

SUPERSTRUCTURE OPTIMIZATION AND FORECASTING OF
DECENTRALIZED ELECTRICITY GENERATION BASED ON PALM OIL
BIOMASS

AQEEL AHMED BAZMI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

SUPERSTRUCTURE OPTIMIZATION AND FORECASTING OF
DECENTRALIZED ELECTRICITY GENERATION BASED ON PALM OIL
BIOMASS

AQEEL AHMED BAZMI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Chemical Engineering)

Faculty of Chemical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2013

To my real and spiritual parents and all those who loved and encouraged me

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am thankful to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Gholamreza Zahedi and co-supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Haslenda Hashim, for their valuable comments, guidance, patience and encouragement throughout my PhD studies. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been completed.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for the partial support in my PhD project. I am also grateful to COMSATS institute of Information Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan for providing me scholarship for my PhD studies at UTM.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to Mr. Lim Jeng Shiun, Mr. Meysam Davoody and Mr. Amjad Riaz who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips were useful indeed.

I am grateful to all my family members, specially my parents and my wife Shazeem Aqeel Bazmi who was the ignition source that helped me perusing my dream and will always be. Living away from our families was a tough experience especially for a lady who is so attached to her back home family members. My wife did not let the pain inside her heart show on her face. She made my stay in Malaysia feels more like home. Here, I would like to remember my first wife (Late) Seema Aqeel Bazmi (1974-2001), who always dreamed for my PhD and without her support I would never be able to achieve this milestone. May Allah rest her soul in peace (Ameen).

ABSTRACT

Malaysia realizes the importance of addressing the concern of energy security to accomplish the nation's policy objectives by mitigating the issues of security, energy efficiency and environmental impacts. To meet the rising demand for energy and incorporation of Green Technology in the national policy, Malaysian government during the last three decades has developed several strategies and policies. National Green Technology Policy was an initiative, which marked the firm determination of the government to incorporate Green Technology in the nation's economy policy. Malaysia has abundant biomass resources, especially oil palm residues with power generation potential of about 2400 MW, which is promising for decentralized electricity generation (DEG). The aim of this study is to determine the best location to install appropriate biomass electricity generation plant in Johor and forecasting the electricity market (i.e. electricity demand) in order to provide a strategic assessment of measures for the local energy planners of Malaysia, as an optimization bottom-up model. A superstructure was developed and optimized to represent DEG system. The problem was formulated as Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming (MINLP) and implemented in General Algebraic Modeling System (GAMS). Electricity demand was modeled using Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS). Based on GAMS and ANFIS models, palm oil biomass based DEG system and distribution network scenarios for current as well as next ten, twenty and thirty years have been proposed for State of Johor, Malaysia. Biomass from sixty six Palm Oil Mills (POMs) would be collected and transported to eight selected locations. Empirical findings of this study suggested that total production cost is minimized by placing biomass gasification based integrated combine cycle (BIGCC) power plant of 50MW at all eight locations. For 2020 Scenario, no additional infrastructure will be required. For 2030 Scenario, additional units of BIGCC of 50MW will be required at five out of eight locations. While for 2040 Scenario, again no additional infrastructure development will be needed. Total minimum cost varied from 6.31 M\$/yr for current scenario to 22.63 M\$/yr for 2040 scenario.

ABSTRAK

Malaysia menyedari kepentingan dalam menangani keprihatinan bekalan tenaga yang terjamin untuk mencapai objektif polisi negara dengan menangani isu-isu keselamatan, kecekapan tenaga dan impak alam sekitar. Untuk memenuhi permintaan yang semakin meningkat terhadap tenaga dan penubuhan Teknologi Hijau dalam polisi kebangsaan, kerajaan Malaysia dalam tempoh tiga dekad yang lalu telah membangunkan beberapa strategi dan dasar. Dasar Teknologi Hijau Negara adalah satu inisiatif, yang menandakan kesungguhan teguh kerajaan untuk menggabungkan Teknologi Hijau dalam polisi ekonomi negara. Malaysia mempunyai sumber biojisim yang banyak, terutama sisa kelapa sawit dengan potensi penjanaan kuasa kira-kira 2400 MW, yang menjanjikan untuk desentralisasi penjanaan elektrik (DEG). Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan lokasi terbaik untuk memasang loji penjana elektrik biojisim yang bersesuaian di Johor dan meramal pasaran elektrik (iaitu permintaan elektrik) untuk menyediakan penilaian langkah strategik kepada jururancang tenaga tempatan Malaysia, sebagai pengoptimuman model bawah ke atas. Struktur utama telah dibangunkan dan dioptimumkan untuk mewakili sistem DEG. Masalah itu telah dirumuskan sebagai Pengaturcaraan Campuran Integer Bukan Linear (MINLP) dan dilaksanakan dalam Sistem Pemodelan Umum Algebra (GAMS). Permintaan elektrik yang telah dimodelkan menggunakan Adaptif Neuro Inferens Sistem Fuzzy (ANFIS). Berdasarkan GAMS dan model ANFIS, biojisim minyak sawit berasaskan sistem DEG dan senario rangkaian pengedaran terkini serta sepuluh, dua puluh tiga puluh tahun seterusnya telah dicadangkan bagi Negeri Johor, Malaysia. Biojisim dari Kilang Kelapa Sawit (POMs) akan dikumpulkan dan dihantar ke lapan lokasi terpilih. Penemuan empirikal kajian ini mencadangkan bahawa jumlah kos pengeluaran dapat dikurangkan dengan meletakkan gasifikasi biojisim berasaskan gabungan kitaran bersepadu (BIGCC) loji kuasa 50MW di kesemua lapan lokasi. Senario bagi tahun 2020, tiada infrastruktur tambahan dikehendaki. Senario bagi tahun 2030, unit tambahan BIGCC daripada 50MW diperlukan pada lima daripada lapan lokasi. Sementara senario bagi 2040, sekali lagi tiada pembangunan infrastruktur tambahan akan diperlukan. Jumlah kos yang minimum berubah dari RM 6.31 /tahun untuk senario semasa kepada RM 22.63 /tahun bagi 2040 senario.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Background of the Problem	3
	1.3 Problem Statement	4
	1.4 Objectives of the Study	6
	1.5 Scope of the Study	6
	1.6 Research Outcomes	8
	1.7 Report outline	9
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	10
	2.1 Malaysian Energy Outlook	10
	2.1.1 Reference Scenario 2020	13

2.2	Palm Biomass as RE Source	17
	2.2.1 Palm Oil Biomass-Malaysia's Prospective	18
2.3	Power Generation and Distribution	19
	2.3.1 Decentralized Systems	21
2.4	Energy and biomass	24
	2.4.1 Biomass power	25
	2.4.2 Biomass power Technologies	26
2.5	Optimization modeling studies related to power generation and supply techniques	31
	2.5.1 Power Supply and Distribution	32
	2.5.2 Power plant operation	33
	2.5.3 Industrial energy consumption	34
	2.5.4 Power Plants and Carbon dioxide capture and storage	34
	2.5.5 Renewable Energy Mix	35
2.6	General Algebraic Modeling System (GAMS)	36
2.7	Modeling decentralized energy systems	36
2.8	FNN forecasting of electricity demand	38
	2.8.1 Metrological Factors	41
	2.8.2 Economic Factors	42
2.9	Summary	44
3	METHODOLOGY	46
3.1	Introduction	46
	3.1.1 Target area	47
	3.1.1.1 Iskandar Malaysia	47
	3.1.1.2 State of Johor, Malaysia	49

3.1.2	Biomass conversion technologies	50
3.1.3	Brief comparison of technologies	52
3.1.3.1	Combustion applications	52
3.1.3.2	Gasification applications	52
3.1.3.3	Fast pyrolysis applications	54
3.2	Procedure	56
3.3	Superstructure	58
3.3.1	Assumptions	62
3.3.2	Mathematical Model Formulation	63
3.3.2.1	Objective function	66
3.3.2.2	Cost components	67
3.3.2.3	Mass Balance Constraints	68
3.3.2.4	Availability/capacity constraints	69
3.3.3	Data Collection	70
3.3.4	Case Studies	71
3.3.4.1	Case Study of Iskander Malaysia	71
3.3.4.2	Case Study of State of Johor, Malaysia	72
3.4	ANFIS forecasting for electricity demand	73
3.4.1	Available data	74
3.4.2	ANFIS model	74
3.5	Scenario Development	76
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	77
4.1	Introduction	77
4.2	Optimization of decentralized energy system	78
4.2.1	Case Study of Iskandar Malaysia	78

4.2.1.1	Outcomes of MINLP model application	84
4.2.1.2	Discussion on results for IM	91
4.2.2	Case Study of Johor State of Malaysia	93
4.2.2.1	Outcomes of MINLP model application	93
4.2.2.2	Discussion on results for Johor State	96
4.2.2.3	Comparison of results for IM and Johor	97
4.3	Forecasting the energy market	97
4.3.1	Designed network structure	101
4.3.1.1	Available data:	101
4.3.1.2	Model Training, Testing and Validation	105
4.3.1.3	Forecasting	108
4.4	Optimal decentralized biomass power generation and distribution scenario development	115
4.4.1	2020 Scenario	116
4.4.1.1	Discussion on results for 2020 Scenario	117
4.4.2	2030 Scenario	119
4.4.2.1	Discussion on results for 2030 Scenario	122
4.4.3	2040 Scenario	123
4.4.3.1	Discussion on results for 2040 Scenario	124
4.4.4	Summary	126

5.1	Introduction	128
5.2	Conclusion	129
5.3	Recommendations for Future Research	129
REFERENCES		131
Appendices A – E		167-220

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Chronological Status of Energy Policies for Malaysia Scenario [7]	12
2.2	Expectations on Small Renewable Energy Projects-capacity expansion [9]	16
2.3	Oil palm biomass collected in Malaysia in 2005 and their energy potential [15, 21]	19
2.4	Comparative description of different decentralized technologies [2, 24]	22
2.5	Comparison of literature reviewed in this chapter	45
3.1	Projection of Power Supply Demand in IM (2000 - 2025) [410]	49
3.2	Input parameters for the problem	64
3.3	Decision Variables for the problem	65
3.4	Subscripts indices used in Model	65
3.5	Cost Components	68
4.1	Comparison in term of sizes and costs among combustion, gasification and pyrolysis	79
4.2	Biomass material volume at biomass locations; (Ars) [430, 431]	80
4.3	Maximum & Minimum final electricity demand at final market locations; (dm) [410]	80
4.4	Acquisition cost of biomass types “s” at conversion sites “r”; (AQCrs) [\$/ton] [440, 441]	81

4.5	Annualized capital cost of conversion plant of processing type “j” with capacity size “c”; (ACC _{jc}) [\$ /yr]; Calculated in Table 4.1	81
4.6	Operating cost for processing type “j” of conversion plant of capacity size “c”; (OPC _{jc}) [\$ / yr]	81
4.7	Capacity options; (CAP _{cj}) [(ton/day)]	82
4.8	Distance matrix from biomass to processing plant location “1”; (D _{rl}) [Km]	82
4.9	Distance matrix from processing plant location “1” to market location “m”; (P _{lm})* [Km]	82
4.10	Other scalars/parameters used in model	83
4.11	The selected conversion processing sites and technology and capacity for distributed network system	86
4.12	Selected biomass sites to deliver biomass at selected plant locations	87
4.13	Selected plant locations for electricity supply to satisfy market location demand	89
4.14	Amount of biomass to be supplied to conversion plants; (ton/day); (on 100% demand)	89
4.15	Amount of electricity to be transmitted to market location; (MW); (on 100% demand)	90
4.16	Summary of optimized costs; (M\$/yr)	90
4.17	Key data for State of Johor for electricity demand forecasting	99
4.18	Error values of the input variables	107
4.19	Forecasted electricity demand for next thirty years	113
4.20	Electricity demand for 2020, 2030 and 2040 Scenario	116
4.21	Comparison of results for Scenarios	126

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Energy Demand in Malaysia [6]	11
2.2	GDP and Primary Energy Demand for Malaysia (1980-2003) [9]	14
2.3	Malaysia's net energy import and self-sufficiency 1999-2020 [9]	15
2.4	Emission reduction in the biomass scenario relative to reference scenario (Global Warming Potential, CO ₂ equivalents) [10]	16
2.5	World producers of oil palm in 2009 [2]	17
2.6	(a) Direct fired Bio-power System, (b) Co-fired Bio-power System (Direct Co-firing), (c) Co-fired Bio-power System (Indirect Co-firing)	27
2.7	Gasification based Bio-power System	28
2.8	Pyrolysis based Bio-power System	28
2.9	Aerobic Digestion based Bio-power System	29
2.10	Fermentation based Bio-power System	29
2.11	(a) Schematic of an updraft biomass gasifier, (b) Schematic of a downdraft biomass gasifier, (c) Schematic of a cross-draft biomass gasifier [2, 187]	30
3.1	Iskandar Malaysia [409]	47
3.2	Strategic Flagship Zones of Iskandar Malaysia; adopted from [410]	48
3.3	State of Johor, Malaysia [411]	50
3.4	Gasifier Concepts [412]	51
3.5	The main gasifier configurations [177]	53

