

ASSESSING CRITICAL SUCCESS FACTORS FOR ERP IMPLEMENTATION  
SATISFACTION: A STUDY OF CONSULTANTS PARTICIPATION AND USER  
TRAINING

SHEIDA SOLTANI

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science (Information Technology - Management)

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Systems  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2013

This dissertation is dedicated to my family for their endless support and encouragement.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor **Dr. Nor Hidayati Zakaria** for her constant support during my study at UTM. She inspired me greatly to work in this project. Her willingness to motivate me contributed tremendously to my project. I have learned a lot from her and I am fortunate to have her as my mentor and supervisor. Besides, I would like to thank the authority of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for providing me with a good environment and facilities which I need during research process.

## ABSTRACT

An Enterprise resource planning (ERP) system is a company wide information system that integrates all aspects of a business and promises one database, one application across the entire enterprise. ERP implementation has been an important activity for improving efficiency and is a critical investment that can significantly affect future performance of a company. Consultant participation and user training are essential factors that can contribute to ERP implementation satisfaction. Studies examining the effects of consultant participation and user training on ERP implementation satisfaction are rare. Thus, this present study was designed to fill this gap in research. A relevant research model was developed to test three (3) hypothesized paths among the study's variables, namely consultant participation, user training, ERP implementation satisfaction. Data was collected by survey questionnaires from 109 of ERP users. The Smart PLS tool was used for data analysis. In sum, the results of this research show that (1) ERP implementation satisfaction depends on consultant participation especially on the quality of consultants' services and user training. (2) User training plays a mediator role between consultant participation and ERP implementation satisfaction.

## ABSTRAK

Sistem ERP merupakan sistem maklumat yang mengintegrasikan semua aspek perniagaan deusan menggunakan satu pangkalan data. Pelaksanaan ERP amat penting bagi sesebuah syarikat meningkatkan kecekapan dan prestasi syarikat. Kajian literatur menunjukkan perunding dan latihan pengguna adalah faktor penting yang boleh menyumbang kepada kepuasan pelaksanaan ERP. Bagaimanapun, kesan penyertaan perunding dan latihan pengguna pada kepuasan pelaksanaan ERP ini tidak meudapat perhatian sewagarnyc didakm kajian lirectur. Sistem kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk mengisi jurang ini dalam penyelidikan. Satu model kajian yang berkaitan telah dibangunkan untuk menguji tiga (3) hipotesis iaitu penyertaan perunding, latihan pengguna, pelaksanaan ERP kepuasan. Data telah dikumpulkan oleh tinjauan soal selidik daripada 109 pengguna ERP. Smart PLS telah digunakan untuk kerja analisis data. Keputusan penyelidikan ini menunjukkan bahawa (1) ERP kepuasan pelaksanaannya bergantung kepada penyertaan perunding terutama pada kualiti perkhidmatan perunding dan latihan pengguna. (2) latihan pengguna memainkan peranan pengantara di antara penyertaan perunding dan kepuasan pelaksanaan ERP.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Motivation of the Study	2
	1.3 Research Questions	5
	1.4 Research Objectives	5
	1.5 Project Scope	5
	1.6 Significance of the Study	6
	1.7 Chapter Summary	6
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	8
	2.1 Introduction	8
	2.2 Enterprise Resources Planning Systems	10
	2.2.1 ERP Overview	10
	2.2.2 History of the Largest ERP Vendors	11
	2.2.3 ERP Vendor Selection	11

