

DEVELOPMENT OF PHYSICAL SECURITY PROTECTION METAMODEL

HUSSEIN AHMED HASHI

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science (Information Security)

Faculty of Computing  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2013

This project report is dedicated to my family for their endless support and encouragement.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost Alhamdulillah, I would like to express heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor **Dr. Siti Hajar Othman** for her constant support during my study at UTM. She inspired me greatly to work in this project. Her willingness to motivate me contributed tremendously to our project. I have learned a lot from her and I am fortunate to have her as my mentor and supervisor

Besides, I would like to thank the authority of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for providing me with a good environment and facilities such as Computer laboratory to complete this project with software which I need during process.

I would like to extend my gratitude to my family Mam, Dad and my beloved brother and sister, and lastly my special thanks to my friends, who are always with me in this journey Sa'ad Musa, Mohammed Abdi and Kaltum Osman,

## ABSTRACT

Physical Security Management is a multidisciplinary endeavor and a very tough knowledge domain to model. It is a diffused area of knowledge that is continuously evolving and informally represented. The domain has many complex features interconnecting the physical and the social views of the world. Many international and national bodies create knowledge models to allow knowledge sharing and effective physical security management activities. These models are often narrow in focus and deal with specific organizations. Analysis of these models uncover that many physical security management activities are actually common even though organization are different. This project report creates a unified view of physical security management in the form of a metamodel that can be seen as a language for this domain. Design Research Science is a procedure of a series of thoughts and activities by which an artifact is developed and achieved. Design Science conceptualized by supports a practical research prototype that calls for the creation of innovative artifacts to solve real- world problems. The metamodel is validated and refined to serve as a representational layer to unify facilitate and further access to physical security management expertise. This aims to facilitate knowledge sharing, combining and matching different physical security management activities at different organizations. This project report synthesizes and validates a methodical metamodelling process applicable to domains represented in a diffused amid informal manner by focusing on the validation and the metamodelling process on physical security management. Comparison against other models is validation technique which is used to identify any missing concepts in the initial version of the metamodel and to also ensure its broad coverage.

## ABSTRAK

Pengurusan Sekuriti Fizikal adalah suatu usaha dalam pelbagai disiplin dan domain pengetahuan yang sukar di dalam sesuatu model. Ia adalah suatu aspek pengetahuan yang sentiasa berkembang dan digambarkan secara tidak rasmi. Bidang ini mempunyai banyak ciri-ciri yang kompleks yang menghubungkan aspek fizikal dan pandangan sosial di dunia ini. Kebanyakan pertubuhan antarabangsa mencipta model untuk berkongsi pengetahuan dan menggalakkan aktiviti pengurusan sekuriti fizikal yang efektif. Model-model ini kebiasaannya fokus kepada organisasi yang tertentu. Analisis model ini menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakan aktiviti pengurusan sekuriti fizikal adalah sama walaupun didalam organisasi yang berbeza. Kajian ini menggambarkan pengurusan sekuriti fizikal sebagai sebuah bentuk metamodel yang dilihat sebagai bahasa domain ini. Proses metamodel ini diaplikasi bagi memastikan hasil metamodel adalah lengkap dan konsisten. Rekabentuk kajian sains adalah satu siri prosedur aktiviti dan pemikiran dimana artifak dibina dan dicapai. Rekabentuk Sains dikonsepsikan dari sokongan terhadap prototaip kajian praktikal yang menghasilkan ciptaan inovatif sesuatu artifak dalam menyelesaikan masalah sejangat. Metamodel ini dikaji dan diperbaik untuk menjadi wakil dalam memenuhi keperluan akan datang dalam kepakaran pengurusan sekuriti fizikal. Ini menfokuskan dalam memenuhi perkongsian ilmu, gabungan dan memadankan aktiviti pengurusan sekuriti fizikal yang berlainan di organisasi yang berlainan. Generasi terbaru metadata dipermudahkan oleh kesegeraan dan ketentuan pemetaan yang terhasil dari persetujuan semantik diantara peraturan model dan metamodel. Kajian ini menggabungkan dan mengesahkan sebuah proses metamodel dimana ia boleh diaplikasi didalam domain yang terhasil dari sebaran tidak rasmi dengan menfokuskan kepada pengesahan dan proses metamodel pengurusan sekuriti fizikal. Perbandingan diantara model lain boleh dibuat dengan teknik pengesahan dimana ia digunakan dalam mengenalpasti

