

**RELIABILITY IN INTERPRETING NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING
(NDT) RESULTS OF CONCRETE STRUCTURES**

MOUMOUNI MOUSSA IDRISOU

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

**RELIABILITY IN INTERPRETING NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING
(NDT) RESULTS OF CONCRETE STRUCTURES**

MOUMOUNI MOUSSA IDRISOU

**A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil -
Structure)**

Faculty of Civil Engineering

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2006

Say, “Indeed, my prayer, my rites of sacrifice, my living and my dying
are for Allah, Lord of the worlds.”

(Al Qur’an 6: 162)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohammad Ismail, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Mr Chai Ko Nyim for helping me during the laboratory work. My sincere appreciation also extends to Ms Tan Pui Lai and her colleagues for their assistance.

My fellow postgraduate student Tan Yu Chai should also be recognized for his support.

I am grateful to all my family members.

ABSTRACT

This research was carried out to study the reliability in interpreting non-destructive testing results of concrete structures for assessing concrete strength, concrete uniformity, and concrete cover. An experimental research was carried out, involving both destructives and non-destructive testing methods applied to different concrete mixes ranging from 20 to 55 MPa. The specimens consisting of cubes, slabs, and columns were casted for the correlation purposes and as testing samples. Statistical analysis was used to establish a relationship between destructives and non-destructive readings. Direct and predicted values were made on the testing samples and compared. For the strength estimation, the interpretation by cores calibration is more reliable than calibration by cubes. This interpretation can improve by taking calibration specimens from the same batch and cure them in the same conditions as the structures to be investigated. It also appears that the combined pulse velocity and rebound index method has no effect on the accuracy of the interpretation. The interpretation of covermeter data by calibration is reliable and that of the concrete uniformity also; and the use of more than one test method for the latter will increase the confidence on the interpretation.

ABSTRAK

Projek ini dijalankan untuk mengkaji dalam menggambarkan kebolehpercayaan bagi tafsiran yang diperolehi melalui ujian tanpa musnah bagi struktur konkrit dalam penilaian kekuatan konkrit, keseragaman konkrit dan penutup konkrit. Suatu kajian makmal yang melibatkan kaedah ujian musnah dan tanpa musnah telah dijalankan ke atas adunan konkrit yang berlainan kekuatan antara gred 20 ke gred 55. Specimen-specimen yang mengandungi kiub, papak, dan tiang ini dibina bagi tujuan mewujudkan pertalian antara satu sama lain dan juga sebagai specimen ujian. Analisis statistik digunakan untuk menentukan hubungan antara bacaan ujian musnah dan tanpa musnah. Data terus dan data jangkaan dibandingkan seterusnya. Bagi jangkaan kekuatan, penentukuran teras didapati memberikan tafsiran yang lebih tepat daripada penentukuran kiub. Tafsiran ini boleh dimajukan dengan menentukur specimen daripada kumpulan yang sama dan diawetkan dalam keadaan sebagaimana struktur yang akan dikaji. Ketepatan keputusan adalah didapati tidak dapat ditingkatkan melalui ujian gabungan UPV dan “*rebound index method*”. Tafsiran yang diperolehi dengan menggunakan “*covermeter*” boleh dipercayai dan sesuai untuk mengkaji keseragaman konkrit. Walau bagaimanapun, adalah didapati bahawa ujian yang berlainan boleh dijalankan untuk meningkatkan keyakinan pada ketepatan tafsiran yang diperolehi.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the problem	1
	1.2 Statement of the problem	2
	1.3 Objectives of the study	3
	1.4 Scope of the study	3
	1.5 Limitations of the study	3
	1.6 Importance of the study	4

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Repair process	6
2.1.1	Definition of repair	6
2.1.2	Repair process	7
2.2	Structural evaluation	10
2.3	Interpretation of NDT results	14
2.3.1	Computation of test results	14
2.3.2	Examination of variability	14
2.3.3	Calibration	17
2.3.3.1	Regression analysis	19
2.3.3.2	Statistical analysis procedure	26
2.3.4	Combination of tests	30
2.3.4.1	Combined pulse velocity and rebound index	31
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Testing equipment	38
3.3	Materials	39
3.4	Concrete mix proportions	39
3.5	Specimens	40

3.6 Casting details	42
3.7 Testing procedures	42
3.7.1 Testing details	42
3.7.2 Compressive strength test	42
3.7.3 Covermeter test	43
4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	46
4.1 Compressive strength	46
4.2 Covermeter	49
4.3 Uniformity of concrete	50
5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	60
5.1 Conclusions	60
4.1 Recommendations	61
REFERENCES	62
BIBLIOGRAPHY	65
APPENDIX A	66
APPENDIX B	67
APPENDIX C	68

