

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT AND
LEADERSHIP EFFECTIVENESS, EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AND
EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION

SHADI EBRAHIMI MEHRABANI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Management)

Faculty of Management
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MARCH 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I begin in the name of God, The Compassionate and The Merciful. I praise God for providing me the inner strength and courage throughout this challenging task. It is also, a pleasure to express my appreciation to those who have assisted me in completing this research. First of all, I wish to express my sincere gratitude and deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Noor Azmi Mohamad for his continued and valuable guidance, ideas, time and patience throughout the course of this thesis. I am also deeply thankful to University Technology Malaysia that gives us many opportunities to study more effectively. My extreme appreciation goes to Dr. Karuthan Chinna, Assoc Prof of University of Malaya for his valuable and helpful guidance on data analysis and using the SPSS AMOS software. My special tanks go to Ms. Elham Ebrahimi, Administrative Assistant at Thornhill Public School in Canada, for editing the texts and also Mr. Stephen Boyd for professional reading the report. Finally, I would like to thank my parents, for their supports and my husband (Dr. Maziar Shajari) and my little girl (Baran) for their love and patience in this incredible passage. My family and friends always be remembered for their invaluable prayers and constant encouragement.

ABSTRACT

In spite of the fact that leadership development is one of the key factors for the success of an organization, there is still a lack of experiential evidence and systematic approach in leadership development. The main purpose of this study is to identify the leadership skills that need to be developed for an effective leadership development program. This study introduced seven leadership development skills by developing the following dimensions: inspiration of shared vision, environment of trust, communication, team work, creativity and problem solving, motivation in others by accrediting them and empowerment. Besides these skills, three factors were used to define effectiveness such as leadership effectiveness, employee satisfaction and employee performance. In addition, training and knowledge sharing were proposed as moderators in the relationships. Data were collected from managers of six private oil companies in Iran. Twenty three hypotheses were tested using Structural Equation Modelling and Stepwise Multiple Regression techniques. The results showed that developing inspiration of shared vision and communication skills contributed the most to leadership effectiveness. Statistically, developing creativity and problem solving, and empowerment, also influenced all the three factors of effectiveness. In fact, developing motivation in others by accrediting them had an influence on employees' satisfaction and performance. Training was discovered to be a moderator in the relationship between leadership development and effectiveness outcomes while knowledge sharing did not have any moderator effect. This study has identified variables that organizations can use to expand their leadership development programs.

ABSTRAK

Di sebalik hakikat pembangunan kepimpinan yang menjadi salah satu faktor utama kejayaan kepada sesebuah organisasi masih terdapat kekurangan bukti kepentingan pengalaman dan pendekatan yang sistematik dalam pembangunan kepimpinan. Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti kemahiran kepimpinan yang perlu dibangunkan untuk program pembangunan kepimpinan yang berkesan. Kajian ini memperkenalkan tujuh pembangunan kemahiran kepimpinan dengan membangunkan dimensi berikut: inspirasi wawasan yang dikongsi bersama-sama, persekitaran amanah, komunikasi, kerja berpasukan, kreativiti dan penyelesaian masalah, motivasi kepada orang lain dengan memberikan akreditasi kepada mereka dan memperkasa pekerja. Selain kemahiran ini tiga faktor telah digunakan untuk menentukan keberkesanan, iaitu keberkesanan kepimpinan, kepuasan dan prestasi pekerja. Di samping itu latihan dan perkongsian pengetahuan telah dicadangkan sebagai moderator dalam hubungan ini. Data telah dikumpul daripada pengurus di enam buah syarikat minyak swasta di Iran. Sebanyak 23 hipotesis telah diuji menggunakan Permodelan Persamaan Struktur dan teknik Regresi Berganda Langkah-demi-langkah. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa membangunkan inspirasi visi yang dikongsi bersama-sama dan kemahiran komunikasi menjadi dimensi yang paling menyumbang kepada keberkesanan kepimpinan. Secara statistik membangunkan kreativiti dan penyelesaian masalah serta memperkasa pekerja turut mempengaruhi ketiga-tiga faktor keberkesanan. Sementara itu dimensi membangunkan motivasi kepada orang lain dengan memberikan akreditasi kepada mereka mempengaruhi kepuasan dan prestasi pekerja. Latihan didapati bertindak sebagai moderator dalam hubungan antara pembangunan kepimpinan dengan keberkesanan manakala perkongsian pengetahuan tidak menunjukkan hubungan sebagai moderator. Kajian ini telah mengenal pasti pembolehubah-pembolehubah yang boleh digunakan untuk memperkembang program pembangunan kepimpinan.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Background of the Problem	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	7
	1.4 Research Questions	17
	1.5 Aim of the Study	17
	1.6 Objectives of the Study	18
	1.7 Scope of the Study	18
	1.8 Significance of the Study	19
	1.9 Conceptual Definitions	21
	1.9.1 Leadership	21
	1.9.2 Leadership Developmen	21
	1.9.2.1 Developing Inspiration of Shared Vision	22
	1.9.2.2 Developing the Environment of Trust	22
	1.9.2.3 Developing Team Work	22

1.9.2.4	Developing Communication	23
1.9.2.5	Developing Motivation in Others by Accrediting Them	23
1.9.2.6	Developing Creativity and Problem Solving	23
1.9.2.7	Developing Empowerment in Others	24
1.9.3	Effectiveness	24
1.9.3.1	Leadership Effectiveness	24
1.9.3.2	Employee Performance	25
1.9.3.3	Employee Satisfaction	25
1.9.4	Training Activities	25
1.9.5	Knowledge Sharing Practices	26
1.10	Outline of thesis	26
1.11	Summary	27
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	28
2.1	Introduction	28
2.2	Leadership Development	30
2.3	Leadership Theories	33
2.3.1	Traits Theory to Leadership	34
2.3.2	Behavioural Theory to Leadership	35
2.3.3	Situational or Contingency Theory to Leadership	36
2.3.4	New Leadership Theories	39
2.3.4.1	Attribution Theory of Leadership	39
2.3.4.2	Charismatic Leadership Theory	40
2.3.4.3	Transactional Leadership Theory	40
2.3.4.4	Transformational Leadership Theory	42
2.3.4.5	Moral Leadership Theory	46
2.3.4.6	Servant Leadership Theory	46
2.3.4.7	Developmental Leadership Theory	48
2.4	Leadership Development Researches and Approaches	49

2.5	Developing Leadership Development Constructs	59
2.5.1	Developing inspiration of Shared Vision	62
2.5.2	Developing the Environment of Trust	64
2.5.3	Developing Motivation in Others by Accrediting Them	65
2.5.4	Developing Team Work	67
2.5.5	Developing Communication	68
2.5.6	Developing Creativity and Problem Solving	70
2.5.7	Developing Empowerment in Others	72
2.6	Effectiveness Outcomes	75
2.7	Relationship between Leadership Development and Effectiveness Outcomes	79
2.8	Training Activities and Leadership Development	82
2.9	Knowledge Sharing and Leadership Development	87
2.10	Relationship between Training and Knowledge Sharing with Leadership Development and Effectiveness Outcomes	89
2.11	Demographic Variables	92
2.12	Conceptual Framework	93
2.13	Research Hypothesis	94
2.14	Summary	97
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	100
3.1	Introduction	100
3.2	Research Desig	101
3.3	Research Operational Framework	102
3.4	Research Method	103
3.5	Place of Study	105
3.6	Data Sources	108
3.7	Operational Definition	110
3.8	Research Instrument	112
3.9	Pre -Testing of the Questionnaire	118
3.10	Pilot Study	118

3.11	Sampling	122
3.12	Data Collection	122
3.13	Data Analysis	126
3.13.1	Non-Response Bias	127
3.13.2	Common Method Variance	128
3.14	Structure Equation Model	128
3.14.1	Confirmatory Factor Analysis	129
3.14.1.1	Content Validity	130
3.14.1.2	Construct Validity	130
3.14.2	Measures of Fit	131
3.14.2.1	Normal Chi-Square	131
3.14.2.2	Goodness-of-Fit Index and Adjust Goodness-of- Fit Index	132
3.14.2.3	Root Mean Square Error of Approximation	132
3.14.2.4	Comparative Fit Index	133
3.14.2.5	Tucker Lewis Index	133
3.14.3	Moderator Analysis in SEM	133
3.15	Summary	134
4	DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	135
4.1	Introduction	135
4.2	Preliminary Study and Analysis	135
4.3	Descriptive Statistics	139
4.4	Construct Development	141
4.5	Model Development	161
4.6	Structural Model	168
4.7	Relationship between Leadership Development and Effectiveness Outcomes	169
4.8	The Effect of Knowledge Sharing and Training on the Relationship	175
4.8.1	Effect of Training on the Relationships	176

4.8.2	Effect of Knowledge Sharing on the Relationships	179
4.9	Analysing of Demographic Variables	180
4.9.1	The Effect of Gender on the Relationships	180
4.9.2	The Effect of Age on the Relationships	180
4.9.3	The Effect of Educational Degree on the Relationships	181
4.9.4	The Effect of Job Experience on the Relationships	182
4.10	Post-Hoc Analysis	182
4.10.1	Results of Post-Hoc Analysis with Regard to Developing Team work	184
4.10.2	Results of Post-Hoc Analysis with Regard to Developing Motivation in Others by Accrediting Them	184
4.10.3	Results of Post-Hoc Analysis with Regard to Developing Communication	185
4.10.4	Results of Post-Hoc Analysis with Regard to Developing Inspiration of Shared Vision	186
4.10.5	Results of Post-Hoc Analysis with Regard to Developing Creativity and Problem Solving	186
4.10.6	Results of Post-Hoc Analysis with Regard to Developing Empowerment in Others	187
4.10.7	Results of Post-Hoc Analysis Regarding the Effect of Training on Relations	188
4.10.8	Results of Post-Hoc Analysis Regarding the Effect of Knowledge Sharing on Relations	188
4.11	Summary	189
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATION	191
5.1	Introduction	191
5.2	Preliminary Study Findings	192

5.3	Survey Analysis and post-Hoc Analysis Findings and Discussion	193
5.3.1	Relationship between Developing Inspiration of Shared Vision and Effectiveness Outcomes	193
5.3.2	Relationship between Developing Team Work and Effectiveness Outcomes	195
5.3.3	Relationship between Developing Communication and Effectiveness Outcomes	196
5.3.4	Relationship between Developing Creativity and Problem Solving and Effectiveness Outcomes	198
5.3.5	Relationship between Developing Motivation in Others by Accrediting Them and Effectiveness Outcomes	199
5.3.6	Relationship between Developing Empowerment in Others and Effectiveness Outcomes	201
5.3.7	Moderator Effect of Training	202
5.3.8	Moderator Effect of Knowledge Sharing	203
5.3.9	Demographics Effects on the Relationship between Leadership Development and Effectiveness Outcomes	204
5.4	Implications of the Study	205
5.5	Limitations of the Study	206
5.6	Recommendations of the Study	207
5.7	Conclusion	209
	REFERENCES	212
	Appendices A-N	238-300

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Transformational, Transactional and Servant Leadership Factors	62
3.1	Operational Framework	103
3.2	Place of the Study	107
3.3	Operational Definitions	111
3.4	Summary of Item Used by Questionnaire	115
3.5	Reliability of Each Item in the Questionnaires (Pilot Test)	120
3.6	Inter Item Correlation Values	121
3.7	Analysis of Unusable Questionnaires	124
3.8	Summary of the Responses	125
3.9	Result of Non-Response Bias	127
4.1	Preliminary Study Results	136
4.2	Personal Characteristics of Respondent	139
4.3	Professional Characteristics of Respondent	140
4.4	Items Measuring Developing Inspiration of Shared Vision (SV)	143
4.5	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Developing Inspiration of Shared Vision	144
4.6	Items Measuring Developing the Environment of Trust (TR)	144
4.7	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Developing the Environment of Trust	145
4.8	Items Measuring Developing Motivation in Others by Accrediting Them	146

4.9	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Developing Motivation in Others by Accrediting Them	147
4.10	Items Measuring Developing Team Work (TW)	147
4.11	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Developing Team Work	148
4.12	Items Measuring Developing Communication (CS)	149
4.13	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Developing Communication	149
4.14	Items Measuring Developing Creativity and Problem Solving (CS)	150
4.15	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Developing Creativity and Problem Solving	151
4.16	Items Measuring Developing Empowerment in Others (EM)	152
4.17	Standardized Regression Weights for Empowerment	152
4.18	Covariance	153
4.19	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Developing Empowerment in Others	153
4.20	Items Measuring Leadership Effectiveness (LF)	154
4.21	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Leadership Effectiveness	155
4.22	Items Measuring Employee Performance (EP)	156
4.23	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Employee Performance	156
4.24	Items Measuring Employee Satisfaction (ES)	157
4.25	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Employee Satisfaction	157
4.26	Items Measuring Knowledge sharing	158
4.27	Standardized Regression Weights for Knowledge Sharing Items	159
4.28	Initial Average Variance Extracted (AVE) for Knowledge Sharing	161
4.29	Model Fit Indicators for Measurement Model	162

4.30	Items Correlation Values	163
4.31	Model Fit Indicators for Measurement Model after dropping the TR	163
4.32	Modification Indexes	164
4.33	Model Fit Indicators for Measurement Model after dropping TR, RW1 and TW1	165
4.34	Comparison of Square of Correlation Estimate and AVE to test discriminate validity	166
4.35	Final Items Reliabilities	167
4.36	Descriptive Data of Constructs	169
4.37	Three First Hypothesis and Their Related sub Hypothesis	170
4.38	Regression Weights for the Structural Model	171
4.39	Structural Model's Regression Weights after Modifications	172
4.40	Standard Regression weight for Final Structural Model	173
4.41	Results of Hypotheses Testing Related to Third Study Question	174
4.42	Hypotheses Related to Moderators Effect	176
4.43	The Frequency Table of Training	176
4.44	Test on the Moderating Effect of Training on the Relationships	177
4.45	P- Value for the Relationships by the Training groups	178
4.46	Test on the Moderating Effect of Knowledge Sharing on the Relationships	180
4.47	Test on the Effect of Age on the Relationships	181
4.48	Test on the Effect of Educational Degree on the Relationships	182
4.49	Test on the Effect of Job Experience on the Relationships	182
4.50	Post-Hoc Interview's Questions	183

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Conceptual Framework	94
3.1	Research Design	102
4.1	Tester Model	142
4.2	Measurement Model for Developing Inspiration of Shared Vision	143
4.3	Measurement Model for Developing the Environment of Trust	145
4.4	Measurement Model for Developing Motivation in Others by Accrediting Them	146
4.5	Measurement Model for Developing Team Work	148
4.6	Measurement Model for Developing Communication	149
4.7	Measurement Model for Developing Creativity and Problem Solving	150
4.8	Measurement Model for Developing Empowerment in Others	152
4.9	Measurement Model for Developing Empowerment in Others after Modification	153
4.10	Measurement Model for Leadership Effectiveness	155
4.11	Measurement Model for Employee Performance	156
4.12	Measurement Model for Employee Satisfaction	157
4.13	Measurement Model for Knowledge Sharing	159
4.14	Measurement Model for Knowledge Sharing after Modification	160
4.15	Final Measurement Model for Knowledge Sharing	161
4.16	Measurement Model	162

4.17	Final Measurement Model	165
4.18	Structural Model	168
4.19	Final Structural Model	175
4.20	The Percentage of Agreement by Methods Which Are Useful for Leadership Development	179

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGFI	-	Adjusted Goodness-of-Fit Index
AMOS	-	Analysis of Moment Structure
AVE	-	Average Variance Extracted
CEO	-	Chef Executive Officer
CFA	-	Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CFI	-	Comparative Fit Index
CR	-	Creativity and Problem Solving
CS	-	Communication
df	-	Degree of freedom
EFA	-	Expleatory Factor Analysis
EM	-	Empowerment in Others
EP	-	Employee Performance
ES	-	Employee Satisfaction
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Production
GFI	-	Goodness-of-Fit Index
KS	-	Knowledge Sharing
LF	-	Leadership Effectiveness
RMSEA	-	Root Mean Square Error of Approximation
RW	-	Motivation in Others by Accrediting Them
SEM	-	Structure Equation Model
SV	-	Inspiration of Shared Vision

SPSS	-	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
TLI	-	Tucker Lewis Index
TM	-	Team Work
TR	-	Trust
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Interview Questions	238
B	Questionnaire (English Version)	239
C	Questionnaire (Persian Version)	247
D	Reliability Reports of Pilot Test	254
E	Explore Test Reports	262
F	Results of 2-tailed T-test	268
G	Total Variance Explained	274
H	Descriptive Analysis Reports	276
I	Fitting Measurement Model	277
J	Final Reliability Reports	280
K	Stepwise Regressions	285
L	Chi-square Distribution Table	290
M	Results of Clustering for Knowledge Sharing	295
N	Descriptive of Item Mean Distribution for Constructs	298

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

The twenty first century is a century of changes that are happening faster than ever. Changes that are in line neither with the past nor with the future and they are the consequences of events and conditions totally disconnected with the trend of the past (Iranzadeh, 2002). To face these challenges, organizations must be prepared to have more flexibility in their structure (Fulmer *et al.*, 2000).