3.6	Fast pyrolysis applications [177]	55
3.7	Overall system configuration of technology options	56
3.8	Procedure of Methodology	57
3.9	Routes for production of electricity from solid biomass	59
3.10	The overall structure of decentralized biomass power generation and distribution system	60
3.11	Superstructure for Decentralized Energy Generation Scenario Using Palm Oil Biomass	61
3.12	Coding sequences in GAMS	70
3.13	Representative ANFIS model with six inputs, one output and two rules	76
4.1	Model statistics and solve summary of problem for IM	84
4.2	Optimal DEG system for IM	85
4.3	Model statistics and solve summary of problem for State of Johor	94
4.4	Optimal DEG system for State of Johor, Malaysia	95
4.5	Trend of employment change from 1990-2010	102
4.6	Trend of GDP change from 1990-2010	102
4.7	Trend of industry efficiency change from 1990-2010	103
4.8	Trend of population change from 1990-2010	103
4.9	Trend of annual average maximum temperature change from 1990-2010	104
4.10	Trend of annual average minimum temperature change from 1990-2010	104
4.11	Membership functions of (a) Employment, (b) GDP, (c) Industry Efficiency, (d) Population, (e) TMAX and (f) TMIN	106
4.12	Future values of employment for thirty years (2012-2042) predicted by ANFIS model based on previous trend (1990-2010)	109
4.13	Future values of GDP for thirty years (2012-2042) predicted by ANFIS model based on previous trend (1990-2010)	109

4.14	Future values of industry efficiency for thirty years (2012-2042) predicted by ANFIS model based on previous trend (1990-2010)	110
4.15	Future values of population for thirty years (2012-2042) predicted by ANFIS model based on previous trend (1990-2010)	110
4.16	Trend of TMAX five year average change from 1990 to 2010	111
4.17	Trend of TMIN five year average change from 1990 to 2010	111
4.18	Future values of TMAX for thirty years (2012-2042) predicted by ANFIS model based on 5 year average trend (1990-2010)	112
4.19	Future values of TMIN for thirty years (2012-2042) predicted by ANFIS model based on 5 year average trend (1990-2010)	112
4.20	Electricity demand for thirty years (2012-2042) predicted by ANFIS model based on six independent variables as input (1990-2010)	113
4.21	Model statistics and solve summary of problem for State of Johor (2020 Scenario)	117
4.22	Model statistics and solve summary of problem for State of Johor (2030 Scenario)	120
4.23	Optimal DEG system for State of Johor, Malaysia (2030 Scenario)	121
4.24	Model statistics and solve summary of problem for State of Johor (2040 Scenario)	124

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

AI	-	Artificial Intelligence
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Networks
ANFIS	-	Adoptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System
ARDL	-	Autoregressive Distributed Lag
ARIMA	-	Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average
BCHP	-	Building Cooling Heating and Power
CCHP	-	Combined Cooling Heating and Power
CCS	-	Carbon dioxide Capture and Storage
CEB	-	Central Electricity Board
CHP	-	Combined Heat and Power
CO ₂	-	Carbon Dioxide
EC	-	Energy Commission
etc.	-	et cetera
FALCON	-	Fuzzy Adaptive Learning Control Systems
FBNP	-	Fuzzy Back-Propagation Network
FHRCNNs	-	Fuzzy Hyper Rectangular Composite Neural networks
FNN	-	Fuzzy neural network
FELDA	-	Federal Land Development Authority
FL	-	Fuzzy Logic
GAMS	-	General Algebraic Modeling System
GC	-	Grid-connected
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
GIS	-	Geographical Information System
GNP	-	Gross National Product
GHG	-	Green House Gas

i.e.	-	id est
LCE	-	Low-Carbon Economy
LEAP	-	Long-Range Energy Alternatives Planning System
LP	-	Linear Programming
MILP	-	Mixed Integer Linear Programming
MINLP	-	Mixed Integer Nonlinear Programming
MIP	-	Mixed Integer Programming
NARX	-	Nonlinear Autoregressive Exogenous
NEB	-	National Electricity Board
NLP	-	Non-Linear Programming
OR	-	Operations Research
PCA	-	Principal Component Analysis
POM	-	Palm Oil Mill
POME	-	Palm Oil Mill Effluents
PTM	-	Pusat Tenaga Malaysia
PV	-	Photo Voltaic
RE	-	Renewable Energy
Re	-	Relative Error
SA	-	Stand-alone
SO	-	System Operators
SREP	-	Small Renewable Energy Program
TCR	-	Total Capital Requirement
TMAX	-	Maximum Average Annual Temperature
TMIN	-	Minimum Average Annual Temperature

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	GAMS Code	167
B	List of Palm Oil Mills in Johor	173
C	Key parameters values for State of Johor, Malaysia	176
D	Model Output of DEG Model for State of Johor	180
E	List of Publications	220

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Energy is one of the most critical international issues and most likely to be so for the years to come. It is becoming gradually accepted that current energy systems, networks encompassing everything from primary energy sources to final energy services, are becoming unsustainable. As a part of a national global warming mitigation strategy, nations are trying to achieve low-carbon economies through adoption of comprehensive strategies to manage global warming and carbon neutrality. Concerns over air pollution, global warming caused by greenhouse gas (GHG) emission make biomass, solar and wind power as attractive alternative energy sources. Besides that, this concern also has encouraged extensive energy conservation efforts to implement more efficient processing technique and equipment to increase efficiency.

1.1 Research Background

Progressive release of GHGs from increasing energy-intensive industries has eventually caused human civilization to suffer. There is an increasing interest emerged recently to promote Low-Carbon Economy (LCE), generally is referred as an economy with a minimal output of GHG emissions. The most of the established

public as well as scientific opinion are based on the assumption that amassing addition of GHGs in the atmosphere makes drastic changing in the climate around the globe while producing global warming resulting in long-term climate variations imposing adverse impacts on human civilization in the foreseeable future. Nations are now determined to shift towards more modern concept of zero carbon society and renewable energy (RE) economy for which LCE's are considered as a precursor.

Currently, fossil fuels are serving as a primary energy source to meet the energy requirement of the world. Driving the global energy system into a sustainable path has been emerged as a major concern and policy objective. Although fossil fuels are still dominant among primary energy sources, however, the overwhelming scientific evidence is that the unfettered use of fossil fuels is causing the world's climate to change, with potential catastrophic effect. Malaysia is well endowed with both fossil and renewable energy resources. To cater the future energy requirement in line with growing trend in country's energy consumption pattern, Malaysia has set a sustainable development program. The diversification of energy sources has been included as the principle objective of country's energy policy. Malaysian Government's Five-Fuel Diversification Policy emphasizes, as a target, to generate 5% of the country's generation mix from RE. RE resources has been declared as the economy's fifth fuel, and 9th Malaysian Plan (2006–2010), focusing on the sustainable development of the energy sector of the country, put emphasis on the energy security, reliability, and cost-effectiveness [1].

RE provides an effective option for the provision of energy services from the technical point of view while biomass, a major source of energy in the world until before industrialization when fossil fuels become dominant, appears an important renewable source of energy and researches have proven from time to time its viability for large-scale production. Being a widely spread source, biomass offers the execution of decentralized electricity generation gaining importance in liberalized electricity markets. The decentralized power is characterized by generation of electricity nearer to the demand centers, meeting the local energy needs. Researchers envisaged an increasing decentralization of power supply, expected to make a particular contribution to climate protection. Decentralized Biomass Power is an attractive option for Malaysia to be adopted as RE [2].

1.2 Background of the Problem

Apart from vulnerability, centralized energy supply systems are losing its attractiveness due to a number of further alarming factors including the depletion of fossil fuels and their climate change impact, the insecurities affecting energy transportation infrastructure, and the desire of investors to minimize risks through the deployment of smaller-scale, modular generation and transmission systems. On the basis of type of energy resources used, decentralized power is also classified as non-renewable and renewable. These classifications along with an overabundance of technological alternatives complicated for decision making. Establishing local generation and a local network may be cheaper, easier and faster than extending the central-station network to remote areas of modest load. The rural areas of many developing and emerging countries are unlikely ever to see the arrival of classical synchronized electricity transmission lines. Decentralized local systems, including those using local resources of renewable energy such as wind, solar and biomass power, appear much more feasible.

Decentralized power technologies include Co-generation, Biomass power, Small and Mini-hydro power, Wind power and Solar Photo Voltaic (PV) power. Biomass, Solar, and Wind power are the low carbon power generation technologies. Malaysia generates a large amount of biomass per annum. The country has great potential to develop the biomass conversion setup and facilities to utilize biomass, especially abundantly available palm oil biomass, effectively and efficiently. The palm oil mills in the country are producing large amount of palm oil biomass which is not currently consumed on mill sites and available for utilization for energy purposes. Various well developed biomass conversion technologies are available to convert oil palm biomass to different types of value added products. Oil palm biomass being a renewable energy source also has a great potential to be used as feed stock to generate electricity [2].

1.3 Problem Statement

Progressive release of greenhouse gases (GHG) from increasing energy-intensive industries has eventually caused human civilization to suffer. Realizing the exigency of reducing emissions and simultaneously catering to needs of industries, researchers foresee the RE as the perfect entrant to overcome these challenges. RE provides an effective option for the provision of energy services from the technical point of view while biomass, a major source of energy in the world until before industrialization when fossil fuels become dominant, appears an important renewable source of energy and researches have proven from time to time its viability for large-scale production.

Since 2000, Malaysia has made efforts towards RE development through the five fuel policy where the principle adopted was using the market forces to deliver the intended outcomes towards electricity generation. The National RE Policy and Action Plan (NREPAP) was approved by Cabinet on 2nd April 2010 and it provides long-term goals and commitment which all stakeholders should strive to realize. The Policy charts the path of enhancing the utilization of indigenous renewable energy resources to contribute towards the national electricity supply, security and sustainable social-economic development. The Malaysian energy sector is still heavily dependent on non-renewable fuels, such as fossil fuels and natural gas, as sources of energy. In line with the objective of diversifying the sources of energy, renewable energy has been identified as an alternative source of energy which could have been promoted since the 8th Malaysian Plan, and while the Malaysian government has stimulated a variety of energy related policies and tried to sustain the energy demand the result is so far disappointing. In the 8th Malaysia Plan the Malaysian government fixed a target of 5% renewable energy of total energy in 2001-2005 but achieved only around 1%. Again in 2006, the government declared the 9th Malaysian Plan having the target of 5% renewable energy of total energy, but this target was not achieved either. The 10th Malaysian Plan has pointed out that “several new initiatives anchored upon the Renewable Energy Policy and Action Plan will be undertaken to achieve a renewable energy target of 985 MW by 2015, contributing 5.5% to Malaysia’s total electricity generation mix.” This target is approximately the same as the 8th Malaysian Plan

target, which means that although Malaysia has implemented some incentive-led policies and projects in terms of renewable energy, and some progress of renewable energy has occurred; comparing target plans reveals a huge discrepancy [3].

Being a widely spread RE source, biomass offers the execution of decentralized electricity generation gaining importance in liberalized electricity markets. Growing populations and industrialization in countries create huge needs for electrical energy. Malaysia's electricity demand is expected to be tripled by 2020 and country's current electricity supply consists of long distanced transmission lines of centralized energy supply systems which offer more energy losses. According to 2009-estimates by IEA, Malaysia's electric power transmission and distribution losses during the year 2009 were 3992 GWh which is equivalent to 3.8% of output. According to clever estimate, saving just 1 % on the electrical energy produced by a power plant of 1000 MW means transmitting 10 MW more to consumers, which is far from negligible: with the same energy we can supply 1000 – 2000 more homes. In centralized energy systems, transmitting electricity over long distances via networks involves energy losses which make decentralized systems more attractive. So, with growing demand comes the need to minimize this loss to achieve two main goals: reduce resource consumption while delivering more power to users. Small-scale decentralized systems are emerging as a viable alternative as being less dependent upon centralized energy supply.

In this study, a biomass based decentralized electricity power generation and distribution network is proposed which is capable to generate electricity using local biomass resources to satisfy to local electricity demand. Which will eliminate the extension of national grid to remote areas overcoming the transmission and distribution losses as well as will remarkably contribute towards achieving the objectives National RE Policy. RE in the above mentioned context is assumed to be the utilization of biomass only, and other renewable energy sources are not considered in this research because, biomass has ample supply in the country. As most of the biomass in Malaysia is from the palm oil industry, and this industry is forecasted to be able to produce palm biomass sustainably and in a long term, the utilization of palm biomass is considered to be studied.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

This study aims:

- To develop a superstructure based mathematical optimization model that capable to determine the best generation mix of palm biomass in the energy field in terms of electricity generation as well as selecting best locations in State of Johor, Malaysia for processing facilities to be installed at minimum total generation cost.
- To forecast future electricity market of the State for next thirty years.
- To develop optimal decentralized biomass power generation scenarios for State of Johor for next ten, twenty and thirty years based on forecasted electricity demand.

1.5 Scope of the Study

A mathematical optimization model with the least error, relating the relationship of the proposed superstructure linking the palm biomass sources to the technologies for converting biomass to the electricity is formulated to determine the suitable ratio of allocation for each palm oil biomass source, number of plants of selected technology and possible best locations to install them. The palm oil industry and electricity generation and distribution related actors can benefit from this model, and palm biomass waste can be best utilized in order to provide for the energy industry by introducing a more environmentally friendly yet sustainable decentralized electricity system. An MINLP model was formulated and implemented in GAMS software to design an optimal DEG system. Electricity demand for future was forecasted by formulating an ANFIS model implemented in Matlab software. Based on forecasted demand, DEG scenarios for next ten, twenty and thirty years have been designed for State of Johor taken as case study for proposed model. All the data were

collected from reviewing literature, journals, and official reports available on related websites like Malaysian Palm Oil Board, Department of Statistics Malaysia, Johor State Investment Centre and Negeri Johor Darul Ta'zim, as well as from individual POMs.

