

ATTENUATION MODEL FOR FREE SPACE  
OPTICS USING LATENCY MEASUREMENTS

KHALID ZAYED MOHAMMAD AL MUHARRAMI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Electrical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2006

## DEDICATIONS

To the memory of my father,

To my mother,

To my wife; Rahmah

To my children; Faisal, Arwa, Asad, Mohammad and Zainab

To my family

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to Allah for every thing I have achieved and thanks to Allah for every thing I have tried but could not achieve.

The author wishes to acknowledge his debts to Professor Dr. Abu Bakar bin Mohammad for his trust, support, motivation and kindness. The author also wishes to thank Prof. Ir. Dr. Zainai Mohamed, Professor Dr. Awaludin Shaharoun, Prof. Ir. Dr. A. Halim Yatim, Dr. Nordin Yahaya, and H.E. Dr. Muneer Al-Maskery for their help and support. Special thanks to Mr. Sophan Nawawi, Mr. Haniff Ibrahim, Mr. Leow, Mr. Adil, and Mr. Rusli Omar for their help and support during the period of this research.

Technical help is also acknowledged to the laboratory technicians Mr. Ahmad Hassan, Mr. Shahrul Sahri, Mrs. Seri Azes, Mrs. Syukhiriza Ali, administration clerk Mrs. Masliyana Khalid and the IT manager Mr. Alias Mohd.

The author expresses his strong feeling of gratitude for the help graciously given by both Mrs. Zorina Rosmani and Mr. Nizam Hashim in obtaining many of interlibrary loans books and papers and all the staff of the PSZ library for their help and kindness. Too often one takes for granted the indispensable services performed by a good technical library.

One counts himself fortunate in having had the opportunity to work under Professor Dr. Abu Bakar, to know all the above mentioned, and write one's thesis in UTM.

## ABSTRACT

This study proposes a prediction model for the calculation of rain attenuation for optical wavelengths. Based on latency measurements via 850 nm free space optics transceiver, exponential drop size distribution is derived by method of inference from knowledge of attenuation at the highest rain rate. As an alternative to the inferred exponential distribution, a lognormal drop size distribution that suits the observed measurements is derived from published distribution models. Both distributions are found to be consistent with measured data. Furthermore, a formula relating latency to rain rate is derived by nonlinear regression analysis. The derived formula gives a very good correlation of 0.971 with the measured data. Regression analysis is also performed to attenuation data obtained by graphically converting measured latency data to attenuation. The results are compared to the proposed attenuation model and found to be identical. The attenuation model, using both drop size distributions, is then compared to well established models in the literature and is found to be mathematically consistent and in good agreement with these models and their measured data. While the established models are for rain rates of up to 100 mm/hr, the proposed model with a simpler derived power law is for rain rates up to 250 mm/hr. The power law,  $\gamma(R) = 1.118R^{0.614}$ , is derived for economy of calculation and ease of use.

## ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mendapatkan model anggaran bagi hujan pada perambatan gelombang optik. Berdasarkan pengukuran masa lengah, satu pemancar-penerima optik yang menggunakan panjang gelombang 850 nm digunakan. Hasil daripada data yang diperolehi, agihan saiz titisan diterbitkan secara eksponen menggunakan kaedah taabiran berpandukan kombinasi data yang diperolehi pada kadar hujan tertinggi. Sebagai alternatif lain untuk mendapatkan keputusan yang sama, agihan saiz titisan lognormal dipadankan dengan rujukan model yang telah diterbitkan. Kedua-dua agihan ini digunakan untuk memantapkan hujah bagi membuktikan data yang diperolehi adalah benar. Tambahan pula, dengan menggunakan penganalisaan regresi tak lurus, satu persamaan yang menghubungkan kadar hujan lengah bingkisan dihasilkan. Analisis regresi kemudiannya digunakan pada data perambatan yang diperolehi daripada graf pengukuran masa lengah yang ditukarkan kepada graf perambatan. Keputusan ini kemudiannya dibandingkan dengan model yang diperkenalkan, dan hasilnya didapati sama. Model perambatan yang diperkenalkan kemudiannya dibanding dengan model perambatan yang telah diterima pakai dan didapati ia bersesuaian secara matematik dan aritmetik. Model yang diterima pakai hanya sesuai pada kadar 100 mm/hr menggunakan formula biasa manakala model yang diperkenalkan boleh mencapai kadar sehingga 250 mm/hr dengan penggunaan formula yang lebih mudah. Dengan hanya menggunakan formula  $\gamma(R) = 1.118R^{0.614}$ , anggaran perambatan boleh dikira dengan mudah dan ekonomik.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Atmospheric Turbulence	2
	1.1.1 History	4
	1.2 Turbid atmosphere effects	6
	1.3 Objective and Scope of the Research	8
	1.4 Outline of the Thesis	9
<b>2</b>	<b>ATMOSPHERIC TURBULENCE</b>	
	2.1 Refractive Index	11
	2.2 Modeling Optical Turbulence	15
	2.2.1 Moments of the wave field	19
	2.2.2 The Correlation Function	20
	2.2.3 Refractive Index Power Spectral Density	23
	2.2.4 The Structure Function	25