sebarang konsep yang tiada didalam versi awal metamodel dan ini juga boleh memastikan ia mendapat liputan yang luas.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	viii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Background	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	3
	1.4 Project Objectives	4
	1.5 Project Scope	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Definition of Physical Security	7
	2.2.1 Physical Security is dealing with	9
	2.2.2 Approaches to Physical Security	10
	2.2.3 A Physical Security Program Must Address	12
	2.2.4 Definition of Threat	13
	2.2.5 Threats Classification	14
	2.2.6 Threat to Physical Security	15
	2.2.7 Vulnerabilities of Physical Security	19
	2.3 Components of Physical Security	20
	2.3.1 Obstacles	21

2.3.2	Alarms	21
2.3.3	Security Response	22
2.3.4	Element Combinations	22
2.4	Metamodel	23
2.4.1	Metamodelling	25
2.4.2	Metamodelling Frameworks	25
2.4.3	Meta Object Facility Metamodelling and Metamodeling Process	28
2.4.4	Metamodeling Level	31
2.4.5	Why Use Metamodels	31
2.4.6	Types of Metamodels	32
2.4.7	Unified Modelling Language (UML)	32
2.5	Model	33
2.5.1	Model Driven Software Engineering	35
2.5.2	Metamodel Validation	38
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1	Introduction	41
3.2	Design Science Research	42
3.3	Phase 1 – Problem Identification	46
3.4	Phase 2 – Physical Security Metamodel Creation and Validation	47
<b>4</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF PHYSICAL SECURITY PROTECTION METAMODEL</b>	
4.1	Introduction	51
4.2	Physical Security Metamodel	51
4.3	Metamodelling Process towards Physical Security Metamodel	53
4.4	Physical Security Metamodel development	55
4.4.1	Step 1: Preparing Model Sets: The Initial Set and Validation Set	55
4.4.2	Step 2: Extraction of Concepts	57
4.4.3	Step 4: Reconciliation of Concept Definitions	79
4.4.4	Step 5: Designation of Concepts into Physical Security Phases	81
4.4.5	Step 6: Identifying Relationships Between Concepts	82
4.4.6	Physical Security Metamodel Mitigation- Phase Class of Concepts	84



4.4.7	Physical Security Metamodel Response -Phase Class of Concepts	87
4.4.8	Physical Security Metamodel Recovery -Phase Class of Concepts	90
<b>5</b>	<b>VALIDATION OF PHYSICAL SECURITY PROTECTION METAMODEL</b>	
5.1	Introduction	94
5.2	Overview of Comparison against other Model Technique	94
5.3	Step 7: Validation Process by Using Comparison against other Model Method	95
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS</b>	
6.1	Introduction	103
6.2	Discussion of the Objectives Achievement	103
6.3	Highlights and Overall Contributions of this Research	104
6.4	Conclusion and Future Works	105
<b>7</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b>	106
<b>8</b>	<b>APPENDIX A</b>	112
<b>9</b>	<b>APPENDIX B</b>	127

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Definition of physical Security	8
2.2	Threats Classification	15
2.3	The metamodel development process	31
2.4	Metamodel Validation Techniques	41
3.1	Design Science Research Guidelines	45
4.1	Set of Twenty Physical security models for a development (Set I) and Validations (Set V1) of Physical Security	60
4.2	Developing a Cyber Security and Risk Mitigation Model	62
4.3	Extracted Concepts from Thirteen models of (SETI)	65
4.4	Reconciled Concepts	84
4.5	Concepts reconciled in Step 4 are designated into three phases	88
4.6	The sample of relationships among concepts in Physical Security Metamodel	89
4.7	Identified Mitigation-phase concepts and their Definitions	91
4.8	Identified Response-phase concepts and their Definitions	95
4.9	Identified Recovery-phase concepts and their Definitions	98
5.1	VI Comparison against other models (Covered Concepts)	103
5.2	VI Comparison against other models (Uncovered	