2.2.4	The Stages of ERP Implementation	12
2.2.4.1	Design Stage/ Pre Implementation	13
2.2.4.2	Implementation	13
2.2.4.3	Stabilization/ Post Implementation	14
2.3	Overview on Critical Success Factors (CSFs)	14
2.3.1	Consultants Participation	18
2.3.1.1	Introduction	18
2.3.1.2	Consultants Selection Criteria	18
2.3.1.3	Role of Consultants for ERP Implementation Success	19
2.3.1.3.1	Knowledge and Training	19
2.3.1.3.2	Communication with Client	21
2.3.2	Consultants Participation	23
2.3.2.1	Introduction	23
2.3.2.2	Training for ERP Implementation	23
2.3.2.3	Concept of Training for ERP	24
2.3.2.4	The Main Reasons of Training Services	24
2.3.2.5	The Effect of Training on ERP Implementation Success	26
2.3.2.6	The Effect of Inadequate Training on ERP implementation	26
2.4	Existing Models	27
2.4.1	Holland and Light's (1999) Model	27
2.4.2	Sommers and Nelson's (2001) review	28
2.4.3	Finney and Corbett's (2007) Model	30
2.4.4	Delone and Mclean's (1992) IS Success Model	31
2.5	Chapter Summary	32
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>38</b>
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Initial Planning (Phase 1)	40
3.3	Literature Review (Phase 2)	40
3.4	Research Model Development (Phase 3)	41

3.5	Survey Development (Phase 4)	41
3.6	Data Collection (Phase 5)	45
3.7	Data Analysis (Phase 6)	47
3.7.1	Assessing the Measurement Model	47
3.7.1.1	Internal Consistency	48
3.7.1.2	Convergent and Discriminant Validity	48
3.7.2	Assessment of Structural Model	49
3.8	Conclusion and Recommendation (Phase 7)	49
3.9	Chapter Summary	49
<b>4</b>	<b>FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>51</b>
4.1	Introduction	51
4.2	Research Model Development	52
4.2.1	Dimensions of Research Model	53
4.2.1.1	IS Success Model	53
4.2.1.2	Critical Success Factors	53
4.2.2	Hypotheses Formulation	54
4.2.2.1	Consultants Participation	54
4.2.2.2	Consultants Participation and User Training	55
4.2.2.3	User Training	56
4.3	Reliability and Validity of the Measurement Model	57
4.3.1	Internal Consistency	57
4.3.1.1	Consultants participation	58
4.3.1.2	User training	60
4.3.1.3	ERP Implementation Satisfaction	61
4.3.2	Convergent and Discriminant validity	62
4.4	Structural Model Test	63
4.5	Discussion and Findings of the Research	65
4.5.1	Hypothesis 1	65
4.5.2	Hypothesis 2	66
4.5.3	Hypothesis 3	67
4.6	Conclusions	68
4.7	Chapter Summary	70



<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	71
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	Achievement	72
5.3	Research Contributions and Implications	75
5.4	Limitation of the Research	77
5.5	Future Research Areas	77
5.6	Conclusion	78
5.7	Chapter Summary	78
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	80
	<b>APPENDICES A</b>	86
	Survey Questionnaire	86

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Review of CSFs for ERP Success	15
2.2	Review of Studies of Consultants Participation	16
2.3	Review of Studies Related to User Training	17
2.4	The Main Reasons of Consultants Participation for ERP Success	34
2.5	The Summary of ERP Consultants' Services for ERP Success	35
2.6	The Reasons of User Training for ERP Success	36
2.7	The Summary of Effects of User Training on ERP Success	37
3.1	Consultants Participation's Items	43
3.2	Indications for Consultants Participation's Items	43
3.3	User Training's Items	44
3.4	ERP implementation Satisfaction's Items	45
3.5	Profile of Respondents	46
4.1	Item Loading for Consultants Participation	59
4.2	Reliability for Consultants Participation	59
4.3	Item Loading for User Training's Measures	60
4.4	Reliability Measurement for User Training	60
4.5	Item Loading for ERP Implementation Satisfaction's Measures	61
4.6	Reliability Measurement for ERP Implementation Satisfaction	62

4.7	Inter-Construct Correlation, Including AVE, The Square Root of AVE	63
4.8	The Summary of the Results	64
4.9	The Summary of Chapter 4	70
5.1	Consultants Participation and ERP Satisfaction	74
5.2	User Training and ERP Satisfaction	75

