

CENTRIPETAL ACCELERATED PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION AND
ITS APPLICATIONS IN MACHINE LEARNING

ZAHRA BEHESHTI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Computer Science)

Faculty of Computing
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2013

This Thesis is dedicated to my beloved family for their endless support and encouragement.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful

First and foremost, I must thank Allah S.W.T. for His unlimited bounties and innumerable graces for helping me finishing this thesis to its best form. Here, I would like to express heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Siti Mariyam Hj. Shamsuddin for her constant support during my study at UTM. She inspired me greatly to work in this project. Her willingness to motivate me contributed tremendously to our project. I have learned a lot from her and I am fortunate to have her as my mentor and supervisor. Also, I would like to thank the members of evaluation committee, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Zaiton binti Mohd Hashim, Prof. Dr. Azuraliza binti Abu Bakar and Prof. Dr. Abdul Hanan bin Abdullah. I am thankful for their valuable comments and suggestions.

Next, I wish to extend my grateful appreciation to all those who have contributed directly and indirectly to the preparation of this study. I would like to express my deep gratitude and thanks to my father, my mother, my beloved family for their patience, support and prayers.

Besides, I would like to thank the authority of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for providing me with a good environment and facilities which I needed during the process.

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, meta-heuristic optimization algorithms have been extensively applied to a variety of Machine Learning (ML) applications such as classification, recognition, prediction, data mining and web mining, combinatorial optimization and so on. The majority of them imitate the behavior of natural phenomena to find the best solution. The algorithms find promising regions in an affordable time due to exploration and exploitation ability. Although the mentioned algorithms have satisfactory results in various fields, none of them is able to present a higher performance for all applications. Therefore, searching for a new meta-heuristic algorithm is an open problem. In this study, an improved scheme of Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) based on Newtonian's motion laws called Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (CAPSO) has been proposed to accelerate learning process and to increase accuracy in solving ML problems. A binary mode of the proposed algorithm called Binary Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (BCAPSO) has been developed for discrete (binary) search space. These algorithms have been employed for problems such as non-linear benchmark functions, Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) learning and the 0-1 Multidimensional Knapsack Problem (MKP). The results have been compared with several well-known meta-heuristic population-based algorithms in both continuous (real) and binary search spaces. From the experiments, it could be concluded that the proposed methods show significant results in function optimization for real and binary search spaces, MLP learning for classification problems and solving MKP for binary search space.

ABSTRAK

Kini pengoptimum algoritma meta-huristik sudah digunakan dengan meluasnya dalam pelbagai aplikasi mesin pembelajaran (ML) seperti pengklasifikasian, pengecaman, ramalan, pencarian data dan pencarian jaringan, pengoptimum kombinasi dan sebagainya. Kebanyakan aplikasi ini meniru keadaan fenomena semulajadi bagi mendapatkan penyelesaian terbaik. Algoritma akan mendapatkan ruang yang sangat sesuai dalam jangkamasa tertentu mengikut keupayaan eksplorasi dan eksploitasi. Walaupun algoritma tersebut memberi keputusan yang memuaskan di dalam banyak bidang, namun tidak satu pun diantaranya dapat menghasilkan prestasi yang lebih tinggi untuk semua aplikasi. Maka, untuk mencari algoritma meta-huristik yang baru merupakan suatu cabaran yang nyata. Di dalam kajian ini, skim *Particle Swarm Optimization* (PSO) yang diperbaharui berdasarkan hukum gerakan Newtonian yang dipanggil *Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization* (CAPSO) telah dicadangkan bagi mempercepat proses pembelajaran dan meningkatkan ketepatan untuk menyelesaikan masalah-masalah ML. Mod binari algoritma yang dicadangkan yang dinamakan *Binary Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization* (BCAPSO) dibangunkan untuk pencarian ruang diskret (binari). Kesemua algoritma tersebut telah digunakan bagi mengatasi beberapa kesulitan seperti fungsi penanda aras bukan linear, pembelajaran *Multi-Layer Perceptron* (MLP) dan 0-1 *Multidimensional Knapsack Problem* (MKP). Keputusan telah dibandingkan dengan beberapa algoritma meta-huristik berdasarkan populasi yang terkenal carian ruang nyata dan binari. Daripada eksperimen, boleh disimpulkan bahawa kaedah yang dicadangkan menunjukkan hasil yang signifikan bagi fungsi pengoptimum untuk nyata dan pencarian ruang binari, pembelajaran MLP bagi masalah-masalah klasifikasi dan penyelesaian MKP untuk pencarian ruang binari.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Problem Background	3
	1.3 Research Statement with Research Questions	6
	1.4 Goal of the Research	7
	1.5 Objectives of the Research	8
	1.6 Scope of the Study	8
	1.7 Importance of the Study	9
	1.8 Organization of the Thesis	9
2	META-HEURISTIC ALGORITHMS	12
	2.1 Introduction	12
	2.2 Concept of meta-heuristic	12

