

SWITCHING BETWEEN FORMATIONS OF MULTI-ROBOT SYSTEMS

MAHDI TOUSIZADEH SEDEHI

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Electrical- Mechatronic and Automatic Control)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2012

To my beloved mother and father

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, gratefulness of thanks to our creator, “ALLAH” for his continuous blessing, which make this work neither the first nor the last.

Special thanks go to Prof. Dr. Shamsudin for giving this opportunity to work under his supervision and for sharing his great knowledge and experience with me.

I would like to convey my deepest gratitude to my close friends for their help in this research. Appreciation is also extend to all people who gave the author heartfelt cooperation and shared their knowledge and for giving some of their valuable time.

Finally, I would like to send my deep appreciations to my family who brought me up with love.

ABSTRACT

In this work we aim to introduce the multi agent robotic systems (MARS) to make a good understanding of how it works. In continue, most of the control algorithm like behavior based, leader follower, and virtual structure, artificial potential based control and many more methods have been covered. The control procedure of multi agent robotic is generally divided into two basic parts. First is the path trajectory and the second part is switching between the formations. Summation of these two commands will be injected to the robots actuators. In the third chapter the two main methods, Behavior-Based and Leader-Follower method is surveyed in detail to investigate the parameters that can affect the formation of the group. Basically, in the behavior based control algorithm each robot acts regardless of the group decision, which is not suitable for our goal, so we focus on the other method- leader follower- to catch the result. A method for switching strategy is mentioned at the end of chapter three that is combination of leader follower strategy with matrix based control algorithm to make the better switching controller when the group of robot is facing with the an obstacle. The controller deals with the information coming from the sensorial reading. In the case that the group is facing with an external object, the controller checks the feasibility of the formation patterns to choose one of them as the new formation. Mean Task Allocation is used in utilization function to represents the new formations of robots location in matrix form. In continue, there is a cost function to optimize the selection of new formation. The proposed algorithm has been verified using MATLAB Simulation and the results are satisfactory.

ABSTRAK

Dalam karya ini kita bertujuan untuk memperkenalkan ejen pelbagai sistem robotik (MARS) untuk membuat pemahaman yang baik mengenai bagaimana ia berfungsi. Dalam terusnya, kebanyakan algoritma kawalan seperti tingkah laku berasaskan, pemimpin, pengikut dan struktur maya, kawalan berasaskan potensi ruan dan banyak lagi kaedah yang telah dilindungi. Prosedur kawalan pelbagai ejen robotik secara amnya dibahagikan kepada dua bahagian asas. Pertama trajektori jalan dan bahagian kedua ialah beralih antara formasi-formasi. Penjumlahan daripada kedua-dua perintah akan disuntik kepada penggerak robot. Dalam bab ketiga kedua-dua kaedah utama, Berasaskan Kelakuan dan Pemimpin-Pengikut kaedah yang dikaji secara terperinci untuk menyiasat parameter yang boleh memberi kesan kepada pembentukan kumpulan. Pada asasnya, dalam algoritma kawalan tingkah laku berasaskan robot masing-masing bertindak tanpa mengira keputusan kumpulan, yang tidak sesuai untuk matlamat kita, jadi kita memberi tumpuan kepada pengikut-lain pemimpin kaedah menangkap hasil. Satu kaedah bagi menukar strategi yang disebut pada akhir bab tiga yang merupakan gabungan strategi pengikut pemimpin dengan algoritma kawalan matriks berasaskan untuk membuat pensuisan pengawal yang lebih baik apabila kumpulan robot yang dihadapi dengan halangan itu. Pengawal tawaran dengan maklumat yang datang dari bacaan sensorial. Dalam hal bahawa kumpulan yang dihadapi dengan objek luar, pengawal memeriksa kemungkinan corak pembentukan untuk memilih salah seorang daripada mereka sebagai pembentukan baru. Min Peruntukan Petugas digunakan dalam fungsi penggunaan untuk mewakili bentuk baru lokasi robot dalam bentuk matriks. Dalam terusnya, terdapat satu fungsi kos untuk mengoptimalkan

pemilihan pembentukan baru. Algoritma yang dicadangkan telah disahkan menggunakan Simulasi MATLAB dan keputusan yang memuaskan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACTS	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background of Multi-Robot Systems	1
	1.2 Problem statement	3
	1.3 Objectives of project	4
	1.4. Scopes of project	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Mobile Robot Cooperation	7