Nowadays in the business environment, firms realize that it is crucial to adapt their businesses. They try to change their business from one that simply results in financial gains to a business that tries to find ways to keep competitive. These days, leaders do not concentrate solely on profit-earning activities. They use strategies to inspire and connect employees to give more to the organization for achieving the needed results (Savage-Austin, 2009). Cameron and Quinn (2006) stated that for continued survival of the organization, there is a need for leaders to continually assess their strategic position and align the organization's idealistic purpose with the organizational overall mission, goals and objectives.

In organizational environments where unpredictability and uncertainty are created by changes and there are many complex problems, the need for leadership development is very important (Beeson, 1998; Conger, 1993; McCall, 1998; O'Toole, 2001). Leskiw and Singh (2007) emphasized that in the business

environment, leadership development is a perception that becomes more and more critical and increasingly strategic for organizations.

There are many challenges for organizations of all sizes to prepare leaders who can guide the organization in an unstable environment. If organizations want to be successful, they must spend money in making leadership skills at different levels of their organization (Block and Manning, 2007). However, there are considerable quantities of studies on leadership and leadership development; researchers are still grappling to understand the leadership development phenomenon. This study was designed to find out what leadership skills need to be developed for an effective leadership development program to cultivate successful leaders through their influence on the effectiveness of Iran's oil industry.

1.2 Background of the Problem

Leadership development is an area in which many leaders and managers recognize its importance but many of them acknowledge the lack of effective programs to develop leadership skills and practices (Osborne, 2011). It is obvious that organizations that attempt to develop successful leaders and have suitable structures and culture in their organization, and also have the strategic leadership programs, will be better prepared for challenges (Miller *et al.*, 2001). Leadership is considered as a foundation of competitive advantage in many organizations. That is why more organizations give more attention to develop their leaders (McCall, 1998; Vicere and Fulmer, 1997).

Leadership development is not just the consequence of conventional programs in training like a simple classroom, but rather is the result of series of activities, which are interrelated as well with the purpose of employee development, with this happening through self-discovery via their job and their leaders (Beeson, 1998; Bennis, 1999; Brown and Posner, 2001; Cacioppe, 1998; Collier and Esteban, 2000; Day, 2001; London, 2001; Tichy, 1997; Tichy and Cardwell, 2002; Ulrich and Smallwood, 2003). A leadership development has to be merged in organizational

daily practices and also has to be considered as a part of the culture of the organization (Brown and Posner, 2001; Cacioppe, 1998; McClelland, 1994; Senge, 2006; Tichy, 1997; Tichy and Cardwell, 2002; Ulrich and Smallwood, 2003).

Effective leaders set direction, motivate, inspire, and align people (Savage and Sales, 2008). Leaders encourage employees to develop their abilities and skills and then they promote learning organizations. Finally, proactive leadership can improve an organization's effectiveness.

Several managers recognize that there is a lack of formal process for developing new and current leaders who possess the appropriate skills, talents, and perspectives needed to suppose positions of leadership (Cadrain, 2005; Collins and Holton, 2004; Taylor, 2004). Researchers emphasize the need for leadership development, while they believe that there is less attention on growing leaders in the workplace. Therefore, leadership development is faced with lack of strategies in the organizations and there is a need for preparing and developing leaders (Day and O'Connor, 2003; Giber *et al.*, 2009; Greengard, 2001; Kaplan-Leiserson, 2004; Study, 2008; Weiss and Molinaro, 2005).

In recent years organizations recognize that they cannot assure the job stability of their employees anymore. There are many factors like illness, retirement, attrition and more profitable job offers which may cause instability in an organization's workforce (Rothwell, 2005). Therefore, guaranteeing the future of the organization becomes vital for this unstable organizational environment. To achieve this guarantee, organizations are trying to make sure that key positions always being filled.

It was also argued, that leadership is not a narrow concept for managers at top levels of organizations. Hersey and Blanchard (1998) explained that if leaders are to be successful in leading across varied situations, they have to have analytical ability and flexibility at all levels of the organization. Many organizations recognize that the only way which can satisfy them about the existence of executive talents that

they need in the future's competitive world is by growing the leaders themselves (Byham *et al.*, 2000).

Leadership development is vital within modern successful firms (Foster, 2006). The main focus of successful organizations is to establish a complete system of assessment and leadership development practices which can support most of the key talents in the whole organization (Groves, 2007). Leadership development sets up an understanding and perspective of leadership method and practice in organization (Avolio and Bass, 2006; Tubbs and Schulz, 2006). As almost all types of leadership development have been based on one or more leadership theories or approaches (Bass, 1990; Day and O'Connor, 2003; Schriesheim and Neider, 1989), in order to find the best leadership development program it is first necessary to focus on finding the best leadership skills and attributes which need to be developed in the organizations.

Kouzes (2003) argued that there are many definitions and opinions concerning leadership, and as such, there is not a single method of leadership that is perfect for all circumstances. There is a chronology of leadership styles in academic literatures. Four phases of leadership theories, which are introduced by researchers, are trait, behavioural, situational and new leadership theories (Ghasemi, 2003; Luthans, 1989).

Coyle (1997) researched and summarized the leadership characteristics which emerged from the findings of trait, behavioural and situational theories. He described five common and comprehensive themes as leadership characteristics and behaviours including group thinking, resetting direction, guiding cooperative actions, walking the talk and motivating others.

In the fourth phase of leadership theories - new leadership theories- which emerged from late 80's up to now, transformational, transactional and servant theories of leadership received more attention. Kouzes and Posner (2007) explained that leadership is a set of practices and behaviours, not a position. These practices provide a guideline for the organization's leaders to achieve goals or to perform their

work. These practices appear as the necessary components in transformational leadership concept. The practices include “challenging the process, inspiring a shared vision, enabling others to act, modelling the way, and encouraging the heart” (Kouzes and Posner, 2007; 14).

Bass (1990) added that highly effective leaders would display two types of behaviour (transactional and transformational leadership). To prove his assertion, Bass (1990) presented the complete model for leadership incorporating leadership qualities of both transformational and transactional leaders. Avolio and Bass(2006) developed characteristics of transformational and transactional leadership including: “idealized influence (behaviours), idealized influence (attributed), inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, individualized consideration, contingent reward, management-by-exception (active), and management-by-exception (passive)” (Avolio and Bass, 2006; 96).

Furthermore, some of authors and researchers believe that the servant leadership model is concerned with fundamental characters (Kouzes and Posner, 2007). The positive characteristics of servant leadership summarized in Page and Wong (2000), Bartholomew (2006) and Goodly (2008) are: empowering others, authentic leadership characteristics, participatory leadership characteristics, inspiring leadership characteristics, visionary leadership characteristics, and courageous leadership characteristics.

This research used the leadership skills and behaviours based on the previous theories of leadership to find the most important leadership skills to develop. Furthermore, leadership development is a process that improves leadership capabilities and organizational performance and effectiveness (Amagoh, 2009; Bandura, 2006). Therefore, to find the relationship of leadership development and effectiveness, this study used the variables of effectiveness outcomes which are driven from the Bass and Avolio model. According to Bass and Avolio (2000), extra effort, effectiveness, and satisfaction are by-products from leaders who supplement transactional with transformational leadership.

On the other hand, many researchers have discussed that training the leaders can help them to enhance the efficiency, effectiveness and productivity of the organizations (Ladyshevsky, 2007; Leard, 2010; Noe, 2002; Sahinidis and Bouris, 2008). In addition, training can affect people in a way such that it can change them to become successful leaders and is essential for leadership development success (Bennis and Nanus, 1997; Conger, 1992; Hurt and Homan, 2005).

Moreover, knowledge sharing is an important issue that has an influence on organizational development and performance (Mohd Bakhari and Zawiyah, 2009). Knowledge sharing also has influence on organizational effectiveness and can improve it (Olivera, 2000; Yang, 2007) while helping organizations to meet their goals (Cong and Pandya, 2003; Reid, 2003). In addition, many researchers have developed several aspects of leadership which are influenced by knowledge sharing (Abhishek, 2001; Allee, 2000; Bartol and Srivastava, 2002; Berends, 2005; Bhirud *et al.*, 2005; Ellis, 2001; Greengard, 1998; Lin, 2007; Marks *et al.*, 2000; Mathieu *et al.*, 2000; Okhuysen and Eisenhardt, 2000; Srivastava and Bartol, 2006; Van den Hooff and De Ridder, 2004).

As a result, not only does the review of literature provide support for the notion that training is necessary to develop leaders and have an effect on leadership development and organizational effectiveness, but also, knowledge sharing can improve both leadership development and organizational effectiveness. Therefore, training activities and knowledge sharing are considered as moderator variables in this study.

This study had been done in Iranian private oil companies. From the year 2006, Iran's government started a privatisation plan to overcome the imbalance of economical policy, which used to control 80% of economy by government. The implementation of this privatization's plan became very important in Iran's oil industry as Iran economy is mostly depend on its oil and gas exports (Ilias, 2010). The effectiveness of the new privatized companies depends on the abilities of their leaders to overcome the new challenges. Without competent leadership, the economy might fail (Ilias, 2010). A better understanding of leadership development

related to their effectiveness in private companies might also help improve the Iran's economy.

1.3 Problem Statement

Organizations are dealing with many complex challenges. Some of these challenges include leadership gaps in ethical decision-making; competing in a universal environment of work; encouraging honesty between employees; retaining and developing talent; keeping up with technological advances and business changes; and leading within a more diversified structure (Charan, 2004). Since the new millennium, organizations' experiences have changed. Therefore, leadership styles must change as the old ways of leading might not be suitable anymore (Lynham and Chermack, 2006).

Almost all of the leadership concepts have been well understood and discussed as very important practical subjects by researchers (Campbell *et al.*, 2003). Effective leadership, leadership development and succession planning are important concepts for today's organizations for achieving organizational success as well. Making the skills of the leader is the main concern of the leadership (Duncan and Pinager, 2002).

Nowadays, the demand for leadership positions has increased. These positions need special people with special abilities and skills such as the ability of concentrating on customers, creating effective strategies, conducting performance, working collaboratively and developing other people (Holt, 2011). Executives increasingly express the need to focus on initiatives like leadership development, but in fact only some of them consider developing leaders as a part of their business strategy. This came from research concluding that while most organizations emphasized the need to grow leaders, less than 50% of them really had systematic procedures of leadership development (Giber *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, these organizations are not effectively ready to face the changing environments of their businesses.

Leadership development programs are often fragmented and lack an overall strategy that is embedded successfully within the organization (Weiss and Molinaro, 2005). Some sad events like the Asian tsunami disaster highlight this fact that organizations may suddenly lose their helpful leaders. Therefore if organizations want to be stable and move forward in this competitive world, they always need to have alternative leaders (Greengard, 2001).

Day and O'Connor (2003) stated that there is a lack of experiential evidence and systematic approach in leadership development. They mentioned there is a need for scientific research in leadership development. Theoretical contrast is present in which, as there is increasing interest to improve leadership development, the lack of effective leaders exists and the need for bearable leadership development planning grows faster (Kaplan-Leiserson, 2004).

In a study called "Global Human Capital" which was released by International Business Machines (IBM), some interesting reading was provided and the rising significance of leadership in organizations was highlighted. In this study, which is the result of interviews with four hundred human resources executives from forty countries, researchers suggest that organizations are putting their growth strategies at risk if they are not able to recognize and develop the next generation of leaders. The report also highlights the concerns the companies have in developing future leaders (Study, 2008).

In order to replace the leadership position, many companies currently use succession planning, but the main problem still exists which is the lack of knowledge and perception of developing the specific leadership needs of these emerging leaders (Collins *et al.*, 2000; Holt, 2011). Hiatt (2009) based on a survey of Centre for Creative Leadership Newsletter concluded that leaders recognize that leadership skills can be developed during leadership development program, but they openly acknowledge the lack of effective programs designed to develop leadership abilities in order to meet company goals and objectives.

One of the most important challenges of many organizations is to create a successful leadership development program. However, before creating a leadership development program, finding the leadership development needs perceived by the current leaders for the future is required (Holt, 2011).

The reasons of ineffective leadership programs and the suggestions to create more effective leadership development programs are mentioned by many researchers. For example, Cacioppe (1998) explained that there is a need for a full range leadership development experiences i.e. training. Collins and Holton (2004) asserted that enhancing the knowledge and skills of individuals will improve the effectiveness of each company. Boyce (2004) asserted that to supplement formal leadership programs, organizations and their leaders are becoming more and more dependent on individual self-development. Despite the organizational and individual benefit concerns with professional self-development, little research has been done on the topic. Holt (2011) stated that the initial need of leadership development programs is to find leadership skills and abilities; in addition, he asserted that as there is not an evaluation model which sufficiently measures the effect of leadership involvement which is needed to improve the performance and effectiveness of organizations, such a model can cover the sparse of leadership development studies.

In fact, leadership is not just an individual phenomenon. It is a phenomenon with complex aspects which is included in the interactions between three components: people, leader and organizational environment (Porter and McLaughlin, 2006; Vera and Crossan, 2004). Senge (2006) supports this idea since he argued that the vision of old leadership was individualistic and non-systemic. But in the new vision of leadership, the responsibility of building the organization in which individuals can increase their ability to learn and can understand the idea and the complexity of the organization, is by leadership.