2.3	Classification of meta-heuristic algorithms	13
2.3.1	Nature-inspired against non-nature inspired	14
2.3.2	Population-based against single point search	14
2.3.3	Dynamic against static objective function	15
2.3.4	Various neighborhood structures against single neighborhood	16
2.3.5	Memory usage against memory-less methods	16
2.4	Related works	16
2.5	Population-based meta-heuristic algorithms	21
2.5.1	Population-based meta-heuristic algorithms in real search space	21
2.5.1.1	Genetic Algorithm (GA)	21
2.5.1.2	Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) in real search space	25
2.5.1.3	Imperialist Competition Algorithm (ICA)	32
2.5.1.4	Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) in real search space	36
2.5.2	Population-based meta-heuristic algorithms in binary search space	39
2.5.2.1	PSO in binary search space (BPSO)	39
2.5.2.2	GSA in binary search space (BGSA)	40
2.6	Discussion	41
2.7	Summary	43
3	MACHINE LEARNING AND ITS APPLICATIONS	44
3.1	Introduction	44
3.2	Machine Learning (ML)	44

3.3	Meta-heuristic algorithms in Machine Learning (ML)	46
3.3.1	Function optimization	46
3.3.2	Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs)	47
3.3.2.1	Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) network	49
3.3.2.2	Back-Propagation (BP) algorithm for MLP training	51
3.3.2.3	Meta-heuristic algorithms for MLP training	52
3.3.3	Combinatorial Optimization Problems	57
3.3.3.1	The 0-1 Multidimensional Knapsack Problem (MKP)	57
3.3.3.2	Hybrid of meta-heuristic algorithms and the 0-1 MKP	59
3.4	Summary	60
4	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	62
4.1	Introduction	62
4.2	General Research Framework	62
4.2.1	Phase 1: Research development	65
4.2.1.1	Data preparation for function optimization	65
4.2.1.2	Data preparation for MLP learning	69
4.2.1.3	Data preparation for the 0-1 MKP	72
4.2.1.4	Identifying the hybrid learning meta-heuristic algorithms for MLP network	73
4.2.1.5	Identifying the hybrid meta-heuristic algorithms for solving the 0-1 MKP	74

4.2.2	Phase 2: Design and development of algorithms	74
4.2.3	Phase 3: Validation process	75
4.2.3.1	Function optimization	75
4.2.3.2	Performance measure in classification problems using MLP network	76
4.2.3.3	Performance evaluation of MKP	77
4.3	Summary	78
5	CENTRIPETAL ACCELERATED PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION (CAPSO) FOR REAL AND BINARY SEARCH SPACES USED IN FUNCTION OPTIMIZATION	79
5.1	Introduction	79
5.2	The Newtonian's motion laws used to design the proposed algorithms	79
5.3	CAPSO - The proposed algorithm for real search space	81
5.4	BCAPSO - The proposed algorithm for binary search space	83
5.5	Analysis and design of the proposed algorithms	84
5.6	Experimental results of the proposed methods for function optimizations	87
5.6.1	Analysis and discussion of function optimization in real search space	88
5.6.1.1	Comparison with different dimension	94
5.6.1.2	Comparison with other PSO algorithms	98
5.6.2	Analysis and discussion of function optimization in binary search space	101
5.6.3	Overall comparison of algorithms performance	109
5.7	Summary	111