2.3	Formation Control	10
2.3.1	Behavior Based Control	10
2.3.2	Leader-follower Control	12
2.3.3	Virtual Structure Control	13
2.3.4	Generalized Coordinates Control	14
2.3.5	Other Approaches	14
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	17
3.1	Introduction	17
3.2	Behavior Based Formation Control	18
3.2.1	Formation Planning and Control	20
3.3	Leader Follower Formation	23
3.3.1	Controller Design	26
3.3.1.1	Tracking Controller	27
3.3.1.2	Obstacle Avoidance Controller	29
3.3.2	Extention to N-Robot Formations	30
3.4	Matrix Based Formation Control	34
3.4.1	Formation Matrix	34
3.4.1.1	Formation Matrix Generation	36
3.4.2	Sensor Reading Matrix	36
3.4.3	Formation Pattern Matrix	39
3.4.4	Weighted Formation Matrix	43
3.4.5	Utilization Function	44
3.4.5.1	Mean Task Allocation in Utilization Function	45
3.4.5.2	Maximum Finder Utilization Function	46
3.4.6	Cost Function	47
3.4.7	Matrix-based Controlling Procedure	48
3.4.7.1	Detection of Obstacle in front of Leader or Follower Robot	48
3.4.7.2	Considering Other Formation Patterns	49
3.4.7.3	Maximum Finder Function	50
3.4.7.4	Cost Function	51
3.4.7.5	Primacy of Changing the Formation	51

3.4.7.6 Continuing Group Movement	52
3.5 Simulation by Programming	52
3.5.1 Main Processing Unit	52
3.5.2 Switching Function	54
3.5.3 Weight Evaluator	55
3.5.4 Maximum Finder Function	56
3.5.5 Cost Function	57
3.5.6 Formation Patterns and Environment Data	58
3.5.7 Display Unit	59
4 SIMULATION RESULTS	62
4.1 Introduction	62
4.2 Simulation Result	63
4.3 Discussion of the Results	65
5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE WORK	67
5.1 Conclusion	67
5.2 Recommendation for Future Work	68
REFERENCES	69
APPENDIX A	73-83

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Line Formation	19
3.2	Column Formation	19
3.3	Diamond Formation	19
3.4	Reverse V Shape Formation	20
3.5	The design of crossing over a door	22
3.6	The design of obstacle avoidance behavior	23
3.7	The Leader –Follower control level	24
3.8	Coordination level	24
3.9	The entity control level	25
3.10	Simple model of leader follower system	28
3.11	Error system in new coordinates	28
3.12	The protected shell of a robot	30
3.13	The follower negotiates an obstacle	30
3.14	A tree structure of six robots in a wedge like	31
3.15	Hexagon and Column Formation	33
3.16	Division of covering area into small sections	35
3.17	Transferring environment into matrix form	35
3.18	Producing environment data matrix	

	from sensor reading	38
3.19	Sample of sensor reading matrix	39
3.20	All possible positions of wedge shape	41
3.21	All possible positions of line shape formation	42
3.22	Weighting regarding their significance	44

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	MATLAB M-FILE	73

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Multi-Robot Systems

It is well known that there is several tasks that can be performed more efficiently and robustly using multiple robots [1]. Nowadays, multiple robotic systems and cooperative control have become research areas with dramatically increased popularity. The idea of multiple-robot group working and cooperation was inspired by many examples in biology, such as ant swarming, bird flocking and fish schooling. Also, it is well known that multiple robotic systems, that working cooperatively under highly efficient organizations and principles, can behave as a whole, and even be guaranteed with fault tolerance and robust properties. Researchers generally agreed that multi-robot systems have several advantages over single-robot systems [2]. The most common motivations to develop multi robot

system solutions are that:

- 1) A single robot is much more complex to be accomplished in comparison with multi robot systems.
- 2) The task will be distributed between the agents in multi robot systems.
- 3) Building several resource-bounded robots is easier than having a single powerful robot.
- 4) Multiple robots can solve problems faster using parallelism idea.
- 5) By using multiple robots the robustness of the system will be increased through redundancy.

