

FLEXURAL BEHAVIOR OF SANDWICH
COMPOSITE

ARMIN GHANIMI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Mechanical)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2013

*To my mother for her enormous financial and emotional support
throughout my study.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Mohd. Yazid Bin Yahya for the continuous support of my study and research, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better advisor and mentor for my Master study.

Besides, I would also like to thank my mother and younger brother. They were always supporting me and encouraging me with their best wishes.

Finally, I would like to thank my all friends for all their supports and encouragement throughout my study in Malaysia.

ABSTRACT

Composite sandwich structures are commonly used in aerospace application, ship building, bridge, etc. due to their low weight and excellent strength to weight ratio. In the last decades, light-weight core materials such as honeycomb, wood, foam core have been used in manufacture sandwich structures based on required. In this case, sandwich structure with poly-propylene honeycomb core and FGRP face sheet was modeled, produced, fabricated and tested. The composite sandwich structures were manufactured using hand lay-up and vacuum bagging manufacturing process. The behaviors of these structures under three point bending and four point bending were investigated. Flexural behavior of these structures has been experimentally and finite element investigated. Design sandwich composite structure with different thickness, manufacturing process and glass fiber skins. The main aim of the study is to analyze effect of material, loading span length, thickness and manufactured process on flexural behavior of the sandwich panel in load rate $1\text{mm}/\text{min}$. The experimental and finite element results were discussed and general conclusions were drawn. The result showed that flexural behavior of the sandwich composite beam with vacuum bagging technique was better than with hand lay-up. The result however indicated that increasing the number of layers decreased the amount of extension, but vacuum bagging method made the value of extension higher, and also in four point bending test, the span length has a significant role in the flexural properties of sandwich composite. By increasing the distance of load span, the number of maximum load obviously went up, but by reducing the span length, the value of flexural extension and maximum stress also had upward trends. The error of maximum stress value in the three-point bending test between the FEM analysis and experimental results declined as it was added to the number of layer and vacuum bagging method process.

ABSTRAK

Struktur sandwich Komposit biasanya digunakan dalam aplikasi aeroangkasa, pembinaan kapal, jambatan, dan lain-lain disebabkan oleh berat yang rendah dan kekuatan yang sangat baik kepada nisbah berat. Dalam dekad ini bahan-bahan teras ringan lepas seperti sarang lebah, kayu, teras foam telah digunakan dalam struktur sandwich pembuatan berdasarkan keperluan. Dalam kes ini, struktur sandwich dengan poli-propilena sarang lebah teras dan lembaran muka FGRP telah dimodelkan, dikeluarkan, direka dan diuji. Struktur sandwich komposit dihasilkan menggunakan kaedah hand lay-up dan vacuum bagging pembuatan. Tingkah laku struktur ini di bawah tiga titik lenturan dan empat titik lenturan telah disiasat. Kelakuan lenturan struktur ini telah uji kaji dan unsur terhingga disiasat. Kabentuk sandwich struktur gabungan dengan ketebalan yang berbeza, proses pembuatan dan kulit gentian kaca. Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk menganalisis kesan material, muatan panjang rentang, tebal dan proses pembuatan ke atas tingkah laku lenturan panel sandwich kadar beban 1mm/min. Hasil dari eksperimen telah dibincang dan kesimpulan umum telah disediakan. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa kelakuan lenturan rasuk komposit sandwich dengan teknik vacuum bagging adalah lebih baik berbanding dengan tangan hand lay-up. Hasilnya bagaimanapun menunjukkan bahawa peningkatan bilangan lapisan mengurangkan jumlah lanjutan, tetapi kaedah vacuum bagging membuat nilai lanjutan yang lebih tinggi, dan juga dalam empat titik ujian lentur, panjang rentang mempunyai peranan penting dalam sifat-sifat lenturan komposit sandwich. Dengan meningkatkan jarak span beban, jumlah beban maksimum yang jelas telah naik, tetapi dengan mengurangkan panjang rentang, nilai lanjutan lenturan dan tegasan maksimum juga mempunyai trend menaik. Kesilapan nilai tegasan maksimum dalam ujian lentur tiga mata antara analisis FEM dan keputusan eksperimen menurun kerana ia ditambah kepada bilangan lapisan dan vacuum bagging proses kaedah.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF FIGURES	ix
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of the Study	1
	1.3 Statement of the Problem	2
	1.4 Purpose of the Study	2
	1.5 Objective and Scopes of the Study	3
	1.5.1 Objective	3
	1.5.2 Scopes of the Study	3
	1.6 Research Questions	3
	1.7 Significance of the Study	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 Sandwich Structure with Honeycomb Core	6
	2.3 Bending Test	14
	2.3.1 Three-Point Bending Test	14
	2.4.1 Four-Point Bending Test	20