<b>3</b>	<b>OPTICAL WAVE PROPAGATION in the TURBULENT ATMOSPHERE (CLASSICAL THEORY)</b>	
	3.1 Maxwell Equations	34
	3.2 Light-wave propagation in turbulent atmosphere	37
	3.3 The Method of Small Perturbations	38
	3.3.1 Green Function	39
	3.4 The Method of Smooth Perturbations	43
	3.4.1 Refractive Index Fluctuations	48
	3.5 Intensity of Fluctuations	54
	3.6 Models of Strong Fluctuations Regime	57
	3.6.1 Huygens – Fresnel Principle	58
	3.6.2 Extended Huygens-Fresnel Principle	64
<b>4</b>	<b>QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS</b>	
	4.1 Filter Function	69
	4.2 Physical Model	73
	4.3 The log-amplitude variance	77
	4.4 Mutual Coherence Function	78
	4.5 Average Intensity	79
	4.6 Angle of Arrival Fluctuations	80
	4.7 Beam Spread	81
	4.8 Beam Wander	83
	4.9 Intensity Scintillations	84
<b>5</b>	<b>WAVE PROPAGATION IN TURBID MEDIA</b>	
	5.1 Absorption	88
	5.2 Scattering	89
	5.2.1 Mie Calculation	91
	5.3 Rain	96
	5.3.1 Rain attenuation	104
	5.4 Fog and Haze Attenuation	110
<b>6</b>	<b>INSTRUMENTATION</b>	
	6.1 Free Space Optics Link	113
	6.1.1 Link Set-Up	115
	6.2 Testing The Link	116
	6.3 Weather Station	121
	6.4 Measurements	123
<b>7</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
	7.1 Link Budget	129
	7.2 Inference of Rain Drop Size Distribution	133
	7.3 Lognormal Drop Size Distribution	139
	7.4 Comparison with Established Models	146
	7.5 New Power Law	154
	7.6 Latency	156

<b>8</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS and FURTHER WORK</b>	
	8.1 Contributions	165
	8.2 Conclusions	166
	8.3 Further Work	170
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	172
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	
	APPENDIX A	177
	APPENDIX B	184
	APPENDIX C	191
	APPENDIX D	199
	APPENDIX E	203

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Number</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table 5.2-1 Characteristics of typical atmospheric scattering particles	90
Table 5.2-2 Published exponential raindrop-size distribution parameters [74]	100
Table 6.1-1 Transceivers specifications for FlightLite-10	114
Table 7.1-1 Link budget calculations	129
Table 7.3-1 Attenuations versus rain rates for derived lognormal distribution	145
Table 7.4-1 Calculated FSO window loss	153
Table 7.6-1 Attenuation graph-readings versus rain rate	161

## LIST OF FIGURES

Number	Page
Figure 2.1-1 Kolmogorov energy cascade theory of turbulence .....	13
Figure 2.2-1 Refractive index's power spectral density .....	29
Figure 2.2-2 Von Kármán refractive index spectrum.....	30
Figure 2.2-3 Bump at the spectrum for high $\kappa$ values .....	31
Figure 2.2-4 Hill refractive index spectrum.....	31
Figure 2.2-5 Modified Hill spectrum .....	32
Figure 2.2-6 Power spectrum models.....	33
Figure 3.4-1 Rytov's log-amplitude variance .....	52
Figure 3.6-1 Approaches for modeling of light propagation in turbulent media.....	58
Figure 3.6-2 Geometry for Huygens-Fresnel Principle.....	59
Figure 3.6-3 Gaussian beam .....	62
Figure 4.1-1 Log-amplitude and phase filter functions .....	70
Figure 4.1-2 Relative positions of the curves $\Phi_n(\kappa)$ and $A_\chi(\kappa)$ $\sqrt{\lambda L} \ll l_0$ .....	71
Figure 4.1-3 Relative positions of the curves $\Phi_n(\kappa)$ and $A_\chi(\kappa)$ $l_0 \ll \sqrt{\lambda L} \ll L_0$ .....	72
Figure 4.1-4 Relative positions of the curves $\Phi_n(\kappa)$ and $A_\chi(\kappa)$ in the case $1/\sqrt{\lambda L} \ll 1/L_0$ .....	73
Figure 4.2-1 Geometric optics explanation .....	74
Figure 4.2-2 Illumination of a refractive index irregularity.....	75
Figure 4.2-3 Diffraction effects .....	76
Figure 4.3-1 Illustration of propagation geometry.....	78
Figure 4.3-2 Typical surface of constant phase for a wave in a random medium .....	80
Figure 4.3-3 Short-term and long-term beam radius at the receiver.....	82
Figure 4.3-4 Average of the measured variance of the intensity fluctuations .....	85
Figure 4.3-5 Scintillation index for a Gaussian beam .....	86
Figure 5.1-1 Representative atmospheric transmittance spectrum .....	88
Figure 5.2-1 Polar plots of angular patterns of scattered intensity .....	91
Figure 5.2-2 Extinction efficiency $Q_{ext}$ radii 0.01–3000 $\mu\text{m}$ for $n = 1.33$ , $\lambda = 850\text{nm}$ .....	94
Figure 5.2-3 Extinction efficiency $Q_{ext}$ radii 0.01 – 1000 $\mu\text{m}$ $n = 1.5$ , $\lambda = 850\text{nm}$ .....	94
Figure 5.2-4 Scattering diagram for $m = 1.32863955$ and $\alpha = 10$ .....	96
Figure 5.2-5 Exponential drop size distributions for 100 $\text{mm hr}^{-1}$ rain.....	99
Figure 5.2-6 Terminal velocity of raindrops as a function of drop diameter [85].....	103
Figure 5.2-7 Path of a light ray through a sphere.....	105
Figure 5.2-8 Ray passing through sphere [82].....	106
Figure 5.2-9 Extinction curve computed from Mie's formulae for $m=1.33$ .....	107
Figure 5.2-10 Correction to van de Hulst's asymptotic formula .....	108
Figure 5.2-11 Fog attenuation as a function of visibility.....	111
Figure 6.1.1-1 Ethernet UTP Category 5.....	115