Multi-robot applications include cooperative manipulation [3], navigation and planning that is used for a group of airplanes maneuver [5], collaborative mapping and exploration for land mining purposes, and formation control. In fact, there is extensive literature on motion planning and control of mobile robots in structured environments. However, traditional control theory mostly enables the design of controllers in a single mode of operation, in which the task and the model of the system are fixed. While control and estimation theory allows us to model each behavior as a dynamical system, it does not give us the tools to compose behaviors or the hierarchy that might be inherent in the switching behavior, or to predict the global performance of a highly complex multi-robotic system.

1.2 Problem Statement

Formation control, which is the most important research area in multi-robot coordination, aims in controlling the relative positions and orientations of the robots in a group while allowing the group to move as a whole. However, coordination of multiple robots to accomplish such tasks remains a challenging problem. In a dynamic uncertain environment, which could be consisting of obstacles, or any unexpected uncertainty, the robots must alter their formation according to the changing environment. The approaches for formation control include simple navigation strategies [7], behavior based control [3, 4], virtual structure [5, 6], formation vector [8], hierarchical formation, omnidirectional vision [9], etc. Some applications of formation applications are moving a box, spacecraft control [11], moving through obstacles faster by choosing the most relevant formations such that pass the obstacle [12], formation-based path finding in a game [13], etc. Thus, the group can maintain a desired formation and flow towards its goal configuration. The ability to maintain a prescribed formation allows the robots to perform a variety of tasks, such as collaborative, mapping, exploration and cooperative manipulation [14].

The pattern formation problem is defined as the coordination of a group of robots to get into and maintain a formation with a certain shape, such as a wedge or a chain. Current application areas of pattern formation include search and rescue operations, landmine removal, remote terrain and space exploration, control of arrays of satellites and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs). Pattern formation is also observed in various animal species as a result of cooperative behaviors among its members, where the individuals stay at a specific orientation and distance with respect to each other while moving, or fill a specific area as homogeneously as possible.

1.3 Objectives of the Project

The control of a system of autonomous robots that can perform such tasks requires coordination at different levels. At the lowest level, it is necessary for each robot to control its motion, to avoid collisions with its neighbors, and to move along a desired trajectory. At an immediately supervisory level it is necessary to outline a strategy for maintaining a desired formation. So in this work we are going to:

- 1) To study available control frameworks of formation switching from previous works and investigate between them.
- 2) To propose a new novel formation control strategy based on earlier methods to execute the best formation.
- 3) To verify the proposed strategy through Simulation via MATLAB codes.

1.4 Scope of Project

This project deals with three multi agent UTM robot, when each robot is associated with:

- A laptop on the robot for programming
- Interface Free Controller (IFC)
- Two rotary encoder
- Eight distance sensor
- Ultrasonic range finder

- Wireless communication (Zikbee)
- Pan tilt webcam
- Hokuyo laser range finder

REFERENCES

- [1] Parker, L. E. (2000). Current state of the art in distributed autonomous mobile robotics. In Parker, L. E., Bekey, G., and Barhen, J., editors, *Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems*, volume 4, pages 3–12. Springer, Tokio.
- [2] Lynne, E.P., Tang, F.: Building multirobot coalitions through automated task solution synthesis. *Proceedings of the IEEE* 94(7), 1289–1305 (2006)
- [3] Balch, T. and Arkin, R. C.: Behavior-based formation control for multirobot teams, *IEEE Trans. Robotics Automat.* 14(6) (1998), 926–939.
- [4] Balch, T. and Hybinette, M.: Social potentials for scalable multi-robot formations, in: *IEEE Internat. Conf. on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2000.
- [5] Beard, R. W., Lawton, J., and Hadaegh, F. Y.: A coordination architecture for spacecraft formation control, *IEEE Trans. Control Systems Technol.* 9(6) (2001), 777–790.
- [6] Lewis, M. A. and Tan, K.-H.: High precision formation control of mobile robots using virtual structures, *Autonom. Robots* 4(4) (1997), 387–403.
- [7] Wang, P. K. C.: Navigation strategies for multiple autonomous mobile robots moving in formation, *J. Robotic Systems* 8(2) (1991), 177–195.
- [8] Yamaguchi, H., Arai, T., and Beni, G.: A distributed control scheme for multiple robotic vehicles to make group formations, *Robotics Autonom. Systems* 36(4) (2001), 125–147.
- [9] Vidal, R., Shakernia, O., and Sastry, S.: Formation control of nonholonomic mobile robots with omnidirectional visual servoing and motion segmentation, in: *Proc. of IEEE Internat. Conf. on Robotics and Automation*, 2003, pp. 584–589.
- [10] Barfoot, T. D., Clark, C. M., Rock, S. M., and D’Eleuterio, G. M. T.: Kinematic path-planning for formations of mobile robots with a nonholonomic constraint, in: *Proc. of IEEE/RSJ Internat. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 2002, pp. 2819–2824.
- [11] Das, A. K., Fierro, P., Kumar, V., Ostrowski, J. P., Spletzer, J., and Taylor, C. J.: A vision-based formation control framework, *IEEE Trans. Robotics Automat.* 18(5) (2002), 813–825.
- [12] Balch, T. and Hybinette, M.: Social potentials for scalable multi-robot formations, in: *IEEE Internat. Conf. on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 2000.