2.4	Face-Sheet	25
3	METHODOLOGY	27
3.1	Raw Material	27
3.1.1	Skin Sheet	27
3.1.2	Honeycomb	29
3.1.3	Resin	29
3.1.4	Vacuum Bag	30
3.1.5	Bleeder	31
3.1.6	Breather	32
3.1.7	Peel-Ply	32
3.2	Manufacturing Method	33
3.2.1	Hand Lay-up	33
3.2.2	Vacuum Bagging	35
3.3	Procedure	36
3.3.1	Hand Lay-up Technique	38
3.3.2	Vacuum Bagging Technique	40
3.3.3	Simulation	46
3.4	Test Method and Specimen	48
3.5	Theoretical	51
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	57
4.1	Bending Test	57
4.2	Three-Point Bending Test	61
4.3	Result of Simulation	69
4.4	Four-Point Bending Test (Third Point)	72
4.5	Four-Point Bending Test (Quarter Point)	80
5	COCLUSION	89
5.1	Conclusion	89
5.2	Recommendation for Future Work	90
	REFERENCES	92

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE No.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Honeycomb cell size	6
2.2	Collapse mode	8
2.3	Honeycomb-panel as used in air plane design: Nomex paper-honeycomb Between glass-fiber reinforced epoxy resin	11
2.4	Sinusoidal cores in honeycomb structure	12
2.5	Coordinate system of sandwich beam: (a) geometry; (b) internal resultants and stresses	13
2.6	Model of three-point bending test including local deformation effects	19
2.7	Testing positions on the four-point bending rig for the damaged specimen	21
2.8	Schematic illustration of flexural	22
3.1	Fiberglass woven	27
3.2	Fiberglass CSM	28
3.3	PP honeycomb with different cell size	29
3.4	Vacuum bag	31
3.5	Bleeder	31
3.6	Peel-Plies	32
3.7	Hand Lay-up	35
3.8	Vacuum Bagging	36
3.9	Cutting glass-fiber and honeycomb	37
3.10	Waxing the mold	38
3.11	Brush the skins by roller	39
3.12	Rolling the fiber	39
3.13	Layering the up skin	40
3.14	Sticking the bleeder	41
3.15	Sticking the Ribbon sealer	42
3.16	Sticking vacuum bags to the mold by ribbon sealer.	43
3.17	Resin trash	43

3.18	Two input tubes connected to the resin trash	44
3.19	Vacuum pump	44
3.20	Eliminate the leak	45
3.21	Honeycomb sandwich structure in Abaqus	46
3.22	Polypropylene honeycomb structures	47
3.23	Three-point bending test in Abaqus	47
3.24	Common type of four point bending test	48
3.25	Mid-span test	48
3.26	Machine cutters L-300	49
3.27	Woven fiber-glass specimen	50
3.28	Quarter two-point loading Instron motor (100 KN motor)	50
4. 1	Initial position	57
4.2	Apply force on top up the bend part	58
4.3	Optical micrograph of cell-honeycomb sandwich structure subjected to bending loads: (I) breakage of the composite columns; (II) inclination of the composite core cell; (III) composite cells vertical to face sheet	59
4.4	Selected sequence micrographs of cell–honeycomb sandwich structure during bending tests: (I) core cells before loading; (II) crushed cells	60
4.5	Optical micrographs of cell-honeycomb sandwich structure when shearing occurred	60
4.6	Schematic of the deformation process of the cell-honeycomb sandwich structure	61
4.7	The amount of loading at maximum deflection of 1 layer beam in 3 point bending test	62
4.8	The amount of loading at maximum deflection of 2 layers beam in 3 point bending test	63
4.9	The amount of loading at maximum deflection of 3 layers beam in 3 point bending test	64
4.10	Flexural stresses at maximum deflection of 1 layer beam in 3 point bending	65
4.11	Flexural stresses at maximum deflection of 2 layers beam in 3 point bending	65
4.12	Flexural stresses at maximum deflection of 3 layers beam in 3 point bending	66
4.13	Maximum flexural stresses at maximum loading in 3 point bending test	67
4.14	Maximum Von Misses stress of 1LHC in 3 point bending test	69
4.15	Maximum Von Misses stress of 2LHC in 3 point bending test	70
4.16	Comparison between experimental and numerical result	71
4.17	Load (third point) - deflection behaviors of specimen 1 layer	72