Most leadership development approaches try to illustrate the steps of developing leaders e.g. (Block and Manning, 2007; Cacioppe, 1998; Leskiw and Singh, 2007; Weiss and Molinaro, 2006). Some research shows that the focus is on the effects of processes like coaching, mentoring, life experience and goal orientation

on leadership development (Edwards, 2009; Hymes, 2008; Moniz, 2008; Scriffignano, 2009). The view point of some researchers in this field is that characteristics and practices need to be developed e.g. (Fulmer and Conger, 2004; Klagge, 1997; McCauley and Douglas, 2004).

Hymes (2008) believed that further research is needed to recognize the skills and abilities that emerging leaders need in order to develop their effectiveness levels and to recognize the approaches which can help them to identify the gaps in their current skills. He believed that in order to measure leadership development, using servant leadership instrument and transformational leadership assessment have many advantages. Besides he explained that using other instrument or combination of such instruments may provide better measure for leadership development.

As a matter of fact, many researchers believe that finding leadership development behaviours, characteristics and skills is very important part of leadership development (Campbell *et al.*, 2003; Tubbs and Schulz, 2006). “All in all, leadership development should develop the character, integrity, skills and discursive intelligence necessary for the responsible exercise of power” (Storr and Trenchard, 2010; 479). However, while some researchers focus on the characteristics of leadership development, almost all of them consider only one leadership style in order to assess leadership development. Most of them concentrate on transformational leadership characteristics (Carter, 2007; Davis, 2007; Ryan, 2007; Warner, 1997). In some cases, researchers concentrated on developing servant leadership values as the best method for leadership development (Goodly, 2008; Greenleaf *et al.*, 2003). However, using the vast range of leadership values can have advantages for each leadership styles. Goodly (2008) in his research used the servant leadership values to define leadership development. He suggested that comparing servant leadership values by other form of leadership like transformational, transactional, direct and situational leadership traits can provide contribution to the study.

Lyman (2010), explained that the field of leadership development has been greatly criticized for its lack of theoretical development and careful empirical

research. One of the challenges related to the leadership development is to build effective programs that maximize leadership talents in the organization. Although many researchers documented the need for effective leadership development programs, it has been difficult for organizations to create leadership development programs in a way that meet the individual's needs and achieve the organizational goals (Holt, 2011). To create an effective program for leadership development and build the future leaders there is a need for a combination of different skills and abilities. Finding the necessary abilities and skills is one of the difficulties of leadership development programs (Holt, 2011). For example, Rathgeber (2009) asserted that there is lack of research to investigate the effect of a leader's oral communication skill with follower's and organizational performance. Orrell (2008) believes that nowadays, leaders are faced with a new generation of employees that are going to challenge the leadership abilities of organizations. These leaders' creative and innovative ability is one of the challenges that must be addressed to satisfy the needs of this coming age (Pink, 2005).

Leadership development is concerned with developing a more extensive structure in which leader's skills and abilities are able to be developed (Hernez-Broone and Hughes, 2004). Development of leadership at all levels has great impact on firm performance and effectiveness (Conger, 1993; Day, 2001; McClelland, 1994; O'Toole, 2001). One important question that needs to be considered in leadership development is: To what extent leadership development has an impact on performance. It is still not proven that how much continuing professional development influences the performance (Muijs, 2011; 53). Therefore, an effective leadership development program is needed in which leaders inspire the commitment of followers toward the goals and vision of the organization (Wright, 2007).

In addition, Amagoh (2009) stated that researches need to find out how to develop the competencies of the organizational members in order to improve performance. In some cases, the attitude of autocratic leaders causes poor employee performance (Van Maele and Van Houtte, 2009). Furthermore, Turo (2010) explained that future research has to concentrate on the value and benefit of leadership development of both organizations and participants. He also stated that

utilizing different leadership models and theoretical frameworks would lend a greater understanding in leadership development. Kaplan-Leiserson (2004) stated that regardless of the increased attention to leadership development programs, a lack of leadership material continues to exist with few effective development programs in place to encourage the growth of future leaders.

Holt (2011) explained that more research is needed to be conducted in order to examine the development of leadership development programs and also to question if such programs affect organizational success. In fact there is a lack of research to show how a leadership development program with a set of leadership activities can result in the outcomes and therefore, there is a need for more studies in this area (Russon and Reinelt, 2004).

Therefore this study aims to identify leadership development programs based on developing the leaders' skills to increase effectiveness in organizations. In spite of the fact that leadership development has had a great impact on effectiveness, there is no solid model in leadership development and its impact on effectiveness. On the other hand, while challenges related to leadership development can be recognized in many world organizations, the importance of this subject in many developing countries like Iran is an undeniable fact.

Iran is located in the Middle East. It is almost 1,648,195 square kilometres and its population is more than 70 million people. The Caspian Sea is located in the north, and in the south, the Persian Gulf and Oman Sea are two important areas of the region in which oil and gas were found (Girshman, 2004). The oil sector in terms of its contribution to GDP (Gross Domestic Production) and the share of oil revenues in terms of budget and resources of the country's currency has an important role in Iran's economy (Asali, 2004).

Until the year 2000, public organizations in Iran controlled 80% of Iran's economy. To overcome this imbalance, the government of Iran started to privatize a number of enterprises that were managed by the government (Khajehpour, 2000). The government started a broad privatization plan from June 2006 (Ilias, 2010). For

the recently privatized companies to be efficient, effective, sustainable, and economically viable, leadership within the companies must overcome a lack of essential skills, competencies, and knowledge. In the public sector's leadership model, the authority and power is centralized at the top of organization, but in private organizations leaders must shift from the public sector model to a decentralized model in management (Abbas and Amirshahi, 2002). In this decentralized model, they are faced with challenges of leading complex organizations (Bolman and Deal, 2003).

In fact, ineffective leadership in some organizations has been recognized as one of the most serious difficulties confronting developing countries, including Iran (Mosadegh Rad and Yarmohammadian, 2006). Babae (2004) believes future Iranian managers would not be able to lead the organizations with global standards, and also would not be able to create global capacity. To reach these goals, Iranian organizations need to have scholastic planning for developing their leaders. Thus, some issues like succession planning and leadership development are amongst important concerns of Iranian organizations (Babaei *et al.*, 2004). Medhin (2007) asserted that exploring and understanding current Iranian leadership practices and skills may benefit organizations struggling with privatization.

Although the problem related to organizational leadership development in Iran's private sectors is important, it becomes more challengeable in Iran's oil industries because Iran is extremely dependent on oil exports as the most important source of income (Ilias, 2010). The oil sector in terms of its contribution to GDP (Gross Domestic Production) has an important role in Iran's economy, the same as the share of oil revenues in terms of budget and resources of the country's currency (Asali, 2004).

Although Iran's economy is mostly dependent on oil income, there is general mismanagement of the oil company (Khajehpour, 2000; Yeganeh and Su, 2007). Hooseini (2008) asserted that in spite of public opinion that the oil industry in Iran uses proper manpower, it actually does not have enough human, managerial and professional resources proportionate with its vast need of professionals. In some

cases qualified human resources in Iran have been recruited to take the job, without being provided with proper education during the job period (Nasimi, 2001).

Mohammadi (2007) stated that according to information contained in Iran's human resource system, managers in Iran's oil industry are an average age of 51 years old. Considering the high average age of these employees, a lot of managers are near retirement. So, in this situation, having a leadership development program is vital for the organization. In addition, having a key plan for developing managers and concentrating on future planning and creating an appropriate culture for these plans are important issues (Mohammadi, 2007).

On the other hand, beside the important role of training in development of leaders, it illustrated that only about 10% to 15% of training programs result in long-term transfer of learning in the work. In addition, in spite of the importance role of knowledge sharing in organizational development, Robertson (2004) has asserted that in practice knowledge sharing is not effective in organizations. Krogh (2003) also stated that there is a need to direct further attention towards knowledge sharing within the organizations.

The Iran's oil industry is also faced with problems in terms of training and knowledge sharing from within. For example, Asmariyan Nasab (2003) states that studies of Management and Organization Planning (MOP) in September 2003, the Iranian managerial database indicated that many of the senior managers were not sufficiently qualified in management training. In many cases, knowledge, managerial skills and experience of managers were not seen as part of their responsibilities. We cannot find many top managers in public organizations or in industrial enterprises that started their professional job from a basic level and reached higher positions through enhancement of their capabilities and talents. Most of the problems for Iran's future organizations are matching these organizations due to growth and change (Babaee *et al.*, 2004). In fact, education and training are the two most important parts of effective leadership development (Hunt, 1999). Tohidinia and Mosakhani (2010) also, emphasised the lack of research about knowledge sharing in Iran's oil industry.

Invariably, leadership development programs do not prepare people to take leadership responsibilities. In many cases, the lack of quality leadership development is related to lack of training design (Yukl, 2006). There is not sufficient research on the effectiveness of empirical leadership training programs (O'Neil, 2010). In addition as Yukl (2006) stated there is limited research that evaluates leadership and knowledge-oriented processes and outcomes.

In conclusion, the problems can be divided into two phases. Firstly, while many researchers emphasize the need for leadership development, there is less attention on growing leaders through on-the-job development. Therefore, leadership development is faced with a lack of strategies and programs in the organizations and the need for preparing and developing leaders still remains (Day and O'Connor, 2003; Giber *et al.*, 2009; Greengard, 2001; Holt, 2011; Kaplan-Leiserson, 2004; Lyman, 2010; Study, 2008; Weiss and Molinaro, 2005).

The second phase of the problem is related to the private organizations of Iran. While the oil industry plays a very important role in Iran's economy, it is faced with a lack of professionals and human resource management (Hooseini, 2008; Khajehpour, 2000; Yeganeh and Su, 2007). To overcome such problems, developing leaders is the most important factor in the Iranian oil industry especially for the companies that go through privatization (Medhin, 2007; Mohammadi, 2007; Mosadegh Rad and Yarmohammadian, 2006).

Moreover, in preliminary interviews with six managers of three oil companies, it was concluded that there has not been enough study on leadership development in these companies. It is good to know that the all of the interviewees emphasized developing leadership programs in order to reach better outcomes in their organization. For example, in an interview, Mr. Mansoor Khadem, the manager of developing human resource in Esfahan Oil Refinery Company, said there is a need to work on leadership development programs in their company (Khadem, 2010).

On the other hands, while organizations have been assessing the benefits gained from investments by leadership development, most of them have failed to

consider the outcomes of their leadership development programs. Therefore, such organizations may not be able to identify problems or keys require for the systematic change (Amagoh, 2009).

In addition, as Aghaee (2008) explained, effectiveness in Iran's oil industries is a managerial concern and they have to give more attention to increase effectiveness from their leaders, managers and employees (Aghaee, 2008). Therefore, one of the leadership goals is effectiveness (Conger, 1993; Day, 2001; McClelland, 1994; O'Toole, 2001; Wright, 2007).

Although, some researchers such as Day (2001), Campbell *et al.* (2003), and McCauley and Van Velsor (2004) concentrated on leadership development skills, none of them carried out any empirical research and also they did not consider the effect of such skills on the leaders or employees' effectiveness. It is a considerable gap in the literature especially by considering some resent researchers' explanation who clarified the lack of careful empirical research in leadership development (Lyman, 2010) and the need for exploring leadership development skills based on their effects on organizational effectiveness and success (Holt, 2011).

On the other hand, as reviewing the literature showed transformational, transactional, and servant leadership as the most important leadership styles which can positively affect the organizational effectiveness. However, some researchers concentrated on the values of these styles in their leadership development program (e.g. Goodly, 2008; Carter, 2007; Davis, 2007; Ryan, 2007; Greenleaf *et al.*, 2003), all of them focused on one of these style. There is lack of studies about the needed skills of these styles and comparing and considering these skills in a leadership development program , whereas, Goodly (2008) in his research suggested that other researchers has to compare the values of servant leadership with other form of leadership such as transformational, transactional and situational leadership in leadership development programs.

Based on all mentioned problems and gaps in the literature, this study is designed to find out kinds of skills and abilities necessary for developing leaders in

regards to the impact of these skills on the effectiveness outcomes. The findings are essential for building the appropriate leadership development programs. The results of this study might add to the body of knowledge of Iranian leadership development. These results might increase the speed and quality of programming of leadership development and enhance effectiveness within the Iranian oil industry.

1.4 Research Questions

The background and the problem of the study highlighted several questions to be addressed. This study is going to answer these questions:

- i. What are the leadership skills which need to be developed in Iran's oil industry?
- ii. What are the effectiveness outcomes of leadership development in Iran's oil industry?
- iii. What is the relationship between leadership development and effectiveness in Iran's oil industry?
- iv. Do organizational training activities moderate the relationship between leadership development and effectiveness in Iran's oil industry?
- v. Do knowledge sharing practices moderate the relationship between leadership development and effectiveness outcomes in Iran's oil industry?

1.5 Aim of the Study

The main aim of this study was to find out what leadership skills need to be developed for an effective leadership development programs to cultivate successful leaders through their influence on the effectiveness of Iran's oil industry.

This study was designed to show the relationship between leadership development skills and its possible organizational effectiveness outcomes. It is also, important to note that training activities and knowledge sharing are two important issues which can help the process of leadership development to achieve more effectiveness in organizations. Therefore, evaluation the moderator's effect of these variables on the relationships was another specific purpose of this study. This study was aimed to provide information that help companies in preparation their leadership development plans especially those developing the leadership skills that are emphasized in developing effectiveness.

1.6 Objectives of the Study

As objectives in this study, five goals are to be obtained.

- i. To find out the skills that leaders need to develop in Iran's oil industry.
- ii. To determine the effectiveness outcomes of developing leaders in Iran's oil industry.
- iii. To find out any relationship between leadership development and effectiveness outcomes in Iran's oil industry.
- iv. To investigate the moderating effect of organizational training activities on the relationship between leadership development and effectiveness outcomes in Iran's oil industry.
- v. To investigate the moderating effect of knowledge sharing practices on the relationship between leadership development and effectiveness outcomes in Iran's oil industry.

1.7 Scope of the Study

The scope of this study is limited to finding most important leadership skills, which need to be developed in leadership development programs and their

relationship to effectiveness outcomes including leadership effectiveness, employee performance and employee satisfaction. The introduced leadership skills covered the ones needed in transformational, transactional and servant leadership styles and, moreover previous researchers' viewpoints in leadership development.

Training activities and knowledge sharing practices are identified as moderators in the relationship between leadership development and effectiveness. Training enclosed the extent that each person spends on formal training programs. Knowledge sharing covered donating knowledge to others and collecting knowledge from them, which is needed for leadership development programs. Literature context and questionnaires helped to identify and analyse the framework.

While Iran's economy is dependent on the oil industry and its significant role in its economic development, the process of data collection was held within the several private oil companies including Esfahan Oil Refining Company, Shazand Petrochemical Company, Esfahan Petrochemical Company, Fanavaran Petrochemical Company, Petroiran Development Company, National Iranian Oil Engineering and Construction Company.

