

LOAD DISPATCH OPTIMIZATION OF OPEN CYCLE INDUSTRIAL GAS  
TURBINE PLANT INCORPORATING OPERATIONAL, MAINTENANCE AND  
ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETERS

FONG YOW HANG

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2006

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to dedicate his sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mohd Salman Leong for his gratitude, encouragement, guidance, comments and suggestions. His excellent supervision throughout this research project will be in mind and as guidance in future. Inputs from Mr. Ng Boon Hee and Mr. Gan Lip Huat of TNB Connaught Bridge Power Station and Desmond Oon of Machinery Performance Monitoring Group Sdn. Bhd are greatly appreciated in providing relevant gas turbine data and references.

Besides that, the author would like to thank Dr. Loo Chu Kiong and Mr. Ting Tiew On for their advises and suggestions, especially in the field of Particle Swarm Optimization. Thanks are also due to Cheak Ying and my family for unending support and care for the entire path completing this thesis.

Finally, to all the individuals and organizations that helped me directly or indirectly to complete this thesis, I owe sincere thanks, and I feel a sense of deep gratitude toward them.

## ABSTRACT

Power generation fuel cost, unit availability and environmental rules and regulations are important parameters in power generation load dispatch optimization. Previous optimization work has not considered the later two in their formulations. The objective of this work is to develop a multi-objective optimization model and optimization algorithm for load dispatching optimization of open cycle gas turbine plant that not only consider operational parameters, but also incorporates maintenance and environmental parameters. Gas turbine performance parameters with reference to ASME PTC 22-1985 were developed and validated against an installed performance monitoring system (PMS9000) and plant performance test report. A gas turbine input-output model and emission were defined mathematically into the optimization multi-objectives function. Maintenance parameters of Equivalent Operating Hours (EOH) constraints and environmental parameters of allowable emission (NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and SO<sub>2</sub>) limits constraints were also included. The Extended Priority List and Particle Swarm Optimization (EPL-PSO) method was successfully implemented to solve the model. Four simulation tests were conducted to study and test the develop optimization software. Simulation results successfully demonstrated that multi-objectives total production cost (TPC) objective functions, the proposed EOH constraint, emissions model and constraints algorithm could be incorporated into the EPL-PSO method which provided optimum results, without violating any of the constraints as defined. A cost saving of 0.685% and 0.1157% could be obtained based on simulations conducted on actual plant condition and against benchmark problem respectively. The results of this work can be used for actual plant application and future development work for new gas turbine model or to include additional operational constraints.

## ABSTRAK

Kos bahan api untuk kuasa penjanaan, kesediaan mesin untuk diguna dan undang-undang alam sekitar adalah merupakan faktor-faktor yang penting dalam kajian pengagihan beban optimum untuk kuasa penjanaan. Objektif kajian ini ialah mencipta model optimasi pelbagai objektif dan optimasi algorithm bagi pengagihan beban optimum untuk tarbin gas kitar terbuka. Ini bukan saja mengambil kira operasi parameter, tetapi juga untuk parameter penyelenggaraan dan alam sekitar yang belum pernah dikaji sebelum ini. Parameter prestasi formula untuk tarbin gas yang berdasarkan kepada ASME PTC 22-1985 telah dihasilkan serta disahkan berbanding dengan sistem prestasi pemantauan (PMS9000) dan laporan ujian prestasi dari stesen. Model tarbin gas dan penghasilan ezkos telah dihasilkan serta dikenalpasti secara matematik ke dalam fungsi optimasi pelbagai objektif. Parameter penyelenggaraan *Equivalent Operating Hours (EOH)* dan parameter alam sekitar bagi had limit pembebasan NO<sub>x</sub>, CO dan SO<sub>2</sub> yang dibenarkan juga diambil kira dalam kajian tersebut. Gabungan kedua-dua kaedah optimasi Extended Priority List dan Particle Swarm Optimization (EPL-PSO) telah digunakan dengan berjaya untuk menyelesaikan model dalam kajian ini. Sebanyak empat simulasi telah dilaksanakan untuk mangaji dan menguji optimasi perisian yang dicipta. Hasil simulasi dalam laporan ini telah berjaya menunjukkan bahawa fungsi Kos Jumlah Pengeluaran (TPC) optimasi pelbagai objektif, EOH *constraint*, ezkos gas model dan *constraint* lain telah berfungsi dengan baik bersamaan kaedah optimasi EPL-PSO. Keputusan simulasi juga telah berjaya menunjukkan bahawa keputusan optima dapat dicapai tanpa melampaui sebarang *constraints*. Penjimatan kos sebanyak 0.685% dan 0.1157% telah didapati jika keputusan simulasi dibandingkan dengan data dari stesen dan masalah *benchmark* dari kajian kesusteraan. Hasil usaha kerja ini boleh digunakan untuk aplikasi sebenar oleh stesen janakuasa dan kajian masa depan bagi tarbin gas model yang baru, termasuk penglibatan *constraints* yang baru.

## CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	iii
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iv
	<b>CONTENTS</b>	vi
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xviii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Objective and Scope	4
	1.4 Methodology	5
	1.5 Significance of Research Work	6
	1.6 Thesis Outline	7
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	9
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Gas Turbine Model and Performance Calculations	9
	2.3 Common Plant Maintenance Practices	11
	2.4 Optimization Theory and Application	12
	2.5 Economic Environmental Unit Commitment	13

2.6	Load Dispatch and Unit Commitment Optimization Techniques	14
2.7	Evolutionary Programming Techniques in Economic Load Dispatch	19
2.8	Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)	20
2.9	Commercial Optimization Software and Solutions	24
2.10	Concluding Remarks	26
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>28</b>
3.1	Introduction	28
3.2	System Boundaries	28
3.3	Establishing Calculation Algorithm for System Key Performance Indicators	30
3.4	System Modeling	30
3.5	Data Collection and Data Acquisition System	31
3.6	Model Validation	31
3.7	Development of Objective Functions	32
3.8	Determine Process Constraints	32
3.9	Selecting Suitable Optimization Techniques	32
3.10	System Simulation and Validation	33
	3.10.1 Against Benchmark Problem	33
	3.10.2 Against Actual Plant Data	33
<b>4</b>	<b>FORMULATION OF OBJECTIVE FUNCTIONS AND CONSTRAINTS</b>	<b>35</b>
4.1	Introduction	35
4.2	Development of Objective Functions	36
	4.2.1 Problem Formulation	37
	4.2.2 Objective Function 1: Total Production Cost	38
	4.2.3 Other Objective Functions – Emissions	

	Cost	43
	4.2.4 Multi-objectives Optimization	
	Formulation	44
4.3	System Constraints	46
4.4	Conclusions Remarks	51
<b>5</b>	<b>PERFORMANCE CALCULATIONS AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING</b>	<b>54</b>
5.1	Introduction	54
5.2	Description of Open Cycle Gas Turbine	55
5.3	Gas Turbine Performance Computation Method	56
	5.3.1 Gas Turbine Key Performance Indicators	56
	5.3.2 Corrections to Key Performance Indicators	58
5.4	Unit Heat Rate Modeling	59
	5.4.1 Problem Definition	59
	5.4.2 Polynomial Least Square Regression Technique	59
	5.4.3 Gauss Elimination Method	60
5.5	Unit Emissions Model	61
<b>6</b>	<b>MODEL VALIDATION</b>	<b>62</b>
6.1	Introduction	62
6.2	Performance Monitoring System	62
6.3	System Setup	63
	6.3.1 Hardware Layout	63
	6.3.2 Communication Protocol	64
6.4	Model Validation Results	65
	6.4.1 Comparisons with PMS9000 Performance Calculations	65

	6.4.2	Comparisons with Units' Performance Test Report	73
	6.5	Concluding Remarks	76
<b>7</b>		<b>OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM</b>	<b>77</b>
	7.1	Introduction	77
	7.2	Identification of Suitable Optimization Algorithm	78
	7.3	PSO Algorithm and Implementation	80
	7.3.1	PSO Algorithm	80
	7.3.1.1	Priority List and Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization (HPSO) Approach	83
	7.3.1.2	Satisfying Power Demand and Reserve Constraints	85
	7.3.1.3	Satisfying Generation Limits Constraints	90
	7.3.1.4	Satisfying Minimum Up and Down Time Constraints, EOH Constraints and Emissions Limit Constraints	90
	7.3.1.5	Parameters Selection and Convergence Enhancements	92
<b>8</b>		<b>SIMULATIONS AND CASE STUDIES</b>	<b>95</b>
	8.1	Introduction	95
	8.2	Test One: Against Benchmark Simulation Data	96
	8.2.1	Benchmark Simulation Data	98
	8.2.2	Test One: Simulation Results and Discussions	98
	8.3	Test Two	104
	8.3.1	Test Two: Test Conditions	104
	8.3.2	Test Two: Simulation Results and	



	Discussions	106
8.4	Test Three	108
	8.4.1 Test Three: Test Conditions	108
	8.4.2 Test Three: Simulation Results and Discussions	109
8.5	Test Four	112
	8.5.1 Test Four: Test Conditions	112
	8.5.2 Test Four: Simulation Results and Discussions	117
	8.5.2.1 SET 1	117
	8.5.2.2 SET 2	120
8.6	Concluding Remarks	121
<b>9</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>123</b>
	9.1 Conclusions	123
	9.2 Contributions of Research Work	125
	9.3 Recommendations for Future Works	126
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>127</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>133</b>
	Appendix A – G	