Figure 6.2-1 Latency measurement setup .....	117
Figure 6.2-2 Use of ping command .....	118
Figure 6.2-3 Ping utility .....	119
Figure 6.2-4 Ethernet frame.....	119
Figure 6.2-5 ICMP Message .....	120
Figure 6.3-1 A sample of weather station readings .....	123
Figure 6.4-1 A sample of measured latency.....	124
Figure 6.4-2 Experimental set-up.....	125
Figure 6.4-3 A sample of latency measurement for 300 m set-up distance .....	126
Figure 6.4-4 Latency measurement versus rain rate.....	128
Figure 7.1-1 Rain data for the month of May .....	130
Figure 7.1-2 Packets round-trip time versus rain rate .....	131
Figure 7.1-3 Weather station readings .....	132
Figure 7.2-1 Ratio of specific attenuation to rain rate as a function of $\Lambda$ .....	135
Figure 7.2-2 Inferred exponential drop size distribution .....	136
Figure 7.2-3 Comparison of exponential distribution with measured data of USM .....	137
Figure 7.2-4 Attenuation calculated using the inferred distribution .....	138
Figure 7.2-5 Measured latency versus rain rate compared to attenuation model.....	138
Figure 7.3-1 Comparison of derived lognormal distribution with Ajayi and Maitra .....	141
Figure 7.3-2 Comparison of lognormal distribution with measurement by UTM KL.....	142
Figure 7.3-3 Comparison of exponential and lognormal with measurement .....	143
Figure 7.3-4 Comparison of attenuation using exponential with lognormal distribution ..	144
Figure 7.4-1 Chu and Hogg measurements of attenuation versus rain rate.....	147
Figure 7.4-2 Comparison of our model to Chu and Hogg measurements .....	147
Figure 7.4-3 Chu and Hogg attenuation prediction model .....	148
Figure 7.4-4 Chu and Hogg model compared with our model .....	149
Figure 7.4-5 Rensch and Long attenuation measurements and model.....	150
Figure 7.4-6 Comparison of Rensch and Long attenuation model and our model.....	150
Figure 7.4-7 Attenuations due to Maitra's compared with our model .....	152
Figure 7.4-8 Maitra model compared to our model with window loss.....	154
Figure 7.5-1 Power-law attenuation curve.....	156
Figure 7.6-1 Measured latency of packets versus rain rates.....	157
Figure 7.6-2 Calculated latency values compared to measured values .....	159
Figure 7.6-3 Predicted latency values versus measured values .....	160
Figure 7.6-4 Measured latency and predicted attenuation as functions of rain rate .....	160
Figure 7.6-5 Regression analysis of attenuation data .....	162
Figure 7.6-6 Regression analysis of attenuation data for a second model .....	162
Figure 7.6-7 Comparison of attenuation models .....	163
Figure 7.6-8 Comparison of attenuation models with previously obtained model .....	164

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbol	Description	Chapter
$A$ .....	Wave amplitude .....	3
$a$ .....	Radius .....	5, 7
$B(\mathbf{r})$ .....	Covariance function.....	2-4
$C$ .....	Structure constant .....	2-4
$C_{a,s}$ .....	Cross section .....	5, 7
$c$ .....	Speed of light .....	2-4
$D$ .....	Aperture diameter .....	4-7
$D_n$ .....	Structure function .....	2-4
$D$ .....	Diameter of drops.....	5, 7
$E$ .....	Electric field .....	2-4
$F$ .....	2D Spectral density .....	2-4
$f$ .....	Frequency .....	2-4
$G$ .....	Green function .....	3, 4
$H$ .....	Magnetic field.....	3
$I$ .....	Irradiance/Intensity .....	All
$J$ .....	Bessel function.....	All
$k$ .....	Free space wave number .....	All
$L$ .....	Length/Distance .....	All
$l_0$ .....	Inner scale of turbulence .....	2-4
$L_0$ .....	Outer scale of turbulence .....	2-4

$m$ .....	Refractive index .....	5, 7
$N$ .....	Drop size distribution .....	5, 7
$N_{0,T}$ .....	DSD parameter .....	5,7
$n$ .....	Refractive index .....	All
$P$ .....	Power.....	6, 7
$p$ .....	Pressure .....	1-4
$Q_{ext}$ .....	Extinction efficiency factor .....	5, 7
$R$ .....	Rain rates .....	5, 7
$\mathbf{r}$ .....	3D vector $(x, y, z)$ .....	1-4
$S$ .....	Phase of the wave .....	2-4
$T$ .....	Temperature K.....	2-4
$T(\ )$ .....	Transmittance .....	5
$u$ .....	Velocity .....	1, 2
$U$ .....	Complex amplitude.....	2-4
$V$ .....	Visibility .....	5
$v$ .....	Viscosity.....	2
$v$ .....	Velocity .....	5, 7
$W$ .....	Beam spot size .....	3, 4
$\alpha$ .....	$[(2/kW_0^2)+j/F_0]$ .....	3, 4
$\alpha$ .....	$=(2\pi a/\lambda)$ .....	5, 7
$\Gamma$ .....	Correlation function .....	2-4
$\Gamma$ .....	Gamma function .....	5
$\delta$ .....	Dirac delta function .....	3, 4
$\varepsilon$ .....	Permittivity .....	2-4
$H_n$ .....	Hankel function.....	5
$\Theta$ .....	Gaussian beam parameter .....	2-4

$\theta$ .....	Divergence angle .....	7
$\kappa$ .....	Spatial wavenumber .....	2-4
$\lambda$ .....	Wavelength .....	All
$\Lambda$ .....	Gaussian beam parameter .....	2-4
$\Lambda$ .....	DSD parameter .....	5, 7
$\mu$ .....	Mean .....	5,7
$\rho$ .....	Density .....	1
$\rho$ .....	Transverse displacement .....	2-4
$\sigma$ .....	Standard deviation .....	5, 7
$\sigma_{\chi}^2$ .....	Log-amplitude variance .....	3, 4
$\sigma_I^2$ .....	Variance of intensity .....	3, 4
$\gamma$ .....	Extinction coefficient .....	5, 7
$\Phi$ .....	Power spectral density .....	2-4
$\chi$ .....	Log-amplitude .....	3, 4
$\psi$ .....	= $\chi + jS$ .....	3, 4
$\omega$ .....	Angular frequency .....	2-4
$\mu$ .....	Coefficient of viscosity .....	1 pg 4
$\tau$ .....	Time difference .....	2 pg 21

Trying to follow the universal notations used in the literature, led to some symbols being repeated due to the two division of theories treating waves in turbulent and turbid media. However, to avoid confusion, this list indicates the chapter number where each symbol is used.