	Concepts)	105
5.3	VI Comparison against other models (Covered Concepts)	106
5.4	VI Comparison against other models (Covered Concepts)	108
5.5	VI Comparison against other models (Uncovered Concepts)	110
5.6	VI Comparison against other models (Uncovered Concepts)	111

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **1.1 Introduction**

Physical Security has many interacting elements (e.g.: people, Safety, security, Ventilation, access control, locations, lighting, alarms, barriers, door locks and many more) that are typically involved in its activities. Modeling coordination of Physical Security activities is tremendously hard and complex. The roles in a Physical Security cycle are fluid and cross many organizational boundaries. Physical Security activities often extend across various government sectors, non-governmental organizations/industry. This dissertation introduces and thoroughly validates a generic representation framework to combine the various Physical Security experiences into a single repository that can then be reused to facilitate and support Physical Security decisions. To create the generic representation, metamodelling is used. This is a Physical Security decision. To create the generic representation, metarnodelling is used. This is a software engineering technique that supports software modeling and software engineering reuse. The dissertation also operationalizes the new representation by creating a Physical Security knowledge repository that the dissertation representation as the foundational layer. Furthermore, the dissertation illustrates how this repository can be used as the basis of Physical Security Decision Support System (DSS). This dissertation in effect adapts metamodelling as a new approach to model Physical Security knowledge and to unify access to it, in order to solve persistent problems in Physical Security.

## 1.2 Problem Background

The loss of organizations' physical security, whether it is an asset or information, and their subsequent management are caused by so many factors. They are often due to an accumulation of a complex chain of events and often accompanied by changes in both internal and external factors. Hence, the attacks are not mostly the same and every attack requires its own management process. On the other hand, the way an attack's impact to the organizations and business processes may well be similar and responses are often transferable between disasters caused by attacks.

One reason for the failure of many physical security protections may rest in the inflexibility of the model to domain user. Domain model developers will normally need to spend a lot of time in understanding the nature of the domain which they desire to model. Generally they use a general purpose language such as the Unified Modelling Language (UML) in modelling their domain application models. But when they come to the situation in which the models they create do not perfectly fit the modelling needs as they desire, a more specific domain modelling language such as physical security protection Meta-model is believed can offer a better alternative approach to the problem (Robert, 2010). The problem when designing a new model of the domain is the issue of identification of the domain concepts and the ambiguity of the concept terminologies. This will be a big problem especially to the newcomers of the domain. As with any domain, the power of its domain-specific language is directly tied to the abstraction level of the domain concepts.

Although modelling and Meta-modelling are extensively studied and referred to in many researches today, the specific meaning of key terms and phrases can vary between researchers.

To avoid confusion, this research applies the following definitions to provide context for each notion it uses throughout this dissertation:

- **Metamodelling:** A modular and layered way to endow a well-established methodology or modelling language with an abstract notation, discerning the abstract syntax and semantics of the modelling elements.
- **Modelling Language:** Is a specification about the set of allowed symbols and rules on how to combine them in order to create a model that conforms the modeling language. It contains all the elements with which a model can be described.
- **Meta-model:** Is a model of models. It is the specification of modeling environment for certain domains, and defined syntax and semantics of the domain and can represent all systems in the domain. It also a Meta-modelling artifact which contains a set of constructs of a modelling language and their relationships, as well as constraints and modelling roles.
  - i. **Domain:** The realm of existence of a physical security protection.
  - ii. **Model:** Is a document that contains statements about the properties of an artifact (object) of a real or imagined world (universe of discourse). In our case is a model of the Physical Security domain. The model is called syntactically correct if it only used to allow symbols and it conforms the rules of the modeling language
  - iii. **Concept:** An abstract object which represents an entity, action or a state of the domain prospective (Morris et al., 1993).