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Literature Review Framework	9
2.2	Holland and Light's (1999) Model	28
2.3	Finney and Corbett's (2007) Model	30
2.4	Delone and Mclean's (1992) IS Success Model	32
3.1	Operational Framework of the Study	39
4.1	Finding and Analysis Framework	52
4.2	The research model showing the formulated Hypotheses	54
4.3	The Smart PLS 2.0 Results for the Tested Relationships	64

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Survey Questionnaire	86

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) System is an IT innovation that enhances organizational performance (T. Somers & Nelson, 2001). It is one of the most important development in information technology and one of the fastest growing segments in the software market (T. Somers & Nelson, 2001). ERP integrates an extensive range of business functions to provide a broad view of the organization from a single IT architecture (Gable, Klaus, & Roseman, 2000). ERP's goal is every department or functional area work together, enables an organization to automate and integrate business processes, share data through one central database in entire organization.

Organizations are progressively replacing their legacy systems with ERP packages and have spent billions of dollars for ERP implementation (Eric T.G. Wang & Chen, 2006) and thousands of businesses are running these integrated systems successfully worldwide (Gargeya & Brady, 2005).The growing demands for ERP have some reasons, for example, expectations of revenue growth, competitive pressures to become a low cost producer, and ability to compete globally(T. Somers & Nelson, 2001). Benefits of a correctly selection and implementation of ERP system

can be significant leading to great reductions in inventory cost, lead time for customers, production time, and production costs (T. Somers & Nelson, 2001).

### 1.2.1 Motivation of the Study

Despite the popularity of ERP systems, the failure rate of ERP system implementation has remained high (Amid, Moalagh, & ZareRavasan, 2011). Frequent reports of ERP failure indicate that ERP failure rates remain at between 67% and 90% , and 35% of ERP implementations are canceled (Amid, et al., 2011). ERP implementation projects are, on average, take 2.5 times as long as intended, 178% over budget, and deliver only 30% of promised benefits (Amid, et al., 2011; Z. Zhang, Lee, Huang, Zhang, & Huang, 2005).

These statistics imply ERP software packages are complex (Gargeya & Brady, 2005; Umble, Haft, & Umble., 2003) and their implementations are difficult, expensive and also places tremendous demands on organization time (Lapiedraa, Alegreb, & Chiva, 2011; T. M. Somers & Nelson, 2004; E. Umble, R. Haft, & M. M. Umble., 2003; Z. Zhang, et al., 2005). ERP implementations are more complicated than other packages because of their integrative nature (Lapiedraa, et al., 2011), so the implementation process must be managed as a program of wide-ranging organizational change initiatives rather than as a software installation effort (Hong & Kim, 2002). According to Gargeya and Brady (2005), partial failure and complete failure are two levels of failure for ERP systems implementations. In partial failure, organization will gain some modifications processes and it will have some disruptions in daily works. In complete failure, ERP project is stopped before implementation or cause serious functional and financial damage to the organization.

Much effort has been done by IS researchers in order to avoid such failures and helping companies better make use of their resources. Some IS researchers have provided valuable insights into the process of ERP implementation (Yusuf, Gunasekaran, & Abthorpe, 2004) and some identified a variety of CSFs affecting for the ERP implementation (P Ifinedo, 2011; T. Somers & Nelson, 2001; T.M.Sommers

(P Ifinedo, 2011; T. Somers & Nelson, 2001; T. M. Somers & Nelson, 2004). Most of these researches assigned to developed countries rather than developing countries because only 10–15% of global ERP system sales involve to developing countries (Amid, et al., 2011). However, it is believed that developing ones have become interest for expansions of ERP implementations (Amid, et al., 2011; Hawari & Heeks, 2010).

There is only some reports that have emerged of ERP failures in developing countries including suggestions that developing country implementations face specific difficulties over those found in developed countries (Xue, Liang, Boulton, & Snyder, 2005) . One of the difficulties is ERP software packages are designed by western vendors and the structures and processes embedded in these systems reflect western processes (Amid, et al., 2011). It is assumed that fundamental misalignments are likely to exist between requirements of companies in developing societies and the functions of western ERP systems (Amid, et al., 2011). Consequently, these misalignments lead ERP implementation failures tend to occur when organizations in developing countries effort to adopt foreign ERP systems (Amid, et al., 2011; Xue, et al., 2005).