6	ENHANCEMENT OF MULTI-LAYER PERCEPTRON (MLP) LEARNING USING CENTRIPETAL ACCELERATED PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION (CAPSO)	113
6.1	Introduction	113
6.2	Hybrid learning of CAPSO and MLP network (CAPSO-MLP)	113
6.3	Results of CAPSO-MLP	115
6.3.1	Experimental step	115
6.3.2	Analysis and discussion of CAPSO-MLP	116
6.4	Summary	124
7	BINARY CENTRIPETAL ACCELERATED PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION (BCAPSO) FOR SOLVING THE 0-1 MULTIDIMENSIONAL KNAPSACK PROBLEM (MKP)	125
7.1	Introduction	125
7.2	Hybrid of BCAPSO and the 0-1 MKP	125
7.3	Results and discussion of the 0-1MKP	129
7.3.1	Analysis of Penalty Function (PF) technique	129
7.3.2	Analysis of Check-and-Dropt (CD) algorithm	133
7.3.3	Analysis of Improved Check-and-Repair Operator (ICRO) algorithm	136
7.4	Summary	143
8	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	144
8.1	Introduction	144
8.2	Research summary	144
8.3	Research contributions	146
8.4	Future works	147

REFERENCES

149

Appendix A

167-169

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	List of some meta-heuristic algorithms (1975-2012)	17
2.2	Advantages and disadvantages of some meta-heuristic algorithms	42
4.1	Unimodal test functions	66
4.2	Multimodal high-dimensional test functions	67
4.3	Multimodal low-dimensional test functions	68
4.4	Maximization function (Max-Ones) in binary search space	68
4.5	Fairly moderate MKP benchmarks	72
4.6	Hard MKP benchmarks	73
5.1	Minimization results of unimodal functions in Table 4.1 for real search space (Maximum iteration=1000 and n=30)	89
5.2	Minimization results of multimodal high-dimensional functions in Table 4.2 for real search space (Maximum iteration=1000 and n=30)	91
5.3	Minimization results of multimodal low-dimensional functions in Table 4.3 for real search space (Maximum iteration=500)	93
5.4	Minimization results of benchmark functions in Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 for real search space (Maximum iteration=2000 and n=100)	95
5.5	Minimization results of benchmark functions in Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 for real search space (Maximum iteration=3000 and n=200)	97
5.6	Seven PSO algorithms in the literature	99

5.7	Comparative results of seven PSO algorithms (Zhan <i>et al.</i> , 2009) with CAPSO and LCAPSO on eleven benchmark functions of Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 (Maximum iteration=200000 and n=30)	100
5.8	Minimization results of unimodal functions in Table 4.1 for binary search space (Maximum iteration=500, n=5 and dim=75)	102
5.9	Minimization results of multimodal high-dimensional functions in Table 4.2 for binary search space (Maximum iteration=500, n=5 and dim=75)	104
5.10	Minimization results of multimodal low-dimensional functions in Table 4.3 for binary search space (Maximum iteration=500)	106
5.11	Maximization results of benchmark function in Table 4.4 for binary search space (Maximum iteration=1000)	108
5.12	Overall rank of Table 5.3 to Table 5.5 for real search space and Table 5.8 to Table 5.11 for binary search space	110
6.1	Description of datasets	115
6.2	MSE of CAPSO-MLP, PSO-MLP, GSA-MLP and ICA-MLP on all datasets	117
6.3	Comparison of datasets in term of accuracy (%)	119
6.4	Comparison of datasets in term of Sensitivity (%)	120
6.5	Comparison of datasets in term of Specificity (%)	121
6.6	Comparison of datasets in term of AUC	122
7.1	Experimental results on the benchmarks of Table 4.5 using PF technique	130
7.2	Experimental results on the benchmarks of Table 4.6 using PF technique	131
7.3	Comparison of BCAPSO and BCAPSO with PSO-P for the benchmarks of Table 4.6 with different penalty functions	133
7.4	Experimental results on the benchmarks of Table 4.5 using CD algorithm	134
7.5	Experimental results on the benchmarks of Table 4.6 using CD algorithm	135
7.6	Experimental results on the benchmarks of Table 4.5 using ICRO algorithm	137
7.7	Experimental results on the benchmarks of Table 4.6 using ICRO algorithm	138

7.8	Comparison of BCAPSO and BCAPSO using ICRO algorithm with PSO-R and MHPSO on the first seven benchmarks of Table 4.5	140
7.9	Comparison of BCAPSO and BCAPSO using ICRO algorithm with MHPSO on the benchmarks of Table 4.6	141