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Methods of operation research	13
4.1	Table of unit's additional equivalent operating hour of different operating condition	49
4.2	Example of EOH table	50
4.3	Summary of Optimization Constraints	53
6.1	Unit Input-Output model polynomial coefficient (against actual plant data)	66
6.2	Unit Input-Output model polynomial coefficient (against performance test report)	73
8.1	Test cases	95
8.2	EPL-PSO parameters	96
8.3	Generator system operator data (Kazarlis et al. (1996))	99
8.4	Load demand for 24 hours (Kazarlis et al. (1996))	99
8.5	Simulation results comparison (total production cost, \$ for 10 units)	101
8.6	Simulation computation time comparison (Average time, seconds for 10 units)	101
8.7	Test One commitment schedule (Total cost: \$ 565,163)	103
8.8	EPL-PSO performance with increase number of generations	103
8.9	ABB-13E gas turbine generator system operator data	105
8.10	Load demand data (acquired from Siemens Teleperm ME DCS)	105
8.11	Simulation results comparison with actual plant operation data	107

8.12	Load demand data for Test Three	109
8.13	EOH constraint parameters	109
8.14	Simulation results (without EOH constraints)	111
8.15	Set 1 simulation results (with EOH constraints)	111
8.16	Set 2 simulation results (with EOH constraints)	111
8.17	Gas turbine generator startup and shutdown cost coefficient and emissions model coefficient	114
8.18	Test Four EPL-PSO parameters	115
8.19	Emissions constraints parameters	116
8.20	Test Four - simulation results comparison with actual plant operation data (SET 1)	118
8.21	Test Four - simulation results comparison with actual plant operation data (SET 2)	121

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Research methodology	29
3.2	Simple gas turbine schematic	30
4.1	Problem definition	38
4.2	Unit's heat rate curve	40
4.3	Unit's Input Output curve	40
4.4	Unit's incremental heat rate curve	41
4.5	Data flow to determine constraints of interval of EOH between units	52
6.1	System hardware layout	64
6.2	Unit 3 – Corrected heat rate versus corrected active power	67
6.3	Unit 3 – Corrected heat consumption versus corrected active power	67
6.4	Unit 4 – Corrected heat rate versus corrected active power	68
6.5	Unit 4 – Corrected heat consumption versus corrected active power	68
6.6	Unit 5 – Corrected heat rate versus corrected active power	69
6.7	Unit 5 – Corrected heat consumption versus corrected active power	69
6.8	Unit 6 – Corrected Heat Rate versus corrected active power	70
6.9	Unit 6 – Corrected Heat Consumption versus corrected active power	70
6.10	Unit 3 – Error (%) versus corrected active power (MW)	71
6.11	Unit 4 – Error (%) versus corrected active power (MW)	71
6.12	Unit 5 – Error (%) versus corrected active power (MW)	72

6.13	Unit 6 – Error (%) versus corrected active power (MW)	72
6.14	Unit 3 – Corrected heat consumption (GJ/h) versus corrected active power (MW) (against performance test report)	74
6.15	Unit 4 – Corrected heat consumption (GJ/h) versus corrected active power (MW) (against performance test report)	74
6.16	Unit 3 – Error (%) versus corrected active power (MW) (performance test report)	75
6.17	Unit 4 – Error (%) versus corrected active power (MW) (against performance test report)	75
7.1	Overall EPL-PSO algorithm data flow diagram	87
7.2	PSO – Evaluation of searching points algorithm data flow diagram	88
8.1	EPL-PSO average results from 20 runs with increase number of generations	102
8.2	EPL-PSO performance with increase number of generations	102
8.3	Test 2 EPL-PSO performance with increase number of generations	107
8.4	GT1 emissions data	115
8.5	GT2 emissions data	116
8.6	EPL-PSO average results from 20 runs in Test Four with increase number of generations	119
8.7	EPL-PSO performance in Test Four with increase number of generations	119

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\alpha$	-	thermal time constant for the unit start up (hour)
$a_{i,(1,2,..10)}$	-	Unit $i$ input output model polynomial coefficient
$\beta$	-	Emissions relative weight
$b_{i,(1,2,..10)}$	-	Unit $i$ NOx emission model polynomial coefficient
$c_{i,(1,2,..10)}$	-	Unit $i$ CO emission model polynomial coefficient
$C_1, C_2$	-	Objective function constant parameters
$C_c$	-	Cold start cost (GJ)
$C_F$	-	Fixed start-up cost (\$)
CO	-	Carbon monoxide emission (ppm)
$d_{i,(1,2,..10)}$	-	Unit $i$ SO2 emission model polynomial coefficient
D	-	Dimensional vector $X_{i,d}$ ( $X_{i,1}, X_{i,2}, \dots, X_{i,D}$ )
DCS	-	Distribution control system
DDE	-	Dynamic Data Exchange
EOH	-	Equivalent operating hour (hour)
$EOH_{allow}$	-	Allowable $EOH_{diff}$ (hour) between units
$EOH_{diff}$	-	Remaining EOH before next maintenance work, $EOH_N - EOH$
$EOH_n$	-	Next maintenance work equivalent operating hour (hour)
EP	-	Evolutionary Programming
EPL	-	Extended Priority List
EPL-PSO	-	Extended Priority List – Particle Swarm Optimization
$E_p$	-	Total emissions (ppm)
FC	-	Fuel cost (\$/GJ)
$f_0$	-	Objective function
$f_2$	-	NOx emission objective function