In this study, two case studies have been made for the proposed work comprising of a pilot scale study for Iskandar Malaysia (IM) Region and a broader scale study for whole state of Johor. There are sixty six palm oil mills in Johor generating about 8.5 million ton per annum of palm oil biomass. Out of these, five mills are situated within IM region. In this study, palm biomass is categorized into two different categories, solid biomass as well as liquid biomass. Solid palm biomass is consisted of empty fruit bunch (EFB), palm fiber, and palm shell. Liquid biomass consists of palm oil mill effluent (POME). The other biomass from palm is not considered because they are not used for energy generation. Since the electricity generation facilities will be placed on different optimal locations in the locality, therefore, only solid palm oil biomass is considered and POME is assumed to be consumed on mill site. Three categories of solid palm biomass will be used to generate electricity. The energy in form of heat and other by-products are also not considered, as this study is focused on decentralized electricity generation scenario development from palm oil biomass. Only the electricity production lines are optimized because they are subjected to government policies as compared to other products used currently for other smaller usages. The solid biomass is assumed to undergo three types of biomass conversion technologies named direct combustion, gasification, and pyrolysis to produce electricity. For each type of processing technology the generation capacity is considered as comparison basis.

The generation costs of each undergoing technology are considered in terms of annualized capital costs, per annum operating costs, acquisition costs of the biomass, transportation costs, and electricity transmission costs. DEG system is optimized to obtain highest possible economic value at the lowest production cost. The system is subjected to several constraints such as the availability of each of the solid biomass, the minimum production rate to meet government's policies on electricity generation from RE, the lower and upper limit of the biomass boilers capacity, the upper maximum boilers production capped.

In order to identify the amount of each biomass to produce the end product as well as which technology to undergo at the minimum cost while fulfilling all the constraints, the problem will be formulated in mathematical modeling and programmed in General Algebraic Modeling System (GAMS), an optimizing tool. The optimizer was able to produce a result that shows the best mix of palm biomass to produce electricity, best locations to install the selected technologies. The developed model can be used as a guide for policy makers to plan for biomass utilization for future energy usage purposes. The electricity market (i.e. electricity demand) was forecasted using ANFIS Network. Based on GAMS and ANFIS models, palm oil biomass based DEG system and distribution network scenarios for current as well as next ten, twenty and thirty years have been proposed for State of Johor, Malaysia.

1.6 Research Outcomes

The research conducted in this study is envisaged to provide a sustainable solution for:

- Efficient utilization of Renewable Energy Recourses
- Low-Carbon Economy shift
- Enhancement of energy efficiency
- Eradication of GHG emission
- Improvement in rural electrification
- Improving and imparting energy policies
- Decision making by energy planners
- Effective waste management
- Step towards achieving MDGs

1.7 Report outline

This report contains five chapters:

Background of the research and problem at hand, the objective and scope of this study is discussed in Chapter 1. Chapter 2 gives literature survey of palm oil biomass starting from its historical growth with especial emphasis on its conversion to electricity, operation research and role of optimization in power sector. Chapter 3 discusses about the research methodology including road map for the research and planning, model development and implementation. Description about the results is presented in Chapter 4. Finally, Chapter 5 contains the overall conclusion of this study and recommendations for future research.

REFERENCES

1. Al-Mofleh, A., S. Taib, M. A. Mujeebu and W. Salah. Analysis of sectoral energy conservation in Malaysia *Energy* 2009. 34(6): 733-739.
2. Bazmi, A. A., G. Zahedi and H. Hashim. Progress and challenges in utilization of palm oil biomass as fuel for decentralized electricity generation. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2011. 15(1): 574-583.
3. Yusoff, S. and R. Kardooni. Barriers and challenges for developing RE policy in Malaysia. *International Conference on Future Environment and Energy (IPCBE-2102)*. Singapore.
4. PTM, Malaysia Energy Center (PTM)-Country Report 2009, Policy Analysis and Research Management Division, Pusat Tenaga Malaysia.
5. Economic Planning Unit. Ninth Malaysia Plan 2006–2010. *Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*. 2006.
6. Mohamed, A. R. and K. T. Lee. Energy for sustainable development in Malaysia: Energy policy and alternative energy. *Energy Policy*. 2006. 34: 2388-2397.
7. EIB-Malaysia, Energy Information Bureau, Pusat Tenaga Malaysia, Official Website.
8. Seng, L. Y., G. Lalchand and G. M. S. Lin. Economical, environmental and technical analysis of building integrated photovoltaic systems in Malaysia. *Energy Policy*. 2008. 36(6): 2130-2142.
9. Jacobsen, H. and M. Blarke, LEAP: Reference Scenario Assumptions and Results- A report under Malaysian - Danish Environmental Cooperation Programme. 2005, Economic Planning Unit; Ministry of Energy, Water and Communications- Government of Malaysia.
10. DANIDA, Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Component-Energy Outlook 2005, Economic Planning Unit; Ministry of Energy, Water and Communications- Government of Malaysia.
11. U.S. Department of Agriculture. Indonesia and Malaysia palm oil production. 2007.

12. Ramachandra, T. V., G. Kamakshi and B. V. Shruthi. Bioresource status in Karnataka. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2004. 8: 1–47.
13. Salathong, J., The sustainable use of oil palm biomass in Malaysia with Thailand's comparative perspective. 2007.
14. Oil-palm, Soy Beans and Critical habitat Loss, A Review, cited in Anne Casson. 2003.
15. Sumathi, S., S. P. Chai and A. R. Mohamed. Utilization of oil palm as a source of renewable energy in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2008. 12: 2404–21.
16. Edem, D. O. Palmoil: biochemical, physiological, nutritional, hematological, and toxicological aspects: a review. *Plant Foods Human Nutr*. 2002. 57: 319–41.
17. K.W.Chan. Biomass production in the palm oil industry, in palm oil and environment. *MPOA*. 1999.
18. Mohd, B. W., Overview of the Malaysia oil palm industry, Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB). 2007.
19. Khalil, A., A. M.Siti, R.Ridzuan, H.Kamarudin, *et al*. Chemical composition, morphological characteristics, and cell wall structure of Malaysian oil palm fibers. *Polym-Plast Technol Eng*. 2008. 47: 273–80.
20. Yong, T. L. K., K. T. Lee, A. R. Mohamed and S. Bhatia. Potential of hydrogen from oil palm biomass as a source of renewable energy worldwide. *Energy Policy*. 2007. 35: 5692–701.
21. Nasrin, A. B., A. N. Ma, Y. M. Choo, S. Mohamad, *et al*. Oil palm biomass as potential substitution raw materials for commercial biomass briquettes production. *Am J Appl Sci*. 2008. 5(3): 179–83.
22. Hassan, M. A. and Y. Shirai. Palm biomass utilization in Malaysia for the production of bioplastic. *Biomass-asia-workshop, Japan*. 2003.
23. Casten, T. R. and B. Downes. Critical Thinking About Energy: The Case for Decentralized Generation of Electricity, Research Library. (Document ID: 771440801) *The Skeptical Inquirer*. 2005. 29(1): 25-33.
24. Franc-ois, B. and S. K. Daniel. Centralised and distributed electricity systems. *Energy Policy*. 2008. 36 4504-4508.

25. Bhutto, A. W., A. A. Bazmi and G. Zahedi. Greener energy: Issues and challenges for Pakistan—Solar energy prospective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2012. 16(5): 2762-2780.
26. Bhutto, A. W., A. A. Bazmi and G. Zahedi. Greener energy: Issues and challenges for Pakistan-hydel power prospective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2012. 16(5): 2732-2746.
27. Zhao, X., J. Wang, X. Liu, T. Feng, *et al.* Focus on situation and policies for biomass power generation in China. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2012. 16(6): 3722-3729.
28. Ribeiro, L. A. D. S., O. R. Saavedra, S. L. Lima, J. G. de Matos, *et al.* Making isolated renewable energy systems more reliable. *Renewable Energy*. 2012. 45: 221-231.
29. Kautto, N. and P. Peck. Regional biomass planning - Helping to realise national renewable energy goals? *Renewable Energy*. 2012. 46: 23-30.
30. Goya, T., T. Senjyu, A. Yona, N. Urasaki, *et al.* Optimal operation of thermal unit in smart grid considering transmission constraint. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*. 2012. 40(1): 21-28.
31. Carneiro, P. and P. Ferreira. The economic, environmental and strategic value of biomass. *Renewable Energy*. 2012. 44: 17-22.
32. Biresselioglu, M. E. and Y. Z. Karaibrahimoglu. The government orientation and use of renewable energy: Case of Europe. *Renewable Energy*. 2012. 47: 29-37.
33. Ambec, S. and C. Crampes. Electricity provision with intermittent sources of energy. *Resource and Energy Economics*. 2012. 34(3): 319-336.
34. Bhutto, A. W., A. A. Bazmi and G. Zahedi. Greener energy: Issues and challenges for Pakistan—Biomass energy prospective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2011. 15: 3207-3219.
35. Park, K. H., C. U. Kang, G. M. Lee and J. H. Lim, Design of optimal combination for new and renewable hybrid generation system. 2011. p. 189-198.
36. Islam, A., A. Domijan and A. Damnjanovic. Assessment of the reliability of a dynamic smart grid system. *International Journal of Power and Energy Systems*. 2011. 31(4): 198-202.
37. Boccard, N. Economic properties of wind power- A European assessment. *Energy Policy* 2010. 38: 3232-3244.

38. Clifton, J. and B. J. Boruff. Assessing the potential for concentrated solar power development in rural Australia. *Energy Policy*. 2010. 38: 5272-5280.
39. Cansino, J. M., M. a. d. P. Pablo-Romero, R. o. Román and R. o. Yniguez. Tax incentives to promote green electricity: An overview of EU-27 countries. *Energy Policy* 2010. 38: 6000-6008.
40. Purohit, I. and P. Purohit. Techno-economic evaluation of concentrating solar power generation in India. *Energy Policy*. 2010. 38: 3015-3029.
41. Badcock, J. and M. Lenzen. Subsidies for electricity-generating technologies: A review. *Energy Policy*. 2010. 38: 5038-5047.
42. Kosnik, L. The potential for small scale hydropower development in the US. *Energy Policy* 2010. 38: 5512-5519.
43. Arena, U., F. Di Gregorio and M. Santonastasi. A techno-economic comparison between two design configurations for a small scale, biomass-to-energy gasification based system. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 2010. 162(2): 580-590.
44. Gomis-Bellmunt, O., A. Junyent-Ferré, A. Sumper and S. Galceran-Arellano. Maximum generation power evaluation of variable frequency offshore wind farms when connected to a single power converter. *Applied Energy*. 2010. 87(10): 3103-3109.
45. Thirugnanasambandam, M., S. Iniyan and R. Goic. A review of solar thermal technologies☆. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2010. 14(1): 312-322.
46. Abu-Khader, M. M. Recent advances in nuclear power: A review. *Progress in Nuclear Energy*. 2009. 51: 225-235.
47. Altmana, I. and T. Johnson. Organization of the current U.S. biopower industry: A template for future bioenergy industries. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 2009. 33: 779-784.
48. Bolinger, M. and R. Wiser. Wind power price trends in the United States: Struggling to remain competitive in the face of strong growth. *Energy Policy* 2009. 37: 1061-1071.
49. Calde's, N., M. Varela, M. Santamaría and R. Sa'ez. Economic impact of solar thermal electricity deployment in Spain. *Energy Policy*. 2009. 37: 1628-1636.
50. Chen, C. and E. S. Rubin. CO₂ control technology effects on IGCC plant performance and cost. *Energy Policy* 2009. 37: 915-924.

51. Othman, M. R., Martunus, R. Zakaria and W. J. N. Fernando. Strategic planning on carbon capture from coal fired plants in Malaysia and Indonesia: A review. *Energy Policy* 2009. 37: 1718-1735.
52. Fthenakis, V., J. E. Mason and K. Zweibel. The technical, geographical, and economic feasibility for solar energy to supply the energy needs of the US. *Energy Policy* 2009. 37: 387-399.
53. Hansson, J., G. r. Berndes, F. Johnsson and J. Kja`rstad. Co-firing biomass with coal for electricity generation—An assessment of the potential in EU27. *Energy Policy*. 2009. 37: 1444-1455.
54. Gallup, D. L. Production engineering in geothermal technology: A review. *Geothermics*. 2009. 38(3): 326-334.
55. Soheli, M. I., M. Sellier, L. J. Brackney and S. Krumdieck. Efficiency improvement for geothermal power generation to meet summer peak demand. *Energy Policy*. 2009. 37: 3370-3376.
56. Yilanci, A., I. Dincer and H. K. Ozturk. A review on solar-hydrogen/fuel cell hybrid energy systems for stationary applications. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2009. 35(3): 231-244.
57. Neij, L. Cost development of future technologies for power generation—A study based on experience curves and complementary bottom-up assessments. *Energy Policy* 2008. 36: 2200- 2211.
58. Kosnik, L. The potential of water power in the fight against global warming in the US. *Energy Policy* 2008. 36: 3252- 3265.
59. Driver, D. Making a material difference in energy. *Energy Policy* 2008. 36: 4302-4309.
60. Oliver, T. Clean fossil-fuelled power generation☆. *Energy Policy*. 2008. 36(12): 4310-4316.
61. Yin, C., L. A. Rosendahl and S. K. Kær. Grate-firing of biomass for heat and power production. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 2008. 34: 725-754.
62. Mueller, M. and R. Wallace. Enabling science and technology for marine renewable energy. *Energy Policy*. 2008. 36: 4376-4382.
63. Shanthakumar, S., D. N. Singh and R. C. Phadke. Flue gas conditioning for reducing suspended particulate matter from thermal power stations. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 2008. 34: 685- 695.