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Classification of meta-heuristic algorithms (Dreo, 2007)	13
2.2	Trajectory-based method	14
2.3	Roulette wheel selections	22
2.4	GA crossover	24
2.5	GA pseudo code	25
2.6	PSO pseudo code	27
2.7	Movement of colonies toward their relevant imperialist	33
2.8	Imperialistic competition	34
2.9	ICA pseudo code	36
2.10	GSA pseudo code	38
3.1	Architecture of MLP network	49
3.2	An artificial neuron of MLP	50
3.3	Encoding a set of weights in a chromosome	56
3.4	Pseudo code of hybrid learning of MLP and meta-heuristic algorithms	56
3.5	Flowchart of hybrid of meta-heuristic algorithms and the 0-1 MKP	60
4.1	General framework of the study	64
5.1	The object motion in the interval Δt	80
5.2	Graphical representation of (a) \vec{A}_i and (b) \vec{a}_i	85

5.3	Flowchart of CAPSO for continuous and discrete search spaces	86
5.4	CAPSO pseudo code for continuous and discrete search spaces	87
5.5	Convergence performance of CAPSO, LCAPSO, GSA, PSO and LPSO on F_3 with $n=30$	90
5.6	Convergence performance of CAPSO, LCAPSO, GSA, PSO and LPSO on F_{11} with $n=30$	92
5.7	Convergence performance of CAPSO, LCAPSO, GSA, PSO and LPSO on F_{15} with $n=4$	94
5.8	Convergence performance of CAPSO, LCAPSO, GSA, PSO and LPSO on F_4 with $n=100$	96
5.9	Convergence performance of CAPSO, LCAPSO, GSA, PSO and LPSO on F_7 with $n=200$	98
5.10	Convergence performance of CAPSO and LCAPSO on the functions of F_1 , F_2 and F_3 with $n=30$	101
5.11	Convergence performance of BCAPSO, LBCAPSO, BGSA, BPSO and LBPSO on F_3 with $n=5$	103
5.12	Convergence performance of BCAPSO, LBCAPSO, BGSA, BPSO and LBPSO on F_9 with $n=5$	105
5.13	Convergence performance of BCAPSO, LBCAPSO, BGSA, BPSO and LBPSO on F_{22} with $n=4$	107
5.14	Convergence performance of BCAPSO, LBCAPSO, BGSA, BPSO and LBPSO on F_{24} with $n=200$	109
5.15	Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of the best algorithms results for Table 5.3 to Table 5.5 in real search space	110
5.16	Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of the best algorithms results for Table 5.8 to Table 5.11 in binary search space	111
6.1	Flowchart of hybrid learning of CAPSO and MLP network	114

6.2	Training errors (MSE) of CAPSO-MLP, PSO-MLP, GSA-MLP and ICA-MLP for Hepatitis, Heart Disease, Diabetes, Breast Cancer, Parkinson's disease and Echocardiogram	118
6.3	ROC Curve of training and testing data on Hepatitis and Heart Disease	122
6.4	Training Classification Accuracy for datasets	123
6.5	Testing Classification Accuracy for datasets	123
7.1	ICRO pseudo code	127
7.2	Flowchart of solving MKP using meta-heuristic algorithms	128
7.3	Performance of BCAPSO, LBCAPSO, BPSO, LBPSO and GA using PF technique on mknapcb1-5.100-02	132
7.4	Performance of BCAPSO, LBCAPSO, BPSO, LBPSO and GA using CD algorithm on mknapcb4-10.100-04	136
7.5	Performance of BCAPSO, LBCAPSO, BPSO, LBPSO and GA using ICRO algorithm on mknapcb1-5.100-02	139
7.6	Performance of BCAPSO, LBCAPSO, BPSO, LBPSO and GA using ICRO algorithm on mknapcb9-30.500-29	140
7.7	Average error of mean profit using PF, CD and ICRO methods on the benchmarks of Table 4.5 (Fairly moderate benchmarks)	142
7.8	Average error of mean profit using PF, CD and ICRO methods on the benchmarks of Table 4.6 (Hard benchmarks)	142