- [13] Desai, J. P., Ostrowski, J. P., and Kumar, V.: Modeling and control of formations of nonholonomic mobile robots, *IEEE Trans. Robotics Automat.* 17(6) (2001), 905–908.
- [14] Spletzer, J., Das, A., Fierro, R., Taylor, C. J., Kumar, V., and Ostrowski, J. P. (2001). Cooperative localization and control for multi-robot manipulation. Submitted to *IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intell. Robots and Syst., IROS2001*.
- [15] Belta, C. and Kumar, V. 2004. “Abstraction and control for groups of robots.” *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 20(5):865-875.
- [16] Molnar, P. and Starke, J. 2001. “Control of distributed autonomous robotic system using principles of pattern formation in nature and pedestrian behavior.” *IEEE Transactions on System Man Cybernetics*, 31(3), 433-436.
- [17] Cao, Z., Tan, M., Li, L., Gu, N., and Wang, S. 2006. “Cooperative hunting by distributed mobile robots based on local interaction.” *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 22(2):403-407.
- [18] Burgard, W., Moors, M., Stachniss, C., and Schneider, F. E. 2005. “Coordinated multi-robot exploration.” *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 21(3):376-386.
- [19] Tang, Z., and Ozguner, U. 2005. “Motion planning for multi-target surveillance with mobile sensor agents.” *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 21(5):898-908. Tanner, H.G. and Christodoulakis, D.K. 2007. “Decentralized cooperative control of heterogeneous vehicle groups.” *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 55, 811-823.
- [20] Yamashita, A., Arai, T., Ota, J., and Asama, H. 2003. “Motion planning of multiple mobile robots for cooperative manipulation and transportation.” *IEEE Transaction on Robotics and Automation*, 19(2):223-236.
- [21] Berman, S., Edan, Y. and Jamshidi, M. 2003. “Navigation of decentralized autonomous automatic guided vehicles in material handling.” *IEEE Transaction on Robotics and Automation*, 19(4):743-749.
- [22] LaValle, S. M. and Hutchinson, S. A. 1998. “Optimal motion planning for multiple robots having independent goals.” *IEEE Transaction on Robotics and Automation*, 14(6):912-925.
- [23] Ogren, P., Egerstedt, M. and Hu, X.M. 2002. “A control lyapunov function approach to multiagent coordination.” *IEEE Transaction on Robotics and Automation*, 18(5): 847-851.
- [24] Gazi, V. 2005. “Swarm aggregations using artificial potential and sliding-mode control.” *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 21(2):1208-1214.
- [25] Hsieh, M.-Y. A. and Kumar, V. 2006. “Pattern generation with multiple robots.” In *Proceedings IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, Orlando, Florida, pp. 2442-2447.
- [26] Tanner, H. G., Loizou, S. G., and Kyriakopoulos, K. J. 2003. “Nonholonomic navigation and control of multiple mobile manipulators.” *IEEE Transaction on Robotics and Automation*, 19(1):53-64.
- [27] Gu, D. 2008. “A differential game approach to formation control.” *IEEE Transaction on Control Systems Technology*, 16(1): 85-93.