$f_3$	-	CO emission objective function
$f_4$	-	SO2 emission objective function
$f_{ei}$	-	Emission objective function for unit $i$
$g$	-	Constraint function
gbest	-	PSO global best particle
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
Ho	-	Initial unit status
HPSO	-	Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization
HR	-	Heat rate (kJ/kWh)
HMI	-	Human Machine Interface
IHR	-	Incremental heat rate (GJ/h)
$i$	-	$i^{\text{th}}$ unit
IO	-	Input output
$j$	-	$j^{\text{th}}$ emission type
KPI	-	Key Performance Indicators
lbest	-	PSO local best particle
LC	-	Load cost (\$/kWh)
LC'	-	Differential of LC function / Incremental heat rate (GJ/h)
LCO	-	Allowable CO emission (ppm)
LNOx	-	Allowable NOx emission (ppm)
LSO2	-	Allowable SO2 emission (ppm)
$L_T$	-	Electrical load / Power demand (MW)
M	-	Total types of emissions
MinDown	-	Minimum down time
MinDownAllow	-	Allowable minimum down time
MinUp	-	Minimum up time
MinUpAllow	-	Allowable minimum up time
N	-	Total unit or $U_{\text{max}}$
NOx	-	Nitride oxide emission (ppm)
OnOffStatus	-	Unit operation status {0,1}
$P_i$	-	Power output of unit $i$ (MW)
Pbest	-	PSO best particle
PL	-	Priority / Sequence

$P_{min}$	-	Unit minimum power output (MW)
$P_{max}$	-	Unit maximum power output (MW)
ppm	-	Particle per million
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
$\rho_1, \rho_2$	-	PSO constant parameters
R	-	Total spinning reserve
RM	-	Ringgit Malaysia
s	-	PSO penalty factor
$s_0$	-	PSO initial penalty factor
$S(V_i)$	-	PSO sigmoid function
SdC	-	Shut down cost (\$)
StC	-	Start up cost (\$)
SO <sub>2</sub>	-	Sulfur dioxide emission (ppm)
t	-	Time interval
$t_{cool}$	-	Time in hours the unit has been cooled
$T_{max}$	-	Total time interval
TPC	-	Total production cost (\$)
TPCWE	-	Total production cost with emission (\$)
$U_{i,t}$	-	Unit commitment $\{0, 1\}$ for unit $i$ at $t$ interval
$U_{max}$	-	Total unit or N
V	-	PSO particle velocity
$V_{max}$	-	PSO particle maximum velocity
W	-	Relative weight assigned to the total production cost
w	-	PSO initial weight
$X_{i,d}$	-	PSO particle position for $i^{th}$ particle and d dimension



**LIST OF APPENDICIES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	ABB Gas Turbine 13E Detail Design Specification	133
B	Gas Turbine Performance Correction Curves	136
C	Least Square Method	154
D	Gauss Elimination Method	158
E	Gas Turbine Input Output Model Validation Data (Against Plant Actual Data)	160
F	Gas Turbine Input Output Model Validation Data (Against Performance Test Report)	173
G	Simulation Results	175
G-1	Test One	176
G-2	Test Two	184
G-3	Test Three (SET 1)	190
G-4	Test Three (SET 2)	192
G-5	Test Four (SET 1)	194
G-6	Test Four (SET 2)	198

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

The supply of natural energy resources such as natural gas, diesel and coal is decreasing year by year. Malaysia's petroleum resources can only meet the national requirement for another 20 to 30 years (Bernama, 1998). Unless there is an alternative energy source which is cheaper, cost based on fossils fuel would become an even more important consideration. From statistics provided by Department of Electricity and Gas Supply Malaysia, the generation plants in Malaysia mainly 63.4% consist of combined cycle blocks with gas turbine. Approximately 75% of energy generated in the country uses natural gas as fuel, making it the most important fuel in electricity production.

The power generation fuel cost is therefore has become a very sensitive and important parameter to the power generation plant as they cannot effort to waste or inefficiently utilize any energy resources. With reference to Ng (2001), 1% drop of the gas turbine thermal efficiency would lead to 0.065sen/kwh increase of power generation fuel cost (on the basis of the gas turbine running at 30% thermal efficiency). There is therefore a need to ensure the gas turbine always operate at its optimal performance.