4.18	Load (third point) - deflection behaviors of specimen 2 layers	73
4.19	Load (third point) - deflection behaviors of specimen 3 layers	73
4.20	Experimental stress (third point)-deflection behaviors of specimen 1 layer	75
4.21	Experimental stress (third point)-deflection behaviors of specimen 2 layers	75
4.22	Experimental stress (third point)-deflection behaviors of specimen 3 layers	76
4.23	Small Buckling and buckling of the cell walls 3LC and 3LW	77
4.24	Wrinkling and small wrinkling of fiber glass honeycomb core in 2LC 2LW	77
4.25	Maximum flexural stresses at maximum loading in four-point (third point) bending test	78
4.26	Load (quarter point) - deflection behaviors of specimen 1 layer	81
4.27	Load (quarter point) - deflection behaviors of specimen 2 layers	81
4.28	Load (quarter point) - deflection behaviors of specimen 3 layers	82
4.29	Experimental stress (quarter point)-deflection behaviors of specimen 1 layer	83
4.30	Experimental stress (quarter point)-deflection behaviors of specimen 2 layers	84
4.31	Experimental stress (quarter point)-deflection behaviors of specimen 3 layers	84
4.32	Maximum flexural stresses at maximum loading in 4 (quarter point) bend test	86

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Contrastive values of honeycomb sandwich sample	18
3.1	Material Properties of sandwich composite	28
3.2	The percentage of mixing resin and hardener	38
4.1	Maximum flexural deflection, loading and stress in 3 point bending test	68
4.2	Maximum flexural deflection, loading and stress in 4 point (third point) bending test	80
4.3	maximum flexural deflection, loading and stress in 4 point (quarter) bending test	87

LIST OF SYMBOLS

<i>1LHC</i>	1 layer hand lay-up CSM
<i>1LHW</i>	1 layer hand lay-up woven
<i>1LBC</i>	1 layer vacuum bagging CSM
<i>1LBW</i>	1 layer vacuum bagging woven
<i>G</i>	Shear rigidity
σ	Stress
<i>pp</i>	Poly propylene

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Composite sandwich structure is a confirmed construction technique that combines two or more materials to create unique materials with low weight and high performance, thus making it ideal for wide range of applications such as aerospace, marine and transportation application. Thus, the understanding of flexural behavior of sandwich beam is a main parameter which had been considered by manufacturer. The flexural behavior of this construction is affective by the rate of the loading specimen, span length, thickness, skin sheet and manufacturing technique.

1.2 Background of the Study

A composite material is combination of reinforcement fibers, particle and fillers embedded in a cured resin also known as a matrix polymers. The matrix holds the reinforcement together to make the required shape while the reinforcement increases the general mechanical behavior of the matrix. When designed satisfy, the new combination of material shows improved strength behavior in comparison of each individual material. The application usage of each composite depends on their physical and mechanical performance. These performance can be point-out from standard ASTM procedures.

Fiber glass composite sandwich beam with foam and honeycomb core construction are suitable for building light-weight structures, especially for aerospace and marine industries. Sandwich structures are famous as good resistance to weight ratios compared to predictable materials.

This study has showed some experimentation and finite element to analyze four-point and three-point bending performance of sandwich structures composed of composite face sheets of woven glass fiber or CSM, and polypropylene honeycomb core.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

Sandwich composites are mainly subjected to failure, damage and crack. This damage and defect is not easy to find out in composite materials. This defect will cause delamination, core disbands, and crash. The fault will reduce the stiffness of the structures and will reduce the fatigue life of the component.