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Physical Security is today's most important issue that every organization is struggling to secure its asset, whether its an information or physical assets and the attackers or intruders are always busy to find out the security weakness that every

organization have. These are the two main things that security will be the most focused area in every aspect.

In the security domain physical security is considered the first place that security process begins. This research will conduct the physical security protection and develop a multimodal. By understanding the major causes of physical security weaknesses we can try to target and solve these problems. The research highlights the suggested solutions of these errors from both technical and social perspectives.

The main questions in this research area

1. How does **Meta-modelling** approach capable to support domain Physical security complexity knowledge.
2. How **to model the language** of Physical security domain and the **instantiation of model** from the metamodel can be done?

#### **1.4 Research aims**

The aim of this project is to develop a generic Physical Security management Meta-model that wil used as a reference for users of the domain. To check the completeness and correctness of the initial Meta-model, and evaluation Meta-model validation technique “ Comprison against other models is used”.

#### **1.5 Objectives of the Project**

The following research objectives are formulated on the base of the research physical security protections

1. To study and analyze how Meta-modeling approach is capable to support the physical security domain and find the best model for physical security protection solutions.

2. To develop a physical security protection Meta-model.
3. To evaluate the new physical security protection Meta-model by using Meta-model validation techniques.

## 1.6 Scopes of the Project

This research will conduct within the scope described below:

1. A study on physical security models collected from various sources (e.g.: journals, conference papers, government reports, organizations, online websites and etc.)
2. Observation on all concepts used in a physical security management domain
3. A development of a proposed physical security Meta-model based on the collected domain models. This artifact will describe the semantic of all models of the domain
4. A validation of a Meta-model by using a Meta-model validation technique namely, a “Comparison against other models”.

## 1.7 Project Organization

This project is organized as follows:

- i. **Chapter 1** presents introduction Physical security domain, background of the problem, , the premises that was carried out this research, problem statement, project aim, objectives and scope of the project.
- ii. **Chapter 2** describes the related literatures of Physical security, definition of physical security, threat to physical security, vulnerabilities, metamodel, model, previous work related to physical security, metamodel



and mode,1 and finally structure and main functions contained by the current models used in this study namely.

- iii. **Chapter 3** illustrates the methodologies of this research called Design Science Research such as models collections, identifying sub-sets, extraction of general concepts, shortlisting the candidate definitions, Reconciliation of defintions, Designation of concepts, Identification of relationships, Validating the metamodel.
- iv. **Chapter 4** presents the implementation of the methodology defined in Chapter 3. The expected results of the first two phases of the research methodology are discussed.
- v. **Chapter 5** iterating the validation process and validated metamodel
- vi. **Chapter 6** presents conclusions and contributions of the research, and the works that have been carried out in order to achieve the objectives of the research. The discussion then concludes with recommendations for future works.

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Physical Security Safety	9
2.2	Layered defense model in physical security	10
2.3	Layered Approach of Defense Model	10
2.4	Security overview	13
2.5	Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED)	14
2.6	Security Threats	15
2.7/2.8	Threats of Physical Security	17
2.9	Kinds of Threat in Physical Security	18
2.10	Information Security Triangle	19
2.11	Metamodeling and its role in support of engineering design optimization	27
2.12	MOF framework	30
2.13	The four stages and their relationship to another	38
2.14	Relating real world model and Metamodel Elements	41
3.1	Research Methodology of this research	48
3.2	A framework for the Physical Security Metamodel Creation Process	53
3.3	A Development and Validation of physical security Metamodel	54
4.1	Basic Metamodelling Design Process	57
4.2	The Physical Security Metamodel Mitigation Phase Class Concept	91
4.3	The Physical Security Metamodel Response Phase Class concept	95

4.4	Physical Security Metamodel Recovery-phase class of concepts	98
5.1	Guide to develop a Cyber Security and Risk Mitigation Plan	103
5.2	Physical Security Management Guideline for Australian Government	108