On the other hand, the contribution of the gas turbine to environmental pollution raises questions concerning environmental protection and methods of

eliminating or reducing pollution either by design or by operational strategies. Pollution affects not only humans, but also other life-forms (such as animals, birds, fish, and plants). It also causes damage to materials, reducing visibility, as well as causing global warming. These effects may be interpreted as costs because it affects life in one way or another. The damage caused by a pollutant depends on its type, meteorological conditions and on our exposure to it. This suggests that each pollutant should be treated on its own merit in assigning cost values (usually referred to as valuing environmental externalities). This represents the potential harm or damage created. The main substances of the emissions are Nitrogen Monoxide (NO<sub>x</sub>), Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and Carbon Monoxide (CO). Environmental rules and regulations for power generation industries has been implemented extensively and has become an important considerations and even as a regulation. Such regulation are being implemented in developing countries and even in Malaysia that is working towards global environment protection and preservation.

Gas turbine or other electric power plants are currently operating on the traditional basis of least fuel cost strategies (economic dispatch or optimal power flow) without considering the pollutants produced. In order to consider the pollution in the cost function, it is necessary to know the types of pollution produced from power plants, its effects and also requirements of the relevant laws. One of the method to reduce emissions is to dispatch the power generation to minimize emissions or as a supplement to the usual cost objective of economic dispatch. This method requires only minor modification of dispatching programmes to include emissions. Emission dispatching is an attractive short-term alternative in which the primary objective is to minimize the overall emissions by loading the cleaner generating units as much as possible while forcing those with higher emission rates to generate less.

Maintenance parameter such as Equivalent Operating Hours (EOH) are also not currently included to the load dispatch optimization to avoid two or more machines being sent for maintenance at the same time. Insufficient capacity to deliver power as demanded might happen, if wrong decision had been made in manual scheduling.

Industrial gas turbine in most plants do not always operate at their optimum operating conditions to achieve the objectives of minimum cost and minimum emissions, since dependent variables condition like atmospheric pressure, temperature of working fluid, production targets, equipment efficiency, etc. are always fluctuating. Besides, the gas turbine performance degradation may lead to changes of optimal operating points. From time to time, engineers are faced with problem of determining the optimum operating regimes or ways to run a particular machine quickly and accurately in order to obtain maximum benefit from the machine, at all times and under every set of circumstances. It can be very complex and time consuming to generate an accurate mathematical model that represent the machine which optimizes the objective function using suitable optimization techniques.

The primary objective of power dispatch optimization in the past has been concentrated on the minimization of generation cost in meeting the demand on power system – economic dispatch. Few proven mathematical optimization method such as, Extensive Enumeration, Dynamic Programming and Lagrange Relaxation had been used widely in solving such economic dispatch problem. However, the first two methods only work efficiently with small and moderate size system, while Lagrange method suffers from convergence problem, and always trap into a local optimum. Several artificial intelligence (AI) method also had been carried out to solve such optimization problem. Although AI method such as evolutionary computation techniques and genetic algorithm can provide a near-global solution but it takes a very long computation time.

Research work that involves economic load dispatch optimization which includes environmental impact of power generation are very limited. One of the approaches to reduce the emission from thermal power plants is the minimum emission dispatch based on the efficient weight estimation technique as described in El-Keib et al. (1994) and Ramnathan (1994).

This research work therefore attempted to solve the above problems of production scheduling which relates to the determination of the generating units to be service and to meet system demand, while satisfy all the operational and maintenance

constraints with minimum cost and minimum emissions. This optimization problem is also commonly known as an economic environmental unit commitment optimization.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

A direct inference from the previous work reported in the literature review (Chapter 2) showed several evident shortcomings, which are summarized as follows:

- a. Maintenance parameter such as Equivalent Operating Hours (EOH) is not included to the load dispatch optimization in preventing two or more machines being sent for maintenance at a time. Insufficient capacity to deliver power as demand might happen if incorrect decision had been made in manual scheduling.
- b. Environmental parameters is not included as part of the objective functions in current load dispatch optimization. No load dispatching guidelines at present in meeting environmental regulations (if implemented) in Malaysia

## **1.3 Objective and Scope**

The objectives of this work were:

- a. to develop a model for optimizing cost-effective distribution of load demand across units of open cycle gas turbine, incorporating machine operating conditions, maintenance and environmental parameters.
- b. to develop a software to validate the developed model and optimization method

The model described in this project aims to provide a flexible framework to evaluate various operational planning options for emission compliance. It can be used to determine the optimum unit commitment and loading levels of each affected unit so as to meet the emission targets. Moreover, it performs multi-objective dispatch considering both the cost and emissions.

This current work was confined to offline optimization. The developed software could honour be upgradeable or scalable for open loop real-time optimization or closed loop real time optimization. No experimental work was done in this work. This means that all experimental data employed for model validation and optimization studies in Chapter 6 and 8, were obtained from existing plant performance monitoring system.