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>Number</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix A .....	177
Appendix B.....	184
Appendix C.....	191
Appendix D.....	199
Appendix E .....	203

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

It is hard to imagine a subject more complex, and yet more useful, than the study of the propagation of light in the atmosphere [1]. Atmospheric optics is one of the most interesting, literature rich, and mathematical rigorous subject. Yet, its progress, hindered by atmospheric effects, troubles the most devoted scientists and engineers of the field. The subject's history starts with astronomy and is a matter for a wide variety of fields such as optical communications and remote sensing among others. The interest has accelerated with the invention of the laser in 1960 to a prevalent level only to be overwhelmed by the optical fiber dominance in the 1970s. Nevertheless, development in space technology applications, the need for a fiber-like mobile capacity, and the recognition of a potential in free space optics have brought the pace of interest even higher.

Some of the advantages of free space optics include low cost fiber-like quality, reliability, capacity, mobility, and fast installation [2]. Furthermore, the majority of R&D effort today surrounds the use of point-to-point free space optical communications links [3].

## 1.1 Atmospheric Turbulence

The small temperature variations, on the order of  $0.1-1\text{C}^\circ$ , that are related to the sun's heating of the atmosphere and turbulent motion of the air cause perturbations in the refractive index of the atmosphere, on the order  $10^{-6}$ , and hence changes in the velocity of optical waves passing through it. Although the variations of the refractive index from its mean is very small, the cumulative effect on a light-wave propagating through a large refractive index inhomogeneities can be very significant. Thus the intervening medium affects the properties of the received signal by distorting the intensity (scintillations), phase, angle-of-arrival, and displacing the light beam.

The aforementioned effects on light waves are caused by an intervening medium that is turbulent. A second category of effects are those caused by a turbid medium that is composed of large numbers of discrete scatters or aerosol particles (e.g., rain, fog, or dust), which give rise to strong scattering effects. In such a medium refractive index variations are large, on the order of unity, and sharp due to the discrete particles. Consequently, the scattering is strong and in all directions (including backscattering) and the average beam intensity is strongly attenuated.

The random fluctuations experienced by the amplitude and phase of the electric field of an optical wave traversing the atmosphere have been described by several mathematically rigorous theories based upon solving the wave equation for the electric field of the wave or for various moments of the field. Unfortunately, these mathematically rigorous approaches in most cases have led to tractable analytic results supported by experimental data only in certain asymptotic regimes [4].

One of the early attempts to solve the wave equation was based on the geometric optics approximation, which ignores diffraction effects. Thus it is a simple method, but is generally limited to propagation paths, in which  $L \ll l_0^2/\lambda$ , where  $l_0$  is the inner scale of turbulence. This is then followed by solutions based on perturbation theories widely known as the Born approximation and Rytov approximation that takes into account diffraction effects, important in the analysis of irradiance fluctuations sensitive to small scale sizes on the order of the Fresnel zone  $\sqrt{\lambda L}$ .

The latter of the aforementioned methods also called the method of smooth perturbations treats the perturbation terms as multiplicative to the unperturbed field and the Born approximation or method of small perturbation assumes additive perturbations. Both of these perturbation theories are restricted to weak fluctuation conditions that normally limit the propagation path length to a few hundred meters [5, 6, 7].

Furthermore, the method of small perturbations leads to an expression for the probability density function of the irradiance as a modified Rice-Nakagami distribution [8]. This is considered not a suitable model when compared with experimental data except, possibly, under extremely weak fluctuations [9]. On the other hand the Rytov approximation method leads to a lognormal distribution that works fine in the weak fluctuation regimes but experimentally found to be inappropriate in strong fluctuations regimes [4, 10].

The Rytov method came into existence due to the inadequacy of the Born approximation and its predecessor geometrical optics. It turned out however that the Rytov method is also inadequate except for path lengths of few hundred meters or so. This is considered logic from the view point that since a perturbation approach is used; the results are to be valid only if the

perturbations are small. Nonetheless, the Rytov method is the standard method used today under weak fluctuations conditions [4].

### 1.1.1 History

The problem of homogeneous turbulence was pioneered by G. I. Taylor (1935) introducing the correlation between the velocities at two points as one of the quantities needed to describe turbulence [11]. After Taylor has furnished the literature with his theory of turbulence by introducing the assumptions of statistically homogeneous and isotropic turbulence among others, various workers have contributed to the development of the theory of turbulence using Taylor's concept like Von Kármán and other workers and the use of the Navier-Stokes equation – the equation governing the variation of the spatial distribution of the velocity with time given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} \quad (1.2)$$

Where  $p$  represents pressure,  $\mathbf{u}$  is the vector velocity of the turbulent motion at a position in the field specified by the vector coordinate  $\mathbf{r}$ ,  $\rho$  is the density, and  $\nu = \mu/\rho$  is the kinematics viscosity.

Kolmogorov (1941) then formulated his theory which is now the starting-point for many researchers. The hypothesis of small-scale components of the turbulence are approximately statistically equilibrium was also brought about independently at the same time by A. Obukhoff (1941), by L. Onsager (1945), and by C. F. von Weizsäcker (1948), [11].

Active research in the effects of the atmosphere on light waves are traced to 1950s, where a number of papers appeared about twinkling (scintillation) and quivering (irregular displacements of the image of a star in random directions) of stellar images in telescopes, [12].

Effects of atmospheric turbulence on sound waves started as early as 1941, [1]. Studies first used geometrical optics method, but the limitation on this technique of restricting the path length quite severely initiated the transition to the wave optics or diffraction theory techniques.

A revolution came with the invention of the laser in 1960 by Theodore Maiman and the Hughes Aircraft Co. [13]. This allowed the use of coherent light, bringing the possibilities of wave optics, introduced through Huygens' ideas and Fresnel mathematical models, to their full potential. However the optimism about its use as an optical communication tool was struck by the limitation and system degrades encountered due to the atmospheric effects.

Therefore, physicists and engineers alike carried on their shoulders the heavy task of first revealing the magnitude of the difficulties with analytical models and then try to search for a solution to get the focus back on optics again. This task was greatly achieved by the monographs of Russian scientists Tatarski [5] and Chernov [6] analyzing the effects of atmospheric turbulence on optical frequencies by suggesting the Rytov approximation as a best model.

Before 1967 almost all of the theory was centered on plane-wave propagation for mathematical simplicity. The first comprehensive paper on beam-wave propagation was published in 1967 [8].