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Schematic outline of progressive assessment procedure	12
2.2	Typical coefficients of variation (COV) of test results and maximum accuracy of in-situ strength prediction for principal method	16
2.3	Suggested 95% confidence tolerance factor related to number of tests n	27
2.4	Various multiple regression correlations suggested by different researchers to estimate the compressive strength of concrete	31
2.5	Accuracy of the estimated strength in function of the correlation conditions	33
3.1	Mix proportions	40
3.2	Specimens	41
4.1	Regression correlation coefficients	48
4.2	Compressive strength results	48
4.3	Covermeter results	49
4.4	Numerical evaluation of the uniformity of concrete	59

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Stages in the investigation ad repair of a structure	9
2.2	Repair process	9
2.3	Flow chart of progressive screening	13
2.4	Typical relative percentage strength contours of a beam	16
2.5	Typical histogram plot of an in-situ test results	16
2.6	Relation between exposed probe length and 28 days compressive strength	18
2.7	Illustration of residuals	20
2.8	Example of scatter plot of depth of reinforcement vs. covermeter readings	20
2.9	Characteristic strength (95% confidence limit) as a function of coefficient of variation and number of tests	29
2.10	Iso-strength curves for reference concrete in SONREB method	33
2.11	Monogram for concrete of a particular aggregate type and age	36
3.1	Pundit 6 Model PC1000	44
3.2	Rebound hammer	44
3.3	Tonipact compression machine	45
3.4	Core drilling machine	45
3.5	Covermeter	45
4.1	Compressive strength vs. pulse velocity (cube)	52

4.2	Compressive strength vs. rebound index (cube)	52
4.3	Compressive strength vs. pulse velocity and rebound index by SONREB law (cube)	53
4.4	Compressive strength vs. pulse velocity and rebound index by SAMARIN law (cube)	53
4.5	Compressive strength vs. pulse velocity (core)	54
4.6	Compressive strength vs. rebound index (core)	54
4.7	Compressive strength vs. pulse velocity and rebound index by SONREB law (core)	55
4.8	Compressive strength vs. pulse velocity and rebound index by SAMARIN law (core)	55
4.9	Depth vs. covermeter readings	56
4.10	Typical histogram plots	56
4.11	Histogram plots of test results from calibration data	57
4.12	Histogram plots of test results from testing data	58

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

COV	-	Coefficient of variation
D, D'	-	Depth of reinforcement below the concrete surface
F _{C'}	-	Compressive strength from correlation relationship
F _C	-	Compressive strength from direct cores
F _{cu'}	-	In-situ characteristic strength
F _{mean}	-	Sample mean strength
FKA	-	Fakulti Kejuruteraan Awam
ICRI	-	International Concrete Repair Institute
k	-	Tolerance factor
Kh _z	-	Kilohertz
Km	-	Kilometer
KN	-	KiloNewton
Ln	-	Lognormal
Log	-	Logarithmic
LS	-	Least square
MPa	-	MegaPascal
NDT	-	Nondestructive testing
OPC	-	Ordinary Portland Cement

P	-	Covermeter reading
Q	-	Sum of squared deviation
R	-	Rebound number
r	-	Correlation coefficient
R ²	-	Coefficient of determination
REHABCON	-	Strategy for maintenance and rehabilitation in concrete structures
RILEM	-	International Union of Experts in Construction Materials, Systems and Structures
s'	-	Sample standard deviation
sec	-	Seconde
SISD	-	Simplified Index of Structural Damage
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
V	-	Pulse velocity
ε	-	Random error
σ ²	-	Variance

LIST OF APPENDICES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Cube calibration data	66
Appendix B	Core calibration data	67
Appendix C	Covermeter calibration data	68

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the problem

Concrete structures as many other engineering structures are subjected to deterioration that affect their integrity, stability and safety. Faced with the importance of the damages noted on the structures, the current choices are directed towards the repair of the existing structures rather than towards the demolition and construction of new ones. But before any repair work being done, it is common practice to determine the causes of the deterioration so that successful repair can be done. Many repair work fail because the exact causes of the deterioration was not adequately identified.

This identification process comprises many methods including non-destructive testing methods. Non-Destructive Testing is usually undertaken as part of the detailed investigation to complement the other methods. Sometimes, the conclusions of the investigation are based essentially on these tests.

First developed for steel, it has not been easy to transfer the NDT technology to the inspection of concrete (Carino, 1994). Because of the characteristics of reinforced concrete the non destructive testing (NDT) of concrete structures is more complex than the NTD of metallic materials (Rhazi, 2001).