64. Di Blasi, C. Combustion and gasification rates of lignocellulosic chars. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2009. 35(2): 121-140.
65. Som, S. and A. Datta. Thermodynamic irreversibilities and exergy balance in combustion processes. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2008. 34(3): 351-376.
66. Rubin, E. S., C. Chen and A. B. Rao. Cost and performance of fossil fuel power plants with CO₂ capture and storage. *Energy Policy* 2007. 35: 4444-4454.
67. Damen, K., M. Vantroot, A. Faaij and W. Turkenburg. A comparison of electricity and hydrogen production systems with CO₂ capture and storage—Part B: Chain analysis of promising CCS options. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2007. 33(6): 580-609.
68. Koornneef, J., M. Junginger and A. Faaij. Development of fluidized bed combustion—An overview of trends, performance and cost. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2007. 33(1): 19-55.
69. Beer, J. High efficiency electric power generation: The environmental role. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2007. 33(2): 107-134.
70. Decarolis, J. and D. Keith. The economics of large-scale wind power in a carbon constrained world. *Energy Policy*. 2006. 34(4): 395-410.
71. Duffey, R. B. Sustainable Futures Using Nuclear Energy. *Progress in Nuclear Energy* 2005. 47(1-4): 535-543.
72. Buhre, B., L. Elliott, C. Sheng, R. Gupta, *et al.* Oxy-fuel combustion technology for coal-fired power generation. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2005. 31(4): 283-307.
73. Khaliq, A. and R. Kumar. Finite-time heat-transfer analysis and ecological optimization of an endoreversible and regenerative gas-turbine power-cycle. *Applied Energy*. 2005. 81(1): 73-84.
74. Nakata, T. Energy-economic models and the environment. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2004. 30(4): 417-475.
75. Sahin, A. Progress and recent trends in wind energy. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2004. 30(5): 501-543.
76. En, Z. Solar energy in progress and future research trends. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2004. 30(4): 367-416.
77. Tsoutsos, T., V. Gekas and K. Marketaki. Technical and economical evaluation of solar thermal power generation. *Renewable Energy* 2003. 28: 873-886.

78. Egrle, D. and J. C. Milewski. The diversity of hydropower projects. *Energy Policy* 2002. 30: 1225-1230.
79. Werther, J., M. Saenger, E.-U. Hartge, T. Ogada, *et al.* Combustion of agricultural residues. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2000. 26: 1-27.
80. Walt, P., Electricity: Decentralized Futures, Electric Futures: Pointers and Possibilities-Transforming Electricity: Working Paper 3. 1997.
81. Silva Herran, D. and T. Nakata. Design of decentralized energy systems for rural electrification in developing countries considering regional disparity. *Applied Energy*. 2012. 91(1): 130-145.
82. Ramchurn, S. D., P. Vytelingum, A. Rogers and N. R. Jennings. Putting the 'smarts' into the smart grid: A grand challenge for artificial intelligence. *Communications of the ACM*. 2012. 55(4): 86-97.
83. Narula, K., Y. Nagai and S. Pachauri. The role of Decentralized Distributed Generation in achieving universal rural electrification in South Asia by 2030. *Energy Policy*. 2012. 47: 345-357.
84. Mainali, B. and S. Silveira. Renewable energy markets in rural electrification: Country case Nepal. *Energy for Sustainable Development*. 2012. 16(2): 168-178.
85. Hiremath, R. B. and B. Kumar. Low-cost bioenergy options for rural India. *Journal of Management in Engineering*. 2012. 28(1): 70-80.
86. Guarracino, M. R., A. Irpino, N. Radziukyniene and R. Verde. Supervised classification of distributed data streams for smart grids. *Energy Systems*. 2012. 3(1): 95-108.
87. Angrisani, G., C. Roselli and M. Sasso. Distributed microtrigeneration systems. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2012. 38(4): 502-521.
88. Amoiralis, E. I., M. A. Tsili and A. G. Kladas. Power transformer economic evaluation in decentralized electricity markets. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 2012. 59(5): 2329-2341.
89. Palit, D., R. Malhotra and A. Kumar. Sustainable model for financial viability of decentralized biomass gasifier based power projects. *Energy Policy*. 2011. 39(9): 4893-4901.

90. Hiremath, R. B., B. Kumar, P. Balachandra and N. H. Ravindranath. Decentralized sustainable energy planning of Tumkur district, India. *Environmental Progress and Sustainable Energy*. 2011. 30(2): 248-258.
91. Buriticá-Arboleda, C. I. and C. Álvarez-Bel. Decentralized energy: Key to improve the electric supply security. 2011.
92. Beer, S., L. Bischofs, J. González and J. Trefke. A regional electricity market approach in view of related Smart Grid initiatives. 2011.
93. Sheikh, M. A. Energy and renewable energy scenario of Pakistan. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2010. 14(1): 354-363.
94. Iglinski, B., W. Kujawski, R. Buczkowski and M. Cichosz. Renewable energy in the Kujawsko-Pomorskie Voivodeship (Poland). *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2010. 14(4): 1336-1341.
95. Chen, F., S.-M. Lu, E. Wang and K.-T. Tseng. Renewable energy in Taiwan. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2010. 14(7): 2029-2038.
96. Kumar, A., K. Kumar, N. Kaushik, S. Sharma, *et al.* Renewable energy in India: Current status and future potentials. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2010. 14(8): 2434-2442.
97. Eltawil, M. A. and Z. Zhao. Grid-connected photovoltaic power systems: Technical and potential problems—A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2010. 14: 112-129.
98. Salas, V. and E. Olías. Overview of the state of technique for PV inverters used in low voltage grid-connected PV systems: Inverters below 10 kW, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2009. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2008.10.003. 2009.
99. Carlos, R. M. and D. B. Khang. A lifecycle-based success framework for gridconnected biomass energy projects. *Renewable Energy*. 2009. 34(5): 1195-203.
100. Doukas, H., C. Karakosta and J. Psarras. RES technology transfer within the new climate regime: a “helicopter” view under the CDM. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2009. 13(5): 1138-43.
101. Asif, M. Sustainable energy options for Pakistan. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2009. 13: 903–909.
102. Ghobadian, B., G. Najafi, H. Rahimi and T. F. Yusaf. Future of renewable energies in Iran. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2009. 13(3): 689-695.

103. Chen, L., L. Xing and L. Han. Renewable energy from agro-residues in China: Solid biofuels and biomass briquetting technology. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2009. 13(9): 2689-2695.
104. Himri, Y., A. S. Malik, A. Boudghene Stambouli, S. Himri, *et al.* Review and use of the Algerian renewable energy for sustainable development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2009. 13(6-7): 1584-1591.
105. Paska, J., M. Salek and T. Surma. Current status and perspectives of renewable energy sources in Poland. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2009. 13(1): 142-154.
106. Nguyen, N. T. and M. Ha-Duong. Economic potential of renewable energy in Vietnam's power sector. *Energy Policy* 2009. 37 1601-1613.
107. Gokcol, C., B. Dursun, B. Alboyaci and E. Sunan. Importance of biomass energy as alternative to other sources in Turkey. *Energy Policy* 2009. 37: 424-431.
108. Yilanci, A., I. Dincer and H. K. Ozturk. A review on solar-hydrogen/fuel cell hybrid energy systems for stationary applications. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2009. 35: 231-244.
109. Walker, G. Decentralised systems and fuel poverty: are there any links or risks? *Energy Policy*. 2008. 36(12): 4514-7.
110. Purohit, P. Small hydro power projects under clean development mechanism in India: a preliminary assessment. *Energy Policy*. 2008. 36(6): 2000-15.
111. Adhikari, S., N. Mithulananthan, A. Dutta and A. Mathias. Potential of sustainable energy technologies under CDM in Thailand: opportunities and barriers. *Renewable Energy*. 2008. 33(9): 2122-33.
112. Lybaek, R. Discovering market opportunities for future CDM projects in Asia based on biomass combined heat and power production and supply of district heating. *Energy for Sustainable Development*. 2008. 12(2): 34-48.
113. Mirza, U. K., N. Ahmad and T. Majeed. An overview of biomass energy utilization in Pakistan. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2008. 12: 1988-96.
114. Nouni, M. R., S. C. Mullick and T. C. Kandpal. Providing electricity access to remote areas in India: An approach towards identifying potential areas for decentralized electricity supply. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2008. 12(5): 1187-1220.

115. Bilgen, S., S. Keles, A. Kaygusuz, A. SarI, *et al.* Global warming and renewable energy sources for sustainable development: A case study in Turkey. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2008. 12(2): 372-396.
116. Rofiqul Islam, M., M. Rabiul Islam and M. Rafiqul Alam Beg. Renewable energy resources and technologies practice in Bangladesh. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2008. 12(2): 299-343.
117. Sumathi, S., S. P. Chai and A. R. Mohamed. Utilization of oil palm as a source of renewable energy in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2008. 12(9): 2404-2421.
118. Zoulias, E. and N. Lymberopoulos. Techno-economic analysis of the integration of hydrogen energy technologies in renewable energy-based stand-alone power systems. *Renewable Energy*. 2007. 32(4): 680-96.
119. Kasseris, E., Z. Samaras and D. Zafeiris. Optimization of a wind-power fuel-cell hybrid system in an autonomous electrical network environment. *Renewable Energy* 2007. 32(1): 57-79.
120. Hiremath, R. and N. H. Ravindranath. Somashekhar H. Status of decentralized energy planning—case studies report. Bangalore: Center for Sustainable Technologies (CST). *IISc*. 2007.
121. Purohit, P. and A. Michaelowa. CDM potential of bagasse cogeneration in India. *Energy Policy*. 2007. 35(10): 4779-98.
122. Omer, A. M. Renewable energy resources for electricity generation in Sudan. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2007. 11(7): 1481-1497.
123. Zeng, X., Y. Ma and L. Ma. Utilization of straw in biomass energy in China. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2007. 11(5): 976-987.
124. K Hossain, A. and O. Badr. Prospects of renewable energy utilisation for electricity generation in Bangladesh. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2007. 11(8): 1617-1649.
125. Hiremath, R., S. Shikha and N. Ravindranath. Decentralized energy planning; modeling and application—a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2007. 11(5): 729-52.
126. Holland, R., L. Perera, T. Sanchez and R. Wilkinson. Decentralised rural electrification: the critical success factors. *Experience of ITDG (Intermediate Technology Developmental Group)*. 2006.

127. Gulli, F. Small distributed generation versus centralised supply: a social costbenefit analysis in the residential and service sectors. *Energy Policy*. 2006. 34(7): 804-32.
128. Bugaje, I. M. Renewable energy for sustainable development in Africa: a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2006. 10(6): 603-612.
129. Mahmoud, M. M. and I. H. Ibrik. Techno-economic feasibility of energy supply of remote villages in Palestine by PV-systems, diesel generators and electric grid. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2006. 10(2): 128-38.
130. Jebaraj, S. and S. Iniyar. A review of energy models. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2006. 10(4): 281-311.
131. Ravindranath, N. H., P. Balachandra, S. Dasappa and R. K. Usha. Bioenergy technologies for carbon abatement. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2006. 30(10): 826-37.
132. Bernal-Agustin, J. L. and R. Dufo-Lopez. Economical and environmental analysis of grid connected photovoltaic systems in Spain. *Renewable Energy*. 2006. 31(8): 1107-28.
133. Fernandez-Infantes, A., J. Contreras and J. L. Bernal-Agustin. Design of grid connected PV systems considering electrical, economical and environmental aspects: a practical case. *Renewable Energy* 2006. 31(13): 2042-62.
134. Dosiak, L. and P. Pillay, Modeling of a stand alone horizontal axis wind turbine. Unpublished report. 2005.
135. Rabah, K. V. O. Integrated solar energy systems for rural electrification in Kenya. *Renewable Energy*. 2005. 30(1): 23-42.
136. Nakata, T., K. Kubo and A. Lamont. Design for renewable energy systems with application to rural areas in Japan. *Energy Policy* 2005. 33(2): 209-19.
137. Khan, M. and M. Iqbal. Pre-feasibility study of stand-alone hybrid energy systems for applications in Newfoundland. *Renewable Energy*. 2005. 30(6): 835-54.
138. Pelet, X., D. Favrat and G. Leyland. Multiobjective optimisation of integrated energy systems for remote communities considering economics and CO2 emissions. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*. 2005. 44(12): 1180-9.
139. Santarelli, M. and D. Pellegrino. Mathematical optimization of a RES-H2 plant using a black box algorithm. *Renewable Energy*. 2005. 30(4): 493-510.