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABC	-	Artificial Bee Colony
ACC	-	Accuracy
ACO	-	Ant Colony Optimization
AE	-	Average Error
AI	-	Artificial Intelligence
AIS	-	Artificial Immune System
ANNs	-	Artificial Neural Networks
APSO	-	Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization
AUC	-	Area Under Curve
BA	-	Bootstrap Algorithm
BCAPSO	-	Binary Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization
BGSA	-	Binary Gravitational Search Algorithm
BO	-	Bees Optimization
BP	-	Back-Propagation algorithm
BPSO	-	Binary Particle Swarm Optimization
CAPSO	-	Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization
CAPSO-MLP	-	Particle Swarm Optimization Multi-Layer Perceptron
CD	-	Check-and-Dropt
CEM	-	Cross Entropy Method
CLPSO	-	Comprehensive Learning Particle Swarm Optimization
COPs	-	Combinatorial Optimization Problems

CP	-	Charged Particle
CS	-	Cuckoo Search
CSS	-	Charged System Search
DSA	-	Differential Search Algorithm
DE	-	Differential Evolution
DMS-PSO	-	Dynamic Multi-Swarm Particle Swarm Optimization
FA	-	Firefly Algorithm
FFNN	-	Feed-Forward Neural Network
FN	-	False Negative
FP	-	False Positive
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GbSA	-	Galaxy-based Search Algorithm
GLS	-	Guided Local Search
GPSO	-	Global-topology Particle Swarm Optimization
GSA	-	Gravitational Search Algorithm
GSA-MLP	-	Gravitational Search Algorithm Multi-Layer Perceptron
GSO	-	Glowworm Swarm Optimization
HMM	-	Hidden Markov Model
HMO	-	Honey-bee Mating Optimization
HPSO-TVAC	-	Hierarchical Particle Swarm Optimizer with Time-Varying Acceleration Coefficients
HS	-	Harmony Search
ICA	-	Imperialist Competition Algorithm
ICA-MLP	-	Imperialist Competition Algorithm Multi-Layer Perceptron
ICRO	-	Improved Check-and-Repair Operator
ILS	-	Iterated Local Search
IWD	-	Intelligent Water Drops
KH	-	Krill Herd

LBCAPSO	-	Local-topology Binary Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization
LCAPSO	-	Local-topology Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization
LPSO	-	Local topology Particle Swarm Optimization
MAE	-	Mean Absolute Error
MKP	-	Multidimensional Knapsack Problem
ML	-	Machine Learning
MLP	-	Multi-Layer Perceptron
MOGA	-	Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm
MS	-	Monkey Search
MSE	-	Mean Square Error
PF	-	Penalty Function
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
PSO-MLP	-	Particle Swarm Optimization Multi-Layer Perceptron
RBF	-	Radial Basis Function
RFD	-	River Formation Dynamics
ROC	-	Receiver Operating Characteristics
RSO	-	Reactive Search Optimization
SA	-	Simulated Annealing
SD	-	Standard Deviation
SO	-	Spiral Optimization
SS	-	Scatter Search
TLBO	-	Teaching-Learning-Based Optimization
TN	-	True Negative
TP	-	True Positive
TS	-	Tabu Search
UCI	-	University of California at Irvine
VNS	-	Variable Neighborhood Search

VPSO	-	Von –Neumann topology Particle Swarm Optimization
WNN	-	Wavelet Neural Network

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Details of Functions of Table 4.3	167

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Machine Learning (ML) (Shavlik and Dietterich, 1990; Michie *et al.*, 1994; Mitchell, 1997, Bishop, 2007; Marsland, 2009) is a branch of Artificial Intelligence (AI) concerned with many learning algorithms and problems. Different ML algorithms have been successfully employed to solve real-life problems. The goal of ML research is computer learning based on training data to recognize complex patterns of datasets, or to make intelligent decisions based on data. In ML, optimization provides a valuable framework for thinking about, formulating and solving many problems.