Since the spread of their application in civil engineering, one of their main disadvantages lies in the processing and interpretation of the data, which is

often not trivial (Colombo and Forde, 2003). In order for the NDT to better achieve its role in structural assessment there must have agreed standards and guidelines on how to do the survey in the field and interpret the data obtained (McCann and Forde, 2001). Unfortunately until now the choice of the best-fitted technique for a specific case is not simple, the relevance of the measurement process not guaranteed, and the question of how to cope with measurement results and how to finally assess the structural properties remains unanswered (Rilem, 2004).

1.2 Statement of the problem

The application of non-destructive testing to concrete structures is sometimes disappointing. There are many NDT techniques, each based on different theoretical principles, and producing as a result different sets of information regarding the physical properties of the structure. These properties, such as velocities, electrical resistance and so on, have to be interpreted in terms of the fabric of the structure and its engineering properties.

The interpretation of the data is the most challenging task of the engineer assessing the structure. The recommendations made based on the interpreted result can be very significant. Decision on whether a structure is adequate or not, the standard and specifications are respected or not, and the exact causes of the deterioration, depends on the outcome of the data's interpretation. It is neither desirable that they lead to the condemnation of a structure safe or economically repairable building, nor it is admissible that they provide a false sense of confidence in an otherwise unsafe structure.

Therefore it is vital to study the reliability in interpreting the NDT results of concrete structures. How NDT results are interpreted? What are the factors

affecting these interpretations? What is the reliability of the different interpretations methods?

1.3 Objectives of the study

The objectives of the study are to:

- investigate the reliability in interpreting Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) results of concrete structures,
- determine the factors affecting the interpretation of (NDT) results of concrete structures.

1.4 Scope of the study

The present work focuses on the study of the reliability in interpreting non-destructive testing results of concrete structures. It will be conducted on normal hardened concretes ranging from 20 to 55 MPa, and in laboratory.

The study will be restricted to the following properties: compressive strength, uniformity of concrete and covercrete (concrete cover).

1.5 Limitations of the study

This study will investigate neither human being role in the reliability of NDT nor will it focus on how to improve the reliability of the NDT testing equipments.

It will be based on the assumptions that the testing equipments are adequate and the testing operation done with respect to the procedure from the planning of the testing to the recording of the data.

1.6 Importance of the study

The current way of ensuring accuracy in the interpretation of non-destructive testing (NDT) of concrete structures for the assessment of the compressive strength, is to establish a correlation curve relating non-destructive readings to strength, for a particular mix under investigation, (Bungey and Millard, 1996; Naik and Malhotra, 2004). Regression analysis is used in establishing such curve. By consensus, the accuracy of estimation of compressive strength of test specimens cast, cured, and tested under laboratory conditions by a properly calibrated hammer lies between ± 15 and $\pm 20\%$. However, the probable accuracy of estimation of concrete strength in a structure is $\pm 25\%$. (Naik and Malhotra, 2004). The accuracy of estimation of compressive strength of test specimens cast, cured, and tested under laboratory conditions by the standard calibrated ultrasonic pulse velocity is $\pm 20\%$ (Popovics, 2001).

In order to improve these estimations, a calibration is developed by combining the readings of the pulse velocity and the rebound number and relates them to the compressive strength. However, there is a wide degree of disagreement concerning the increase of the accuracy of the estimation of strength from the combined method. A combined pulse velocity and rebound index method for a specific aggregate type and a specific age of concrete had been developed and this had shown a good behavior (Samarin and Dhir, 1984). But unfortunately, the results obtained were not compared with a calibration from pulse velocity alone or rebound index alone to state the degree of improvement in accuracy. Certain researchers also claimed that

accuracy of compressive strength can be improved by the combined method of pulse velocity and rebound index (Tanigawa, Baba, and Mori, 1984). For others, analysis of strength estimated from rebound index made along with pulse velocity contributes little, if any, to the increase of accuracy of the ultrasonic strength estimation (Popovics, 1998; Malhotra and Carette, 1980; cited by Popovics, 2001). It is said that calibration curve obtained from cores taken in the structures under investigation will improve the accuracy of the strength estimation. (Bungey and Millard, 1996).