140. Kamel, S. and C. Dahl. The economics of hybrid power systems for sustainable desert agriculture in Egypt. *Energy*. 2005. 30(8): 1271-81.
141. Jeong, K., W. Lee and C. Kim. Energy management strategies of a fuel cell/battery hybrid system using fuzzy logics. *Journal of Power Sources*. 2005. 145(2): 319-26.
142. Silveira, S. Promoting bioenergy through the clean development mechanism. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2005. 28(2): 107-17.
143. Santarelli, M., M. Cali and S. Macagno. Design and analysis of stand-alone hydrogen energy systems with different renewable sources. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2004. 29(15): 1571-86.
144. Hoogwijk, M., B. d. Vries and W. Turkenburg. Assessment of the global and regional geographical, technical and economic potential of onshore wind energy. *Energy Economics*. 2004. 26(5): 889-919.
145. Lindenberger, D., T. Bruckner, R. Morrison, H. Groscurth, *et al.* Modernization of local energy systems. *Energy*. 2004. 29(2): 245-56.
146. Kishore, V. V. N., P. M. Bhandari and P. Gupta. Biomass energy technologies for rural infrastructure and village power-opportunities and challenges in the context of global climate change concerns. *Energy Policy*. 2004. 32(6): 801-10.
147. Beck, F. and E. Martinot. Renewable energy policies and barriers. In: Cleveland CJ, editor; Technical report. *Encyclopedia of Energy*. 2004.
148. Bakos, G. C. and N. F. Tsagas. Technoeconomic assessment of a hybrid solar/wind installation for electrical energy saving. *Energy and Buildings*. 2003. 35(2): 139-45.
149. Chang, J., D. Y. C. Leung, C. Z. Wu and Z. H. Yuan. A review on the energy production, consumption, and prospect of renewable energy in China. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2003. 7(5): 453-468.
150. Kumar, A., J. B. Cameron and P. C. Flynn. Biomass power cost and optimum plant size in western Canada. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2003. 24(6): 445-64.
151. Kaldellis, J. K. Feasibility evaluation of Greek State 1990–2001 wind energy program. *Energy*. 2003. 28(14): 1375-94.
152. Atikol, U. and H. Guven. Impact of cogeneration on integrated resource planning of Turkey. *Energy*. 2003. 28(12): 1259-77.

153. Dasappa, S., H. V. Sridhar, G. Sridhar, P. J. Paul, *et al.* Biomass gasification—a substitute to fossil fuel for heat application. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2003. 25(6): 637-49.
154. Ro, K. and S. Rahman. Control of grid-connected fuel cell plants for enhancement of power system stability. *Renewable Energy*. 2003. 28(3): 397-407.
155. Kolhe, M., S. Kolhe and J. C. Joshi. Economic viability of stand-alone solar photovoltaic system in comparison with diesel-powered system for India. *Energy Economics* 2002. 24(2): 155-65.
156. Chakrabarti, S. and S. Chakrabarti. Rural electrification programme with solar energy in remote region—a case study in an island. *Energy Policy*. 2002. 30(1): 33-42.
157. Martinot, E. Grid-based renewable energy in developing countries: policies, strategies, and lessons from the Global Environment Facility (GEF), Washington, DC., Technical report. *World Renewable Energy Policy and Strategy Forum, Berlin, Germany*. 2002.
158. TERI. Survey of renewable energy in India (TERI Project Report No. 2000RT45). Technical report. . *Tata Energy Research Institute, New Delhi*. 2001.
159. Manolakos, D., G. Papadakis, D. Papantonis and S.Kyritsis. A simulation–optimisation programme for designing hybrid energy systems for supplying electricity and fresh water through desalination to remote areas: case study: the Merssini village, Donoussa island, Aegean Sea, Greece. *Energy*. 2001. 26(7): 679-704.
160. Gupta, A. K. International conference on accelerating grid-based renewable energy power generation for a clean environment policy approaches: *the Indian experience*. 2000.
161. Stone, J., H. Ullal, A. Chaurey, P. Bhatia, *et al.* Mission initiative impact study—a rural electrification project in West Bengal, India. *In: Photovoltaic Specialists Conference (2000), Conference Record of the Twenty-Eighth IEEE*. 2000. 2000: 1571-4.
162. Bates, J. and A. Wilshaw. Stand-alone PV systems in developing countries. Technical report. The International Energy Agency (IEA). *Photovoltaic Power Systems (PVPS) programme*. 1999.

163. Ackermann, T., K. Garner and A. Gardiner. Embedded wind generation in weak grids-economic optimisation and power quality simulation. *Renewable Energy* 1999. 18(2): 205-21.
164. Meurer, C., H. Barthels, W. A. Brocke, B. Emonts, *et al.* PHOEBUS—an autonomous supply system with renewable energy: six years of operational experience and advanced concepts. *Solar Energy* 1999. 67(1-3): 131-8.
165. Vosen, S. R. and J. O. Keller. Hybrid energy storage systems for stand-alone electric power systems: optimization of system performance and cost through control strategies. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 1999. 24(12): 1139-56.
166. Rana, S., R. Chandra, S. P. Singh and M. S. Sodha. Optimal mix of renewable energy resources to meet the electrical energy demand in villages of Madhya Pradesh. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 1998. 39(3-4): 203-16.
167. Sidrach-de-Cardona, M. and L. M. Lopez. Evaluation of a grid-connected photovoltaic system in southern Spain. *Renewable Energy* 1998. 1-4(527-30).
168. Gabler, H. and J. Luther. Wind-solar hybrid electrical supply systems. Results from a simulation model and optimization with respect to energy pay back time. *Solar & Wind Technology*. 1988. 5(3): 239-47.
169. Ravindranath, N. H. and D. O. Hall. Biomass, energy and environment—a developing country perspective from India. *Oxford University press*. 1995.
170. Ravindranath, N. H. Biomass gasification: environmentally sound technology for decentralized power generation, a case study from India. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 1993. 4(1): 49-60.
171. Ramakumar, R., I. Abouzah and K. Ashenayi. A knowledge-based approach to the design of integrated renewable energy systems. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion* 1992. 7(4): 648-59.
172. Joshi, B., T. S. Bhatti and N. K. Bansal. Decentralized energy planning model for a typical village in India. *Energy*. 1992. 17(9): 869-76.
173. Siyambalapitiya, D., S. Rajapakse, S. d. Mel, S. Fernando, *et al.* Evaluation of grid connected rural electrification projects in developing countries. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 1991. 6(1): 332-8.
174. Reddy, A. K. N., G. D. Sumithra, P. Balachandra and A. D'sa. Comparative costs of electricity conservation, centralized and decentralized electricity generation. *Economic and Political Weekly*. 1990. 15(2): 1201-16.

175. Sinis'a, N. D., D. P. Stevan, M. D. Jelena, A. R. Jovana, *et al.* An overview of biomass energy utilization in Vojvodina. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2010. 14: 550-553.
176. Chang, J., D. Y. C. Leung, C. Z. Wu and Z. H. Yuan. A review on the energy production, consumption, and prospect of renewable energy in China. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2003. 7: 453–68.
177. Bridgwater, A. V., A.J.Toft and J. G. Brammer. A techno-economic comparison of power production by biomass fast pyrolysis with gasification and combustion. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2002. 6: 181–248.
178. Balat, M., H. Balat and C. O'z. Progress in bioethanol processing. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2008. 34: 551-573.
179. Demirbas, A. Progress and recent trends in biofuels. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2007. 33: 1-18.
180. Inayat, A., M. M. Ahmad, S. Yusup and M. I. A. Mutalib. Biomass Steam Gasification with In-Situ CO₂ Capture for Enriched Hydrogen Gas Production: A Reaction Kinetics Modelling Approach. *Energies*. 2010. 3: 1472-1484.
181. Inayat, A., M. M. Ahmad, M. I. A. Mutalib and S. Yusup. Flowsheet Development and Modelling of Hydrogen Production from Empty Fruit Bunch via Steam Gasification. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*. 2010. 21: 427-432.
182. Inayat, A., M. M. Ahmad, M. I. A. Mutalib and S. Yusup. Effect of Process Parameters on Hydrogen Production and Efficiency in Biomass Gasification using Modelling Approach. *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2010. 10(24): 3183-3190.
183. Lenzen, M., Current state of development of electricity-generating technologies– a literature review. 2009, Integrated Sustainability Analysis, The University of Sydney.
184. Fanchi, J. R., Energy: Technology and Directions for The Future. 2004, Elsevier Academic Press: Amsterdam.
185. Ayoub, N., H. Seki and Y. Naka. Superstructure-based design and operation for biomass utilization networks *Computers & Chemical Engineering*. 2009. 33(10): 1770-1780.
186. Ross, J. R. H. Steam Reforming of Hydrocarbons. *Magnetohydrodynamics (English translation of Magnitaya Gidrodinamika)*. 1975. 4(Compendex): 34-67.

187. Buragohain, B., P. Mahanta and V. S. Moholkar. Biomass gasification for decentralized power generation: The Indian perspective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2010. 14(1): 73-92.
188. Lora, E. S. and R. V. Andrade. Biomass as energy source in Brazil. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2009. 13(4): 777-788.
189. Sahinidis, N. V. Optimization under uncertainty: state-of-the-art and opportunities. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*. 2004. 28: 971-983.
190. Parker, E. N. Sunny side of global warming. *Nature*. 1999. 399: 416-417.
191. Ventosa, M., A. I. Bar'illo, A. s. Ramos and M. Rivier. Electricity market modeling trends. *Energy Policy* 2005. 33: 897-913.
192. Jebaraj, S. and S. Iniyar. A review of energy models. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2006. 10: 281-311.
193. Minguez, R., F. Milano, R. Zarate-Miano and A. J. Conejo. Optimal network placement of SVC devices. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2007. 22(4): 1851-1860.
194. Dong, F., B. H. Chowdhury and M. L. Crow. Improving voltage stability by reactive power reserve management. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2005. 20(1): 338-345.
195. Venkatesh, B., G. Sandasivam and M. A. Khan. A new optimal reactive power scheduling method for loss minimization and voltage stability margin maximization using successive multi-objective fuzzy LP technique. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2000. 15(2): 844-851.
196. Sode-Yome, A., N. Mithulananthan and K. Y. Lee. A maximum loading margin method for static voltage stability in power systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2006. 21(2): 496-501.
197. Rosehart, W., C. A. Canizares and V. H. Quintana. Multi-objective optimal power flows to evaluate voltage security costs in power networks. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2003. 18(2): 578-587.
198. Wang, R. and R. H. Lasseter. Re-dispatching generation to increase power system security margin and support low voltage bus. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2000. 15(2): 496-501.
199. Wiszniewski, A. New criteria of voltage stability margin for the purpose of load shedding. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*. 2007. 22(3): 1367-1371.

200. Nikolaidis, V. C. and C. D. Vournas. Design strategies for load-shedding schemes against voltage collapse in the Hellenic system. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2008. 23(2): 582-591.
201. Milan, F., C. A. Canizares and M. Invernizzi. Multi-objective optimization for pricing system security in electricity markets. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*. 2003. 18(2): 596-604.
202. Radcenco, V., J. V. C. Vergas and A. Bejan. Thermodynamic optimization of a gas-turbine power plant with pressure-drop irreversibilities. *Trans ASME. J Energy Res Tech*. 1998. 120(3): 233-40.
203. Bejan, A. *Entropy generation through heat and fluidflow*. New York: Wiley. 1982
204. Radcenco, V. *Generalized thermodynamics. [in English]*. Bucharest: Editura Technica. 1994
205. Bejan, A. Maximum power from fluidflow. *International Journal of Heat & Mass Transfer*. 1996. 39(6): 1175-81.
206. Bejan, A. *Entropy-generation minimization*. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press. 1996
207. Bejan, A. *Advanced engineering thermodynamics*. 2nd. ed. New York: Wiley. 1997
208. Chen, L., W. C. Sun and Y. J. Performance characteristic of fluid-flow converters. *J Inst Energy*. 1998. 71(489): 209-15.
209. Chen, L., Y. Bi and C. Wu. Influence of non-linear flow resistance relation on the power and efficiency from fluidflow. *J Phys D: Appl Phys*. 1999. 32(12): 1346-9.
210. Uran, V. Optimization system for combined heat and electricity production in the wood-processing industry. *Energy* 2006. 31: 2996-3016.
211. Thiruvenkatachari, R., S. Su, H. An and X. X. Yu. Post combustion CO₂ capture by carbon fibre monolithic adsorbents. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2009. 35 438-455.
212. IPCC, IPCC Special report on carbon dioxide capture and storage. 2005, Cambridge University Press: New York.
213. EC, World Energy Technology Outlook 2050 – WETO H2. 2006, European Commission, Directorate-General for Research: Brussels, Belgium.
214. IEA, Energy technology perspectives – scenarios & strategies to 2050. 2006, International Energy Agency: Paris, France.