Experimental results for long distance wave propagation in the early 1960s by Russian scientists revealed that the intensity fluctuations predicted by use of Rytov's method does not agree with experimental result. It was found that the fluctuations reach a peak after which it saturates and no more increase is observed. Thus, an extensive effort was devoted in the development of new theories that better model optical wave propagation in the atmosphere.

This resulted in the categorization of two regimes, namely the weak fluctuation regime where Rytov's approximation is valid and the strong fluctuation regime over which new models were sought. This resulted in few models such as the Extended Fresnel-Huygens method and the Markov approximation among others.

Although the new models contributed to a better understanding of the atmospheric effects and its characterizations, these effects on optical wave propagations are still permanent hindering the optimal use of optical waves through the atmosphere.

## **1.2 Turbid atmosphere effects**

On the other hand, molecular and particle scattering add to the problem of optical wave communications. The main gas absorbent at optical wave length is water vapor. Fortunately, the near infrared wavelengths used for communications are all in optical windows where absorption is negligible.

Furthermore, particles absorption by water drops is also negligible, relatively to loss due to scattering effects, at optical wavelengths. Thus the

main concern is extinction due to scattering. To this regard high attenuations are observed in dense fog, high intensity rain and clouds.

Attenuation due to above mentioned phenomena are investigated at optical wavelengths with the use of the rigorous Mie theory. Calculations of specific attenuations are performed with regard to the scattering cross section of the particle and the rain drop size distribution.

Mainly there is four drop size distributions commonly used in the literature. Marshall and Palmer exponential distribution was the earliest and is the main distribution that is still used in mid-high latitudes. Another distribution is the modified gamma distribution obtained from gamma distribution.

Measurements have shown that the above mentioned distributions are unsuitable for characterizing precipitation at tropical regions. This could be logic due to the fact that rain in the tropical regions is of warm cloud type forming at temperatures above  $0^{\circ}C$  and starts as water drop whereas that of mid-high latitudes form at temperatures levels below freezing and therefore starts as ice crystals changing to its liquid form as it falls to the ground.

All investigations of drop size distribution at tropical regions recommend use of the three parameters lognormal drop size distribution. This conclusion was due to measurements carried out in Japan, Nigeria, Brazil, India, and Malaysia all confirming and recommending the use of the lognormal distribution.

Fog attenuation, on the other hand can be easily calculated by knowledge of visibility which can easily be obtained and data are available in

all airports and weather centers. This visibility value will be directly used in the calculation of specific attenuation for a particular wavelength. The same is also true for haze by using the same formula.

### 1.3 Objective and Scope of the Research

The following research work aims at the investigation of atmospheric attenuation on free space optics communication link. A refine understanding of various effects on optical wave propagation in the free atmosphere is an essential element so as to carry the task of investigating the specific rain attenuation on free space optics communication link effectively and efficiently. Therefore an understanding of turbulence and its effects on optical wave propagation will help furnishing the ground for a better analysis of the different theories characterizing this propagation phenomenon.

Investigation of the effects of molecular and particles absorption and scattering of optical wave propagation in a turbid media is then crucial for assessing the attenuation effects of precipitation on the free space optics link. This then will bring the focus on the main objectives of this study listed as follows:

- I. To measure rain attenuation on the free space optics link at  $850\text{nm}$  optical wavelength for Malaysian weather.
- II. To infer new drop size distribution parameters for the optical wavelength from attenuation measurements.
- III. To derive a new power-law relation for calculations of specific attenuation for  $850\text{nm}$  optical wavelength.

IV. To derive a mathematical relation between the measured packet's latency and rain rates.

#### **1.4 Outline of the Thesis**

Building of the thesis is carried out with the objective of providing a refine understanding of various atmospheric effects on free space optics communication link. Thus the effects of the atmosphere are divided into two categories namely turbulent effects and turbid effects.

Chapter 2 lays down the basics of turbulence and its characteristics that have major effects on wave propagation. The refractive index of the atmosphere fluctuations are discussed and analyzed. The randomness of the atmosphere and its characterization with the moments of the field is presented. The correlation and structure functions of the refractive index fluctuations are discussed. Finally, the different refractive index spectrums are given and explained.

Classical theories of wave propagation in turbulent media are presented in Chapter 3. The method of small perturbation and Rytov's method are mathematically explained and analyzed. The shortcomings of these theories are discussed. Theories for the strong fluctuations regime are also presented and compared.

Chapter 4 gives qualitative analysis of the effects of the turbulent atmosphere on optical wave propagation. Effects of intensity scintillation, beam wander, angle of arrival fluctuations, among others, are discussed and mathematical expressions are given.

Propagation of optical waves in turbid media are the subject of Chapter 5 with the effects of molecular and particles absorption and scattering reviewed. Drop size distributions for rain, fog, and haze are presented and analyzed. Effects of rain, haze, and fog on optical propagation are discussed and their attenuation's expressions are given.

The instrumentations used, set-up of equipment, and method of measurements are presented in Chapter 6. Wiring and the software used for this link are also presented.

Chapter 7 presents the results and discussion of the thesis. The achieved objectives are explained in this chapter. The new drop size distribution's parameters are derived. A new power-law relation for specific attenuation is mathematically derived. Furthermore, the relation between packet's latency and rain rates is explained and formulated by a mathematically derived equation using regression analysis.

Chapter 8 includes the final conclusion and outlook of the study.