## REFERENCES

- AN MANNING. C. D., RAGHAVAN. P. & HNRICH SCHÜ11ZE 2008.  
Introduction to Information Retrieval. Cambridge University Press.
- DREASEN, M., WOGNUM, N. & MCALOONE, T.2002. Design Typology and Design Organisaton. in: MARJANOVIC, D., (ED), ed. Desing 2002, The Design Society, 2002 Dubrovnik., 16.
- BERRE. A. J. 1992. COOP-an object oriented framework for systems integration. In:Bob Pagoria” Implementing Robust Physical Security Published on (2004)” ©SANS INSTITUTE.
- BEERS. W. C. M. V. 2005. Kriging Metamodelling For Simulation. PhD. Tilburg University.
- Cross, N. (2007). Forty years of design research. *Design Studies*, 28(1), 1-4.
- CLARKE, S. M., GRIEBSCH, J. H. and Simpson, T. W., 2005, "Analysis of Support Vector Regression for Approximation of Complex Engineering Analyses,," Transactions of ASME, Journal of Mechanical Design, 127 (6), 1077-1087.
- COOK. S. 2004. Domain-Specific Modeling and Model Driven Architecture. MDA Journal: A BPT column [Online].
- David, W., Stockbutger. Concepts, Models, and Applications.(1996). An Introductory Statistics.( pp. 22.29). Missouri State University: AtomicdogPublishing.com.
- DYN, N., LEVIN, D. and RIPPA, S., 1986, "Numerical Procedures for Surface Fitting of Scattered Data by Radial Basis Functions," SIAM Journal of Scientific and Statistical Computing, 7(2), 639-659.
- DE BOOR, C. and RON, A., 1990, "On Multivariate Polynomial Interpolation," Constructive Approximation, 6, 287-302.

- FANG, H. and HORSTEMEYER, M. F., 2006, "Global Response Approximation With Radial Basis Functions," *Journal of Engineering Optimization*, 38(4), 407-424.
- FRIEDMAN, J. H., 1991, "Multivariate Adaptive Regressive Splines," *The Annals of Statistics*, 19(1), 1-67.
- GHAREHDAGHLL A. 2003. Design of a Generic Metamodel for Fieldwork Data Management. Master Theses. Netherlands
- GARCIA. P. B. 2007. A Metamodel To Annotate Knowledge Based Engineering Codes As Enterprise Knowledge Resources. PhD Cranfield University
- JEUSFELD. M., JARKE. M. & MYLOPOULOS. J. 2009. Metamodeling for method engineering, Canibridge. MA. The MIT Press.
- Hevner, Alan, and Samir Chatterjee. (2010) . "Design science research in information systems." *Design Research in Information Systems*, 9-22.
- HOMMES. B.-J. 2005. Evaluating Conceptual Coherence in Multi-Modeling Techniques. In: KROGSTIE. J., HALPIN. T. & SLkU. K. (eds.) *Information Modeling Methods and Methodologies*. USA: Idea Group Publishing (IGP).
- HIGHLAND. H. J. 1973. A taxonomy of models. *SIGSIMSImuI. Dig.*, 4 (2), 10-17
- HENDERSON-SELLERS. B. & BULTHUIS. A. 1996. COMMA: Sample metamodels. *JOOP - Journal of Object-Oriented Programming*, 9 (7), 44-48.
- Hevner, A. R., March, S. T., Park, J., & Ram, S. (2004). Design science in information systems research. *MIS quarterly*, 28(1), 75-105.
- HEVNER, A. & CHATTERJEE, S. 2010. Design Science Research in Infromation System. *Design Research in Information System*. Springer US.
- JONATHAN. S., BERNHARD. R., HANS. V. & GABOR. K. (2007). Metamodelling: state of the art and research challenges. The International Dagstuhl conference on Model-based engineering of embedded real-time systems. Dagstuhil Castle. Germany: Springer-Verlag
- KOCH, P. N., SIMPSON, T. W., ALLEN, J. K. and MISTREE, F., 1999, "Statistical Approximations for Multidisciplinary Design Optimization: The Problem of Size," *Journal of Aircraft*, 36(1), 275-86.
- KOEHLER, J. R., 1997, "Estimating the Response, Derivatives, and Transmitted Variance Using Computer Experiments," in: 1997 Symposium on the Interface of Computing Science and Statistics, Houston, TX, May 14-17.