215. MIT, The future of coal – options for a carbon constraint world. 2007, Massachusetts Institute of Technology: Cambridge, US.
216. van-den-Broek, M., R. Hoefnagels, E. Rubin, W. Turkenburg, *et al.* Effects of technological learning on future cost and performance of power plants with CO₂ capture. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 2009. 35: 457-480.
217. Sharp, J. A. Price DHR. Experience curve models in the electricity supply industry. *International Journal of Forecasting*. 1990. 6(4): 531.
218. Yeh, S. and E. S. Rubin. A centurial history of technological change and learning curves for pulverized coal-fired utility boilers. *Energy*. 2007. 32(10): 1996.
219. Arivalagan, A. and B. G. Raghavendra. Integrated energy optimization model for a cogeneration based energy supply system in the process industry. *Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 1995. 17(4): 227-233.
220. Phillips, B. R. and R. S. Middleton. SimWIND: A geospatial infrastructure model for optimizing wind power generation and transmission. *Energy Policy*. 2012. 43: 291-302.
221. Yu, X. and A. M. Khambadkone. Reliability analysis and cost optimization of parallel-inverter system. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*. 2012. 59(10): 3881-3889.
222. Hafez, O. and K. Bhattacharya. Optimal planning and design of a renewable energy based supply system for microgrids. *Renewable Energy*. 2012. 45: 7-15.
223. Grillo, S., M. Marinelli, S. Massucco and F. Silvestro. Optimal management strategy of a battery-based storage system to improve renewable energy integration in distribution networks. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*. 2012. 3(2): 950-958.
224. Basbous, T., R. Younes, A. Ilinca and J. Perron. A new hybrid pneumatic combustion engine to improve fuel consumption of wind-Diesel power system for non-interconnected areas. *Applied Energy*. 2012. 96: 459-476.
225. Chen, C., Y. P. Li, G. H. Huang and Y. F. Li. A robust optimization method for planning regional-scale electric power systems and managing carbon dioxide. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*. 2012. 40(1): 70-84.

226. Antonelli, M. and L. Martorano. A study on the rotary steam engine for distributed generation in small size power plants. *Applied Energy*. 2012. 97: 642-647.
227. Kim, J., M. J. Realff, J. H. Lee, C. Whittaker, *et al.* Design of biomass processing network for biofuel production using an MILP model. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2011. 35(2): 853-871.
228. Bazmi, A. A. and G. Zahedi. Sustainable energy systems: Role of optimization modeling techniques in power generation and supply--A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2011. 15(8): 3480-3500.
229. Lal, D. K., B. B. Dash and A. K. Akella. Optimization of PV/Wind/Micro-Hydro/diesel hybrid power system in homer for the study area. *International Journal on Electrical Engineering and Informatics*. 2011. 3(3): 307-325.
230. Kalina, J. Modelling of fluidized bed biomass gasification in the quasi-equilibrium regime for preliminary performance studies of energy conversion plants. *Chemical and Process Engineering - Inzynieria Chemiczna i Procesowa*. 2011. 32(2): 73-89.
231. Arslan, O. and O. Yetik. ANN based optimization of supercritical ORC-Binary geothermal power plant: Simav case study. *Applied Thermal Engineering*. 2011. 31(17-18): 3922-3928.
232. Coelho, L. d. S. and A. A. P. Santos. A RBF neural network model with GARCH errors: Application to electricity price forecasting. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 2011. 81(1): 74-83.
233. Foley, A. M., B. P. Ó Gallachóir, J. Hur, R. Baldick, *et al.* A strategic review of electricity systems models. *Energy*. 2010. 35 4522-4530.
234. Go´mez-Barea, A. and B. Leckner. Modeling of biomass gasification in fluidized bed. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 2010. 36: 444-509.
235. Liang, R.-H., Y.-K. Chen and Y.-T. Chen. Volt/Var control in a distribution system by a fuzzy optimization approach. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2010. In Press.
236. Bhatt, P., R. Roy and S. P. Ghoshal. GA/particle swarm intelligence based optimization of two specific varieties of controller devices applied to two-area multi-units automatic generation control. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2010. 32(4): 299-310.

237. Cayer, E., N. Galanis and H. Nesreddine. Parametric study and optimization of a transcritical power cycle using a low temperature source. *Applied Energy*. 2010. 87(4): 1349-1357.
238. Ren, H. and W. Gao. A MILP model for integrated plan and evaluation of distributed energy systems. *Applied Energy*. 2010. 87(3): 1001-1014.
239. Jing, L., P. Gang and J. Jie. Optimization of low temperature solar thermal electric generation with Organic Rankine Cycle in different areas. *Applied Energy*. 2010. 87(11): 3355-3365.
240. Azadeh, A., M. R. Skandari and B. Maleki-Shoja. An integrated ant colony optimization approach to compare strategies of clearing market in electricity markets: Agent-based simulation. *Energy Policy*. 2010. 38(10): 6307-6319.
241. Yusta, J. M., F. Torres and H. M. Khodr. Optimal methodology for a machining process scheduling in spot electricity markets. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2010. 51(12): 2647-2654.
242. Möst, D. and D. Keles. A survey of stochastic modelling approaches for liberalised electricity markets. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 2010. 207(2): 543-556.
243. Amjadi, M. H., H. Nezamabadi-pour and M. M. Farsangi. Estimation of electricity demand of Iran using two heuristic algorithms. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2010. 51(3): 493-497.
244. Porkar, S., P. Poure, A. Abbaspour-Tehrani-fard and S. Saadate. A novel optimal distribution system planning framework implementing distributed generation in a deregulated electricity market. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 2010. 80(7): 828-837.
245. Niu, D., D. Liu and D. D. Wu. A soft computing system for day-ahead electricity price forecasting. *Applied Soft Computing*. 2010. 10(3): 868-875.
246. Niknam, T., B. B. Firouzi and A. Ostadi. A new fuzzy adaptive particle swarm optimization for daily Volt/Var control in distribution networks considering distributed generators. *Applied Energy*. 2010. 87(6): 1919-1928.
247. Wang, J., Z. Sun, Y. Dai and S. Ma. Parametric optimization design for supercritical CO₂ power cycle using genetic algorithm and artificial neural network. *Applied Energy*. 2010. 87(4): 1317-1324.

248. Sadhukhan, J., K. S. Ng, N. Shah and H. J. Simons. Heat Integration Strategy for Economic Production of Combined Heat and Power from Biomass Waste. *Energy & Fuels*. 2009. 23: 5106-5120.
249. Frombo, F., R. Minciardi, M. Robba, F. Rosso, *et al.* Planning woody biomass logistics for energy production: A strategic decision model. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 2009 33: 372-383.
250. Østergaard, P. A. Reviewing optimisation criteria for energy systems analyses of renewable energy integration. *Energy*. 2009. 34(9): 1236-1245.
251. Ehsani, A., A. Ranjbar and M. Fotuhifiruzabad. A proposed model for co-optimization of energy and reserve in competitive electricity markets. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*. 2009. 33(1): 92-109.
252. Hatami, A., H. Seifi and M. Sheikheleslami. Optimal selling price and energy procurement strategies for a retailer in an electricity market. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 2009. 79(1): 246-254.
253. Yucekaya, A., J. Valenzuela and G. Dozier. Strategic bidding in electricity markets using particle swarm optimization. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 2009. 79(2): 335-345.
254. Toksari, M. Estimating the net electricity energy generation and demand using the ant colony optimization approach: Case of Turkey. *Energy Policy*. 2009.
255. Bunn, D. and C. Day. Computational modelling of price formation in the electricity pool of England and Wales. *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*. 2009. 33(2): 363-376.
256. Siahkali, H. and M. Vakilian. Electricity generation scheduling with large-scale wind farms using particle swarm optimization. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 2009. 79(5): 826-836.
257. Chicco, G. and P. Mancarella. Matrix modelling of small-scale trigeneration systems and application to operational optimization. *Energy*. 2009. 34: 261-273.
258. Malo, P. Modeling electricity spot and futures price dependence: A multifrequency approach. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*. 2009. 388(22): 4763-4779.
259. Rentizelas, A. A., I. P. Tatsiopoulos and A. Tolis. An optimization model for multi-biomass tri-generation energy supply. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2009. 33(2): 223-233.

260. Louit, D., R. Pascual and D. Banjevic. Optimal interval for major maintenance actions in electricity distribution networks. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2009. 31(7-8): 396-401.
261. Mondol, J. D., Y. G. Yohanis and B. Norton. Optimising the economic viability of grid-connected photovoltaic systems. *Applied Energy* 2009. 86 985-999.
262. Yang, H., Z. Wei and L. Chengzhi. Optimal design and techno-economic analysis of a hybrid solar–wind power generation system. *Applied Energy*. 2009. 86(2): 163-169.
263. Benth, F. E. and S. Koekebakker. Stochastic modeling of financial electricity contracts☆. *Energy Economics*. 2008. 30(3): 1116-1157.
264. Ayoub, N., H. Seki and Y. Naka. A Methodology for Designing and Evaluating Biomass Utilization Networks. *18th European Symposium on Computer Aided Process Engineering – ESCAPE 18*: Elsevier. 2008. 1053-1058.
265. Diblasi, C. Modeling chemical and physical processes of wood and biomass pyrolysis. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2008. 34(1): 47-90.
266. Baskar, G. and M. Mohan. Security constrained economic load dispatch using improved particle swarm optimization suitable for utility system. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2008. 30(10): 609-613.
267. Mariano, S., J. Catalao, V. Mendes and L. Ferreira. Optimising power generation efficiency for head-sensitive cascaded reservoirs in a competitive electricity market. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2008. 30(2): 125-133.
268. Zhang, W. and Y. Liu. Multi-objective reactive power and voltage control based on fuzzy optimization strategy and fuzzy adaptive particle swarm. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2008. 30(9): 525-532.
269. Shunmugalatha, A. and S. Slochanal. Optimum cost of generation for maximum loadability limit of power system using hybrid particle swarm optimization. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2008. 30(8): 486-490.
270. Smeets, E., A. Faaij, I. Lewandowski and W. Turkenburg. A bottom-up assessment and review of global bio-energy potentials to 2050. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2007. 33(1): 56-106.
271. Botterud, A. and M. Korpas. A stochastic dynamic model for optimal timing of investments in new generation capacity in restructured power systems.

- International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2007. 29(2): 163-174.
272. Erdogdu, E. Electricity demand analysis using cointegration and ARIMA modelling: A case study of Turkey. *Energy Policy*. 2007. 35(2): 1129-1146.
273. Rong, A. and R. Lahdelma. An effective heuristic for combined heat-and-power production planning with power ramp constraints. *Applied Energy*. 2007. 84(3): 307-325.
274. Henning, D., S. Amiri and K. Holmgren. Modelling and optimisation of electricity, steam and district heating production for a local Swedish utility. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 2006. 175(2): 1224-1247.
275. Chan, P., C. Hui, W. Li, H. Sakamoto, *et al.* Long-term electricity contract optimization with demand uncertainties. *Energy*. 2006. 31(13): 2469-2485.
276. Olsina, F., F. Garces and H. Haubrich. Modeling long-term dynamics of electricity markets. *Energy Policy*. 2006. 34(12): 1411-1433.
277. Caputo, A. C., M. Palumbo, P. M. Pelagagge and F. Scacchia. Economics of biomass energy utilization in combustion and gasification plants: effects of logistic variables. *Biomass and Bioenergy* 2005. 28: 35-51.
278. Brar, Y., J. Dhillon and D. Kothari. Fuzzy satisfying multi-objective generation scheduling based on simplex weightage pattern search. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2005. 27(7): 518-527.
279. Rong, A. and R. Lahdelma. An efficient linear programming model and optimization algorithm for trigeneration. *Applied Energy*. 2005. 82(1): 40-63.
280. Ostergaard, P. Modelling grid losses and the geographic distribution of electricity generation. *Renewable Energy*. 2005. 30(7): 977-987.
281. Deng, S.-J. and W. Jiang. Levy process-driven mean-reverting electricity price model: the marginal distribution analysis. *Decision Support Systems*. 2005. 40(3-4): 483-494.
282. Thorin, E., H. Brand and C. Weber. Long-term optimization of cogeneration systems in a competitive market environment. *Applied Energy*. 2005. 81(2): 152-169.
283. Castronuovo, E. and J. Lopes. Optimal operation and hydro storage sizing of a wind?hydro power plant. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2004. 26(10): 771-778.

284. Silveira, J. L. and C. E. Tuna. Thermo-economic analysis method for optimization of combined heat and power systems. Part I. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 2003. 29: 479-485.
285. Nowicka-Zagrajeka, J. and R. Weron. Modeling electricity loads in California: ARMA models with hyperbolic noise. *Signal Processing*. 2002. 82: 1903 - 1915.
286. Williams, A., M. Pourkashanian and J. M. Jones. Combustion of pulverized coal and biomass. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2001. 27: 587-610.
287. GAMS, General Algebraic Modelling System (GAMS) Official Website, Thomson Reuters
288. Iniyar, S., K. Sumathy, L. Suganthi and A. A. Samuel. Sensitivity analysis of optimal renewable energy mathematical model on demand variations. *Energy Conversion & Management*. 2000. 41: 199-211.
289. Ashok, S. Optimised model for community-based hybrid energy system. *Renewable Energy*. 2007. 32: 1155-64.
290. Karki, S., M. D. Mann and H. Salehfar. Environmental implications of renewable distributed generation technologies in rural electrification. *Energy Sources, Part B-Economics, Planning, and Policy*. 2008. 3: 186-95.
291. Nfah, E. M., J. M. Ngundam and R. Tchinda. Modelling of solar/diesel/battery hybrid power systems for far-north Cameroon. *Renewable Energy*. 2007. 32: 832-44.
292. Underwood, C. P., J. Ramachandran, R. D. Giddings and Z. Alwan. Renewable-energy clusters for remote communities. *Applied Energy*. 2007. 84: 579-98.
293. Yue, C. D. and S. S. Wang. GIS-based evaluation of multifarious local renewable energy sources: a case study of the Chigu area of southwestern Taiwan *Energy Policy*. 2006. 34: 730-42.
294. Cherni, J. A., I. Dynner, F. Henao, P. Jaramillo, *et al.* Energy supply for sustainable rural livelihoods. A multi-criteria decision-support system. *Energy Policy*. 2007. 35: 1493-504.
295. Chetty, K. M. and D. K. Subramanian. Rural energy consumption patterns with multiple objectives. *International Journal of Energy Research*. 1988. 12: 561-7.
296. Kanniappan, P. and T. Ramachandran. Goal programming model for sustainable electricity production from biomass. *International Journal of Energy Research*. 2000. 24: 1-18.

