

IMPLEMENTATION OF GENERALIZED PREDICTIVE CONTROL (GPC) FOR  
A REAL-TIME PROCESS CONTROL USING LABVIEW

AHMAD 'ATHIF BIN MOHD FAUDZI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
Requirements for an award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Electrical-Mechatronics and Automatic Control)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2006

*Specially dedicated to  
my beloved wife for her support and caring,  
my family for their encouragement and blessings,  
and my new born baby..ahna safiy*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grateful to Allah SWT, the most powerful and the most merciful on His blessing of giving me this opportunity to complete this project successfully. My sincere appreciation to project's supervisor, Dr. Zaharuddin Mohamed for his guidance, patience, support and as shoulder to lean on through out the project completion.

I am also thankful to Mechatronics and Robotics Head Department, Associate Professor Dr. Rosbi Mamat for his advices, guidance and experience s

haring and also for knocking my door asking for project progress😊. Throughout the period of accomplishing this project, I also received ideas, supports and assistance from few individuals; Lab technicians, Pakmus, Koyeh, Pokchek, Nasir and others.

Most importantly, I would like to convey my deepest thanks to my wife, Siti Mariam Mohamed and my family who had persistently giving spiritual motivation and inspiration. With their concern and support during hard times, everything went smooth, Alhamdulillah. Jazakumullahukhairan Kathira.

## **ABSTRACT**

Real-time control is an approach to evaluate process system with real-time parameters by controlling selected variables to accomplish the control objectives. Real-time issues such as rapid testing, nonlinearity and computational problem have led researchers in recent years to do intensive work on development methodologies to enhance control in real-time. Selection of control approaches has also been reviewed to adapt with these real-time issues apart from other strategies. In this research, a predictive algorithm namely Generalized Predictive Control will be evaluated in real-time by applying LabVIEW software as tools for algorithm implementation. LabVIEW is chosen because of its block diagram implementation with simple graphical user interface approach to execute algorithm in real-time faster. Hence, it is essential for complex algorithm to control real-time process system. Coupled-tanks have been identified as process models that are inherently nonlinear and hard to control due to unavailability of the exact models' descriptions. As for this, real time control will be applied to the coupled tank as the test bed for level control process. Experimental evaluation and comparison of the predictive algorithm performance will be benchmarked against PID control. PC and analogue input output card will be used as the controller and also for data acquisition and real-time data display.

## ABSTRAK

Kawalan dalam masa nyata adalah kaedah yang digunakan untuk mengawal proses sesuatu sistem menggunakan penilaian masa nyata dengan mengawal sesuatu pembolehubah bagi mencapai objektif kawalan. Isu-isu yang berkaitan dengan kawalan masa nyata seperti pengujian yang kerap, sistem tidak lurus dan isu yang berkaitan dengan komputer telah menyebabkan ramai pengkaji masakini mengkaji metod baru dan cara –cara bagi menyelesaikan dan memperbaharui kawalan dalam masa nyata. Bukan itu sahaja malahan pendekatan mengkaji kawalan pengaturcaraan juga giat dilaksanakan untuk membolehkan penyesuaian dengan kawalan masa nyata selain daripada kajian-kajian yang lain. Dalam kajian ini, kawalan pengaturcaraan menggunakan *Generalized Predictive Control* akan dikaji untuk kawalan masa nyata dengan menggunakan perisian LabVIEW. Perisian LabVIEW dipilih kerana pengoperasian pengaturcaraannya menggunakan gambarajah blok dan perisian ini mesra pengguna. Dengan perisian ini, aturcara dapat dilaksanakan dengan lebih cepat kawalan masa nyata sesuatu proses dan membantu dalam pengalikasian sesuatu aturcara yang rumit. Tangki berkembar telah dikenalpasti sebagai model proses yang tidak lurus dan sukar dikawal disebabkan ketiadaan maklumat yang tepat tentang modelnya. Oleh itu tangki berkembar ini telah dipilih untuk melaksanakan kawalan masa nyata dengan mengawal paras air di dalamnya. Beberapa eksperimen akan dijalankan dan hasilnya akan dinilai dan dibandingkan dengan *PID*. Komputer dan kad input output akan digunakan sebagai pengawal dan juga sebagai bacaan data dalam sistem masa nyata.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	SUBJECT	PAGE
	<b>TITLE</b>	i
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	x
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xiv
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Problem Statements	2
1.3	Objectives	3
1.4	Scope of the Project	4
1.5	Summary	5