Due to lack of experience, high investment of funds made by organizations in developing countries to implement or move to ERP systems, and high failure rate of ERP implementations in developing societies (Hawari & Heeks, 2010), Finding the CSFs would be desirable because Critical success factors (CSFs) are crucial to achieving the predetermined goals of a project, and vital to the success of an ERP implementation. In terms of an ERP implementation, the CSFs are those conditions that must be met in order for the implementation process to happen successfully and in fact CSFs are well-defined as factors required to guarantee a successful ERP project (Holland & Light, 1999). Companies must be aware of the factors that influence the success of their implementations to prevent failures (Tsai, Lee, Shen, & Lin, 2011).

I would exam two salient critical success factors, namely consultant participation and user training on ERP implementation satisfaction. If a company wishes to implement an ERP, it can rarely be done completely in house because of



the complexity of the system. So, most organizations collaborate with their ERP suppliers and/or consultants (Tsai, et al., 2011). These suppliers and consultants are external facilitators that affect ERP success (Wu & Wang, 2007). ERP suppliers and consultants help not only in improving the quality of the ERP products, but also in ensuring user knowledge (Tsai, et al., 2011). Consultants' experience, expertise, and knowledge allow clients to have an appropriate ERP system and help train users to fully exploit the technology (T. M. Somers & Nelson, 2004; Eric T.G. Wang & Chen, 2006; Wong, Scarbrough, Chau, & Davison, 2005).

I select user training as second CSF for two reasons: first, According to previous studies, I found consultant participation and user training can be interrelated because user training is one of the services that are provided by ERP consultants but they have not investigated the mediator role of user training between consultant participation and ERP implementation. Also, they have not justified what is the effect of ERP consultants on user training. Second, people element is one of the most important factors affecting organizational ISs implementation and deployment (Z. Zhang, et al., 2005). User training is a critical intervention to support the successful implementation of information systems innovations (Sharma & Yetton, 2007).

So, the present research is motivated, in part, by the desire to shed light in this area of study because of two reasons: first, when adopting an ERP system in a developing country, there is a need to recognize unique Asian context because developing countries have different conditions from developed countries (Amid, et al., 2011; Z. Zhang, et al., 2005), and there is lack of research in order to examine CSFs on ERP implementation satisfaction in organizations of developing societies. Second, there is no study to investigate the effect of consultant participation and user training on ERP implementation satisfaction. Third, there is no study to empirically investigate the relationship of consultant participation with user training for ERP implementation satisfaction.

### **1.3 Research Questions**

The research questions of this study are as follow:

- i. What are the CSFs that influence ERP implementation satisfaction?
- ii. What are the effects of consultant participation and user training (CSFs) on ERP implementation satisfaction?
- iii. What is the effect of consultant participation on user training?

### **1.4 Research Objectives**

The research objectives of this study are as follow:

- i. To identify CSFs for ERP implementation satisfaction.
- ii. To identify the effects of consultant participation and user training (CSFs) on ERP implementation satisfaction.
- iii. To investigate empirically the effect of consultant participation on user training.

### **1.5 Project Scope**

This research focuses on an Iranian organization because ERP vendors are extent their market into developing countries and ERP systems are being adopted by companies in such societies. Iran also as a developing country has been trying to implement ERP systems but high failure rates of implementations are announced (Amid, et al., 2011), and there is no comprehensive study that identifies CFFs for ERP implementation satisfaction in Iranian industries and other similar cases.

This research would propose a research model which is composed of consultant participation, user training and ERP implementation satisfaction. I would

examine the research model in ERP implementation and ERP post implementation stages and our respondents will be the users of the ERP system.