297. Pohekar, S. D. and M. Ramachandran. Application of multi-criteria decision making to sustainable energy planning – a review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2004. 8(365-81).
298. Silva, D. and T. Nakata. Multi-objective assessment of rural electrification in remote areas with poverty considerations. *Energy Policy*. 2009. 37: 3096-108.
299. Silva, H. D. and T. Nakata. Renewable technologies for rural electrification in Colombia: a multiple objective approach. *International Journal of Energy Sector Management*. 2008. 2: 139-54.
300. Nakata, T., D. Silva and M. Rodionov. Application of energy system models for designing a low-carbon society. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 2011. 37: 462-502.
301. Herran, D. S. and T. Nakata. Design of decentralized energy systems for rural electrification in developing countries considering regional disparity. *Applied Energy* 2012. 91: 130-145.
302. Amjady, N. and F. Keynia. Short-term load forecasting of power systems by combination of wavelet transform and neuro-evolutionary algorithm. *Energy*. 2009. 34: 46-57.
303. Chui, F., A. Elkamel, R. Surit, E. Croiset, *et al.* Long-term electricity demand forecasting for power system planning using economic, demographic and climatic variables. *European Journal of Industrial Engineering*. 2009. 3: 277-304.
304. Costa, A. M., P. M. Franca and C. Lyra. Two-level network design with intermediate facilities: an application to electrical distribution systems. *Omega-International Journal of Management Science*. 2011. 39: 3-13.
305. Ediger, V. S. and S. Akar. ARIMA forecasting of primary energy demand by fuel in Turkey. *Energy Policy*. 2007. 35: 1701-8.
306. Gonzalez, P. A. and J. A. Zamarreno. Prediction of hourly energy consumption in buildings based on a feedback artificial neural network. *Energy and Buildings*. 2005. 37: 595-601.
307. Hamzacebi, C. Forecasting of Turkey's net electricity energy consumption on sectoral bases. *Energy Policy*. 2007. 35: 2009-16.
308. Lauret, P., E. Fock, R. N. Randrianarivony and J. F. Manicom-Ramasamy. Bayesian neural network approach to short time load forecasting. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2008. 49: 1156-66.

309. Pappas, S. S., L. Ekonomou, P. Karampelas, D. C. Karamousantas, *et al.* Electricity demand load forecasting of the Hellenic power system using an ARMA model. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 2010. 80: 256-64.
310. Pi, D., J. Liu and X. Qin. A grey prediction approach to forecasting energy demand in China. *Energy Sources Part A-Recovery Utilization and Environmental Effects* 2010. 32: 1517-28.
311. Tavana, M. and A. Hatami-Marbini. An extension of the Electre I method for group decision-making under a fuzzy environment. *Omega-International Journal of Management Science* 2011. 39: 373-86.
312. Fagerholt, K., M. Christiansen, L. M. Hvattum, T. A. V. Johnsen, *et al.* A decision support methodology for strategic planning in maritime transportation. *Omega-International Journal of Management Science*. 2010. 38: 465-74.
313. Shenoy, P. P., C. Bielza and M. Gomez. A review of representation issues and modeling challenges with influence diagrams. *Omega-International Journal of Management Science*. 2011. 39: 227-41.
314. Liu, S. F. and Y. Lin. *Grey information: theory and practical applications*. 1st. ed. London, Britain: Springer. 2006
315. Perez-Gladish, B., I. Gonzalez, A. Bilbao-Terol and M. Arenas-Parra. Planning a TV advertising campaign: a crisp multiobjective programming model from fuzzy basic data. *Omega-International Journal of Management Science*. 2010. 38: 84-94.
316. Liu, Z. G. and A. Nagurney. Supply chain outsourcing under exchange rate risk and competition. *Omega-International Journal of Management Science*. 2011. 39: 539-49.
317. Berry, D. A. and B. W. Lindgren. *Statistics: theory and methods*. 2nd. ed. California, United States: Wadsworth Publishing Company. 1996
318. Kilr, G. J. and B. Yuan. *Fuzzy sets and fuzzy logic: theory and applications*. 1st. ed. New Jersey, United States: Pearson Education. 1995
319. Deng, J. L. *The primary methods of grey system theory*. 2nd. ed. Wuhan, China: Huazhong University of Science and Technology Press. 2005
320. Li, D.-C., C.-J. Chang, C.-C. Chen and W.-C. Chen. Forecasting short-term electricity consumption using the adaptive grey-based approach—An Asian case. *Omega*. 2012. 40(6): 767-773.

321. Abd, M. K. Electricity load forecasting based on framelet neural network technique. *American Journal of Applied Science*. 2009. 6: 970-973.
322. Harun, M. H. H., M. M. Othman and I. Musirin. Short Term Load Forecasting (STLF) Using artificial neural network based multiple lags of time series. *Lecture Note Computer Science*. 2009. 5507: 445-452.
323. Badran, I., H. El-Zayyat and G. Halasa. Shortterm and medium-term load forecasting for Jordan's power system. *American Journal of Applied Science*. 2008. 5: 763-768.
324. Liu, Z. Y. and F. Li. Fuzzy-rule based load pattern classifier for short-term electrical load forecasting. *IEEE International Conference on Engineering of Intelligent Systems*. Apr. 22-23. Islamabad, Pakistan.
325. Senabre, C., S. Valero and J. Aparicio. Using a self organizing map neural network for short-term load forecasting, analysis of different input data patterns. *Advances in Soft Computing*. 2010. 79: 397-400.
326. Filik, U. B. and M. Kurban. A new Approach for the Short-term load forecasting with auto regressive and artificial neural network models. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research*. 2007. 1: 66-71.
327. James, W. T., L. M. D. Menezes and E. Patrick-McSharry. A comparison of univariate methods for forecasting electricity demand up to a day ahead. *International Journal of Forecasting*. 2005. 22: 1-16.
328. Espinoza, M., J. A. K. Suykens, R. Belmans and B. DeMoor. Electric load forecasting. *IEEE Control Systems*. 2007. 27: 43-57.
329. Chen, B. J., C. Ming-Wei and L. Chih-Jen. Load forecasting using support vector machines: A study on EUNITE competition 2001. *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*. 2004. 4: 1821-1830.
330. Song, K. B., Y. S. Baek, D. H. Hong and G. Jang. Short-term load forecasting for the holidays using fuzzy linear regression method. *IEEE Transaction on Power Systems*. 2005. 20: 96-101.
331. Jain, A., E. Srinivas and R. Rauta. Short term load forecasting using fuzzy adaptive inference and similarity. *World Congress Nature and Biologically Inspired Computing*. Dec. 9-11. Coimbatore, India.
332. Mordjaoui, M. and B. Boudjema. Forecasting and Modelling Electricity Demand Using Anfis Predictor. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*. 2011. 7(4): 275-281.

333. Lin, C. T. and C. S. George-Lee. Neural-network-based fuzzy logic control and decision system. *IEEE Transaction on Computers*. 1991. 40(12): 1320-36.
334. Chang, P. C., Y. W. Wang and C. Y. Tsai. Evolving neural network for printed circuit board sales. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2005. 9(1): 83-92.
335. Khashei, M., S. R. Hejazi and M. Bijari. A new hybrid artificial neural networks and fuzzy regression model for time series forecasting. *Fuzzy Sets System*. 2008. 159(7): 769-86.
336. Kasabov, N., J. Kim, M. J. Watts and A. R. Gray. FuNN/2 – a fuzzy neural network architecture for adaptive learning and knowledge acquisition. *Information Science*. 1997. 101(3-4): 155-75.
337. Saini, L. M. Peak load forecasting using Bayesian regularization, Resilient and adaptive backpropagation learning based artificial neural networks. *Electric Power Systems Research*. 2008. 78(7): 1302-10.
338. Kasabov, N. Evolving fuzzy neural networks for supervised/unsupervised online knowledge-based learning. *IEEE Transaction on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics*. 2001. 31(6): 902-18.
339. Chang, P. C., Y. W. Wang and C. H. Liu, eds. *Fuzzy back-propagation network for PCB sales forecasting*. LNCS 3610;, ed. L. Wang, K. Chen, and Y. S. Ong. 2005
340. Kuo, R. J. and J. A. Chen. A decision support system for order selection in electronic commerce based on fuzzy neural network supported by real coded genetic algorithm. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2004. 26: 141-54.
341. Chang, P. C. and C. Y. Lai. A hybrid system combining self-organizing maps with casebased reasoning in wholesaler's new-release book forecasting. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 2005. 29(1): 183-92.
342. Chang, P.-C., C.-Y. Fan and J.-J. Lin. Monthly electricity demand forecasting based on a weighted evolving fuzzy neural network approach. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*. 2011. 33(1): 17-27.
343. Valor, E., V. Meneu and V. Caselles. Daily air temperature and electricity load in Spain. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. 2001. 40: 1413-21.
344. Sailor, D. J. Relating residential and commercial sector electricity loads to climate – evaluating state level sensitivities and vulnerabilities. *Energy*. 2001. 26: 645-57.

345. Cancelo, J. R., A. Espasa and R. Grafe. Forecasting the electricity load from one day to one week ahead for the Spanish system operator. *International Journal of Forecasting*. 2008. 24: 588-602.
346. Henley, A. and J. Peirson. Non-linearities in electricity demand and temperature: parametric versus non-parametric methods. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics & Statistics*. 1997. 59: 149-62.
347. Al-Zayer, J. and A. Al-Ibrahim. Modelling the impact of temperature on electricity consumption in the eastern province of Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Forecasting*. 1996. 15: 97-106.
348. Xiao, N., J. Zarnikau and P. Damien. Testing functional forms in energy modeling: an application of the Bayesian approach to the US electricity demand. *Energy Economics*. 2007. 29: 158-66.
349. Pardo, A., V. Meneu and E. Valor. Temperature and seasonality influences on Spanish electricity load. *Energy Economics*. 2002. 24: 55-70.
350. Amato, A. D., M. Ruth, P. Kirshen and J. Horwitz. Regional energy demand response to climate change: methodology and application to the commonwealth of Massachusetts. *Climate change digest*. 2005. 71: 175-201.
351. Matzarakis, A. and C. Balafoutis. Heating degree-days over Greece as an index of energy consumption. *International Journal of Climatology*. 2004. 24: 1817-28.
352. Thatcher, M. J. Modeling changes to electricity demand load duration curves as a consequence of predicted climate change for Australia. *Energy*. 2007. 32: 1647-59.
353. Bard, E. A. and G. E. Nasr. On the relationship between electrical energy consumption and climate factors in Lebanon: co-integration and error-correction models. *International Journal of Energy Research*. 2001. 25: 1033-42.
354. Sailor, D. J. and J. R. Muñoz. Sensitivity of electricity and natural gas consumption to climate in the U.S.A. Methodology and results for eight states. *Energy*. 1997. 22: 987-98.
355. Lam, J. C., H. L. Tang and D. H. W. Li. Seasonal variations in residential and commercial sector electricity consumption in Hong Kong. *Energy*. 2008. 33: 513-23.

356. Lam, J. C., K. K. W. Wan, K. L. Cheung and L. Yang. Principal component analysis of electricity use in office buildings. *Energy and Buildings*. 2008. 40: 828-36.
357. Ihara, T., Y. Genchi, T. Sato, K. Yamaguchi, *et al.* City-block-scale sensitivity of electricity consumption to air temperature and air humidity in business districts of Tokyo, Japan. *Energy*. 2008. 33: 1634-45.
358. Lam, J. C. Climatic and economic influences on residential electricity consumption. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 1998. 39: 623-9.
359. Howden, S. and S. Crimp. Effect of climate and climate change on electricity demand. *MODSIM 2001 international congress on modelling and simulation*; .
360. Yan, Y. Y. Climate and residential electricity consumption in Hong Kong. *Energy*. 1998. 23: 17-20.
361. Mirasgedis, S., Y. Sarafidis, E. Georgopoulou, V. Kotroni, *et al.* Modeling framework for estimating impacts of climate change on electricity demand at regional level: case of Greece. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 2007. 48: 1737-50.
362. Arsenault, E., J. T. Bernard, C. W. Car and E. Genest-Laplante. A total energy demand model of Quebec. *Energy Economics*. 1995. 17: 163-71.
363. Nasr, G. E., E. A. Bard and G. L. Dibeh. Econometric modeling of electrical energy consumption in postwar Lebanon. *Energy Economics*. 2000. 22: 627-40.
364. Zarniko, J. A. Re-examination of the causal relationship between energy consumption and gross national product. *Journal of Energy and Development*. 1997. 21: 229-39.
365. Ozturk, H. K. and H. Ceylan. Forecasting total and industrial sector electricity demand based on genetic algorithm approach: Turkey case study. *International Journal of Energy Research*. 2005. 29: 829-40.
366. Mohamed, Z. and P. Bodger. Forecasting electricity consumption in New Zealand using economic and demographic variables. *Energy*. 2005. 30: 1833-43.
367. Beenstock, M., E. Goldin and D. Nabot. The demand for electricity in Israel. *Energy Economics*. 1999. 21: 168-83.
368. Bessec, M. and J. Fouquau. The non-linear link between electricity consumption and temperature in Europe: a threshold panel approach. *Energy Economics*. 2008. 30: 2705-21.

