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 56, 6217–6224.
- Noack, et al. (2000). Zeolite membranes-state of their development and perspective. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, Vol. 38, No. 1, pp. 3-24.
- Pabby, A. K. (2008). *Handbook of Membrane Separations: Chemical, Pharmaceutical, Food, and Biotechnological Applications* (1st edition), CRC, ISBN 978-0-849-39549-9, New York.
- Pacheco M. A.; Kaganoi, S.; Rochelle, G. T. (2000). CO₂ absorption into aqueous mixtures of diglycolamine and methyldiethanolamine. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 55, 5125-5140.
- Phillips, S., Aden, A., Jechura, J., Dayton, D., and Eggeman, T. (2007). “Thermochemical Ethanol via Indirect Gasification and Mixed Alcohol Synthesis of Lignocellulosic Biomass,” NREL Report No. TP-510-41168, April 2007.

- Plaza, M.G., Pevida, C., Arenillas, A., Rubiera, F. and Pis, J.J. (2007). CO₂ Capture by Adsorption with Nitrogen Enriched Carbons. *Fuel* 86: 2204–2212.
- Ramachandran, N., Aboudheir, A., Idem, R., Tontiwachwuthikul, P. (2006). Kinetics of the absorption of CO₂ into mixed aqueous loaded solutions of monoethanolamine and methyldiethanolamine. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 45, 2608–2616.
- Resnik, K.P. (2004). Aqua Ammonia Process for Simultaneous Removal of CO₂, SO₂ and NO_x. *Int. J. Environ. Technol. Manage.* 4: 89–104.
- Rochelle, G.T. (2009). Amine Scrubbing for CO₂ Capture. *Science* 325: 1652–1654
- Sakwattanapong R., Aroonwilas A. and Veawab A. (2009). Reaction rate of CO₂ in aqueous MEA-AMP solution: experiment and modeling, *Energy Proce*, 1, 217-224.
- Shahi, P., Hu, Y. and Chakma, A. (1994). Gas chromatographic analysis of acid gases and single/mixed 109 alkanolamines *Journal of Chromatography A* **687**: 121-32.
- Son, W.J., Choi, J.K. and Ahn, W.S. (2008). Adsorptive Removal of Carbon Dioxide Using Polyethyleneimine- Loaded Mesoporous Silica Materials. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 113: 31–40.
- Thitakamol, B. and Veawab, A. (2008). Foaming Behavior in CO₂ Absorption Process Using Aqueous Solutions of Single and Blended Alkanolamines *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res* **47**: 216-25.
- Thitakamol, B., Veawab, A. and Aroonwilas, A. (2009). Foaming in amine-based CO₂ capture process: experiment, modeling and simulation. *Energy* **9**: 1381-6.
- Versteeg, G.F., Kumar, P.S., Hogendoorn, J.A., & Feron, P.H.M. (2002). Methode voor absorptie van zure gassen (NL Patent; Application Filed).

- Xiao, J., Li, C.W. and Li, M.H. (2000). Kinetics of Absorption of Carbon Dioxide into Aqueous Solutions of 2-Amino-2-methyl-1-propanol + Monoethanolamine. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 55: 161–175.
- Xu, X., Song, C., Miller, B.G. and Scaroni, A.W. (2005). Influence of Moisture on CO₂ Separation from Gas Mixture by a Nanoporous Adsorbent Based on Polyethylenimine-Modified Molecular Sieve MCM-41. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 44: 8113–8119.
- Yamasaki A, (2003). An overview of CO₂ mitigation options for global warming Emphasizing CO₂ sequestration options. *Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan*, 36(4): 361–375.
- Yeh, A. (2004). Comparison of Ammonia and Monoethanolamine Solvents to Reduce CO₂ Greenhouse Gas Emissions. *The Science of the Total Environment* 228 2-3: 121-33. Print.
- Yeh, K.P. Resnik, K. Rygle, H. W. Pennline, (2005). Semi-batch absorption and regeneration studies for CO₂ capture by aqueous ammonia, *Fuel Processing Technology* 86 1533 –1546.
- Yu, C.H., Cheng, H.H. and Tan, C.S. (2012). CO₂ Capture by Alkanolamine Solutions Containing Diethylenetriamine and Piperazine in a Rotating Packed Bed. *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control* 9: 136–147.
- Yue, M.B., Chun, Y., Cao, Y., Dong, X. and Zhu, J.H. (2006). CO₂ Capture by As-Prepared SBA-15 with an Occluded Organic Template. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 16:1717–1722.
- Zhao, H., Hu, j., wang, j., Zhou, L., Liu, H. (2007). CO₂ capture by the Amin-modified mesoporous materials. *Actu. Phys.-chim.sin.* 23(6), 801-806.