1.4 Purpose of the Study

Manufacturing of the sandwich composite structures have widely used in aerospace, transportation and marine applications. The advantages of combination of sandwich composites in constructions of the industries are numerous. In comparison between metallic structural components and sandwich composites, composite sandwich structures have higher strength to weight ratio (which results in growth the number of payload, decrease fuel consumption), extended fatigue life, lower maintenance cost, as well as a range of integrated performance, such as thermal and sound isolation, good vibration behavior, fire safety, excellent energy absorption, directional behavior of the face sheets enabling optimized properly design and produce of complicate and smooth hydrodynamic surface. The purpose of the study in this study report was to analyses flexural performance of glass-fiber sandwich beam with polypropylene honeycomb core by bending tests.

1.5 Objective and Scopes of the Study

1.5.1 Objectives

The objectives of this study are listed below:

- To investigate the effects of different thicknesses and materials, manufacturing processes and length of span.
- To compare the experimental results of three-point bending test with FEM simulation.

1.5.2 Scopes of the Study

- Determination of flexural behavior based on CSM and Woven fiber glass as face sheet, different thickness 1,2,3 layers, hand lay-up and vacuum bagging manufacturing process with different span length in four-bending and three-point tests.
- Validate the FEM simulation results with experimental results of three-point bending test.

1.6 Research Questions

What is the effect of thickness of the sandwich panel face sheet on bending behavior?

What are the effects of the number and type of face sheet layers on four point and three point bending behavior?

What are the effects of span length on four point bending test?

What are the effects of type of manufacturing process on flexural behavior?

1.7 Significance of the Study

Due to the importance applications of composite sandwich materials, understanding of flexural behavior and prediction of the maximum loadings, displacements and stresses are useful for manufacturer and researcher. Because of their constitutions, the flexural behavior of these materials can be investigated by using the various numbers and types for the face-sheet, acting on manufacturing process and span length, which the finding of this parameters are important to discovered the behavior of the beam or panel under four-point and three-point bending tests, and to investigate the problems and benefits of the structure. With the information at hand, standard measures could be exposed and more wide studies can be recommended for the future.

REFERENCES

1. Triantafillou, T.C. and L.J. Gibson, *Failure mode maps for foam core sandwich beams*. *Materials Science and Engineering*, 1987. **95**(0): p. 37-53.
2. Grove, S.M., E. Popham, and M.E. Miles, *An investigation of the skin/core bond in honeycomb sandwich structures using statistical experimentation techniques*. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 2006. **37**(5): p. 804-812.
3. Davalos, J.F., et al., *Modeling and characterization of fiber-reinforced plastic honeycomb sandwich panels for highway bridge applications*. *Composite Structures*, 2001. **52**(3-4): p. 441-452.
4. H.S. choi, K.J.A., J.D. Nam, H.J. Chun, *Hygroscopic aspects of epoxy carbon fiber composite laminates in aircraft environments*. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 2001. **32**.
5. Crupi, V., G. Epasto, and E. Guglielmino, *Collapse modes in aluminium honeycomb sandwich panels under bending and impact loading*. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, 2012. **43**: p. 6-15.
6. A. Petras, M.P.F.S., *Failure mode maps for honeycomb sandwich panels*. *Composite Structures*, 1999. **44**: p. 237-252.
7. X. Frank Xu, P.Q., *Homogenized elastic properties of honeycomb sandwich with skin effect*. *international Journal of solids and structures*, 2002. **39**.