369. Moral-Carcedo, J. and J. Viceñs-Otero. Modeling the non-linear response of Spanish electricity demand to temperature variations. *Energy Economics*. 2005. 27: 477-94.
370. Ruth, M. and A. C. Lin. Regional energy demand and adaptations to climate change: methodology and application to the state of Maryland, USA. *Energy Policy*. 2006. 34: 2820-33.
371. Franco, G. and A. Sanstad. Climate change and electricity demand in California. *Climatic Change*. 2008. 87(139-51).
372. Psiloglou, B. E., C. Giannakopoulos, S. Majithia and M. Petrakis. Factors affecting electricity demand in Athens, Greece and London, UK: A comparative assessment. *Energy*. 2009. 34(11): 1855-1863.
373. Pouris, A. The price elasticity of electricity demand in South Africa. *Applied Economics*. 1987. 19: 1269-1277.
374. Diabi, A. The demand for electricity in Saudi Arabia: an empirica linvestigation. *OPEC Review: Energy Economics and Related Issues*. 1998. 22(1): 12-17.
375. Amusa, H., K. Amusa and R. Mabugu. Aggregate demand for electricity in South Africa: An analysis using the bounds testing approach to cointegration. *Energy Policy*. 2009. 37(10): 4167-4175.
376. Adom, P. K., W. Bekoe and S. K. K. Akoena. Modelling aggregate domestic electricity demand in Ghana: An autoregressive distributed lag bounds cointegration approach. *Energy Policy*. 2012. 42: 530-537.
377. Athukorala, P. P. A. W. and C. Wilson. Estimating short and long term residential demand for electricity: new evidence from Sri Lanka. *Energy Economics*. 2010. 32: S34-S40.
378. Khattak, N. U. R., M. Tariq and J. Khan. Determinants of household's demand for electricity in district Peshawar. *European Journal of Social Sciences*. 2010. 14(1): 7-16.
379. Louw, K., B. Conradie, M. Howells and M. Dekenah. Determinants of electricity demand for newly electrified low-income African households. *Energy Policy*. 2008. 36: 2814-2820.
380. Ziramba, E. The demand for residential electricity in South Africa. *Energy Policy*. 2008. 36: 3460-3466.
381. Holtedahl, P. and F. L. Joutz. Residential electricity demand in Taiwan. *Energy Economics*. 2004. 26: 201-224.

382. Halvorsen, B. and B. M. Larsen. Norwegian residential electricity demand: a microeconomic assessment of the growth from 1976 to 1993. *Energy policy*. 2001. 29: 227-236.
383. Baxter, R. E. and R. Rees. Analysis of the industrial demand for electricity. *The Economic Journal*. 1968: 277-298.
384. Fisher, F. M. and C. Kaysen. *A Study in Econometrics: The Demand for Electricity in the United States*. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co. 1962
385. Houthakker, H. S. Some calculations on electricity consumption in Great Britain. *Journal of Royal Statistical Society*. 1951. 114: 359-371.
386. Sari, R. and U. Soytas. Disaggregate electricity consumption, employment and income in Turkey. *Energy Economics*. 2004. 26: 335- 344.
387. Narayan, P. K. and R. Smyth. Electricity consumption, employment and real income in Australia evidence from multivariate Granger causality tests. *Energy Policy*. 2005. 33: 1109-1116.
388. Chen, S. T., H. I. Kuo and C. C. Chen. The relationship between GDP and electricity consumption in 10 Asian countries. *Energy Policy*. 2007. 35: 2611-2621.
389. Payne, J. E. On the dynamics of electricity consumption and output in the US. *Applied Electricity*. 2009. 86: 575-577.
390. Bowden, N. and J. E. Payne. The causal relationship between U.S. electricity consumption and real output: A disaggregated analysis. *Journal of Policy Modeling*. 2008. 31: 180-188.
391. Soytas, U. and R. Sari. Electricity consumption and GDP: causality relationship in G-7 countries and emerging markets. *Energy Economics*. 2003. 25: 33-37.
392. Aqeel, A. and M. S. Butt. The relationship between electricity consumption And economic growth in Pakistan. *Asia-Pacific Development Journal*. 2001. 8: 101-110.
393. G. De-Vita, K. Endresen and L. C. Hunt. An empirical analysis of electricity demand in Namibia. *Energy Policy*. 2006. 34: 3447-3463.
394. Hainoun, A., M. K. Seif-Eldin and S. Almoustafa. Analysis of the Syrian long-term electricity and electricity demand projection using the end-use methodology. *Electricity Policy*. 2006. 34: 1958-1970.

395. Valor, E., V. Meneu and V. Caselles. Daily Air Temperature and Electricity Load in Spain. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. 2001. 40: 1413-1421.
396. Deihimi, A. and H. Showkati. Application of echo state networks in short-term electric load forecasting. *Energy*. 2012. 39(1): 327-340.
397. Behrang, M. A., E. Assareh, M. Ghalambaz, M. R. Assari, *et al.* Forecasting future oil demand in Iran using GSA (Gravitational Search Algorithm). *Energy*. 2011. 36(9): 5649-5654.
398. Wang, J., S. Zhu, W. Zhang and H. Lu. Combined modeling for electric load forecasting with adaptive particle swarm optimization. *Energy*. 2010. 35(4): 1671-1678.
399. Nguyen, H. T. and I. T. Nabney. Short-term electricity demand and gas price forecasts using wavelet transforms and adaptive models. *Energy*. 2010. 35(9): 3674-3685.
400. Ekonomou, L. Greek long-term energy consumption prediction using artificial neural networks. *Energy*. 2010. 35(2): 512-517.
401. Azadeh, A., M. Saberi and O. Seraj. An integrated fuzzy regression algorithm for energy consumption estimation with non-stationary data: A case study of Iran. *Energy*. 2010. 35(6): 2351-2366.
402. Pao, H. T. Forecasting energy consumption in Taiwan using hybrid nonlinear models. *Energy*. 2009. 34(10): 1438-1446.
403. Amjady, N. and F. Keynia. Short-term load forecasting of power systems by combination of wavelet transform and neuro-evolutionary algorithm. *Energy*. 2009. 34(1): 46-57.
404. Pappas, S. S., L. Ekonomou, D. C. Karamousantas, G. E. Chatzarakis, *et al.* Electricity demand loads modeling using AutoRegressive Moving Average (ARMA) models. *Energy*. 2008. 33(9): 1353-1360.
405. Yao, A. W. L., S. C. Chi and C. K. Chen. Development of an integrated Grey-fuzzy-based electricity management system for enterprises. *Energy*. 2005. 30(15): 2759-2771.
406. Tan, Z., J. Zhang, J. Wang and J. Xu. Day-ahead electricity price forecasting using wavelet transform combined with ARIMA and GARCH models. *Applied Energy*. 2010. 87(11): 3606-3610.

407. García-Ascanio, C. and C. Maté. Electric power demand forecasting using interval time series: A comparison between VAR and iMLP. *Energy Policy*. 2010. 38(2): 715-725.
408. Mamlook, R., O. Badran and E. Abdulhadi. A fuzzy inference model for short-term load forecasting. *Energy Policy*. 2009. 37(4): 1239-1248.
409. IRDA, IRDA Annual Report 2009, Iskandar Regional Development Authority.
410. IRDA, Iskandar Malaysia Information Booklet. 2010, Iskandar Regional Development Authority: Malaysia.
411. Negari Johor Darul Tazim, Official Website. 2012.
412. Bridgwater, A. V., The future for biomass pyrolysis and gasification: status, opportunities and policies for Europe. 2002, Bio-Energy Research Group, Aston University: Birmingham, UK.
413. Caputo, A. C., M. Palumbo, P. M. Pelaggage and F. Scacchia. Economics of biomass energy utilization in combustion and gasification plants: effects on logistic variables. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2005. 28(1): 35-51.
414. Searcy, E. and P. C. Flynn. A criterion for selecting renewable energy processes. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2010. 34(5): 798-804.
415. Dornburg, V. and A. Faaij. Efficiency and economy of woodfired biomass energy systems in relation to scale regarding heat and power generation using combustion and gasification technologies. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2001. 21(2): 91-108.
416. NETL, Gasification Plant Cost and Performance Optimization-Final Report. 2003, Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory: USA.
417. Strub, A. The Commission of the European Communities R&D programme: energy from biomass. In: A. V. Bridgwater. ed. *Thermochemical processing of biomass*. London: Butterworths. 1-10; 1984
418. Easterley, J. L. and M. Burnham. Overview of biomass and waste fuel resources for power production. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 1996. 10(2-3): 79-92.
419. Jenkins, B. M., L. L. Baxter, T. R. M. Jr. and T. R. Miles. Combustion properties of biomass. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 1998. 54(1-3): 17-46.
420. van-den-Broek, R., A. Faaij and A. van-Wijk, Biomass combustion power generation technologies. 1995, Utrecht University: Netherlands.

421. Murphy, M. L. Integrated recycling, resource recovery and energy facility: the experience at Louisville, Kentucky. In: D. L. Klass. ed. *Energy from biomass and wastes*. Chicago: IGT. 1992
422. FBT Inc, Fluidised bed combustion and gasification: a guide for biomass waste generators. 1994, Southeastern Regional Biomass Energy Program, Tennessee Valley Authority: Alabama.
423. Nauze, R. D. L. A review of the fluidised bed combustion of biomass. *Journal of the Institute of Energy*. 1987. 443: 66-76.
424. Hustad, J. E., Ø. Skreiberg and O. K. Sønju. Biomass combustion research and utilisation in IEA countries. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 1995. 9(1-5): 235-56.
425. Reed, T. B. and S. Gaur, A survey of biomass gasification. 2000, Biomass Energy Foundation: USA.
426. Brander, J. A. and D. L. Chase. Repowering application considerations. *Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power*. 1992. 114: 643-52.
427. Beenackers, A. A. C. M. and K. Maniatis. Gasification technologies for heat and power from biomass. In: M. Kaltschmitt and A. V. Bridgwater. eds. *Biomass gasification and pyrolysis*. CPL Press. 1997
428. Nolting, E. and M. Leuchs, The use of gas from biomass in engines. 1995, M.A.N.-Neue Technologies: Muich.
429. Bridgwater, A. V. and G. V. C. Peacocke. Fast pyrolysis processes for biomass. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2000. 4(1): 1-73.
430. MPOB, Official portal of Malaysian Palm Oil Board. 2012.
431. IRDA, Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Blueprint for Iskandar Malaysia. 2010, Iskandar Regional Development Authority: Malaysia.
432. JSIC, Johor State Investment centre: Official Website. 2012.
433. EIA, Updated Capital Cost Estimates for Electricity Generation Plants. 2010, U. S. Energy Information Administration, Office of Energy Analysis. U.S. Department of Energy: Washington, DC 20585.
434. Mamdani, E. H. and S. Assilian. An experiment in linguistic synthesis with a fuzzy logic controller. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*. 1975. 7(1): 1-13.
435. Tsukamoto, Y., ed. *An approach to fuzzy reasoning method*. Advances in Fuzzy Set Theory and Application, ed. M. M. Gupta, R. K. Ragade, and R. R. Yager. New York: North-Holland. 1979

436. Takagi, T. and M. Sugeno. Fuzzy identification of systems and its application to modeling and control. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B: Cybernetics*. 1985. 15(1): 116-132.
437. UNDP, The National Bioenergy Strategy for Lebanon: Chapter 2- Bioenergy Conversions Options for Lebanon. 2011, United Nations Development Programme. p. 114-161.
438. IEA, IEA Energy Technology Essentials-Biomass for Power Generation and CHP. 2007, OECD/IEA.
439. USA Bureau of Labor Statistics, Producer Price Index Industry Data. 2012, United States Department of Labor: Bureau of Labor Statistics: USA.
440. Department of Statistics Malaysia, Producer Price Index, Malaysia. 2012, Department of Statistics Malaysia.
441. Ismail, A., M. A. Simeh and M. M. Noor. The Production Cost of Oil Palm Fresh Fruit Bunches: the Case of Independent Smallholders in Johor. *MPOB-Oil Palm Industries Economic Journal*. 2003. 3(1): 1-7.
442. Bahrman, M., HVDC Transmission. 2006, IEEE PSCE USA. p. 1-24.
443. Department of Statistics Malaysia, The Source of Malaysia's Official Statistics. 2012.
444. Trading Economics, Official Website.
445. Othman, P., Managing the Economy: Malaysian Experience. 2011, Faculty of Economics & Administration, University of Malaya: Kuala Lumpur.
446. Noor, Z. M., N. M. Nor and J. A. Ghani. The Relationship between Output and Unemployment in Malaysia: Does Okun's Law exist? *International Journal of Economics and Management*. 2007. 1(3): 337-344.