## **1.6 Significance of the Study**

This research study on CSFs, namely, consultant participation and user training for ERP implementation satisfaction in Iranian company because no significant study has been addressed CSFs for ERP implementation satisfaction in developing countries such as Iran that has not had noticeable experience in this area. So, the results of this study can be interesting for vendors, developers and other cases in developing countries.

This research will propose a research model in order to make a new direction for managers. Managers will be informed on what CSF should be given high precedence and consideration, and what the effects of such factors on ERP implementation are. So, this study could enhance ERP implementation because the key goal of this research is to identify CSFs and their effects on ERP implementation satisfaction.

## **1.7 Chapter Summary**

This chapter discussed the overview of this study which included a brief introduction of ERP systems and motivation of the study that reflected problem background and research gap. Since research gap highlighted there was no empirical study for investigation the effects of consultant participation (CSF) and user training on ERP implementation satisfaction and also there was no empirical study for investigation the relationship between consultant participation and user training (CSFs), I proposed three project objectives, namely to identify CSFs for ERP implementation satisfaction, to identify the effects of consultant participation and user training (CSFs) on ERP implementation satisfaction, and to investigate

empirically the effect of consultants participation on user training that are needed to successfully be achieved in order to fill up the research gap. Likewise, I justified the “project scope” reflecting the area of our research which is ERP implementation and post implementation. Finally, I defined “significance of the study” reflecting the contribution of the research which enhance ERP implementation through justification of CSFs (consultant participation and user training) and their effects on implementation satisfaction.

## REFERENCES

- Al-Fawaz, K., Al-Salti, Z., and Eldabi, T. (2008). Critical Success Factors in ERP implementation: a review. *European and Mediterranean Conference on Information System*.
- Amid, A., Moalagh, M., and ZareRavasan, A. (2011). Identification and classification of ERP critical failure factors in Iranian industries. *Information Systems*.
- Amoako-Gyampah, K., and Salam, A. F. (2004). An extension of the technology acceptance model in an ERP implementation environment. *Information & Management, 41*, 731-745.
- Attewell, P. (1992). Technology diffusion and organizational learning: the case of business computing. *Organization Science, 3*(1), 1– 19.
- Bhatti, T. R. (2005). Critical Success Factors for the implementation of enterprise resources planning (ERP): emperical validation. *The Second International Conference on Innovation in Information Technology*.
- Bingi, P., Sharma, M. K., and Godla, J. (1999). Critical Issues Affecting an ERP Implementation. *Information Systems Management, 16*(3), 7-14.
- Bradford, M., & Florin, J. (2003). Examining the role of innovation diffusion factors on the implementation success of enterprise resource planning systems. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems 4*, 205–225.
- Bradley, J., and Lee, C. C. (2007). ERP training and User Satisfaction: a case Study. *International Journal of Enterprise Information Systems, 3*, 33- 50.
- Bueno, S., and Salmeron, J. (2008). TAM-based success modeling in ERP. *Interacting with Computers, 20*, 515–523.
- Bueno, S., and Salmeron, J. L. (2008). TAM-based success modeling in ERP. *Interacting with Computers, 20*, 515–523.