#### **1.4 Methodology**

This project was undertaken with an industrial partner TNB Connaught Bridge Power Station, where four of their open cycle ABB 13E gas turbines were studied in this research work. Gas turbine performance parameters in quantify gas turbine performance and its computation technique in accordance to ASME standard was identified before developing the gas turbine efficiency and emissions model. The model that provided a complete representation of the machine behavior could be obtained within the parameters of interest based on a combination of physical principles (thermodynamic) and performance curves. The machine model was then validated against the data acquired from the plant via the installed performance monitoring system (PMS9000), and Gas Turbine Manufacturer's Performance Test Reports.

The cost-based objective functions which represents profit, operating cost energy, yield, etc was developed such that optimization studies could be formulated and make recommendations on operation and maintenance strategy that lead to optimal performance, with considering machine operating conditions, maintenance

and environmental parameters. Suitable optimization algorithm was identified to determine the optimal distribution of load demand across the various operating units.

Software coding of above subroutines (both model and optimization) was then undertaken for further studies and validation. Four case studies were carried out to test the program against the benchmark problem and actual field measurement data. Finally, the simulation results were then studied and reported.

### **1.5 Significance of Research Work**

The result of this work will be an essential tool to the plant operation in order to make a plant operate more effectively and competitively. With the development of low price and high performance computer, such software can easily be implemented and routinely applied to improve day-by-day performance of most of the plant operation, typically petrochemical, power generation and water treatment plant with offline simulation and optimization.

It has often been noted that processing facilities are data rich but knowledge poor. The plant DCS system generates an enormous amount of information about the process. This offers scope for such software to be utilized. It is anticipated that the simulation and optimization software can be upgraded to on-line or real-time optimization which leverages the wealth of the information into a range of other benefits. It could convert pure data to information, to knowledge and ultimately, to wisdom, providing the engineers with access to an off-line model which reflects the current plant condition at any point in time and equipment performance indicators.

Recent advances in development of new technology of Advanced Process Control (APC) such as model-based predictive control, shows the potential of the need of simulation and optimization software. In future, the software will incorporate with APC and be implemented to a much greater extent than real-time optimization.

## 1.6 Thesis Outline

The main body of this thesis begins with a literature study in Chapter 2 that reviews the gas turbine performance calculations and its maintenance practices in general, optimization theory and application, previous work on economic load dispatch problem and selective optimization techniques namely particle swarm optimization. Thereafter, in Chapter 3, the overall methodology of this research is presented.

The formulation of objective function is the one of the crucial steps in the application of optimization to a practical problem and this is illustrated in Chapter 4. The incorporation of both environmental and maintenance parameters into the general objective function is discussed in details. With the developed objective function in Chapter 4, the gas turbine performance and emission model are formulated in Chapter 5. Subsequently, in Chapter 6, the model is validated against actual plant data from the performance monitoring system PMS9000 and machine performance test report.

In Chapter 7, the advanced and recent artificial intelligence technique, namely particle swarm optimization (PSO) is enhanced and tested as the optimization techniques in solving the optimization problem as presented in the previous chapters. The reasons of implementing particle swarm optimization to this problem and comparisons among other techniques are reviewed.

An optimization for load dispatch is of little value unless it is demonstrated that it can give accurate results for known cases. Therefore, in Chapter 8, simulation case studies are made. First, based on the benchmark problem from the literature, the behaviour of the optimization result is validated. Thereafter it is shown that a close agreement was obtained and with the best computation time. After the test with benchmark problem was completed, various studies (by removing some aspects) are carried out with actual plant data for further validation. Finally, the full procedure was implemented on the actual plant model and the resulting optimum solution is found to be superior to the existing solutions used by the plant. After this, general



conclusions of the work are drawn in Chapter 9, where also some possible ideas for future work are presented.

## REFERENCES

Aldridge L., McKee S., McDonald J. R., Galloway S. J., Dahal K. P., Bradley M. E. and Macquess J. F. (2001), "Knowledge-based genetic algorithm for unit commitment," IEE Proceedings Part C – Generation, Transmission and Distribution, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 146-152, March.

Allen J. Wood and Bruce F. Wollenberg (1996). "Power generation, operation, and control." New York: Wiley.

ASME PTC 22 (1985). "Gas Turbine Power Plant." New York.

ASME PTC 4 (1998). "Fired Steam Generator." New York.

Azlisham (2002), "Conversation on Plant Maintenance and Operations", Senior Maintenance Engineer of Connaught Bridge Power Station, Klang

Bakistzis G. and Zoumas C. E. (2000). "Lambda of Lagrangian relaxation solution to unit commitment problem," IEE Proceedings Part C – Generation, Transmission and Distribution, vol. 147, no. 2, pp. 131-136, March.

Bernama (1998). "Petroleum Resources to Last 30 Years." Malaysia: Star Publications, 4<sup>th</sup> Jan. 1998.