REFERENCES

- Baldwin, N.J.R., King, E.S. (2003). Field Study on the Effectiveness of Concrete Repair. Phase 1 Report: Desk study and literature review (On-line), <http://www.hse.gov.uk/research/rrhtm/rr175.htm>, 18 May 2005.
- Bungey, J.H., Millard, S.G. (1996). Testing of Concrete in Structures. London: Blackie Academic & Professional.
- Carino, N.J. (1994). Nondestructive Testing of Concrete: History and Challenges. (On-line), <http://www.fire.nist.gov/bfrlpubs/build94/art056.html>, 18 May 2005
- Colombo, S., Forde, M.C. (2003). An Alternative Method Of AE Data Processing: B-Value Analysis Of Concrete Beams. In *Abstracts of the Tenth International Conference On 'Extending the Life of Bridges Concrete + Composites Buildings, Masonry + Civil Structures' ,1st – 3rd July 2003, London.* (On-line), <http://www.railwayengineering.com/SFR-03-Abstracts-ver2.pdf>, 11 April 2005.
- Dixon, D. (1987). Analysis of Cover Meter Data. In: American Concrete Institute. *Computer Use for Statistical Analysis of Concrete Test Data.* Detroit: ACI Spec. Publ. SP 101, 47-64.
- Elvery, R.H., Ibrahim, L.A.M.(1976). Ultrasonic assessment of concrete strength at early ages, *Mag.Concr. Res.*, 28(97), 181.
- Emmons, P.(1993). Concrete Repair and Maintenance Illustrated. Kingston: Construction Publishers & Consultants.
- Fagerlund, G. (2001). Manual for Assessing Concrete Structures Affected by Frost. (On-line), www.byggnadsmaterial.lth.se/pdf/CONTECVET.%20FROST%20MANUAL.pdf, 11 April 2005.

Focaoaru, I. (1984). Romanian Achievements in Nondestructive Strength Testing of Concrete. In: American Concrete Institute. *In-Situ Nondestructive Testing of Concrete*. Detroit: ACI Spec. Publ. SP 82, 35-56.

Hindo, K.R., Bergstrom, W.R.(1985). Statistical Evaluation of the In-place Strength of Concrete. *Concrete International*, 7, No. 2, Feb. 1985, 44 - 48.

Johnson, M.S. (1965). Deterioration, Maintenance and Repair of Structures. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.

Malhotra, V.M. (2004). Surface Hardness Methods. In: Malhotra, V.M. and Carino, N.J. eds. *Handbook on Nondestructive Testing of Concrete*. West Conshohocken : CRC Press, 1/1-1/15.

Malhotra, V.M., Carette, G. (2004). Penetration Resistance Methods. In: Malhotra, V.M. and Carino, N.J. eds. *Handbook on Nondestructive Testing of Concrete*. West Conshohocken : CRC Press, 2/1-2/17.

McCann, D.M. & Forde, M.C. (2001). Review of NDT methods in the assessment of concrete and masonry structures. (On-line), http://top25.sciencedirect.com/?journal_id=09638695, 18 May 2005.

Naik, T.R., Malhotra, V.M. (2004). The Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Method. In: Malhotra, V.M. and Carino, N.J. eds. *Handbook on Nondestructive Testing of Concrete*. West Conshohocken : CRC Press, 8/1-8/19.

Popovics, S. (2001). Analysis of the Concrete Strength versus Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Relationship. (On-line), <http://www.asnt.org/publications/materialseval/basics/feb01basics/feb01basics.htm>, 18 May 2005.

REHABCON. (2004). Strategy for Maintenance and Rehabilitation in Concrete Structures. (On-line), <http://www.cbi.se/rehabcon/rehabconfiles.htm>, 21 April 2005.

Rhazi, J. (2001). NDT in Civil Engineering: the Case of Concrete Bridge Decks. (On-line), <http://www.ndt.net/article/v06n05/rhazi/rhazi.htm>, 19 May 2005.

Rilem. (2004). TC INR: Interpretation of NDT Results and Assessment of RC Structures (Interprétation des résultats d'essais non destructifs et évaluation des ouvrages en béton armé). (On-line), <http://www.rilem.org>, 19 May 2005.

Samarin, A. (2004). Combined Methods. In: Malhotra, V.M. and Carino, N.J. eds. *Handbook on Nondestructive Testing of Concrete*. West Conshohocken : CRC Press, 9/1-9/12.

Tanigawa, Y., Baba, K., Mori, H. (1984). Estimation of Concrete Strength by Combined Nondestructive Testing Methods. In: American Concrete Institute. *In-Situ Nondestructive Testing of Concrete*. Detroit: ACI Spec. Publ. SP 82, 57-76.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Carver, H. R. (2004). Doing Data Analysis With Minitab 14. Unites States: Thomson- Brooks/Cole.

International Atomic Energy Agency. (2002) Guidebook on Non-destructive Testing of Concrete Structures. (On-line), http://www.pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/TCS-17_web.pdf, 3 June 2005.

International Concrete Repair Institute. Concrete Repair Terminology. (On-line), <http://www.icri.org/onlineresources/index.asp>, 11 April 2005.

Kutner, Y.M., Nachtsheim, J.C, Neter, J. (2004). Applied Linear Regression Models. Boston: McGraw-Hill/Irvin.

Norusis, J.M. (1999). SPSS Regression Models 10.0. Chicago: SPSS.Inc.

Turgut, P. (2004). Research into the correlation between concrete strength and UPV values. (On-line), <http://www.ndt.net/article/v09n12/turgut/turgut.htm>, 18 May 2005