- Al-Fawaz, K., Al-Salti, Z., and Eldabi, T. (2008). Critical Success Factors in ERP implementation: a review. *European and Mediterranean Conference on Information System*.
- Amid, A., Moalagh, M., and ZareRavasan, A. (2011). Identification and classification of ERP critical failure factors in Iranian industries. *Information Systems*.
- Amoako-Gyampah, K., and Salam, A. F. (2004). An extension of the technology acceptance model in an ERP implementation environment. *Information & Management*, 41, 731-745.
- Attewell, P. (1992). Technology diffusion and organizational learning: the case of business computing. *Organization Science*, 3(1), 1– 19.
- Bhatti, T. R. (2005). Critical Success Factors for the implementation of enterprise resources planning (ERP): emperical validation. *The Second International Conference on Innovation in Information Technology*.
- Bingi, P., Sharma, M. K., and Godla, J. (1999). Critical Issues Affecting an ERP Implementation. *Information Systems Management*, 16(3), 7-14.
- Bradford, M., & Florin, J. (2003). Examining the role of innovation diffusion factors on the implementation success of enterprise resource planning systems. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems* 4, 205–225.
- Bradley, J., and Lee, C. C. (2007). ERP training and User Satisfaction: a case Study. *International Journal of Enterprise Information Systems*, 3, 33- 50.
- Bueno, S., and Salmeron, J. (2008). TAM-based success modeling in ERP. *Interacting with Computers*, 20, 515–523.
- Bueno, S., and Salmeron, J. L. (2008). TAM-based success modeling in ERP. *Interacting with Computers*, 20, 515–523.
- Chang, L.-M., Chang, S.-I., Ho, C.-T., Yen, D. C., and Chiang, M.-C. (2011). Effects of IS characteristics on e-business success factors of small- and medium-sized enterprises. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27, 2129–2140.
- Cheng, D., Deng, F., and Li, H. (2006). Critical Factors for Successful Implementation of ERP in China. *IEEE International Conference on e-Business Engineering*.
- Finney, S., and Corbett, M. (2007). ERP implementation a compilation and analysis of critical success factors. *Business Process Management Journal of Accountancy*, 13(3), 329-347.

- Gable, G., Klaus, H., and Roseman, M. (2000). What is ERP. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 2(2), 141-162.
- Gargeya, V. B., and Brady, C. (2005). Success and Failure Factors of adopting SAP in ERP system implementation. *Business Process Management Journal*, 11(9).
- Grabski, S. V., Leech, S. A., and Lu, B. (2001). Risks and Controls in the Implementation of ERP Systems. *The International Journal of Digital Accounting Research*, 1(1), 47-68.
- Hawari, A. a., and Heeks, R. (2010). Explaining ERP Failure in Developing Countries: A Jordanian Case Study. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 23(2), 135-160.
- Holland, C. P., and Light, B. (1999). A Critical Success Factors Model For ERP Implementation. *IEEE Software*, 16(3), 30-36.
- Hong, K. K., and Kim, Y. G. (2002). The critical success factors for ERP implementation: An organizational fit perspective. *Information & Management*, 40, 25-40.
- Hsieh, J. J. P. A., and Wang, W. (2007). Explaining employees' extended use of complex information systems. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 16(3), 216-227.
- Ifinedo, P. (2011). Examining the influences of external expertise and in-house computer/IT knowledge on ERP system success. *The Journal of Systems and Software*, 2065-2078.
- Ifinedo, P. (2011). Examining the influences of external expertise and in-house computer/IT knowledge on ERP system success. *The Journal of Systems and Software*, 84.
- Ko, D., Kirsch, J. L., and King, W. R. (2005). Antecedents of knowledge transfer from consultants to clients in enterprise system implementations. *MIS Quarterly*, 29(1), 59-85.
- Kronbichler, S. A., Ostermann, H., and Staudinger, R. (2009). A Review of Critical Success Factors for ERP-Projects. *Information Systems Journal*, 14-25.
- Lapedraa, R., Alegreb, J., and Chiva, R. (2011). The importance of management innovation and consultant services on ERP implementation success. *The Service Industries Journal*, 31(12), 1907-1919.