1.8 Significance of the Study

Regarding the lack of systematic research on leadership development and initial need of combining leadership skills and abilities in order to provide an effective leadership development program, this study used both quantitative and qualitative methodology to find out the relationship between leadership development and its effectiveness outcomes.

The first significant contribution of this study was to find the most important leadership skills which need to be developed in a leadership development program. This was done by combining skills and abilities of transformational, transactional and servant leadership and by considering the integration development of human

capital and social capital. Organizations that have obvious vision for developing future leaders, supporting organizational structures and have a systematic plan to utilize leadership development programs would be ready for the challenges of the future (Leskiw and Singh, 2007). In successful organizations, in order to develop the leadership capacity, they use a variety of developmental activities (Brittingham, 2009).

Effective leadership development programs still remain evasive. This is because of the difficulty of creating a program that develop employees' leadership skills and abilities, and having internal learners who are able to self-reflect (Hanna and Glassman, 2004). The findings of this study are also expected to contribute to finding any relationships between leadership development and effectiveness outcomes. This was due to the fact that it has still not been proven how much continuing professional development influences performance. Considering the effect of leadership development on performance and effectiveness is one of the needs of leadership development programs (Muijs, 2011; Turo, 2010).

Behind identifying the relationship between leadership development and effectiveness, the study has provided an insight about the moderating effect of training and knowledge sharing in the relationships. The research has also contributed to the improvement of knowledge in the field of leadership, especially those qualities and skills that are emphasized in a developing effectiveness of organizations which work in the developing countries like Iran.

All in all, the results of this study provide information that may help other companies in preparation of their future leaders as well as assist comparable companies in Iran's oil industry to develop effective leadership development programs. This would only happen by understanding the essential leadership skills which need to be developed in order to increase effectiveness in the organizations. The outcome of this research has generated new issues, concepts, and ideas for future leadership development research.

1.9 Conceptual Definitions

Following are some definitions of the terms used in this study.

1.9.1 Leadership

Organizational leaders are individuals who influence other employees to reach the desired objectives (Northouse, 2007). Leadership points to a process which is involved in the personality and behaviours of the leader, the follower's perception of the leader and the context in which interaction between leader and followers take place (McCallum and O'Connell, 2009). Leadership represents the skills and abilities to influence individuals towards the goal's attainment as well as guide the follower's attitude in the work environment. As Kouzes (2003) explained leadership is a set of skills and abilities used by people to influence their employees and the environment around them.

1.9.2 Leadership Development

Leadership development is a process of developing the skills and behaviours of organizational employees to take on the leadership role more effectively (Day, 2001). This concept refers to every form of growth in a person's life in order to expand his/her expertise as a leader. In fact, developing skills and knowledge which are needed to optimise the potential and performance of leaders is considered as leadership development.

These skills are defined in this study as inspiring vision, building the environment of trust, team work, communication, motivating others, creativity and problem solving, and empowering others. Developing and promoting these skills formed the concept of leadership development.

1.9.2.1 Developing Inspiration of Shared Vision

Successful leaders inspire their vision to share the future they try to create. Inspiring the shared vision is one of the leadership abilities which need to be developed in order to create a clear image of future. It helps employees to have a better understanding of future organizational goals and give them a pathway which facilitate their decisions making. Therefore, leaders have to develop these skills in themselves by improving their speech about the future needs, values, and they must upgrade their delivery to establish enthusiasm about the future.

1.9.2.2 Developing the Environment of Trust

Trust is the most valuable quality of leadership. “The confidence that the one who leads will act in the best interest of those who follow, the assurance that s/he will serve the group without sacrificing the rights of the individual” (McGee-Cooper, 2003; 13). Statton (2011) also believes that building an environment of trust is one of the leader’s abilities which help them to be an effective. Developing leaders require the skill to create environments of trust and support with those they lead. Improving the environment of trust by leaders requires encouraging the followers to follow their promises. Leaders also have to walk their talk, being honest and committed to quality outcomes themselves.

1.9.2.3 Developing Team Work

As Holt (2011) explained team working is one of the leader’s skills needed in improving the leadership development programs. An effective leadership development program needs to gather employees together and encourage them to work as a team, as people in a group can achieve more than work individually. Leaders must have the ability to build teams, while helping others and supporting the team’s results. Working as a team needs to be open to diverse opinion. Then leaders have to upgrade their vision to be open-minded.

1.9.2.4 Developing Communication

Developing communication skills helps leadership development to be more effective. In fact, effective leadership depends on effective communication. To exchange information, ideas, plans, and vision between employees and leaders, communication skills such as listening skills are necessary. It also helps to build more motivation and team building within the organizations. In an effective leadership development program, leaders have to improve their verbal communication. Treating others with respect and listening carefully to their ideas are the ways to raise communication efficiency between leaders and followers.

1.9.2.5 Developing Motivation in Others by Accrediting Them

The ability to motivate others makes up an important part of developing leaders. One of the significant issues in the leadership development structure is the development of the leader's interpersonal skills in order to motivate others to act. It is one of the leadership skills like celebrating employee achievements, encouraging them and giving rewards to them that increases employee motivation. Investing in a leader's credibility depends on records of achievement, devotion, and also their expression of what and how objectives require completion. Based on the argument of Lussier (2006) one of the most important considerations to the success of each organization is to create a good reward system by its leaders. Often, an appropriate system which accredits people would encourage workers to accept and apply new approaches.

1.9.2.6 Developing Creativity and Problem Solving

Leadership development programs have to contribute something new, surprising and interesting to the participants about the world they operate in. In addition, creative thinking is one of the skills of leaders which need to be developed in a leadership development process. Enhancing the leader's creativity is defined as bringing something new that lets people to accomplish a level that they were not able to do before. They have to generate alternative solutions to problems by examining

new approaches. Besides, leaders must create an environment that encourages and supports new ideas and innovations.

1.9.2.7 Developing Empowerment in Others

Developing others is one of the social skills of leaders which has to be developed in a leadership development process. Successful leaders have this ability to help the employees to feel competent and powerful. In that case they usually consider the interests of other people. In order to have effective leadership development, leaders must spend time and energy in helping others to overcome their weaknesses. They have to serve others rather than be served. Coaching, mentoring and monitoring are some leaders' skills with which they use to improve the work of their employees.

1.9.3 Effectiveness

How well an organization is meeting its recognized goals is called its organizational effectiveness. Leadership development is a process that improves leadership capabilities and organizational performance and effectiveness. Evaluation of the effectiveness outcomes of leadership development programs are one of the important parts of leadership development. Leadership effectiveness, employee performance in regards to their extra efforts and employee satisfaction are introduced as the most important effectiveness outcomes in this study.

1.9.3.1 Leadership Effectiveness

Increasing leadership effectiveness is one of the main targets of all leadership development programs in order to steer organizations through eras of change. Leadership effectiveness means a leader's success in influencing followers to meet the organizational goals. Leading an effective group and meeting task-related needs are defined as leadership effectiveness. It also, describes how a leader meets job-

related needs and outcomes and leads an effective team from the perspective of subordinates: “Effective leadership within an organization is often viewed as the foundation for organizational performance and growth. Organizations that lack strong leadership are likely to fail meeting performance expectations” (Vardiman *et al.*, 2006; 94).

1.9.3.2 Employee Performance

Employee performance will increase as a result of proper leadership development. When employees know what they need to do in their jobs, they can do it effectively. One of the most important factors in determining employee performance is their extra effort. Extra effort means doing more than expected. It can be the ability of leaders to get others work harder, and generate a desire in others to be successful. Developing leaders’ skills give them the abilities of enthusiastic followers who try hard to achieve better results.

1.9.3.3 Employee Satisfaction

Developing leaders have an influence on the satisfaction of their followers. As Madlock (2008) explained, leaders play the critical role in employee satisfaction. Without appropriately skilful leaders, employee satisfaction will suffer. Employee satisfaction at work depends on the actions of their leaders. Employee satisfaction is one of the measures which determine the organizational effectiveness and performance. Satisfaction when working with other people determines employee satisfaction. An employee’s satisfaction with their leader makes them more motivated and committed to attain organizational and group goals.

1.9.4 Training Activities

Successful organizations are using training activities to improve the quality of work, efficiency, effectiveness and productivity in the organization and increase the

performance of employees (Ladyshevsky, 2007). Proper training can prevent many disastrous errors and mistakes. As Thomas and Cheese (2005) explained, the aim of leadership training is to educate the trainees allowing them to develop their abilities and skills, resulting in enhancing their performance on the job. Success of each training program is measured by its effectiveness. Traditional training programs do not work anymore. Recent programs may be some programs like 360-degree feedback, Job assignment, Action Learning, Case study, Role play, and Job rotation.

1.9.5 Knowledge Sharing Practices

Knowledge sharing is distribution and transferring knowledge between people in organizations. The process of knowledge sharing practices is divided into two factors as knowledge donating and knowledge collecting. Donating knowledge entails giving others the intellectual capital by an individual, whereas knowledge collecting involves a person to collect intellectual capital by talking to other employees. Knowledge sharing can help the leadership to raise the effectiveness in the organization. Then one of the responsibilities of leaders is to facilitate the exchange of knowledge and information among members.

1.10 Outline of thesis

This thesis includes five chapters. Chapter one, gives an overview of the research topics. It presents the background of the study and problem statement. It also discusses study questions, aims and objectives. Chapter two comprises of a review of the literature. Some of the topics which are reviewed in this chapter are leadership, leadership development, organizational effectiveness, leadership training and knowledge sharing. At the end of the chapter, the conceptual framework and hypothesis are considered. Chapter three justifies the research methodology used in collecting and analysing data. Chapter four includes factor analysis and examines

the hypothesis. In addition, the result of post-hoc analysis is presented in this chapter. Chapter five discusses the findings and highlights the contribution made.

1.11 Summary

Organizations that wish to survive in chaotic and challenging environments have to develop their leaders at all stages. In both private and public organizations, developing leaders is a vital and strategic issue. While the need for effective leadership development programs is an undeniable fact, there is a lack of research in this field. One of the preliminary needs of leadership development programs is to find leadership skills and abilities which need to be developed in such programs. However, as Holt (2011) explained, there is not an evaluation model of leadership development which sufficiently measures the effect of leadership involvement needs to improve the performance and effectiveness in the organizations, such models can cover the gap of leadership development studies.

The other challenge of leadership development is to find the effect of it on organizational effectiveness. In fact there is a lack of research to show how a leadership development program with a set of leadership skills can result in the outcomes of organizations. Therefore, the main aim of this study is to find out the leadership development skills which need to be developed in order to receive high effectiveness, performance and satisfaction in the organizations. The findings of this study are expected to add new insights to the body of knowledge of leadership development and are also expected to provide the information that can help companies in preparing their future leaders.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, J. A., and Amirshahi, M. (2002). The Iranian Manager: Work Values and Orientations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 40(2), 33.
- Abbas, Q., and Yaqoob, S. (2009). Effect of Leadership Development on Employee Performance in Pakistan. *Pakistan Economic and Social Review*, 47(2), 269-292.
- Ackoff, R. L. (1999). Transactional Leadership. *Strategy and leadership*, 27(1), 20-25.
- Adams, P. E. (Producer). (2002, 4 10). Benefits of Employee Training Program: Employee Training Plan. *Business Plan Builder*. Retrieved from http://www.morebusiness.com/running_your_business/management/d1023665813.brc
- Agbor, E. (2008). Creativity and Innovation: The Leadership Dynamics. *Journal of Strategic Leadership*, 1(1), 39-45.
- Aghaee, A. (2008). Iran's oil industry. *public management*, 26, 10-12.
- Aguinis, H. (2004). *Moderated Regression*. New York: Guilford.
- Allee, V. (2000). Knowledge networks and Communities of Practice. *OD Practitioner*, 32(4), 4-13.
- Allen, M. J., and Yen, W. M. (2002). *Introduction to Measurement Theory*. Long Grove, IL: Waveland Press.
- Allen, S., and Hartman, N. (2008). Leadership Development: An Exploration of Sources of Learning. *Advanced Management*, 37(1), 10-62.
- Amagoh, F. (2009). Leadership Development and Leadership Effectiveness. *Management Decision*, 47(6), 989-999.
- Anderson, E., and Weitz, E. (1989). Determinants of Continuity in Conventional Industrial Channel Dyads. *Marketing Science*, 8(4), 310–323.
- Anderson, T. (2001). Influencing and Motivating Others, Online Training. pp. Retrieved from <http://www.tmreview.com/Review.asp?ID=814>
- Appelbaum, S. H., Adam, J., Javery, N., Lessard, M., Lion, J., Simard, M., and Sorbo, S. (2005). A Case Study Analysis of the Impact of Satisfaction and Organizational Citizenship on Productivity. *Management Research News*, 28(5), 1-26.
- Asali, M. (Producer). (2004). Place Oil in Iran Economy. *research Database: Thecnology Development in Oil Industry*. Retrieved from <http://www.technobank.tdca.ir/main/index.php?Page=papertext&UID=2147>

- Avolio, B., and Bass, B. (2006). *Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire Sampler Set: Manual, Forms, and Scoring Key* (3 th ed.). Menlo Park, CA: Mind Garden.
- Avolio, B., Zhu, W., Koh, W., and Bhatia, P. (2004). Transformational Leadership and Organizational Commitment: Mediating Role of Psychological Empowerment and Moderating Role of Structural Distance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25(951-968).
- Avolio, B. J., and Bass, B. M. (1995). Individual Consideration Viewed at Multiple Levels of Analysis: A Multi-Level Framework for Examining the Diffusion of Transformational Leadership. *Leadership Quarterly*, 6, 199-218.
- Avolio, B. J., and Luthans, F. (2006). *The High Impact Leader: Moments Matter In Accelerating Authentic Leadership Development*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Aydin, B., and Ceylan, A. (2009). Does Organizational Learning Capacity Impact on Organizational Effectiveness? Research Analysis of the Metal Industry. *Development and Learning in Organizations*, 23(3), 21-23.
- Babae, M., Abolalae, B., Ghafari, A., Rahimi, S., Tabatabae, A., and Asmariannasab, A. (2004). The Evaluation Center and Succession Planning. *Tadbir*, 145.
- Babaei, M. A., Abolalaei, B., AsmariyanNasab, A., Ghafari, A., Rahimi, S., and Tabatabaei, A. (2004). The Evaluation Center and Succession Planning. In T. Group (Ed.). Iran: Tadbir Magazine.
- Bagozzi, R. P., Yi, Y., and Phillips, L. W. (1991). Assessing Construct Validity in Organizational Research. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 36(3), 421-458.
- Baier, A. (1986). Trust and Antitrust. *Ethics* 96(2), 231-260.
- Baker, N. B. (2003). A Study of the Relationship between Management Behaviors and Organizational Values and Their Influence on Organizational Effectiveness for a Service Organization.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-Efficacy: Toward A Unifying Theory of Behavioural Change. *Psychological Review*, 84(191-215).
- Bandura, A. (2006). Toward A Psychology of Human Agency. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 1(2), 164-180.
- Barbuto, J. E., and Burbach, M. E. (2006). The Emotional Intelligence of Transformational Leaders: A Field Study of Elected Officials. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 146(1), 51-64.
- Barner, R. (2006). *Bench Strength*. San Francisco: American Management Association.
- Barrett, D. J. (2006). Strong Communication Skills A Must for Today's Leaders. *Handbook of Business Strategy*, 7(1), 385 - 390.
- Bartholomew, S. (2006). *The Influence of Gender, Age, and Locus of Control on Servant Leader Behavior Among Group Leaders at the Culinary Institute of America*. Walden University.
- Bartle, P. (2007). The Nature of Monitoring and Evaluation, Definition and Purpose. 2010, pp. Retrieved from Community Empowerment: <http://www.scn.org/cmp/modules/mon-wht.htm>