## REFERENCES

1. Friedman, Ed and J. L. Miller, *Photonics Rules of Thumb: Optics, Electro-Optics, Fiber Optics, and Lasers*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., pp. 45-67, SPIE Press, 2004.
2. Acampora, Anthony and Leiyang Du, "Free-Space-Optical Networks: Some Capacity Considerations," IEEE LEOS, v. 19, (5), pp. 33-34, 2005.
3. Willner, Alan E., Louis Christen, John E. McGeehan, Yan Wang, Saurabh Kumar, and Irfan Fazal, "Physical Layer Routing in Free-Space Optical Networks," IEEE LEOS, v. 19, (5), pp. 36-39, 2005.
4. Andrews, L. C. and R. L. Phillips, *Laser Beam Propagation through Random Media*, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA, 1998.
5. Tatarski, V. I., *Wave Propagation in a Turbulent Medium*, McGraw-Hill, USA, 1961.
6. Chernov, Lev A., *Wave Propagation in a Random Medium*, Dover Publications, NY, 1960.
7. Ishimaru, Akira, *Wave Propagation and Scattering in Random Media*, Academic Press, NY, v. I, 1978.
8. Lawrence, R. and J. W. Strohbehm, "A Survey of Clear-Air Propagation Effects Relevant to Optical Communications," Proc. IEEE, v. 58, (10), pp. 1523-1545, 1970.
9. Phillips, R. L. and L. C. Andrews, "Measured Statistics of Laser-Light Scattering in Atmospheric Turbulence," J. Opt. Soc. Am., v. 71, (12), pp. 1440-1445, 1981.
10. Parry, G. and P. N. Pusey, "K Distributions in Atmospheric Propagation of Laser Light," J. Opt. Soc. Am., v. 69, (5), pp. 796-798, 1979.
11. Batchelor, G. K., *the Theory of Homogeneous Turbulence*, Cambridge Uni. Press, 1970.
12. Strohbehm, J. W., "Introduction. Laser Beam Propagation in the atmosphere," In: Topics in Applied Physics; Laser Beam Propagation in the Atmosphere, v. 25, pp. 1-6. Berlin, 1978.
13. Lindgren Nilo, "Optical Communications – A Decade of Preparations," Proc. IEEE, v. 58, (10), pp. 1410-1418, 1970.
14. Wright, M. C. M., *Lecture Notes on the Mathematics of Acoustics*, Ch. 1, Imperial College Press, London, 2005.
15. Stull, Ronald B., "An Introduction to Boundary Layer Meteorology", Kluwer Academic Publisher, Netherlands, 1988.
16. Flach, Philipp, 'Analysis of Refraction Influences in Geodesy using Image Processing and Turbulence Models,' PhD Thesis, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich, 2000.
17. Strohbehm, J. W., "Line-of-Sight Wave Propagation through the Turbulent Atmosphere," IEEE Proc., v. 56, (8), pp. 1301-1318, Aug. 1968.
18. Clifford, S. F., "The Classical Theory of Wave Propagation in a Turbulent Medium," In: Topics in Applied Physics; Laser Beam Propagation in the Atmosphere, v. 25, pp. 9-43. Berlin, 1978.
19. Fante, Ronald L., "Wave Propagation in Random Media: A System Approach," In Progress in Optics XXII, E. Wolf, Elsevier, N.Y., 1985

20. Fante, R. L., "Electromagnetic Beam Propagation in Turbulent Media," Proc. IEEE, v. 63, (12), pp. 1669-1692, 1975.
21. Yaglom, A. M., *An Introduction to the Theory of Stationary Random Functions*, Ch. 1, Dover Publications, NY, 1962.
22. Ishimaru, Akira, "Introduction to Wave Propagation and Scattering in Random Media," IEEE AP Newsletter, pp. 4-6, Dec. 1985.
23. Sveshnikov, A., *Applied Methods of the Theory of Random Functions*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1966.
24. Strohbehm, J. W., "Modern Theories in the Propagation of Optical Waves in a Turbulent Medium", In: Topics in Applied Physics; Laser Beam Propagation in the Atmosphere, v. 25, pp. 45-106. Berlin, 1978.
25. Uscinski, B. J., *The Elements of Wave Propagation in Random Media*, McGraw-Hill, London, 1977.
26. Ishimaru, Akira, "A New Approach to the Problem of Wave Fluctuations in Localized Smoothly Varying Turbulence," IEEE Trans., AP-21, 1, pp. 47-53, Jan. 1973.
27. Strohbehm, J. W., "Line-of-Sight Wave Propagation Through the Turbulent Atmosphere," Proc. IEEE, v. 56, 8, Aug. 1968
28. Hodara, H., "Effects of a Turbulent Atmosphere on the Phase and Frequency of Optical Waves," IEEE Proc., v. 56, (12), pp. 2130-2136, 1968.
29. Andrews, L. C., *Field Guide to Atmospheric Optics*, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA, 2004.
30. Andrews, L. C., Ronald Philips and Cynthia Hopen, *Laser Beam Scintillation with Applications*, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA, 2001.
31. Williams, R. M. and C. A. Paulson, "Microscale Temperature and Velocity Spectra in the Atmospheric Boundary Layer," J. Fluid Mech., v. 83, (3), pp. 547-567, 1977.
32. Hill, R. J., "Models of the Scalar Spectrum for Turbulent Advection," J. Fluid Mech., v. 88, (3), pp. 541-562, 1978.
33. Hill, R. J. and S. F. Clifford, "Modified Spectrum of Atmospheric Temperature Fluctuations and Its Application to Optical Propagation," J. Opt. Soc. Am., v. 68, (7), pp. 892-899, July 1978.
34. Sobczyk, K., *Stochastic Wave Propagation*, PWN, Warszawa 1985.
35. Strohbehm, J. W. and S. F. Clifford, "Polarization and Angle-of-Arrival Fluctuations for a Plane Wave Propagated Through a Turbulent Medium," IEEE Trans. AP-15, 3, pp. 416-421, May 1967.
36. Saleh, A. A. M., "An Investigation of Laser Wave Depolarization Due to Atmospheric Transmission," IEEE J. QE-3, 11, Nov. 1967.
37. Hodara, H., "Laser Wave Propagation through the Atmosphere," IEEE Proc., v. 54, (3), pp. 368-375, 1966.
38. Goodman, Joseph W., *Introduction to Fourier Optics*, pp. 32-62, McGraw-Hill, USA, 2<sup>nd</sup> ED. 1996.
39. Sommerfeld, Arnold, *Partial Differential Equations in Physics*, pp. 188-200, Academic Press, NY, 1949.
40. Wright, M. C. M., *Lecture Notes on The Mathematics of Acoustics*, Ch. 1, Imperial College Press, London, 2005.
41. Wheelon, Albert D., *Electromagnetic Scintillation; II. Weak Scattering*, Cambridge University Press, UK, 2003.
42. Yura, H. T., C. C. Sung, S. F. Clifford and R. J. Hill, "Second-order Rytov approximation," J. Opt. Soc. Am., v. 73, (4), pp. 500-502, 1983.
43. Yura, H. T., "Physical Model for Strong Optical-Amplitude Fluctuations in a Turbulent Medium," J. Opt. Soc. Am., v. 64, (1), pp. 59-67, Jan. 1974.