8. Backström, D. and A.C. Nilsson, *Modelling the vibration of sandwich beams using frequency-dependent parameters*. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 2007. **300**(3-5): p. 589-611.
9. Zinno, A., et al., *Experimental characterization of phenolic-impregnated honeycomb sandwich structures for transportation vehicles*. Composite Structures, 2011. **93**(11): p. 2910-2924.
10. Kress, G. and M. Winkler, *Honeycomb sandwich residual stress deformation pattern*. Composite Structures, 2009. **89**(2): p. 294-302.
11. Belouettar, S., et al., *Experimental investigation of static and fatigue behaviour of composites honeycomb materials using four point bending tests*. Composite Structures, 2009. **87**(3): p. 265-273.
12. Dear, J.P., H. Lee, and S.A. Brown, *Impact damage processes in composite sheet and sandwich honeycomb materials*. International Journal of Impact Engineering, 2005. **32**(1-4): p. 130-154.
13. Du, Y., N. Yan, and M.T. Kortschot, *Light-weight honeycomb core sandwich panels containing biofiber-reinforced thermoset polymer composite skins: Fabrication and evaluation*. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2012. **43**(7): p. 2875-2882.
14. Kang, K.-W., et al., *Strength reduction behavior of honeycomb sandwich structure subjected to low-velocity impact*. Materials Science and Engineering: A, 2008. **483-484**: p. 333-335.
15. Tagarielli, V.L., N.A. Fleck, and V.S. Deshpande, *Collapse of clamped and simply supported composite sandwich beams in three-point bending*. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2004. **35**(6-8): p. 523-534.
16. Petras, A. and M.P.F. Sutcliffe, *Indentation resistance of sandwich beams*. Composite Structures, 1999. **46**(4): p. 413-424.
17. He, M. and W. Hu, *A study on composite honeycomb sandwich panel structure*. Materials & Design, 2008. **29**(3): p. 709-713.
18. <C393-00.618867-1.pdf>.

19. Cunningham, P.J., *Targeting Communities With High Rates Of Uninsured Children*. Health Affairs, 2001.
20. Mujika, F., *On the effect of shear and local deformation in three-point bending tests*. Polymer Testing, 2007. **26**(7): p. 869-877.
21. Belingardi, G., P. Martella, and L. Peroni, *Fatigue analysis of honeycomb-composite sandwich beams*. Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing, 2007. **38**(4): p. 1183-1191.
22. Flores-Johnson, E.A. and Q.M. Li, *Structural behaviour of composite sandwich panels with plain and fibre-reinforced foamed concrete cores and corrugated steel faces*. Composite Structures, 2012. **94**(5): p. 1555-1563.
23. Awad, Z.K., T. Aravinthan, and A. Manalo, *Geometry effect on the behaviour of single and glue-laminated glass fibre reinforced polymer composite sandwich beams loaded in four-point bending*. Materials & Design, 2012. **39**: p. 93-103.
24. Jen, Y. and L. Chang, *Evaluating bending fatigue strength of aluminum honeycomb sandwich beams using local parameters*. International Journal of Fatigue, 2008. **30**(6): p. 1103-1114.
25. Jen, Y.-M. and L.-Y. Chang, *Effect of thickness of face sheet on the bending fatigue strength of aluminum honeycomb sandwich beams*. Engineering Failure Analysis, 2009. **16**(4): p. 1282-1293.
26. Lagunegrand, L., et al., *Design of an improved four point bending test on a sandwich beam for free edge delamination studies*. Composites Part B: Engineering, 2005. **37**(2-3): p. 127-136.
27. Abbadi, A., et al., *Experimental and numerical characterization of honeycomb sandwich composite panels*. Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory, 2009. **17**(10): p. 1533-1547.
28. Baba, B.O. and S. Thoppul, *Experimental evaluation of the vibration behavior of flat and curved sandwich composite beams with facelcore debond*. Composite Structures, 2009. **91**(1): p. 110-119.

29. Manalo, A.C., T. Aravinthan, and W. Karunasena, *Flexural behaviour of glue-laminated fibre composite sandwich beams*. *Composite Structures*, 2010. **92**(11): p. 2703-2711.
30. Manalo, A.C., et al., *Flexural behaviour of structural fibre composite sandwich beams in flatwise and edgewise positions*. *Composite Structures*, 2010. **92**(4): p. 984-995.
31. Lombardi, N.J. and J. Liu, *Glass fiber-reinforced polymer/steel hybrid honeycomb sandwich concept for bridge deck applications*. *Composite Structures*, 2011. **93**(4): p. 1275-1283.
32. Fan, H., et al., *An experiment study on the failure mechanisms of woven textile sandwich panels under quasi-static loading*. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 2010. **41**(8): p. 686-692.
33. Manalo, A. and T. Aravinthan, *Behaviour of glued fibre composite sandwich structure in flexure: Experiment and Fibre Model Analysis*. *Materials & Design*, 2012. **39**: p. 458-468.
34. Srinivas, S. and A.K. Rao, *Bending, vibration and buckling of simply supported thick orthotropic rectangular plates and laminates*. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 1970. **6**(11): p. 1463-1481.