- Bartol, K., and Srivastava, A. (2002). Encouraging Knowledge Sharing: The Role of Organizational Reward Systems. *Leadership and Organization Studies*, 19(1), 64-76.
- Bartram, T., and Casimir, G. (2007). The Relationship between Leadership and Follower In-Role Performance and Satisfaction with the Leader. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 28 (1), 4-19.
- Bass, B. (1990). From Transactional to Transformational Leadership: Learning to Share the Vision. *Organizational Dynamics*, 18, 19-36.
- Bass, B. (1997). Personal Selling and Transactional/Transformational Leadership. *Journal of Personal Setting & Sales Management*, 17(3), 19-29.
- Bass, B. (1999). Two Decades of Research and Development in Transformational Leadership. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology* 8(1), 9-32.
- Bass, B. M. (1981). *Stogdill's Handbook of Leadership*. New York: Free Press.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations*. New York: The Free Press.
- Bass, B. M., and Avolio, B. J. (2000). *Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire Sampler Set Technical Report*. Redwood City, CA: Mind Garden.
- Bass, B. M., Avolio, B. J., Jung, D. I., and Berson, Y. (2003). Predicting Unit Performance by Assessing Transformational and Transactional Leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(2), 207-218.
- Beeson, J. (1998). Succession Planning: Building the Management Corps. *Business Horizons* 41 (5), 61-66.
- Behling, O., and Schriesheim, C. (1976). *Organizational Behavior: Theory, Research and Application*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Bennette, B. (2003). Job Rotation: Its Role in Learning in Organization. *Development and Learning in Organizations*, 17(4), 7-9.
- Bennis, W. (1989). *On Becoming a Leader*. Wilmington, MA: Perseus Books Group.
- Bennis, W. (1999). The End of Leadership: Exemplary Leadership Is Impossible Without Full Inclusion, Initiatives and Co-operation of Followers. *Organizational Dynamics*, 28(71-80).
- Bennis, W.(2002). Become a Tomorrow Leader *Focus on Leadership: Servant-Leadership for the 21st Century* (pp. 101-109). New York, NY: Wiley.
- Bennis, W. (2003). *On Becoming a Leader*. Cambridge, MA: Perseus Books Group.
- Bennis, W. (2006). Authentic Leaders. *Leadership Excellence*, 23(8), 3-4.
- Bennis, W. B., and Nanus, B. (1997). *Leaders: The Strategies for Taking Charge*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Bennis, W. G.(1993). Managing the Dream: Leadership in the 21st Century *Contemporary issues in Leadership* (pp. 213-218). Boulder, CO: Westview.

- Bentler, P. M., and Bonnett, D. G. (1980). Significance Tests and Goodness of Fit in the Analysis of Covariance Structures. *Psychological Bulletin*, 88(3), 588-606.
- Berends, H. (2005). Exploring Knowledge Sharing: Moves, Problem Solving and Justification. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, 3, 97-105.
- Berkes, F., Colding, J., and Folke, C. (2000). Rediscovery of Traditional ecological knowledge as Adaptive Management. *Ecological Applications*, 10(5), 1251–1262.
- Berkman, H. W., and Neider, L. L. (1987). *The Human relations od Organizations*. Boston: Kent.
- Bernard, H. R. (2000). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. CA: Sage Publication.
- Bhirud, S., Rodrigues, L., and Desai, P. (2005). Knowledge Sharing Practices In KM : A Case Study In Indian Software Subsidiary. *Journal of Knowledge Management Practice*.
- Bieschke, M. D. (2006). Five Succession Planning Values to Keep Your Organization Alive. *Leadership Advance Online*, VI.
- Bircham-Connolly, H., Corner, J., and Bowden, S. (2005). An Empirical Study of the Impact of Question Structure on Recipient Attitude during Knowledge Sharing. *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management Decision*, 32(1), 1-10.
- Blake, R. R., and Mouton, J. S. (1964). *The Managerial Grid*. Houston: Gulf Publishing.
- Blank, W. (2001). *The 108 Skills of Natural Born Leaders*. New York: AMACOM.
- Block, L., and Manning, L. (2007). A Systemic Approach to Developing Frontline Leaders in Healthcare. *Leadership in Health Services*, 20(2), 85-96.
- Bolden, R., Gosling, J., Marturano, A., and Dennison, P. (2003). A Review of Leadership Theory and Competency Frameworks.
- Bolman, L. G., and Deal, T. E. (2003). *Reframing Organizations: Artistry, Choice, and Leadership*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Boudreau, M.-C., Gefen, D., and Straub, D. W. (2001). Validation in Information Systems Research: A State-of-the – art Assessment. *MIS Quarterly*, 25(1), 1-16.
- Boyce, L. A. (2004). *Propensity for Self-Development of Leadership Attribute: Undrestandin, prediction, and Supporting Leader Self- Development Performance*. Doctoral Dissertation, George mason University, Virginia.
- Brant, J., Dooley, R., and Iman, S. (2008). Leadership Succession: An Approach to Filling the Pipeline. *strategic Human Resource Review*, 7(4), 17-24.
- Briand, G., and Hill, R. C. (2012). *Using Excel 2007 for Principles of Econometrics* (4th ed.). New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

- Briggs, D. G. (2008). *The Relationship between Leadership Practices and Organizational Effectiveness Outcomes: A Public Transit Agency Study*. Doctoral Dissertation, Capella University.
- Brittingham, S. (2009). *Leadership Development: An Assessment of the Aspiring Leaders Program in Seven Delaware School Districts and One Charter*.
- Brown, J. S., and Duguid, P. (1991). Organizational Learning and Communities-of-Practice: Toward a Unified View of Working, Learning, and Innovation. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 40-57.
- Brown, L., and Posner, B. (2001). Exploring the Relationship between Learning and Leadership. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 22, 274-280.
- Bryman, A., and Cramer, D. (2002). *Quantitative Data Analysis with SPSS Release 10 for Windows: A guide for Social Scientists*. New York: Routledge.
- Burke, S., and Collins, K. M. (2001). Gender Difference in Leadership Styles and Management Skills. *Women in Management Review*, 16(5), 144-156.
- Burke, W. (1993). Leaders: Their Behavior and Development. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 10, 29-43.
- Burns, J. M. (1978). *Leadership*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Buus, I. (2005). The Evolution of Leadership Development: Challenges and Best Practice. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 37(4/5), 185-188.
- Bycio, P., Allen, J. S., and Hackett, R. D. (1995). Further Analysis of Bass's (1985) Conceptualization of Transactional and Transformational Leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 80(4), 468-478.
- Byham, W. c., Smith, A. B., and Paese, M. J. (2000). *Grow Your Own Leaders, Acceleration Pools: A New Method of Succession Management*. Bridgeville: DDI Press.
- Byrne, B. M. (2010). *Structured Equation Modeling with AMOS: Basic Concept, Application and programing*. New York: Taylor and Francis group.
- Cacioppe, R. (1998). An Integrated Model and Approach for the Design of Effective Leadership Development Programs. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 19(1), 44-53.
- Cameron, K., and Quinn, A. (2006). *Diagnosing and Changing Organizational Culture*: Addison-Wesley.
- Campbell, D., Dardis, G., and Campbell, K. (2003). Enhancing Incremental Influence: A Focused Approach to Leadership Development. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 10, 29-43.
- Carte, T. A., and Russell, C. J. (2003). In Pursuit of Moderators: Nine Common Errors and their Solutions. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 479-501.
- Carter, M. A. (2007). *An Analysis of Leadership Training in Large Corporations*. Pepperdine University, Malibu, CA.
- Cassell, C., and Symon, G. (2004). *Essential Guide to Qualitative Methods in Organizational Research*. London: Sage.

- Chandler, S., and Richardson, S. (2005). *100 Ways To Motivate Others: How Great Leaders Can Produce Insane Results Without Driving People Crazy*: Career Press.
- Charan, R. (2001). *Conquering a culture of Indecision*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard Business review.
- Charan, R. (2004). Ending the CEO Succession Crisis. *Harvard Business Review*, 72.
- Cheng, J.-H., Yeh, C.-H., and Tu, C.-W. (2008). Trust and Knowledge Sharing in Green Supply Chains. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 13(4), 283-295.
- Chien, M. (2004). The Relationship between Self Directed Learning Readiness and Organizational Effectiveness. *Journal of American Academy of Business* 4(1/2), 285.
- Chin, W. W., and Todd, P. A. (1995). On the Use, Usefulness, and Ease of Use of Structural Equation Modeling in MIS Research: A Note of Caution. *MIS Quarterly*, 19(2), 237-246.
- Choi, J. (2006). A motivational theory of charismatic leadership: Envisioning, empathy, and empowerment. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 13(1), 24-43.
- Ciulla, J. B. (2001). Carving Leaders from the Warped Wood of Humanity. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 18(4), 313-319.
- Clutterbuck, D., and Hirst, S. (2002). Leadership Communication: A Status Report. *Journal of Communication Management*, 6(4), 351-354.
- Cohen, W. (2000). *The New Era of the Leader*. Paramus, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Collier, J., and Esteban, R. (2000). Systemic Leadership: Ethical and Effective. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 21, 207-215.
- Collins, D., and Holton, E. (2004). The effectiveness of managerial leadership development programs: A meta-analysis of studies from 1982-2001. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 15(2), 217-248.
- Collins, D. B., Lowe, J. S., and Arnett, C. R. (2000). High-Performance Leadership at the Organizational Level. In E. F. H. S. S. Naquin (Ed.), *Developing Highperformance Leadership Competency* (pp. 18-46). Baton Rouge, LA: Academy of Human Resource Development.
- Colvin, G., Goffee, R., Jones, G., and Gwyther, M. (2007). Leadership Is Earned, Says Boeing boss. *Strategic Direction*, 23(8), 9-11.
- Cong, X., and Pandya, K. V. (2003). Issues of Knowledge Management in the Public Sector. *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*, 1(2), 25-33.
- Conger, J. A. (1992). *Learning to Lead*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Conger, J. A. (1993). Training Leaders for the Twenty-First Century. *Human Resource Management Review*, 3, 203-218.
- Conger, J. A., and Kanungo, R. N. (1998). *Charismatic Leadership in Organizations*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Cooper, D. R., and Schindler, P. S. (2008). *Business Research Methods*. New York: McGraw-hill.
- Cormier, J. (2008). *Multi-Frame Leadership, Goal Orientation Their Relationship to Organizational Effectiveness in Intercollegiate Athletics*. The University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico
- Covey, S. (2004). *The Seven Habits of Highly Effective People*. New York: Free Press.
- Coyle, A. F. (1997). *Leadership: The ASTD Trainer's Sourcebook*: McGraw-Hill.
- Creech, S. (Producer). (2007). *Statistically Significant Consulting*. Retrieved from <http://www.statisticallysignificantconsulting.com/correlation.htm>
- Cromwell, S., and Kolb, J. (2004). An Examination of Work-Environment Support Factors Affecting Transfer of Supervisory Skills Training to the Work Place. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 15(4), 449-471.
- D'Abate, C. P., Eddy, E. R., and Tannenbaum, S. I. (2003). What's in a Name: A Literature-Based Approach to Understanding Mentoring, Coaching, And Other Constructs that Describe Developmental Interactions. *Human Resource Development Review*, 2, 360-384.
- Daft, R. L. (2005). *Management*. Mason, OH: South-Western College.
- Dalakoura, A. (2010). Differentiating Leader and Leadership Development (A Collective Framework for Leadership Development). *Journal of Management Development*, 29(5), 432-441.
- Davis, A. L. (2007). *A Study of the Leadership Skills Needs of Businesses in a Community College Leadership Development Curriculum*. Capella University, Minneapolis, MN.
- Day, D. V. (2001). Leadership Development: A Review in Context. *Leadership Quarterly*, 11, 581-613.
- Day, D. V., and O'Connor, P. M.(2003). Leadership Development: Understanding the Process *The Future of Leadership Development* (pp. 11-28). Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- De Jong, J. P. J., and Den Hartog, D. N. (2007). How Leaders Influence Employees' Innovative Behaviour. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 10(1), 41-64.
- De Merville, B. (2007). Enterprise Leadership. *Organization Development Journal*, 25(4), 83-88.
- Degeling, P., and Carr, A. (2004). Leadership for the Systematization of Health Care: The Unaddressed Issue in Health care Reform. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 18(6), 399-414.
- Denning, S. (2005). Transformational Innovation. *Strategy & Leadership*, 33(3), 11-16.
- Deshpande, R., Farley, J., and Webster, F. (1993). Corporate Culture, Customer Orientation, and Innovativeness in Japanese Firms: A Quardard Analysis. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 57 (1), 23-38.

- Dirks, K. T., and Ferrin, D. L. (2001). Trust in Leadership: Meta-Analytic Findings and Implications for Research and Practice. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(4), 611-628.
- Duerr, R. A. (2009). *Leadership Styles and Organizational Outcomes In Engineering Societies' Local Chapters*. Doctoral Dissertation, Capella University.
- Duncan, D., and Pinager, E. (2002). *Leadership for Saints*. American Fork, Utah: Covenant Communications.
- Dunphy, D., and Bryant, B. (1996). Teams: Panaceas or Prescriptions for Improved Performance? *Human Relations*, 49(5), 667-699.
- Edwards, A. (2009). *Professional Citizenship and Otherness leadership Development*. Bowling Green State University.
- Eisenberg, E. M., and Witten, M. G. (1987). Reconsidering Openness in Organizational Communication. *Academy of Management Review*, 12(3), 418-426.
- Ellis, K. (2001). Dare to Share. *Training*, 32(2), 74-80.
- Elmuti, D., W., M., and Abebe, M. (2005). Does Education Have a Role in Developing Leadership Skills? *Management Decision* 43 (7/8), 1018-1031.
- Etzioni, A. (1975). *A Comparative Analysis of Complex Organizations*. New York: Free Press.
- Farazmand, M. (Producer). (2010, 10 24). National Broadcast and Refined Oil Products. *Fars News Agency*. Retrieved from <http://www.farsnews.com/newstext.php?nn=8908170429>
- Fenner, D. (2005). Linking Succession Planning to Employee Training: A Study of Federal Employees.
- Fielder, F. E. (1967). *A Theory of Leadership Effectiveness*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Filomena D'amico, C. (2009). Exploring Leadership Development in Middle Management at Trent University.
- Findlay, H. (2008). Enhancing the Leadership Development of Managers in the Coastal Health Service Delivery Area.
- Findlay, P., McKinlay, A., Marks, A., and Thompson, P. (2000). In Search of Perfect People: Teamwork and Team Players in the Scottish Spirits Industry. *Human Relations*, 53(12), 1549-1573.
- Fister, D. (2002). *Change Management: Ready, Set, go*. New York: Random House.
- Fornell, C., and Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research* 18(February 1981), 39-50.
- Forret, M. L. (2006). The Impact of Social Networks on the Advancement of Women and Racial/Ethnic Minority Groups
- In M. Karsten (Ed.), *Gender, Ethnicity, and Race in the Workplace* (pp. 149-166). Westport, CT: Praeger.