Optimization problems have located at the heart of most ML approaches. Many algorithms from the class of exact and approximate optimization algorithms have been presented to deal with ML applications. However, exact optimization algorithms such as dynamic programming, branch-and-bound and backtracking (Neapolitan and Naimipour, 2004; Tanaka *et al.* 2009; Ferrer *et al.*, 2009; Manerba and Mansini, 2012; Smet *et al.*, 2012) have shown good performance in addressing ML applications, they are not efficient in a high-dimensional search space. In the applications, the search space increases exponentially with the problem size, hence solving these problems using the algorithms (such as exhaustive search) is not practical. Therefore, many researchers are interested in utilizing approximate algorithms like meta-heuristic algorithms in this regard.

Artificial Immune System (AIS) (Farmer *et al.*, 1986), Genetic Algorithm (GA) (Holland, 1975; Tang, 1996), Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) (Dorigo *et al.*, 1996), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) (Kennedy and Eberhart, 1995; Shi and Eberhart, 1998), Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) (Karaboga, 2005), Imperialistic Competitive Algorithm (ICA) (Atashpaz-Gargari and Lucas, 2007), Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) (Rashedi *et al.*, 2009) and Charged System Search (CSS) (Kaveh and Talatahari; 2010) are samples of meta-heuristic algorithms.

The meta-heuristic algorithms have applied as learning algorithm in for tackling complex problem such as neural network learning (Dehuri *et al.*, 2011; Qasem and Shamsuddin, 2011), image processing (Lu and Chen, 2008; Yang, 2011), function optimization (Kaveh and Talatahari, 2010; Rashedi *et al.*, 2010), data mining (Sousa *et al.*, 2004; Freita and Timmis, 2007), pattern recognition (Senaratne *et al.*, 2009; Zhao and Davis, 2011), control objectives (Baojiang and Shiyong, 2007; Karakuzu, 2009; Xie *et al.*, 2009) and combinatorial optimization problems (Al-Dulaimi and Ali, 2008; Defersha and Chen, 2010; Angelelli *et al.*, 2010).

Even though, they have been illustrated good performance, there is no a specific algorithm to find the best solution for all problems in continuous (real) and discrete (binary) search spaces. In other words, some algorithms have a better solution for a number of particular problems. Therefore, searching for a new meta-heuristic algorithm which can operate on two-valued functions, real and binary search spaces, would be beneficial.

In this thesis, the proposed methods of Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (CAPSO), Local topology of Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (LCAPSO), Binary Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (BCAPSO) and Local topology of Binary Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (LBCAPSO) are proposed for real and binary search spaces. The methods are evaluated by some ML applications in continuous and discrete search spaces such as function optimizations, Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) learning for classification problems and Multi-dimensional Knapsack Problem (MKP). The rationale of proposing this study is given in the problem background

followed by the thesis statement with research questions, goal of the study, objectives, scope and importance of the research.

1.2 Problem Background

Traditional algorithms such as branch-and-bound, dynamic programming, backtracking which are in the class of exact algorithms are inefficient in solving many high-dimensional optimization problems of ML. In these problems, the search space grows exponentially with the problem size hence; the exhaustive search is not practical using the algorithms. Also, the algorithms are inflexible to adapt a solution with a problem (Chan and Tiwari, 2007). In these algorithms, a problem is modeled in such a way that can be solved by these algorithms. This generally requires making several assumptions which might not be easy to validate in many situations. Therefore, a set of more adaptable and flexible algorithms are required to overcome these limitations.

Based on this motivation, a numerous algorithms inspired by nature have been proposed in the literature. Among them, meta-heuristic algorithms have shown satisfactory abilities to handle such problems. In these algorithms, the goal is to explore efficiently the search space in order to find (near-) optimal solutions. These algorithms have various advantages (Jin and Branke, 2005; Du and Li, 2008, Zhan *et al.*, 2009, Sariçiçek and Çelik, 2011; Valdez *et al.*, 2011, Mezmaz *et al.*, 2011; Kim *et al.*, 2012) to name a few:

1. They are robust and can adapt solutions with changing conditions and environment.
2. They can be applied in solving complex multimodal problems.
3. They may incorporate mechanisms to avoid getting trapped in local optima.
4. They are not problem-specific algorithm.
5. These algorithms are able to find promising regions in a reasonable time due to exploration and exploitation ability.

6. They can be easily employed in parallel processing.

To achieve the above advantages and to have better solution in different applications, many meta-heuristic population-based algorithms have been proposed so far and employed in many ML problems.