- M. S. Ibrahim, A. (2010). What organizations should know about enterprise resource planning (ERP) system. *European, Mediterranean & Middle Eastern Conference on Information Systems*.
- McLachlin, R. D. (1999). Factors for consulting engagement success. *Management Decision*, 37(5), 394–402.
- Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., and Straub, D. W. (2012). A Critical Look at the Use of PLS-SEM in MIS Quarterly. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(1).
- Robey, D., Ross, J. W., and Boudreau, M.-C. (2002). Learning to Implement Enterprise Systems: An Exploratory Study of the Dialectics of Change. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 19(1), 17-46.
- Ross, J. W. (1999). Surprising Facts About Implementing ERP. *IEEE IT*, 1(4), 65-68.
- Ross, J. W., and Vitale, M. R. (2000). The ERP Revolution: Surviving vs. Thriving. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 2(2).
- Scott, J. E. (2005). POST-IMPLEMENTATION USABILITY OF ERP TRAINING MANUALS: THE USER'S PERSPECTIVE. *Information Systems Management*.
- Seddon, P. B., Shanks, G., and Willcocks, L. (Eds.). (2003). *Second-wave enterprise resource planning systems* (Eds. ed.). New York: Cambridge University press.
- Sharma, R., and Yetton, P. (2007). The contingent effects of training, technical complexity, and task interdependence on successful information systems implementation. *MIS Quarterly*, 31(2), 219-238.
- Somers, T., and Nelson, K. (2001). The Impact of Critical Success Factors across the Stages of Enterprise Resource Planning Implementations. in *Proceedings of the 34th Hawaii International Conference on Systems Sciences*, 1-10.
- Somers, T. M., and Nelson, K. G. (2004). A taxonomy of players and activities across the ERP project life cycle. *Information & Management* 41, 257–278.
- Stacie, P., Straub, D., and Rai, A. (2007). SPECIFYING FORMATIVE CONSTRUCTS IN INFORMATION SYSTEMS RESEARCH. *MIS Quarterly*, 31(4).
- Tsai, W.-H., Lee, P.-L., Shen, Y.-S., and Lin, H.-L. (2011). A comprehensive study of the relationship between enterprise resource planning selection criteria and enterprise resource planning system success. *Information & Management*, 49(36–46).



- Umble, E., Haft, R., and Umble, M. (2003). Enterprise Resource Planning: Implementation procedures and Critical Success Factors. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 146, 241–257.
- Umble, E., Haft, R., and Umble., M. M. (2003). Enterprise Resource Planning: Implementation procedures and Critical Success Factors. *European Journal of Operational Research*.
- Umble, E. J., Haft, R. R., and Umble, M. M. (2003). Enterprise resource planning: Implementation procedures and critical success factors. *European Journal of Operational Research* 241–257.
- Wang, E. T. G., and Chen, J. H. F. (2006). Effects of internal support and consultant quality on the consulting process and ERP system quality. *Decision Support Systems*, 42.
- Wang, E. T. G., Shih, S.-P., Jiang, J. J., and Klein, G. (2008). The consistency among facilitating factors and ERP implementation success: a holistic view of fit. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 81(9), 1609–1621.
- Wong, A., Scarbrough, H., Chau, P. Y. K., and Davison, R. (2005). Critical failure factors in ERP implementation. *In Proceedings of 9th Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems*, 492– 505.
- Woo, H. (2007). Critical success factors for implementing ERP: the case of a Chinese electronics' manufacturer. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management* 18(4), 431-442.
- Wu, J.-H., and Wang, Y.-M. (2007). Measuring ERP success: The key-users viewpoint of the ERP to produce a viable IS in the organization. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23.
- Xue, Y., Liang, H., Boulton, W. R., and Snyder, C. A. (2005). ERP implementation failures in China: case studies with implications for ERP vendors. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 97(3), 279-295.
- Yusuf, Y., Gunasekaran, A., and Abthorpe, M. (2004). Enterprise information systems project implementation: a case study of ERP in Rolls-Royce. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 87(3), 251–266.
- Zhang, L., Lee, M. K. O., Zhang, Z., and Banerjee, P. (2002b). Critical Success Factors of Enterprise Resource Planning Systems Implementation Success in China. *Proceedings of the 36th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*.

Zhang, Z., Lee, M., Huang, P., Zhang, L., and Huang, X. (2005). A framework of ERP systems implementation success in China: An empirical study.

*International journal of Production Economics*, 98, 56–80.

Zhu, Y., Li, Y., Wang, W., and Chen, J. (2010). What leads to post-implementation success of ERP? An empirical study of the Chinese retail industry. *International*

*Journal of Information Management*, 30, 265–276.