- Foster, N. (2006). Leadership Development Should Be a Company's Core Competency. *Personal Today*, 15.
- Full Text of Monitoring Commission Report of Article 44. (2010).P. o. I. R. o. Iran. WWW.Majlis.ir.
- Fulmer, R. (1997). The Evolving Paradigm of Leadership Development. *Organizational Dynamics*, 25 (4), 59-72.
- Fulmer, R. M., and Conger, J. A. (2004). *Growing Your Company's Leaders: How Great Organizations Use Succession Management to Sustain Competitive Advantage*. New York: AMACOM.
- Fulmer, R. M., Gibbs, P. A., and Goldsmith, M. (2000). Developing Leaders: How Winning Companies Keep on Winning. *Sloan Management review*, 42(1), 49-59.
- Gadot, E. V. (2007). Leadership Style, Organizational Politics, and Employees' Performance: An Empirical Examination of Two Competing Models. *Personnel Review*, 36(5), 661-683.
- Gardner, J. W.(2000). The Nature of Leadership *Educational Leadership* (pp. 1-12). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Ghasemi, B. (2003). *Organizational behaviour*. Tehran: Heiat.
- Ghasemi, V. (2010). *Structural Equation Modeling in Social Researches Using Amos Graphics*. Tehran: Jameeshenasan.
- Gibbert, M., and Krause, H.(2002). Practice Exchange in a Best Practice Marketplace *Knoeledge Management Case Book: Siemen Best Practices* (pp. 89-107). Erlangen, Germany: Publicis Corporate Publishing.
- Giber, D., Lam, S., Goldsmith, M., and Justin, B. (2009). *Linkage Inc.'s Best Practices In Leadership Development Handbook*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass/Pfeiffer.
- Gibson, J. L., Donnelly, J. H., Ivancevich, J. M., and Konopaske, R. (2003). *Organizations: Behavior, Structure and Processes*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Gilkey, R. W. (1999). *The 21st Century Health Care Leader*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Gillespie, N., and Mann, L. (2004). Transformational Leadership and Shared Values: The Building Blocks of Trust. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 19 (6), 588-607.
- Gilley, A. (2005). *The Manager as Change Leader*. Westport, CT: Praeger.
- Gilley, A., Dixon, P., and Gilley, J. W. (2008). Characteristics of Leadership Effectiveness: Implementing Change and Driving Innovation in Organizations. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 19(2), 153–169.
- Girshman, R. (2004). *Iran from the Beginning to Islam* (M. Moeen, Trans.). Tehran: Moeen.

- Goodly, B. (2008). *Leadership Development within the Eagle Scouts: An Investigation of the Influence of Servant Leadership Values*. Doctoral, Capella University, miami.
- Gordon, J. (2002). Using Business Strategies To Drive Leadership Development. *Employment Relations Today*, 28(4), 61-67.
- Goris, J. R. (2007). Effects of Satisfaction with Communication on the Relationship between Individual-Job Congruence and Job Performance/Satisfaction. *Journal of Management Development*, 26(8), 737-752.
- Goris, J. R., Vaught, B. C., and Pettit, J. D. (2000). Effects of Communication Direction on Job Performance and Job Satisfaction: A Moderated Regression Analysis. *The Journal of Business Communication*, 37(4), 348-368.
- Gorry, G. (2008). Sharing Knowledge in the Public Sector: Two Case Studies. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*, 6(2), 105-111.
- Government, I. R. o. I. s. (1979). *Complete Set of Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Iran*. Tehran: Daneshvar.
- Greengard, S. (1998). Storing, Shaping and Sharing Collective Wisdom. *Workforce*, 77(10), 82-88.
- Greengard, S. (2001). Why Succession Planning Can't Wait. *Workforce*, 80(12), 34-36.
- Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). *Servant Leadership: A Journey into the Nature of Legitimate Power and Greatness*. Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press.
- Greenleaf, R. K., Beazley, H., Beggs, J., and Spears, L. C. (2003). *Servant-Leader Within: A Transformative Path*. New York: Paulist Press.
- Grevesen, C., and Damanpour, F. (2007). Performance Implications of Organisational Structure and Knowledge Sharing in Multinational R&D Networks. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 38 (1/2), 113-136.
- Griffin, R. W., and Moorhead, G. (2006). *Organizational Behaviour: Managing People and Organization*. Texas: Houghton Mifflin.
- Group, H. (2005). Hay Group's Eighth Annual Analysis of Most Admired Companies in Fortune Magazine Ranking. pp. Retrieved from <http://www.highbeam.com/doc/1G1-145275420.html>
- Groves, K. S. (2007). Integrating Leadership Development and Succession Planning Best Practices. *Journal of Management Development*, 26(3), 239-260.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, J., and Anderson, E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis: A Global Perspective*. London: Pearson.
- Hall, K. (2007). Too Much Teamwork Makes Companies Slow and Expensive to Run. *Strategic Direction*, 23(9), 3-4.
- Hamilton, F., and Cynthia, J. B. (2005). The Importance of Context, Beliefs and Values in Leadership Development. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 14(4).

- Hanna, R. W., and Glassman, A. M. (2004). Enhancing Human Systems Change: Learning from an NTL Workshop and Field Applications. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 14, 7-18.
- Harris, P. R. (2004). European Leadership in Cultural Synergy. *European Business Review*, 16(4), 358-380.
- Hearn, S. (2011). The Crucial Link between Performance and Reward. *Strategic HR Review*, 11(1).
- Heathfield, S. (Producer). (2006, 10 10). Succession planning. Retrieved from <http://humanresources.about.com/od/glossarys/g/successionplan.htm>
- Helgesen, S.(1996). Leading from the Grass Roots *The Leader of the Future* (pp. 19-24). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Hernez-Broone, G., and Hughes, R. L. (2004). Leadership Development: Past, Present, and Future. *Human Resource Planning*, 27(1), 24-32.
- Hersey, P., and Blanchard, K. (1977). *Management and Organizational Behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Hersey, P., and Blanchard, K. (1998). *Management and Organizational Behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., and Snyderman, B. B. (1959). *The Motivation to Work*. New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons.
- Hiatt, M. S. (2009). *Exploring Implementation Methods In a Long-Term Leadership Development Program* Doctoral Dissertation, Northcentral University, Arizona
- Hitt, M. A., and Ireland, R. D. (2002). The Essence of Strategic Leadership: Managing Human and Social Capital. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 9, 3-14.
- Hogel, M., Parboteeah, K. P., and Munson, C. L. (2003). Team-level Antecedents of Individuals' Knowledge Networks. *Decision Sciences*, 34(4), 741-770.
- Hollander, E. P. (1974). Process of Leadership Emergence. *Contemporary Business*, 3, 19-33.
- Holt, S. W. (2011). *Creating Effective Leadership Development Programs: A Descriptive Quantitative Case Study* Doctor of Philosophy, University of Nevada, Las Vegas.
- Hooseini, S. M. (2008). Challenging Faced with oil Industry. pp. Retrieved from <http://www.naftnews.net/view-6379.html>
- Hord, S. M. (1992). *Facilitating Leadership: The Imperative for Change*. Austin, TX: Southwest Educational Development Laboratory Press.
- Horner, M. (1997). Leadership Theory: Past, Present and Future. *Team Performance Management*, 3(4), 270-287.
- House, R. J. (1971). A Path-Goal Theory of Leader Effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 16, 321-338.
- Howell, J. M., and Avolio, B. J. (1992). The Ethics of Charismatic Leadership. *Academy of Management Executives*, 6(2).

- Howell, J. M., and Avolio, B. J. (1993). Transformational Leadership, Transactional Leadership, Locus of Control, and Support for Innovation: Key Predictors of Consolidated-Business-Unit Performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78(6), 891-902.
- Hu, Y.-J., Yang, Y.-F., and Islam, M. (2010). Leadership Behavior, Satisfaction, and the Balanced Scorecard Approach: An Empirical Investigation of the Manager-Employee Relationship at Retail Institutions in Taiwan. *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, 20(4), 339 - 356.
- Hult, G. T. M., Hurley, R. F., and Knight, G. A. (2004). Innovativeness: Its Antecedents and Impact on Business Performance. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 33(429-438).
- Hunt, J. (1999). Transformational/Charismatic Leadership's. Transformation of the Field: An Historical Essay. *Leadership Quarterly: Special Issue, Part I: Charismatic and Transformational Leadership: Taking Stock of the Present and*, 10(2), 129-144.
- Hurley, R. F. (1995). Group Culture and Its Effect on Innovative Productivity. *Journal of Engineering Technology Management*, 12(57-75).
- Hurt, A. C., and Homan, S. R. (2005). Growing Leaders. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 37(3), 120-123.
- Hymes, A. J. (2008). *leadership Development: A Case Study Exploring the Coaching Needs of Emerging Leaders*. Doctoral Dissertation, Capella University.
- Ilias, S. (2010). Iran's Economic Conditions.
- Ipe, M. (2003). Knowledge Sharing in Organizations: A Conceptual Framework. *Human Resource Development Review*, 2(4), 337-359.
- Iran's National petrochemical Company. (2008, 6 17). *Oil ministry of I.R. Iran*. Retrieved from <http://www.nioc.org/subCompanies/npc/findex.asp>
- Iranzadeh, S. (2002). *Comparative Management Within the Framework of the New Paradigms*. Tabriz: Center for Public Administration Training.
- Isights, A. (Producer). (2009). *researching in Organizations- Methods.5 Acumen insights Ltd.* Retrieved from <https://www.acumenmobile.com/papers/Researching%20in%20Organisations%20-%20Methods.pdf>
- Ives, W., Torrey, B., and Gordon, C.(2000). Knowledge Sharing Is Human Behaviour *Knowledge Management: Classic and Contemporary Works* (pp. 99-132). USA: MIT Press.
- Jahed, H. (2006). Organizational Safty. *Tadbir*, 159.
- Jamshidi, A. (2008). Considering the Situation of Femail Managers in Society. *Data Base of Countrie's Magasin*, pp. Retrieved from <http://www.magiran.com/npview.asp?ID=1542918>
- Johnson, J. R. (2002). Leading the Learning Organization: Portrait of Four Leaders. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 23(5), 241-249.
- Jones, G. (2004). High Performance Leadership. *Human Resource Management Review*, 12(7), 34-38.

- Joseph, E. E., and Winston, B. E. (2005). A Correlation of Servant Leadership, Leader Trust, and Organizational Trust. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 26(1), 6-22.
- Judge, T. A., and Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and Transactional Leadership: A Meta-Analytic Test of Their Relative Validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755-768.
- Kantabutra, S., and Avery, G. C. (2007). Vision Effects in Customer and Staff Satisfaction: An Empirical Investigation. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 28(3), 209 – 229.
- Kaplan-Leiserson, E. (2004). 2004 forecast. *Training & Development*, 58(2), 12-14.
- Kenneth, J. M., and Laurence, J. O. T. J. (2012). Subjective Organizational Performance and Measurement Error: Common Source Bias and Spurious Relationships. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*.
- Kern, F. (2010). What Chief Executives Really Want. *Bloomberg Businessweek*.
- Kerr, S., Schriesheim, C. A., Murphy, C. J., and Stogdill, R. M. (1974). Toward a Contingency Theory of Leadership Based upon the Consideration and Initiating Structure Literature. *Organizational Behavior and Human Performance*, 12, 62-82.
- Kest, R. T. (2007). *Transformational Leadership and its Outcomes in a Local Government*. Doctoral Dissertation, Walden University.
- Keys, L. (1994). Action Learning: Executive Development of Choice for the 1990s. *Journal of Management Development*, 13, 50-56.
- Khadem, M. (2010). Problems of EORC Related to Leadership Development and Important Factors for It. In S. E. Mehrabani (Ed.). Esfahan, Iran.
- Khajehpour, B. (2000). Domestic political reforms and private sector activity in Iran. *Social Research*, 67(2), 577-609.
- Kilburg, R. R. (1996). Toward a Conceptual Understanding and Definition of Executive Coaching. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 48(2), 134-144.
- Killian, S. (2010). Designing Leadership Development Initiatives: Clarifying the Why, Who, What, How and When. *Development and Learning in Organizations*, 15-18.
- Kim, S. (2003). Linking Employee Assessments to Succession Planning. *Public Personnel Management*, 32 (4), 533-548.
- Kim, Y. M., Bennett, D. N., and Song, H. J. (2012). Knowledge Sharing and Institutionalism in the Healthcare Industry. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 16(3), 480 – 494.
- Kimbrough, L. C. (2007). *Perception of leader Ethical Behavior and its Relationship to Organizational Effectiveness: An Exploratory Study*. Doctoral Dissartation, Capella University.
- Kirk, R. E. (2007). *Statistics: An Introduction* (Fifth ed.). USA, CA: Thomson Wadsworth.

- Kirkman, B., and Rosen, B. (1999). Beyond Self-Management: Antecedents and Consequences of Team Empowerment. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42 (1), 56-74.
- Kirkpatrick, S. A., and Locke, E. A. (1991). Leadership: Do Traits Really Matter? *Academy of Management Executive*, 10, 48-60.
- Klagge, J. (1997). Leadership Development Needs of Today's Organizational Managers. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 18(7), 355-362.
- Klemm, W. R. (2008). *Leadership: Creativity and Innovation*. Paper presented at the AU-24, Concepts of Air Force Leadership.
- Kline, R. B. (1998). *Principles and Practices of Structural Equation Modeling*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Klinsontorn, S. (2005). *The influence of Leadership Styles on organizational Commitment and Employee Performance*. Doctoral Dissertation, Nova Southeastern University.
- Knights, D., and McCabe, D. (2000). Bewitched, Bothered and Bewildered: The Meaning and Experience of Teamworking for Employees in an Automobile Company. *Human Relations*, 53 (11), 1481-1517.
- Kotter, J. (1996). *Leading Change*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Kouzes, J. (2003). *Business Leadership*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Kouzes, J. M., and Posner, B. Z. (2007). *Leadership Challenge* (4 th ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Krames, J. (2005). *Jack Welch and the 4 E's of Leadership: How to Put GE's Leadership Formula to Work in Your Organization*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Krogh, G. V. (2003). Understanding the Problem of Knowledge Sharing. *International journal of Information Technology and Management*, 2(3), 173-183.
- Kuo , T.-H., Ho, L.-A., Wu, Y.-J., and Lin, C.-T. (2010). The Factors Influencing Employees' Attitudes in High-Tech Environment. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 110(7), 1054-1072.
- Kur, E., and Bunning, R. (2002). Assuring Corporate Leadership for the Future. *Journal of Management Development*, 21(9/10), 761-779.
- Ladyshevsky, R. K. (2007). A Strategic Approach for Integrating Theory to Practice in Leadership Development. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 28(5), 426-443.
- Lakshman, C. (2007). Organizational Knowledge Leadership: A Ground Theory Approach. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 28(1), 51-75.
- Laschinger, H., Finegan, J., and Shamian, J. (2001). The Impact of Workplace Empowerment and Organizational Trust on staff Nurses' Work Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment. *Health Care Management Review*, 26, 7-23.