Blaine Tookey, Ian Dewar and Ian McKay (1998). "Real-Time Optimisation for Major Refinery Units". Report: MDC Technology and Hyprotech.

Carlisle, A., and Dozier, G. (2001). "An off-the-shelf PSO." Proceedings of the Workshop on Particle Swarm Optimization. Indianapolis, IN: Purdue School of Engineering and Technology, IUPUI (in press).

Cheng P., Liu C. W. and Liu C. C. (2000), "Unit Commitment by Lagrangian Relaxation and Genetic Algorithms," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 707-714, May.

Dasgupta and D.R. McGregor (1994). "Thermal unit commitment using genetics algorithms," IEE Proceedings Part C – Generation, Transmission and Distribution, vol. 141, no. 5, pp. 459-465, September.

El-Gallad, A. I., El-Hawary, M. E., Sallam, A. A., and Kalas (2001). "A. Swarm intelligence for hybrid cost dispatch problem." Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering, pp. 753-757.

El-Gallad, A. I., El-Hawary, M. E., Sallam, A. A., and Kalas, A. (2002). "Particle swarm optimizer for constrained economic dispatch with prohibited operating zones." Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering, 2002, pp. 78-81.

El-Keib, A.A., Ma, H. and Hart, J.L. (1994), "Environmentally constrained economic dispatch using Lagrangian relaxation method," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 9(4), 1994-2000

Gabriel Winter, Manuel Cruz and Blas Galvan (1999). "Multiobjective Power Dispatch Optimization." Unelco-ceani Test case, INGENET.

Gijengedal, T. (1996). "Emission constrained unit-commitment." IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion, 11(1), 132-138.

Gill, A.B. (1984). "Power Plant Performance." England: Butterworths.

Himmelblau D.M. and Edgar T.F. (1988). "Optimization of Chemical Process." New York: McGraw-Hill.

<http://www.mdctech.com/products/rto.htm>, accessed at 4<sup>th</sup> April 2001

Huang, S. J. and Huang, C. L. (1997), "Application of Genetic-Based Neural Networks to Thermal Unit Commitment," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 654-660, May.

Ian Dewar and Oriol Broquetas (1998). "Real-Time Plant Optimisation On-Line Performance Improvements and Off-Line Benefits". Report: MDC Technology and Hyprotech.

IEEE Current Operating Problems Working Group (1995). "Potential impacts of clean air regulations on system operations". IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 1995, 10(2), 647-656

Jia-Yo Chiang, Art Breipohl, Fred Lee, Rambabu Adapa (1999). "Probabilistic load variation modeling for estimating the variance of annual production cost." IEEE Transaction on PES.

- Juste, K. A., Kita, H., Tanaka, E. and Hasegawa, J. (1999). "An Evolutionary Programming Solution to the Unit Commitment Problem," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1452-1459, November.
- Kazarlis S. A., Bakirtzis A. G. and Petridis V. (1996). "A genetic algorithm solution to the unit commitment problem," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 83-92, February.
- Kennedy J. and Eberhart R. (1995a). "Particle swarm optimization." Proc. IEEE International Conf. on Neural Network (Perth, Australia), IEEE Service Center, Piscataway, NJ (in Press).
- Kennedy J. and Eberhart R. (1995b). "A new optimizer using particle swarm theory." Proceeding Sixth International Symposium on Micro Machine and Human Science (Nagoya, Japan), IEEE service center, Piscataway, NJ, 39-43.
- Kennedy, J. (1998). "The behavior of particles." 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on Evolutionary Programming, San Diego, USA.
- Kennedy J., Eberhart R and Shi Y. (2001). "Swarm intelligence." San Mateo, CA: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Korakianitis T. and Wilson D.G. (1994). "Models for Predicting the Performance of Brayton-Cycle Engines", ASME J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power, Vol. 116, pp 381 – 388.
- Kuloor, S., Hope, G.S. and Malik, O.P. (1992), "Environmentally constrained unit commitment," IEEE Proceedings – C, 139(2), 122-128.
- Lancaster, P. and Salkauskas, K. (1986). "An Introduction: Curve and Surface Fitting." London: Academic Press.
- Li A., Johnson R. B. and Svoboda A. J. (1997). "A New Unit Commitment Method," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 113-119, February.
- Liang, R. H. and Kang, F. C (2000). "Thermal generating unit commitment using an extended mean field annealing neural network," IEE Proceedings Part C – Generation, Transmission and Distribution, vol. 147, no. 3, pp. 164-170, May.
- Mantaway H., Abdel-Magid Y. L. and Selim S. Z. (1998), "A simulated annealing algorithm for unit commitment," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 197-204, February.

Mantawy A H., Abdel-Magid Y. L. and Selim S. Z. (1999). "Integrating genetic algorithms, tabu search, and simulated annealing for the unit commitment problem," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 829-836, August.