- KLEIJNEN, J. P. C. & SARGENT, R. G. (2000). A Methodology for Fitting and Validating Metamodels in Simulation. *European Journal of Operational Research* 120, 14-29.
- KELLY, S. & POHJONEN, R. (2009) Worst Practices for Domain-Specific Modeling. *IEEE Software*, 26 (4), 22-29.
- KLEIJNEN, J. P. C., 2004, "Design and Analysis of Monte Carlo Experiments," In: Gentle, J. E., Haerdle, W. And Mori, Y. (Editors), *Handbook of Computational Statistics: Concepts and Fundamentals*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, Germany
- LOPHAVEN, S. N., NIELSEN, H. B. and SØNDERGAARD, J., 2002, *DACE - A Matlab Kriging Toolbox - Version 2.0*, Report IMM-REP-2002-12, Informatics and Mathematical Modelling, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark.
- LOMBARD, M. & LHOSTE, P. 2008. Information modelling framework for knowledge emergence in product design. In. 2008. 241-250
- LEVENDOVSZKY, T., RUMPE, B., SCHÄTZ, B. & SPRINTLE, J. 2010. *Model Evolution and Management*. MBEERTS. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- MADU, C. N., 1995, "A Fuzzy Theoretic Approach to Simulation Metamodeling," *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 8(6), 35- 41.
- M. PICKA 2004. Metamodeling and Development of Information System. *Agriculture Economics*, 2 (50), 65-70.
- Mullur, A. A. and Messac, A., 2005, "Extended Radial Basis Functions: More Flexible and Effective Metamodeling," *AIAA Journal*, 43(6), 1306-1315.
- Morris, M. D., Mitchell, T. J. and Ylvisaker, D., 1993, "Bayesian Design and Analysis of Computer Experiments: Use of Derivatives in Surface Prediction," *Technometrics*, 35(3), 243-255.
- MARCH, S. T. & SMITH, G. F. 1995. Design and natural science research on information technology. *Decision Support System*, 15 (4), 251-266.
- MANNING, C. D., RAGHAVAN, P. & HENRICH SCHÜTZE 2008. *Introduction to Information Retrieval*. Cambridge University Press.
- MOSTAFA PORDEL (2009). A Metamodel independent approach for Conflict Detection to support distributed development in MDE. Masters Degree. Mälardalen University

- NORDSTROM, G. G. 1999. Metamodeling - Rapid Design and Evolution of Domain-Specific Modeling Environments. Ph.D. Theses. Vanderbilt University
- NATIONAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY GLOSSARY (NSTISSI No. 4009) (2001) by the National Security Telecommunications and Information Systems Security Committee (NSTISSC). Under Executive Order (E.O.)
- OMG 2003. TvDA Guide Version 1.0.1.
- OMG. 2011. Unified Modeling Language (UML) [Online]. Available: <http://www.uml.org> [Accessed 30 March 2011].
- OMG 2002. Mcta Object Facility (MOF) Specification. 1.4 ed.: Object Management Group
- PIGOTT, D. J. & HOBBS, V. J. 2011. Complex knowledge modelling with functional entity relationship diaganus. 1/LYE, 41(2), 192—211.
- PEFFERS, K., TUURETUUNANEN, GENGLER, C. E., ROSSI M., HUI, W., VIRTANEN, V. & BRAGGE, J. (2006). The design science research process: a model for producing and presenting informatin system research. In: S, C. & A, H., (ED). The First International Conference on Design Scienc Research in Information System and Technology ( DESERT 2006) , 2006 Claremont, CA. 83-106.
- PEREZ, V. M., RENAUD, J. E. and Watson, L. T., 2002, "Adaptive Experimental Design for Construction of Response Surface Approximations," AIAA Journal, 40(12), 2495-2503.
- ROGER, G., JOHNSTIN (2010). Being Vulnerable to the Threat of Confusing Threats with Vulnerabilities. Journal of Physical Security 4(2), 30-34.
- Rossi, M., & Sein, M. K. (2003). Design research workshop: a proactive research approach. Presentation delivered at IRIS, 26, 9-12.
- ROSSI, M., RAMESH, B., LYYTINEN, K. & TOLVANEN, 3.-P. 2004. Managing Evolutionary Method Engineering by Method Rationale. Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 5 (9), 356-391.
- ROBERT, VERA.(2010). Identification of specialist literature in the security field Analysis and classification of standard literature and publishing behavior for security management. Masters Degree. Brandenburg University, Germany
- SMEATON, M., & HARRY, K. (2008). Review of The Integrated Physical Security Handbook. Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management, 4(4).