44. Clifford, S. F., G. R. Ochs, and R. S. Lawrence, "Saturation of Optical Scintillation by Strong Turbulence," J. Opt. Soc. Am., v. 64, (2), pp. 148-154, Feb. 1974.
45. Born, Max and Emil Wolf, *Principles of Optics; Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference and Diffraction of Light*, 6<sup>th</sup> Ed, Ch. VIII, Pergamon, Exeter, 1980.
46. Ishimaru, Akira, "Fluctuations of A Focused Beam Wave For Atmospheric Turbulence," Proc. IEEE, v. 57, (4), pp. 407-414, 1969.
47. Bachor, Hans-A and Timothy C. Ralph, *A Guide to Experiments in Quantum Optics*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed, Ch. 2, Wiley-VCH, Berlin, 2004.
48. Andrews, L. C., W. B. Miller and J. C. Ricklin, "Geometrical Representation of Gaussian Beams Propagating Through Complex Paraxial Optical Systems," App. Opt., v. 32, (30), pp. 5918-5929, 1993.
49. Andrews, L. C., *Special Functions of Mathematics for Engineers*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., McGraw-Hill, NY, 1992.
50. Kendall, M. and Alan Stuart, *the Advanced Theory of Statistics*, 4<sup>th</sup> ed., v. 1, Ch. 3, Charles Griffin and Co., London, 1977.
51. Prokhorov, A. M., F. V. Bunkin, K. S. Gochelashvily and V. I. Shishov, "Laser Irradiance Propagation in Turbulent Media," Proc. IEEE, v. 63, (5), pp. 790-811, 1975.
52. Fante, R. L., "Mutual Coherence Function and Frequency Spectrum of a Laser Beam Propagating Through Atmospheric Turbulence," J. Opt. Soc. Am., v. 64, (5), pp. 592-598, 1974.
53. Churnside, J. and R. Lataitis, "Wander of an Optical Beam in the Turbulent Atmosphere," App. Opt., v. 29, (7), pp. 926-930, 1990.
54. Dunphy, J. R. and J. R. Kerr, "Atmospheric Beam-Wander Cancellation by a Fast-Tracking Transmitter," J. Opt. Soc. Am., v. 64, (7), pp. 1015-1016, 1974.
55. Dunphy, J. R. and J. R. Kerr, "Turbulence Effects on Target Illumination by Laser Sources: Phenomenological Analysis and Experimental Results," App. Opt., v. 16, (5), pp. 1345-1358, 1974.
56. Levi, Leo, *Applied Optics: A Guide to Optical System Design*, v. II, Ch. 12, John Wiley, NY, 1968.
57. Shaik, K. S., "Atmospheric Propagation Effects Relevant to Optical Communications," TDA Progress Report 42-94, pp. 180-200, 1988.
58. Pidwirny, M., <http://www.physicalgeography.net/fundamentals/7a.html>, University of British Columbia, Feb., 2006.
59. Zuev, V. E., *Propagation of Visible and Infrared Radiation in the Atmosphere*, pp. 2, Translated from Russian by D. Lederman, John Wiley, 1974.
60. Kyle, T. G., *Atmospheric Transmission; Emission & Scattering*, pp. 21, Pergamon, Exeter, 1991.
61. Chu, T. S. and D. C. Hogg, "Effects of Precipitation on Propagation at 0.63, 3.5, and 10.6 Microns," Bell Sys. Tech. J., (5), pp. 723-759, 1968.
62. Kim, Isaac I., Bruce McArthur, and Eric Korevaar, "Comparison of Laser Beam Propagation at 785 nm and 1550 nm in Fog and Haze for Optical Wireless Communications," Proc. SPIE 4214, pp. 26-37, 2001.
63. Van de Hulst, H. C., *Light Scattering by Small Particles*, Ch. 8-13, Dover, NY, 1981.
64. Oguchi, Tomohiro, "Electromagnetic Wave Propagation and Scattering in Rain and Other Hydrometeors," Proc. IEEE, v. 71, (9), pp. 1029-1078, 1983.
65. Laws, J. Otis and Donald A. Parsons, "The Relation of Raindrop-Size to Intensity," Trans. Am. Geophys. Union, v. 24, pp. 452-460, 1943.
66. Marshal, J. S. and W. Mck. Palmer, "The Distribution of Raindrops with Size," J. Meteor., v. 5, pp. 165-166, 1948.