- Leard, G. (Producer). (2010). Benefits of Training for Both the Organization and Employees. *Helium*. Retrieved from <http://www.helium.com/items/1774143-training-and-its-benefits-why-train-workers-is-training-necessary>
- Lee, J. N. (2001). The impact of Knowledge Sharing, Organizational Capability and Partnership quality On IS Outsourcing Success. *Information and Management*, 38, 323-335.
- Leedy, P. D., and Ormrod, J. E. (2005). *Practical Research: Planning and Design* (8th Ed ed.). Upper Saddle River: NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Leipzig, J. S. (2004). Modelling the Communication Dynamics of Uninspired Leadership. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 9(2), 128-135.
- Leonard, H. S. (2003). Leadership Development for the Postindustrial, Postmodern Information Age. *Consulting Psychology Journal*, 55(1), 3-14.
- Leskiw, S., and Singh, P. (2007). Leadership Development: Learning from Best Practices. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 28(5), 444-464.
- Levi, D., and Slem, C. (1995). Teamwork in Research and Development Organizations: The Characteristics of Successful Teams. *International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics*, 16(1), 29-42.
- Lewis, K. (1999).the Impact of interpersonal Relationship and knowledge Exchange on Group performance.
- Likert, R. (1961). *The Humale Organization: Its Maleagamalet and Value*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Lin, H.-f. (2007). Knowledge Sharing and Firm Innovation Capability: An Empirical Study. *International Journal of Manpower*, 28(3/4), 315-332.
- Lingham, T., Richley, B., and Rezania, D. (2006). An Evaluation System for Training Programs: A Case study Using a Four Phase Approach. *Career Development International*, 11(4), 334-351.
- Llewellyn, R. N. (2002). When to Call the Organizational Doctor. *Human Resources Magazine*, 47(3), 79-81.
- London, M. (2001). *Leadership Development: Paths to Self-insight and Professional Growth*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Lussier, R. N. (2006). *Management Fundamentals: Concepts, Applications, Skill Development*. Springfield, MA: Thomson South-Western.
- Lussier, R. N., and Achua, C. F. (2007). *Leadership: Theory, Application, and Skill Development*. Mason, OH: Thompson South-Western.
- Luthans, F. (1989). *organization Behavior*: McGraw-hill.
- Lyman, B. R. (2010). *Current Practices in Leadership Development for Front-Line Nurse Managers*. Doctora of Philosophy, University of Northern Colorado Greeley, Colorado.
- Lynham, S., and Chermack, T. (2006). Responsible Leadership for Performance: A Theoretical Model and hypotheses. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 12(4), 73-88.

- Lynham, S. A. (2000). *Leadership Development: A review of the Theory and Literature*
- Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 2000 Academy of Human Resource Development Conference Proceedings.
- Madlock, P. E. (2008). The Link Between Leadership Style, Communicator Competence, and Employee Satisfaction. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 45(1), 61-78.
- Mamprin, A. (2002). *Next in Line: Five Steps for Successful Succession Planning*. Washington, DC: The Center for Association Leadership.
- Mandi, A. (2008). *A Case Study Exploring Succession Planning*. George Washington University, Washington DC.
- Marcus, M. (2004). preparing High-potential Staff for the Step up to Leadership. *Canadian HR Reporter*, 17(18), 11-12.
- Marks, M. A., Zaccaro, S. J., and Mathieu, J. E. (2000). Performance Implications of Leader Briefings and Team Interaction Training for Team Adaptation to Novel Environments. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85, 971-986.
- Marsh, R., and Satyadas, A.(2003). Knowledge Management in IBM Corporation. In M. Rao (Ed.), *Leading with Knowledge: Knowledge Management Practices in Global Infotech Companies*. New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill.
- Mashayekh, F., and Bazargan, A. (2004). *Toward Online Learning*. Tehran: Agah.
- Mathew Tropiano, J. (2004). Effective succession planning. 2009, pp. Retrieved from http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m0QMG/is_3_33/ai_n6126616/?tag=content;coll
- Mathieu, J. E., Heffner, T. S., Goodwin, G. F., Salas, E., and Cannon-Bowers, J. A. (2000). The Influence of Shared Mental Models on Team Process and Performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85, 273-283.
- Mayfield, M., and Mayfield, J. (2004). The Effects of Leaders' Communication on Worker Innovation. *American Business Review*, 46-52.
- McAlearney, A. S. (Producer). (2008). Executive leadership development in U.S. health systems: Exploring the evidence. Retrieved from http://www.ache.org/pubs/research/McAlearney_HMRA_Report.pdf
- McCall, M. W. (1998). *High Flyers: Developing the Next Generation of Leaders*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- McCallum, S., and O'Connell, D. (2009). Social Capital and Leadership Development (Building stronger leadership through enhanced relational skills). *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 30(2), 152-166.
- McCauley, C., and Douglas, C. (2004). *Developmental Relationships*. San Francisco, CA: The Center for Creative Leadership Handbook Leadership Development.
- McCauley, C. D., Moxley, R. S., and Van Velsor, E. (1998). *Handbook of Leadership Development*. San Francisco, CA: Josey-Bass.

- McCauley, C. D., and Van Velsor, E. (2004). *The Center for Creative Leadership Handbook of Leadership Development*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- McClelland, S. (1994). Gaining Competitive Advantage through Strategic Management Development. *Journal of Management Development*, 13, 4-13.
- McDermott, A., Kidney, R., and Flood, P. (2011). Understanding Leader Development: Learning from Leaders. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 32 (4), 358-378.
- McElyea, B. E. (2010). Communities of Practice: Understanding the Factors Contributing to Enhanced Knowledge Sharing.
- McGee-Cooper, A. (2003). *Servant leadership: How Southwest Airlines and TDIndustries stay on top*. Texas: Ann McGee-Cooper & Associates, Inc.
- McIntosh, J. (Producer). (2008, 2 26). Probability Sampling Techniques. *Suite101*. Retrieved from <http://www.suite101.com/content/probability-sampling-techniques-a45963>
- McNamara, C. (Producer). (2010). Employee Training and Development: Reasons and Benefits. *Free Management Library*. Retrieved from http://managementhelp.org/trng_dev/basics/reasons.htm
- Meade, A. W., Watson, A. M., and Kroustalis, C. M. (2007). *Assessing Common Methods Bias in Organizational Research*. Paper presented at the 22nd Annual Meeting of the Society for Industrial and Organizational Psychology, New York.
- Medhin, S. G. (2007). *The Challenges of Privatizing State-owned Enterprises in a Transition Economy: The Case of Ethiopia*. University of Phoenix, Phoenix, AZ.
- Meers, R. P. (2009). How Effective Leaders Learn from Life: A Grounded Theory Study of the Impact of Significant Life Experiences on Leadership Development.
- Michailova, S., and Husted, K. (2003). Knowledge-Sharing Hostility in Russian Firms. *California Management Review*, 45(3), 59-77.
- Miller, L., Caldwell, K., and Lawson, L. C. (2001). The Leadership Investment: How the World's Best Organizations Gain Strategic Advantage Through Leadership Development. *HRMagazine*, 46(2), 152-153.
- Mockler, R. (1968). The Systems Approach to Business Organization and Decision Making. *California Management Review*, 11(2), 53-58.
- Mohammadi, M. A. (2007). *Oil Company of Markazi State of Iran*, pp. Retrieved from <http://www.nigc-mpgc.ir/article-fa-1.html>
- Mohd Bakhari, I., and Zawiyah, M. Y. (2009). The Relationship Between Knowledge Sharing, Employee Performance and Service Delivery in Public Sector Organization. *Public Sector Management Review*, 3(1), 37-45.
- Moniz, D. C. (2008). *Leadership Development: The Relationship between Successful Mentoring Relationships and Exemplary Leadership Practices among Women Proteges within the United States Navy*. Capella University.

- Moore, E. M. (2007). *The Impact of Leadership Style on Organizational Effectiveness: Leadership in Action within United Way of America*. Capella University.
- More, J. M., and Field, P. A. (1995). *Qualitative Research Methods Health Professiona*. London: Sage.
- Morrison, J., Rha, J., and Helfman, A. (2003). Learning Awareness, Student Engagement, and Change: a Transformation in Leadership Development. *Journal of Education for Business*, 79(1), 11-17.
- Mosadegh Rad, A., and Yarmohammadian, M. (2006). A Study of Relationship between Managers' Leadership Style and Employees' Job Satisfaction. *Leadership in Health Services*, 19(2), 11-20.
- Moshavi, D., Brown, F. W., and Dodd, N. (2003). Leader Self-Awareness and Its Relationship to Subordinate Attitudes and performance. *Leadership and Organizational Development Journal*, 24(7), 407-418.
- Muchiri, M. K., Cooksey, R. W., Di Milia, L. V., and Walumbwa, F. O. (2011). Gender and Managerial Level Differences in Perceptions of Effective Leadership. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 32 (5), 32
- Muczyk, J. P., and Reimann, B. C. (1987). The Case for Directive Leadership. *Academy of Management Review*, 12, 637-647.
- Muijs, D. (2011). Leadership and Organisational Performance: From Research to Prescription? *International Journal of Educational Management*, 25(1), 45-60.
- Mumford, A. (1993). *How Managers Can Develop Managers*. Aldershot: Gower.
- Murphy, S. E., and Riggio, R. E. (2003). The Future of Leadership Development. *Lawrence Erlbaum Associates*.
- Mwita, J. I. (2000). Performance Management Model: A System-Based Approach to System Quality. *The International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 13(1), 19-37.
- Naquin, S. a. E. F. H. (2006). Leadership and Managerial Competency Models: A Simple Process and Resulting Model. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*, 8(2), 144-164.
- Nasimi, H. (Producer). (2001, 1 9). *Iranian Technology Analyzer*. Retrieved from <http://naft.itan.ir/?ID=949>
- Ng, L. C., and Zarb, F. G. (2011). Best Management Practices. *Journal of Management Development*, 30(1), 93-105.
- Nick, B., and Alexander, S. (2007). The Moderating Role of Human Capital Management Practices on Employee Capabilities. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 11(3), 31-51.
- Noe, R. (2002). *Employee Training and Development*. New York: McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- Northouse, P. G. (2004). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (3th ed.). Thousands Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Northouse, P. G. (2007). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Nyhan, R. C. (2000). Changing the Paradigm: Trust and Its Role in Public Sector Organizations. *American Review of Public Administration*, 30(1), 87-109.
- O'Neil, P. S. (2010). *An Evaluation of the Relationship of a Public Organization and Its Use of a Comprehensive Experiential Leadership Training Program*. Walden University, Minneapolis.
- O'Toole, J.(2001). When Leadership Is an Organizational Trait *The Future of Leadership* (pp. 158-174). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Okhuysen, G. A., and Eisenhardt, K. M.(2000). Excel Through Group Process *Handbook of Principles of Organizational Behavior* (pp. 211-225). Oxford, U.K.: Blackwell.
- Olivera, F. (2000). Memory Systems in Organizations: An Empirical Investigation of Mechanisms for Knowledge Collection, Storage and Access. *Journal of Management Studies*, 37(6), 811-832.
- Olorunsola, R. (2000). Job Rotation in Academic Libraries: The Situation in a Nigerian university Library. *Library Management*, 21(2), 94-98.
- Orrell, L. (2008). *Millennials Incorporated: The Big Business of Recruiting, Managing and Retaining the World's New Generation of Young Professionals*. United States of America: Intelligent Women Publishing.
- Osborne, D. R. (2011). *In Search of a Universal Transformational Leadership Development Training Program for Diverse Leaders: The Efficacy of the Dale Carnegie Course*. Indiana Wesleyan University.
- Ozaralli, N. (2003). Effects of Transformational Leadership on Empowerment and Team Effectiveness. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 24(5-6), 335-344.
- Page, D., and Wong, P. T. (2000). A Conceptual Framework for Measuring Servant Leadership. 2010, pp. Retrieved from <http://www.twu.ca/academics/graduate/leadership/servant-leadership/conceptual-framework.pdf>
- Pelit, E., Öztürk, Y., and Arslantürk, Y. (2011). The Effects of Employee Empowerment on Employee Job Satisfaction: A Study on Hotels in Turkey. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 23 (6), 784 – 802.
- Peterson, K., Deal, J., and Gailor-Loflin, H. (2003). *Emerging Leaders: An Annotated Bibliography*. Greensboro, NC: Center for Creative Leadership.
- Pfeffer, J.(2000). Seven Practices of Successful Organisations *Organizational Development and Transformation: Managing Effective Change* (pp. 483-493). Singapore: McGraw-Hill.
- Pink, D. (2005). *A Whole New Mind: Moving from the Information Age to the Conceptual Age*. New York, NY: Riverhead Books.

- Popper, M., and Lipshitz, R. (1993). Putting Leadership Theory to Work: A Conceptual Framework for Theory-Based Leadership development. *Leadership and Organization Development, 14*(7), 23-27.
- Porter, L., and McLaughlin, G. (2006). Leadership and Organizational Context: Like the Weather? . *Leadership Quarterly 17*, 559-576.
- Pounsford, M. (2003). The role of the Communicator at the Corporate Center. *Strategic Communication Management, 7*(6), 34-37.
- Pratt, Z. (2004). *An Investigation of the Relationship between External Environment, Mission and Strategy, Leadership, Organizational Culture, and Performance*. Michigan State University, Michigan.
- Puryear, E. (2000). *American Generalship, Character Is Everything*. Novato, CA: Presidio Press.
- rahman zadeh, M. (Producer). (2010a). Case Study. *Bagherolum research center*. Retrieved from <http://www.pajoohe.com/fa/index.php?Page=definition&UID=37366>
- Rahman zadeh, M. (2010b). Case Study. *Bagherolum research center*, pp. Retrieved from <http://www.pajoohe.com/fa/index.php?Page=definition&UID=37366>
- Rathgeber, G. D. (2009). *Speaking with Mindful Intent: A Phenomenological Study of the Affects of Oral Communication in Leadership*. University Of Phoenix, Arizona
- Ready, D. A., and Conger, J. A. (2007). Make Your Company a Talent Factory. *Harvard Business Review, 85*(6), 68-77.
- Reid, F. (2003). Creating a Knowledge Sharing Culture among Diverse Business Units. *Employment Relations Today, 30*(3), 43-49.
- Riesenberger, J. R. (1998). Executive insights: Knowledge- The Resource of Sustainable Competitive Advantage. *international Marketing, 6*, 94-108.
- Rivera-Vazquez, J. C. (2009). Overcoming Cultural Barriers for Innovation and Knowledge Sharing. *Journal of Knowledge Management, 13*(5), 257-270.
- Robbins, S. P. (2005). *Organizational Behavior*. NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Robert, C., Probst, T. M., Martocchio, J. J., Drasgow, F., and Lawler, J. J. (2000). Empowerment and Continuous Improvement in the United States, Mexico, Poland, and India: Predicting Fit on the Basis of the Dimensions of Power Distance and Individualism. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 85*(643-658).
- Robertson, J. (2004). Step Two Designs. *Knowledge Sharing Should be Avoided*, pp. Retrieved from http://www.steptwo.com.au/papers/cmb_knowledgesharing/index.html
- Robinson, J. P., Shaver, P. R., and Wrightsman, L. S. (1991). *Criteria for Scale Selection and Evaluation*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Rodsutti, M. C., and Swierczek, F. W. (2002). Leadership and Organizational Effectiveness in Multinational Enterprises in Southeast Asia. *Leadership & Organizational Development Journal, 23*(5), 250-259.