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1	Overview	6
2.2	Real-Time Control	6
2.3	Generalized Predictive Control	7
2.4	Coupled-Tank System and Modeling	8
2.5	Summary	10
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1	Overview	11
3.2	System Identification	12
3.3	Block Diagram of Implementation	15
3.4	Introduction to Coupled-Tank Control Apparatus CTS-001	17
3.5	Fundamental Control Principle of Coupled-Tank System	18
<b>4</b>	<b>ALGORITHM FORMULATION</b>	
4.1	GPC Formulation	24
4.2	PID Controller	30
4.3	Summary	32
<b>5</b>	<b>RESULTS, ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
5.1	Calibration	33
5.2	Windows-Based Graphical User Interface (GUI)	36
5.3	System Identification – Open Loop Test	36

5.4	GPC Controller Design	40
5.4.1	Overview of Accessing DLLs or Shared Libraries from LabVIEW	41
5.4.2	Set Point Tracking and Disturbance Rejection Performance	44
5.5	PID Controller Design	45
5.5.1	Initial estimation of $K_p$ , $K_i$ and $K_d$ obtained Using Ziegler-Nicholes	47
5.5.2	Set Point Tracking and Disturbance Rejection Performance	49
5.6	Analysis and Discussion	52
5.6.1	Transient Response	53
5.6.2	Disturbance Rejection	56
5.7	Discussion on Performance of GPC and PID Controller	58
5.8	Summary	59

## **6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS**

6.1	Conclusion	60
6.2	Recommendation for Future Works	62

<b>REFERENCES</b>	63
-------------------	----

## **APPENDIX**



## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Reaction Curve Method	12
3.2	Diagram of hardware and software communication	14
3.3	Block diagram of an open loop system (second order SISO) with DAQ interfacing for empirical identification of plant model	15
3.4	Block diagram of GPC for close loop system (second order SISO) with DAQ interfacing	15
3.5	Block diagram of PID for close loop system (second order SISO) with DAQ interfacing	16
3.6	Coupled-Tank Control Apparatus CTS-001	17
3.7	Schematic diagram of CTS-001	19
3.8	NI DAQ Card	21
3.9	LabVIEW Interface	22
4.1	Basic Structure of GPC	25
4.2	Prediction Horizon	26
4.3	GPC Control Scheme	29
4.4	PID Block Diagram	31
5.1	Voltage and height for water level	34
5.2	Experimental evaluation in real-time	35

5.3	Front panel for System Identification	37
5.4	Block Diagram using LabVIEW for system identification	37
5.5	Open Loop Test	38
5.6	Front panel for GPC controller design	40
5.7	Block Diagram using LabVIEW for GPC controller design	41
5.8	The Call Library function node for GPC controller design	42
5.9	The GPC algorithm constructed using Microsoft Visual C++	43
5.10	Process response of GPC set point tracking and disturbance rejection	44
5.11	Control signal for GPC set point tracking and disturbance rejection	45
5.12	Front panel for PID controller design	46
5.13	Block Diagram using LabVIEW for PID controller design	46
5.14	Simulation of PID control using MATLAB-SIMULINK	48
5.15	The output response for PID set point tracking	48
5.16	Process response of PID set point tracking and disturbance rejection	49
5.17	Control signal for PID set point tracking and disturbance rejection	50
5.18	Process response of retuned PID set point tracking and disturbance rejection	51
5.19	Control signal for retuned PID set point tracking and disturbance rejection	51
5.20	GPC transient response	53

5.21	PID transient response	54
5.22	GPC disturbance rejection	56
5.23	PID disturbance rejection	57
5.24	Comparison of GPC and PID control signal	58