- [28] Weimerskirch, H., Martin, J., Clerquin, Y., Alexandre, P., and Jiraskova, S. 2001. "Energy saving in flight formation," *Nature*, 413, 697–698.
- [29] Chen, Y., and Wang, Z. 2005. "Formation control: A Review and Consideration." In *Proceeding IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, Alberta, Canada, pp. 3181-3186.
- [30] Brooks, R. 1986. "A robust layered control system for a mobile robot." *IEEE Journal on Robotics and Automation*, RA-2, 14.
- [31] Lawton, J. R. T., Beard, R. W., and Young, B. J. 2003. "A decentralized approach to formation maneuvers." *IEEE Transaction on Robotics and Automation*, 19(6):933-941.
- [32] Tanner, H. G., Pappas, G. J., and Kumar, V.: "Leader-to-Formation Stability." *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 20(3), 443-155. June 2004.
- [33] Swaroop, D. and Hedrick, J. K. 1996. "String stability of interconnected systems." *IEEE Transaction on Automatic Control*, 41(3):349-357.
- [34] Takahashi, H., Nishi, H., and Ohnishi, K. 2004. "Autonomous decentralized control for formation of multiple mobile robots considering ability of robot." *IEEE Transaction on Industrial Eelectronics*, 51(6):1272-1279.
- [35] Huang, J., Farritor, S. M., Ala' Qadi, and Goddard, S. 2006. "Localization and follow the leader control of a heterogeneous group of mobile robots." *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 11(2):205-215.
- [36] Fredslund, J. and Mataric, M. J. 2002. "A general algorithm for robot formations using local sensing and minimal communications." *IEEE Transaction on Robotics and Automation*, 18(5): 837-846.
- [37] Lewis, M. A., and Tan, Kar-Han 1997. "High precision formation control of mobile robots using virtual structures." *Autonomous Robots*, 4:387-403.
- [38] Spry, S. and Hedrick, J. K.: "Formation Control Using Generalized Coordinates," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Decision and Control*, Atlantis, Paradise Island, Bahamas, pp. 2441 – 2446. Dec. 2004.
- [39] Goldgeier, M., Zhang, F. M. and Krishnaprasad, P. S.: "Control of Small Formaiton Using Shape Coordinates," in *Proceedings of the International conference on Robotics and Automation*, Taipei, Taiwan, pp. 2510 – 2446. Sept. 2003.
- [40] H. Axelsson, Abubakr Muhammad, and M. Egerstedt. "Autonomous Formation Switching for Multiple, Mobile Robots" *IFAC Conference on Analysis and Design of Hybrid Systems*, Sant-Malo, Brittany, France, June 2003.
- [41] Jinyan Shao, Guangming Xie, Junzhi Yu and Long Wang, "Leader-following Formation Control of Multiple Mobile Robots" *Proceedings of the 2005 IEEE International Symposium on Intelligent Control Limassol, Cyprus*, June 27-29, 2005.

- [42] Peter Stone, "Layered Learning in Multiagent Systems: A Winning Approach to Robotic Soccer", MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, London, England. (1998)
- [43] Dusan M. Stipanovic, Gokhan Inalhan, Rodney Teo, Claire J. Tomlin, "Decentralized overlapping control of a formation of unmanned aerial vehicles", *Automatica*, Vol. 40, No. 8, pp. 1285-1296, Aug. 2004.
- [44] J. Desai, J. Ostrowski, and V. Kumar, "Control of formations for multiple robots," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Robotics and Automation*, Leuven, Belgium, May 1998.
- [45] J. Desai, "Motion planning and control of cooperative robotic systems," Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, 1998.
- [46] M. Egerstedt, and X. Hu, "Formation constrained multi-agent control," *IEEE Trans. Robot. Automat.*, vol. 17, pp. 947-951, Dec. 2001.
- [47] D. Cruz, J. McClintock, B. Perteet, O. Orqueda, Y. Cao, and R. Fierro, "Decentralized cooperative control: A multivehicle platform for research in networked embedded systems," *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 58-78, June 2007.
- [48] D.B. Edwards, T.A. Bean, D.L. Odell, and M.J. Anderson, "A leader-follower algorithm for multiple AUV formations," *IEEE/OES Autonomous Underwater Vehicles*, 40-46, 2004.
- [49] J. Zhao and D. Hill, "Dissipativity theory for switched systems," in *Proc. 44th IEEE Conf. Decision and Control and European Control Conf. 2005*, 2005, pp. 7003-7008.