- Systems Integration. 1992. ICSI '92. Proceedings of the Second International Conference on. Jun 1992. 104-113.
- SYNOPTIC INFORMATION GROUP (2010). Physical Security Protection. Madrid: Synoptic Information Group.
- SCHEER. A-W. 2005. Process Modeling using Event-Driven Process Chains. Wiley-interscience [Imprint].
- SACKS, J., WELCH, W. J., MITCHELL, T. J. and WYNN, H. P., (1989). "Design and Analysis of Computer Experiments," *Statistical Science*, 4(4), 409-435.
- SACKS, J., B., S. S. and WELCH, W. J., 1989, "Designs for Computer Experiments," *Technometrics*, 31(1), 41-47.
- SEIDWITZ. E. 2003. What Models Mean. *IEEE Software*, 20 (5), 26 – 32
- SHAHID NAZIR. B. & ASE MUHAMMAD. M. 2009. An XML-based framework for bidirectional transformation in model—driven architecture (MDA). *SIGSOFTSoftw. Dig. Notes*, 34 (3), 1-5.
- SARGENT. R. G. 2005. Verification and Validation of Simulation Models. Proceedings of the 37th Conference on Winter Simulation. Orlando. Florida: Winter Simulation Conference.
- TERRY, MARTIN., & ALEXANDRA BAKHTO.(2005). Threats to Physical Security.
- TOM, CADDY., (2005). *Physical Security 101. NIST CMVP. Physical Security Conference*. 05-998-R-0059 Version 1.0.
- THREAT ANALYSIS GROUP (2010). Vulnerability and Risk Assessment in the Environment of Care. Los Angels: Threat Analysis Group.
- The standard published (2008). In (2011) (ED), ISO27005 released by ISO, the ISO27005:2011.
- THE OPEN GROUP (2009). Technical Standard Risk Taxonomy, The standard published. (ED) 2011 a new version of the ISO27005, released by ISO, the ISO27005:2011.
- VAHIDOV, R. 2006. In: Proceedings of the First International Conference on Design Science Research in Information System technology ( DESRIST 2006) 2006 Claremont. 19-13.
- VENABLE. J. 1993. coC1oA: A conceptual Data Modeling Approach for Complex Problem Domains, Dissertation. State University of New York at Binghamton



- WANG, L., BEESON, D., AKKARAM, S. and WIGGS, G., 2005, "Gaussian Process Metamodels for Efficient Probabilistic Design in Complex Engineering Design Spaces," in: ASME 2005 International Design Engineering Technical Conferences and Computers and Information in Engineering Conference, ASME, Long Beach, California USA, September 24-28, DETC2005-85406.
- WANG, G. C. & SHAN, S. 2007. Review of Metamodeling Techniques in Support of Engineering Design Optimization. *Journal of Mechanical Design*, 129 (4), 370-380.
- YAN, X. & UN, C. (2010). Semi-frequency Based Feature Selection Methods for text Categorization. In. *Fourth International Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computing (ICOEC' 2010)*. 2010. 280-283
- Steve H.W.S. Willaim, & Glen P. Double (1990). *An Evaluation System for the Physical Security of Computing Systems*.