**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.1	Steady-state condition for the coupled-tank system.	20
4.1	Close Loop Response for $K_p$ , $K_i$ and $K_d$ adjustment	32
5.1	Voltage and height for water level	34
5.2	Comparison of GPC and PID for transient response	55
5.3	Comparison of GPC and PID for disturbance rejection	57

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CARIMA	-	Controlled Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average
DAQ	-	Data Acquisition
DC	-	Direct Current
DLL	-	Data Link Library
DMC	-	Dynamic Matrix Control
EH	-	Extended Horizon
FOPDT	-	First Order Model Plus Dead Time
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GMV	-	Generalized Minimum Variance
GPC	-	Generalized Predictive Control
GUI	-	Graphical User Interface
LabVIEW	-	Laboratory Virtual Instrument Engineering Workbench
LVDT	-	Linear-Variable Differential Transformer
MPC	-	Model Predictive Control
MPC	-	Model Predictive Control
NFCGA	-	Neuro-fuzzy controller by Genetic Algorithm
NI	-	National Instrument
NIDAQ	-	National Instrument Data Acquisition
PID	-	Proportional plus Integral plus Derivative
PP	-	Pole Placement
PWM	-	Pulse Width Modulation
RLS	-	Recursive Least Square
SCADA	-	Supervisory Control Data Acquisition
VI	-	Virtual Instrument

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Overview

Over the past 40 years, digital control of industrial processes has changed from being the exception to the commonplace. Each succeeding year sees an increase in the range of applications and each advance in hardware design widens the potential application areas. Computers now form an integral part of most real-time control systems; such computers are generally referred to as *embedded real-time computers* and an understanding of how to design and build systems containing embedded computers is an essential requirement for a systems engineer.

The knowledge required covers both hardware and software design and construction, and of the two the software engineering is the most difficult and least understood. The difficulties of specifying, designing and building real-time software and also programming the algorithm needs significant effort from engineers and control practitioners to try and find simple way to solve the problems. Other issues in real-time such as rapid testing, nonlinearity and computational problem have led researchers in recent years to do intensive work on development methodologies to

enhance control in real-time. Therefore softwares such as LabVIEW, MATLAB, G2 and other simulation software would reduce the effort for implementation especially in algorithm to imply.

## 1.2 Problem statements

As real-time control involves algorithms to control a certain processes, two different algorithms will be chosen; a complex and a simple algorithm. In order to study its performance in terms of implementation in real-time and each control features, control of level of a coupled tank is chosen. This application is widely used in the process industry especially in chemical industries. In this project, controlling liquid level process will be done in real-time by applying Generalized Predictive Control (GPC) as a complex algorithm and Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) for a simple algorithm.

A common control problem in process industries is the control of fluids level in storage tanks, chemical blending and reaction vessels (Grega and Maciejczyk, 1994). The flow of liquid into and out of the tank must be regulated as to achieve a constant desired liquid level as fluid to be supply at a constant rate. Many control algorithms have been implemented using various techniques to compensate with the control requirement. Each of them has its own advantage and disadvantage. Engin *et al* (2004) have used adaptive network based fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) while Grega (1994) used cascade PID control, minimum time and state feedback control for the coupled tanks. There are wide arrays of other control techniques that have been applied to meet the control objective of the system. Various factors are considered in designing the controllers such as set point tracking and load disturbance, reducing the effects of adverse conditions and uncertainty, behaviors in terms of time response (e.g., stability, a certain rise-time, overshoot, and steady state

tracking error) and lastly engineering goals such as cost and reliability which is vital in industrial perspective.

Most of previous works have been performed through simulation and several been tested with the real-time experimental test for validation. There are several data acquisition cards for input output that can be used such as from National Instrument, Advantech and others. Several researchers have reported performance comparison of various controllers for control of the coupled tank. Normally comparisons are being made with PID for benchmarking as the controller might not capable to satisfy the control objectives or requirement at all times as it need to be regularly tuned due to the varying system dynamics.

The system have occurrence of nonlinearity in the system dynamics thus the empirical model of the test-bed is constructed. The main interest in this research is to implement a real-time predictive control algorithm to a coupled tank for level control process using GPC and its control performance will be benchmarked against PID. Moreover, the controllers will also be reviewed in terms of real-time implementation.