Naka, S., Genji, T., Miyazato, K., and Fukuyama, Y. (2002). "Hybrid particle swarm optimization based distribution state estimation using constriction factor approach." Proceedings of Joint 1st International Conference on Soft Computing and Intelligent Systems and 3rd International Symposium on Advanced Intelligent Systems (SCIS & ISIS 2002).

Ng, B.H. (2001). "CBPS Experience on the Use of Gas Turbine Performance Monitoring System for Maintenance Decision." 3<sup>rd</sup> TNB Technical Conference 2001, Malaysia.

Oon, K.P. (2000). "Performance Monitoring and Fault Diagnosis of Gas Turbine – Software Development Approach". Malaysia: UTM

Orero, S. O. and Irving, M. R. (1997). "Large scale unit commitment using a hybrid genetic algorithm," International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 45-55, January.

Padhy, N. P. (2001), "Unit commitment using hybrid models: a comparative study for dynamic programming, expert system, fuzzy system and genetic algorithms," International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, vol. 23, no 8, pp. 827-836, November.

Pike, Ralph W (1986). "Optimization for Engineering System." New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.

Proenca, L.M., Luis Pinto, J. and Manuel A. Matos (1999). "Economic dispatch in isolated networks with renewable using evolutionary programming". Hungary: IEEE Power Tech'99 Conference, Paper BPT99-361-25

Ramnathan, R. (1994). "Emission constrained economic patch," IEEE Transactions on Power System, 1994 9(4), 1994-2000.

REMACO (1996a). "Gas Turbine Performance Test Report for GT 3 ABB 13E Dual, Connaught Bridge Power Station, Klang" November, Technical Report.

REMACO (1996b). "Gas Turbine Performance Test Report for GT 4 ABB 13E Dual, Connaught Bridge Power Station, Klang" August, Technical Report.

Reklaitis G.V., Ravindran A., and Ragdell, K.M. (1983). "Engineering Optimization Methods and Applications." New York: Wiley.

Saadat, Hadi (1999). "Power System Analysis." New York: McGraw-Hill.

Saravanamuttoo, H.I.H, Cohen, H. and Rogers, G.F.C. (1996). "Gas Turbine Theory." 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Singapore: Longman.

Sasaki H., Watanabe M., Kubokawa J., Yorino N. and Yokoyama R. (1992),. "A solution method of unit commitment by artificial neural networks," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 974-981, August 1992.

Senjyu T., Yamashiro H., Uezato K. and Funabashi T. (2002). "A unit commitment problem by using genetic algorithm based on unit characteristic classification." In Evolutionary Programming VII: Proc. EP98, New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 591-600.

Shi, Y. H. and Eberhart, R. C. (1998a) "A Modified Particle Swarm Optimizer." IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation, Anchorage, Alaska.

Shi, Y. and Eberhart, R. C. (1998b). "Parameter selection in particle swarm optimization." In Evolutionary Programming VII: Proc. EP98, New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 591-600.

Shi, Y. and Eberhart, R. C. (1999). "Empirical study of particle swarm optimization." The 7<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on Evolutionary Programming, San Diego, USA.

Subir Sen and D.P. Kothari (1998). "Optimal Thermal Generating Unit Commitment: a Review", Electrical Power & Energy Systems, Vol. 20, No.7, pp, 443-451.

Takriti S. and Birge R. (2000), "Using Integer Programming to Refine Lagrangian-Based Unit Commitment Solutions," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 151-156, February.

Tiew-On Ting and C.K. Loo (2003). "Economic-environmental Unit Commitment Optimization, Version 1.6." Technical report submitted to Machinery Performance Monitoring Group Sdn. Bhd., For MGS Development Project Entitled Plant Performance Optimization System for Industrial Facilities.

Tiew-On Ting, M.V.C Rao, C.K. Loo and S.S. NGU (2003). "Solving unit commitment problem using hybrid particle swarm optimization." Journal of Heuristics, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publisher, Vol 9, pp. 507-520

Tong S. K., Shahidehpour S. M. and Ouyang Z. (1991). "A heuristic short-term unit commitment," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1210-1216, August.

Trelea, I.C. (2003). "The particle swarm optimization algorithm: convergence analysis and parameter selection." Elsevier Information Processing Letters, 85, pp. 317-325

Tyler G. Nicks (1998). "Handbook of Mechanical Engineering Calculations." USA: McGraw-Hill.

Virmani S., Adrian E. C., Imhof K. and Mukherjee S. (1989). "Implementation of a Lagrangian relaxation based unit commitment problem," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 1373-1380, October.

Walsh, P.P and Fletcher, P. (1998). "Gas Turbine Performance." New York: ASME Press.

Wong, S. Y. W. (1998). "An enhanced simulated annealing approach to unit commitment," International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 359-368, June.

Zhuang F. and Galiana F. D. (1990). "Unit commitment by simulated annealing," IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 311-318, February.