67. McCartney, Earl J., *Optics of the Atmosphere; Scattering by Molecules and Particles*, Ch. 5 & 6, John Wiley & Sons, NY, 1976.
68. Joss, J. and A. Waldvogel, "Raindrop Size Distribution and Sampling Size Errors," *J. Atmos. Sci.*, v. 26, (5), pp. 566-569, 1969.
69. Sekhon, R. S. and R. C. Srivastava, "Doppler Radar Observations of Drop-Size Distributions in a Thunderstorm," *J. Atm. Sci.*, v. 28, (9), pp. 983-994, 1971.
70. Tokay, A. and D. A. Short, "Tropical Rainfall Associated with Convective and Stratiform Clouds: Intercomparison of Disdrometer and Profiler Measurements," *J. Appl. Meteor.*, v. 38, (3), pp. 302-320, 1999.
71. Steiner, M. and A. Waldvogel, "Peaks in Raindrop Size Distributions," *J. Atmos. Sci.*, v. 44, (20), pp.3127-3133, 1987.
72. Waldvogel, A., "The  $N_0$  Jump of Raindrop Spectra," *J. Atm. Sci.*, v. 31, (5), pp. 1067-1078, 1974.
73. Zainal, A. R., I. A. Glover, and P. A. Watson, "Rain Rate and Drop Size Distribution Measurement in Malaysia," *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, 1993.
74. Jiang, H., M. Sano, and M. Sekine, "Weibull Raindrop-Size Distribution and Its Application to Rain Attenuation," *IEE Proc.-Microw. Ant. Prop.*, v. 144, (3), pp. 197-200, 1997.
75. Pruppacher, Hans R. and James D. Klett, *Microphysics of Clouds and Precipitation*, D. Reidel, Netherlands, 1978.
76. Olsen, R. L., D. V. Rogers, and D. B. Hodge, "The  $aR^b$  Relation in the Calculation of Rain Attenuation," *IEEE Trans. Ant. Prop.*, v. AP-26, (2), pp. 318-329, 1978.
77. Pontes, M. S., M. R. Jimenez, and N. L. Damasceno, "Enlace-Analysis and Prediction of Rainfall Rate and Rain Attenuation Distributions," *Ant. Prop. Conf.*, v. 1, pp. 454-457, 1993.
78. Maitra, A., "Three-Parameter Raindrop Size Distribution Modeling At a Tropical Location," *IEEE Electron. Lett*, v. 36, (10), pp. 906-907, 2000.
79. Maitra, A., "Rain Attenuation Modeling From Measurements of Rain Drop Size Distribution in the Indian Region," *IEEE Ant. Wireless Prop. Lett*, v. 3, pp. 180-181, 2004.
80. Tharek, A. R. and J. Din, "Rainfall Drop Size Distribution Measurements in Malaysia," *Seminar Penyelidikan Fakulti Kejuruteraan Electric*, 1992.
81. Ajayi, G. Olalere and Roderic L. Olsen, "Modeling of a Tropical Raindrop Size Distribution for Microwave and Millimeter Wave Applications," *Radio Sci.*, v. 20, (2), pp. 193-202, 1985.
82. Deirmendjian, D., "Scattering and Polarization Properties of Water Clouds and Hazes in the Visible and Infrared," *Appl. Opt.*, v. 3, (2), pp.187-196, 1964.
83. Ajayi, G. O. and R. L. Olsen, "Measurements and Analysis of Raindrop Size Distribution in South Western Nigeria," *Proc. Ursi Commis. F Symp*, Lourain, Belgium, June, 1983.
84. Medhurst, Richard G., "Rainfall Attenuation of Centimeter Waves: Comparison of Theory and Measurement," *IEEE Trans. Ant. Prop.*, v. AP-13, (7), pp. 550-564, 1965.
85. Rensch, D. B. and R. K. Long, "Comparative Studies of Extinction and Backscattering by Aerosols, Fog, and Rain at  $10.6 \mu$  and  $0.63 \mu$ ," *Appl. Opt.*, v. 9, (7), pp. 1563-1573, 1970.
86. Vasseur, H. and C. J. Gibbins, "Prediction of Apparent Extinction for Optical Transmission through Rain," *Appl. Opt.*, v. 35, (36), pp. 7144-7150, 1996.
87. Manabe, Takehi, Toshio Ihara, and Yoji Furuhamu, "Inference of Raindrop Size Distribution from Attenuation and Rain Rate Measurements," *IEEE Trans. Ant. Prop.*, v. AP-32, (5), pp. 474-478, 1984.

88. Nebuloni, Robert, "Empirical Relationships between Extinction Coefficient and Visibility in Fog," *Appl. Opt.*, v. 44, (18), pp. 3795-3804, 2005.
89. Al-Naboulsi, Maher and Frederique de Fornel, "Fog Attenuation Prediction for Optical and Infrared Waves," *SPIE Opt. Eng.*, v. 43, (2), pp. 319-329, 2004.
90. Jacobson, Mark Z., *Fundamentals of Atmospheric Modeling*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., pp. 388-389, University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
91. Akhtar, Shakil and Youlu Zheng, *Networks for Computer Scientists and Engineers*, pp. 200-207, Oxford Uni. Press, NY, 2002.
92. Bentham, Jeremy, *TCP/IP Lean; Web Servers for Embedded Systems*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Ch. 4, CMP Books, California, 2002.
93. Comer, Douglas E., *Internetworking with TCP/IP: Principles, Protocols, and Architectures*, 4<sup>th</sup> ed., v. I, Ch. 9, Prentice Hall, NJ, 2000.
94. Haugdahl, J. Scott, *Network Analysis and Troubleshooting*, pp. 311-328, Addison-Wesley, NJ, 2000.
95. Tanenbaum, Andrew S., *Computer Networks*, 4<sup>th</sup> ed., Ch. 4, Prentice Hall, NJ, 2003.
96. Willebrand, H. and B. Ghuman, *Free-Space Optics: Enabling Optical Connectivity in Today's Networks*, pp. 40-46, Sams, Indiana, 2002.
97. Ricklin, Jennifer, 'Free-Space Laser Communication Using a Partially Coherent Source Beam,' PhD Thesis, John Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, March 2002.
98. Chebil Jalil, 'Rain Rate and Rain Attenuation Distribution for Microwave Propagation Study in Malaysia,' PhD Thesis, UTM, 1997.
99. Din, Jafri, 'Influence of Rainfall Drop Size Distribution on Attenuation at Microwave Frequencies in a Tropical Region,' PhD Thesis, UTM, 1997.
100. Ajayi, G. Olalere, "Some Aspects of Tropical Rainfall and Their Effect on Microwave Propagation," *Int. J. Sat. Comm.*, v. 8, pp. 163-172, 1990.
101. Vasseur, H. and C. J. Gibbins, "Inference of Fog Characteristics from Attenuation Measurements at Millimeter and Optical Wavelengths," *Radio Science*, v. 31, (5), pp. 1089-1097, 1996.
102. Bates, Douglas and D. Watts, "Nonlinear Regression Analysis and its Applications, Ch. 3, John Wiley, NY, 1988.
103. Lourakis, M., "A Brief Description of the Levenberg-Marquardt Algorithm," Institute of Computer Science, Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas, Greece, 2005.