### **1.3 Objectives**

The objectives of the project are as followings:

- To evaluate the application of graphical environment using Laboratory Virtual Instrument Engineering Workbench (LabVIEW) language for real-time control software implementation



- To evaluate a predictive control algorithm, GPC and PID control for real-time control of Coupled Tank process
- Verification and benchmarking of GPC with standard PID controller

#### **1.4 Scope of the project**

- i. Real-time Implementation of GPC with fix plant parameters and PID using LabVIEW software
  - To study the dynamic characteristics of the plant for the nonparametric model.
  - To study LabVIEW, a graphical programming language for software implementation
  - To study the implementation of GPC and PID in LabVIEW using C programming
- ii. Experimental evaluation of performance, GPC and PID controller on Coupled Tank CTS 001
  - To gather experimental data and compare control performance and its implementation for GPC and PID

## **1.5 Summary**

This section introduces the overall project and explains the objectives as well as the scope of the project in order to give an insight and the sense of direction of the project. The next chapter will review previous research that is related to the current work which concerns to real-time, GPC and liquid level control of coupled tank system. There are various strategies presented by researchers demonstrating their controllers on certain process control and comparison with others as benchmarking.

## REFERENCE

B.W.Hogg and N.M El-Rabaie, "*Generalized Predictive Control of Steam Pressure in a Drum Boiler*," IEEE Transaction on Energy Transaction, Vol 5, No 3, 1990.

Blevins et al., "*Advanced Control Unleashed*" ISA, 2003.

D.W. Clarke and C. Mohtadi (1988) *Properties of generalized predictive control*. Automatica, 25, pp 859-875.

D.W. Clarke, C. Mohtadi and P.S. Tuffs "*Generalized Predictive Control-Part 1. The basic Algorithm*," Automatica, 23(2) pp 137-148, 1987.

D.W. Clarke, FEng,R. Scattolini, "*Constrained receding-horizon predictive control*.IEE PROCEEDINGS-D, Vol. 138, No. 4, JULY 1991.

Eduardo F. Camacho and Carlos Bordons. *Model Predictive Control*. Springer, 1999.

Karl J. Astrom and Bjorn Wittenmark. (1995) *Adaptive Control Second Edition*. Addison Wisley Publishing Company, Inc. pp 174- 179.

Karl J. Astrom and Bjorn Wittenmark. *Adaptive Control Second Edition*. Addison Wesley, 1995.

Kennel R., Linder A., Linke M., “*Generalized Predictive Control, Ready for use in Drive Application*”.

M. Baranguel, E.F Comacho and F.R rubio. *Simulation Software Package for the Acurex Field*. Departamento de Ingenieria de Sistemas y Automatica, ESI Sevilla, 1994.

M. Nasiruddin. *Direct Model Reference Adaptive Control of Coupled tank Liquid Level Control System* .Master Project, 2005.

Olafur P. Palsson, Henrik Madsen and Henning T. Sogaard (1993) *Generalized predictive control for non-stationary systems*.

S.N Engin, J. Kuvulmaz and V.E Omurlu (2004), *Modelling of a Couples Industrial Tank System with ANFIS*. MICAI 2004,LNAI 2972, pp 804-812, 2004.

Seng Teo Lian, Khalid Marzuki, Yusof Rubiah. *Tuning of neuro-fuzzy controller by genetic algorithm with an application to a coupled tank liquid-level control system*. Engineering Application of Artificial Intelligence 11(1998).

Sohel Anwar and Behrouz. “*Predictive Control Algorithm for an Anti-Lock Braking System*” *SAE TECHNICAL PAPER SERIES*, 2002-01-0302.

Sohel Anwar, “*Brake-Based Vehicle Traction Control via Generalized Predictive Algorithm*,” *SAE TECHNICAL PAPER SERIES*, 2003-01-0323.

Stuart Bennett. *Real-time Computer Control*. Prentice Hall, 1994.

W. Grega and A. Maciejczyk (1994) *Digital Control of a Tank*. IEEE Transaction on Education, Vol 37, No 3, August 1994.