- Rothwell, W. J. (2005). *Effective Succession Planning: Ensuring Leadership Continuity and Building Talent from Within*. New York: AMACOM.
- Roy, M. H., and Dugal, S. (2005). Using Employee Gainsharing Plans to Improve Organizational Effectiveness. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 12(3), 250-259.
- Rulke, D. L., and Zaheer, S.(2000). Shared and Unshared Transactive Knowledge in Complex Organizations: An Exploratory Study. In Z. Shapira and T. Lant (Eds.), *Organizational Cognition: Computation and Interpretation*. Mahwah, NJ.: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Russell, R. F., and Stone, A. G. (2002). A Review of Servant Leadership Attributes: Developing a Practical Model. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 23(3), 145-157.
- Russon, C., and Reinelt, C. (2004). The Results of an Evaluation Scan of 55 Leadership Development Programs. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 10(3), 104-107.
- Ryan, J. M. (2007). *Evaluating Perceived Changes in Leadership Behavior among Middle Managers*. Doctoral dissertation, Pepperdine University, Malibu, CA.
- Ryan, S. (2012). When Is a Team a Team? "Teamworking" and the Reorganisation of Work in Commercial Cleaning. *Employee Relations*, 34(3), 255 - 270.
- Saghaei, R., and Ghasemi, A. (2009). Using Structural Equation Modeling in Causal Relationship Design for Balanced-Scorecards' Strategic Map. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 49.
- Sahinidis, A. G., and Bouris, J. (2008). Employee Perceived Training Effectiveness Relationship to Employee Attitudes. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 32(1), 63-76.
- Salopek, J. J. (2004). Leading Indicators: Leadership Development in Action. *Training & Development*, 58(3), 16-18.
- Sample, S. (2002). *The Contrarian's Guide to Leadership*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Sandhu, M., Jain, K., and Ahmad, I. (2011). Knowledge Sharing Among Public Sector Employees: Evidence from Malaysia. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 24(3), 206-226.
- Sashkin, M., and Burke, W. W.(1990). Understanding and Addressing Organizational Leadership. *Measures of Leadership* (pp. 52-58). West Orange, NJ: Leadership Library of America.
- Savage-Austin, A. R. (2009). *Servant Leadership: A Phenomenological Study of Practices, Experiences, Organizational Effectiveness, and Barriers*. Doctoral Dissertation, Capella University.
- Savage, A., and Sales, M. (2008). The Anticipatory Leader: Futurist, Strategist and Integrator. *Strategy and Leadership*, 36(6), 28-35.
- Schein, E. H. (1993). On Dialogue, Culture, and Organizational Learning. *Organizational Learning*, 22(2), 40-51.

- Schettler, J. (2003). 2003 Training Top 100: top 100: Best practices. *Training*, 40(3), 58-59.
- Schriesheim, C. A., and Neider, L. L. (1989). Leadership Theory and Development: The Coming "New Phase". *Leadership and Organizational Journal*, 10(6), 17-26.
- Scriffignano, R. (2009). *Examining the Influence of Goal Orientation on Leaders' Professional Development During Executive Coaching Engagements*. Northcentral University, Prescott Valley, Arizona.
- Seba, I., Rowley, J., and Delbridge, R. (2012). Knowledge Sharing in the Dubai Police Force. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 16 (1), 114 – 128.
- Seibert, S., Silver, S., and Randolph, W. (2004). Taking Empowerment to the Next Level: A Multiple-Level Model of Empowerment, Performance, and Satisfaction. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(332-350).
- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach* (Fourth Edition ed.): John Wiley and Sons.
- Selman, J. (Producer). (2005). Leadership and Innovation: Relating to Circumstances and Change. Retrieved from <http://www.innovation.cc/discussion-papers/selman.pdf>
- Sendjaya, S., and Sarros, C. J. (2002). Servant Leadership: Its Origin, Development, and Application in Organizations. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 9(2), 57-65.
- Senge, P. (2006). *The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organization*. New York: Currency/Doubleday.
- Sharkie, R. (2009). Trust in Leadership Is Vital for Employee Performance. *Management Research News* 32(5), 491-498.
- Sheri-Lynne, L., and Singh, P. (2007). Leadership Development: Learning from Best practices. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 28(5).
- Shin, S., and Zhou, J. (2003). Transformational Leadership Conservation, and Creativity: Evidence from Korea. *Academic Management Journal*, 46, 703-714.
- Shuttleworth, M. (Producer). (2008). *Pilot Study, From Experiment Resource*. Retrieved from <http://www.experiment-resources.com/pilot-study.html>.
- Simon, M. A., and Francis, J. B. (2001). *The Dissertation and Research Cookbook*. Dubuque, IA: Aspen.
- Sivo, S. A., Saunders, C., Chang, Q., and Jiang, J. J. (2006). How Low should You Go? Low Response Rates and the Validity of Inference in IS Questionnaire Research. *Association for Information Systems*, 7(6), 351-414.
- Sogunro, O. A. (2004). Efficacy of role-playing pedagogy in training leaders: some reflections. *Journal of Management Development*, 23(4), 355-371.
- Spears, L. C. (2004). Practicing Servant Leadership. *Leader to Leader*, 34, 7-11.

- Spreitzer, G. (1995). Psychological Empowerment in the Workplace: Dimensions, Measurement and Validation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(1442-56).
- Spreitzer, G., Kizilos, M., and Nason, S. (1997). A Dimensional Analysis of the Relationship between Psychological Empowerment and Effectiveness, Satisfaction, and Strain. *Journal of Management Development*, 23(679-704).
- Srivastava, A., and Bartol, K. (2006). Empowering Leadership in Management Teams: Effects on Knowledge Sharing, Efficacy, and Performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 46(6).
- Staples, D. S., and Webster, J. (2008). Exploring the Effects of Trust, Task Interdependence and Virtualness on Knowledge Sharing in Teams. *Information Systems Journal*, 18(6), 617-640.
- Statton, J. (2011). 6 Ways Leaders Can Build Trust. *Intentional Leadership*, pp. Retrieved from <http://michaelhyatt.com/6-ways-leaders-can-build-trust.html>
- Stead, V. (2005). Mentoring: A Model for Leadership Development? *International Journal of Training and Development*, 9(3), 170-184.
- Stogdill, R. (1974). *Handbook of Leadership: A Survey of Theory and Research*. New York: The Free Press.
- Stone, A. G., and Patterson, K. (2005). *The History of Leadership Focus: Servant Leadership Research Roundtable*. School of Leadership Studies. Virginia Beach: VA: Regent University Press.
- Storr, L., and Trenchard, S. (2010). Perspective on Practice: From Swampy Lowlands to Giddy Heights: A Case Study of Leadership Development in a Mental Health Setting. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 34(5), 475-487.
- Strong, G. (1986). Taking the Helm of Leadership Development. *Training and Development Journal*, 40, 43-50.
- Study, G. H. C. (Producer). (2008). Unlocking the DNA of the Adaptable Workforce. *IBM*. Retrieved from <http://www-935.ibm.com/services/us/gbs/bus/html/2008ghcs.html>
- Subramaniam, A., Othman, R., and Sambasivan, M. (2010). Implicit Leadership Theory among Malaysian Managers. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 3(4), 351-371.
- Tajeddini, K., Trueman, M., and Larsen, G. (2006). Examining the Effect of Market Orientation on Innovativeness. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 22 (5/6), 529-551.
- Taylor, T. (2002). *Examination of Leadership Practices of Principals Identified as Servant Leaders*. doctoral dissertation, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO.
- Thomas, R. A. (2008). Crucibles of Leadership Development. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 49(2), 15-18.
- Thomas, R. J., and Cheese, P. (2005). Leadership: Experience Is the Best Teacher. *Strategy & Leadership*, 33(3), 24-29.

- Thurgood, K. L. (2008). *Construct for Developing an Integrated Leadership Model: Linking the Correlates of Effective Leadership, Development and Succession Planning*. Doctoral Dissertation, Capella University, miami.
- Tichy, N. (1997). *The Leadership Engine: How Winning Companies Build Leaders at Every Level*. New York, NY: HarperCollins Publishers Inc.
- Tichy, N., and Cardwell, N. (2002). *The Cycle of Leadership: How Great Leaders Teach Their Companies to Win*. New York, NY: HarperCollins Publishers Inc.
- Tichy, N. M., and Devanna, M. A. (1986). *The Transformational Leader*. New York: John Wiley.
- Tirimizi, S. A. (2002). The 6-L Framework: A Model for Leadership Research and Development. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 23(5), 269-279.
- Tohidinia, Z., and Mosakhani, M. (2010). Knowledge Sharing Behaviour and Its Predictors. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 110(4), 611-631.
- Townsend, K. (2004). When the Lost Found Teams: A Consideration of Teams within the Individualised Call Centre Environment. *Labour and Industry*, 14 (3), 111-126.
- Trochim, W., and James, P. D. (2006). *Research Methods Knowledge Base*. Mason, Ohio: Thomson Custom Publication.
- Trochim, W. M. K. (Producer). (2006, 10 20). Nonprobability Sampling. *Research Method, Knowledge Base*. Retrieved from <http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/samprnon.php>
- Tubbs, S. L., and Schulz, E. (2006). Exploring a Taxonomy of Global Leadership Competencies and Meta-competencies. *The Journal of American Academy of Business*, 8(2), 29-34.
- Tucker, L. R., and Lewis, C. (1973). A Reliability Coefficient for Maximum Likelihood Factor Analysis. *Psychometrika*, 38, 1-10.
- Turo, D. (2010). *Reaching New Heights And Executive Development: Evaluation of Leadership Development* 3397784, Doctora of Philosophy, Capella University.
- Ulrich, D. (1996). *Credibility and Capacity. The Leader of the Future: New Visions, Strategies, and Practices for the Next Era*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Ulrich, D., and Smallwood, N. (2003). *Why the Bottom Line Isn't: How to Build Value through People and Organization*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Van den Broek, D., Barnes, A., and Townsend, K. (2008). Teaming Up?: Teams and Team Sharing in Call Centres. *Journal of Industrial Relations*, 50(2), 257-269.
- Van den Broek, D., Callaghan, G., and Thompson, P. (2004). Teams Without Teamwork? Exploring the Call Centre Paradox. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, 25 (2), 197-218.

- Van den Hooff, B., and De Ridder, J. A. (2004). Knowledge sharing in context: The Influence of Organizational Commitment, Communication Climate and CMC Use on Knowledge Sharing. *Knowledge Management*, 8(6), 117-130.
- Van Maele, D., and Van Houtte, M. (2009). Faculty Trust and Organizational School Characteristics: An exploration Across Secondary Schools in Flanders. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 45(4), 556-589.
- Van Velsor, E.(1998). Assessing the Impact of Development Experiences. In C. D. McCauley, R. S. Moxley, E. V. Velsor and C. f. C. Leadership (Eds.), *Handbook of Leadership Development*. San Francisco; Greensboro: Jossey-Bass; Center for Creative Leadership.
- Vardiman, P., Houghston, J., and Jinkerson, D. (2006). Environmental Leadership Development Toward a Contextual Model of Leader Selection and Effectiveness. *Leadership and Organizatin Development journal*, 27(2), 93-105.
- Vera, D., and Crossan, M. (2004). Strategic Leadership and Organizational Learning. *Academy of Management Review*, 29, 222-240.
- Vicere, A., and Fulmer, R. (1997). *Leadership Development by Design*. Boston: harvard business school Press.
- Vroom, V. H., and Jago, A. G. (1988). *The New Leadership: Managing Participation in Organizations*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Walonick, D. (1993). *StatPac Gold IV. (Survey & Marketing Research Ed)*. Minneapolis, MN: StatPac Inc.
- Walsh, G., Evanschitzky, H., and Wunderlich, M. (2008). Identification and Analysis of Moderator Variables: Investigating the Customer Satisfaction-Loyalty Link. *European Journal of Marketing*, 42 (9), 977 - 1004.
- Walton, E., and Dawson, S. (2001). Managers' Perceptions of Criteria of Organizational Effectiveness. *Journal of Management Review*, 7, 418-428.
- Warner, J. F. (1997). *The Role of Today's Leaders in Developing Tomorrow's Leaders. Albuquerque*. The University of New Mexico.
- Waters, R. C. (2009). Evolution of leadership development at General Electric. *Engineering Management Journal*, 21(1), 42-46.
- Weiskittel, P. (1999). The Concept of Leadership. *ANNA Journal*, 26(5), 467-468.
- Weiss, D., and Molinaro, V. (2006). Integrated leadership development. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 38(1), 3-11.
- Weiss, D. S., and Molinaro, v. (2005). *The Leadership Gap (Building leadership Capacity for Competitive Advantage)*. Canada: WILEY.
- Weller, K., and Weller, D. (2004). Coaching and Performance: Substantiating the Link. *Leadership in Action*, 24(2), 20-21.
- Wen Tsai, C. (2008). leadership Style and Employee Job Satisfaction in International Tourist Hotels. *Advances in Culture, Tourism, and Hospitality Research*, 2, 293-332.

- Whetten, D., and Cameron, K. S. (2005). *Developing Management Skills*. Upper Saddle River, NJ.: Pearson Education.
- Williams, C. (2007). *Management*. Mason, OH: Thomson-Southwestern.
- Wilson, C. (2009). Trust: The Critical Factor in Leadership. *Public Manager*, 38(1), 48-52.
- Wright, P. J. (2007). Effective Leadership: An Analysis of the Relationship Between Transformational Leadership and Organizational Climate.
- Xue, Y., Bradly, J., and Liang, H. (2010). Team Climate, Empowering Leadership, and Knowledge Sharing. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15(2), 299-312.
- Yang, J.-t. (2007). The Impact of Knowledge Sharing on Organizational Learning and Effective. *Knowledge Management*, 11(2), 83-90.
- Yang, S.-B., and Choi, S. (2009). Employee Empowerment and Team Performance: Autonomy, Responsibility, Information, and Creativity. *Team Performance Management*, 15 (5), 289 – 301.
- Yap, J. E. L., Bove, L. B., and Beverland, M. (2009). Exploring the Effects of Different Reward Programs on In-Role and Extra-Role Performance of Retail Sales Associates. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 12(3), 279- 294.
- Yeganeh, H., and Su, Z. (2007). Comprehending Core Cultural Orientations of Iranian Managers. *Cross Cultural Management*, 14(4), 336.
- York, M. (Producer). (2006). Communication. Retrieved from <http://www.mywordpower.com>
- Yukl, G. (2006). *Leadership in Organizations*, . Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.