GA is one the oldest meta-heuristic algorithms. It has been widely used in ML (Goldberg, 1989; Shapiro, 2001). A combined ML with GA was proposed for controller design by Filipic (1999). Also, a general method was presented for identification of an optimal non-linear mixed effects model (Bies *et al.*, 2006). This included structural, inter-individual random effects and residual error models using ML and GA. In other research, Sarkar *et al.* (2012) offered an accuracy-based learning system called DTGA (Decision Tree and GA) to enhance the prediction accuracy of classification problems. Moreover, a Two-stage Genetic Clustering Algorithm (TGCA) was suggested by He and Tan (2012) to determine the appropriate number of clusters and partition of dataset.

D'Souza *et al.* (2012) used several meta-heuristic algorithms such as Simulated Annealing (SA), PSO, GA and AIS to optimize Dial-A-Ride Problem (DARP). From the results, it could be concluded that AIS method provided more efficient optimal solutions. Al-Obeidat *et al.* (2010) developed PSO for PROAFTN which is a classification method and belongs to the class of supervised learning algorithms. The method applied PSO to elicit the PROAFTN parameters during the learning process. To evaluate the quality of approach, it was tested on some datasets and compared with several ML techniques. The method had considerably performance better than other ML techniques used. Furthermore, a hybrid of improved PSO algorithm with Wavelet Neural Network (WNN) was introduced (Yue-bo *et al.*, 2012) to simulate the aerodynamic model for flight vehicles. The proposed method was compared with some well-known method such as the hybrid of GA with WNN and SVM. The simulated results indicated that the presented method has more efficiency than the others for aerodynamic modeling.

Another meta-heuristic algorithm applied in ML is ACO. Azar and Vybihal (2011) proposed a method using ACO to optimize the accuracy of software quality

predictive models for classification new data. In other study, Loyola *et al.* (2012) presented an approach to predict web user behavior using learning-based ACO.

Xu and Duan (2010) provided a shape-matching approach to visual target recognition for aircraft at low altitude using ABC algorithm. Also, Sulaiman *et al.* (2012) employed a hybrid of ABC and Least Square Support Vector Machine (LS-SVM) for solving real and reactive power tracing problem. The compared results with LS-SVM, the hybrid of GA and SVM demonstrated that the proposed method was more efficient than others in terms of determining the optimal values of hyper-parameters of LS-SVM.

Tayefeh-Mahmoudi *et al.* (2009) employed ICA to optimize the weights of MLP network for classification problems and compared the results with PSO, GA, Resilient Back-Propagation (RPROP) and Min Finder. The results illustrated that ICA performed better results.

Also, GSA was applied for function optimizations by Rashedi *et al.* (2009). The algorithm offered a better performance than PSO and GA in many cases. In another study, Bahrololoum *et al.* (2012) used GSA for a prototype classifier in multiclass datasets. The results of proposed method were compared with PSO, ABC and nine other classifiers on some well-known datasets. The results indicated that GSA was more efficient than the others.

Although the mentioned algorithms have obtained satisfactory results in various fields of ML, there are some unavoidable disadvantages. For instance, GA has the inherent drawbacks of prematurity convergence (Leung *et al.*, 1997; Hrstka and Kučerová, 2004; Hong *et al.*, 2011; Pavez-Lazo and Soto-Cartes, 2011) and unpredictable results. Also; it uses complex functions in selection and crossover operators and sometimes, the encoding scheme is difficult (Moslemipour *et al.*, 2012). PSO suffers from trapping into local optima and slow convergence speed (Deep, M. Thakur, 2007 (a), 2007 (b); Tsoulos, 2008; Zhan *et al.*, 2009; Zhan *et al.*, 2011; Gao, 2012), whereas GSA and ICA take long computational time to achieve

the results. Furthermore, some of these algorithms have several parameters to tune and often parameters setting is a challenge for various optimization problems (Tashkova, 2011). Meanwhile, none of meta-heuristic algorithms are able to present a higher performance than others in solving all problems. Another noteworthy point is that many problems are expressed in a binary representation. In other words, some solutions are encoded binary form or some problems are binary in nature. Nevertheless, some meta-heuristic algorithms are designed for only continuous (real) or discrete (binary) search space and sometimes, they have good performance just on one of the search spaces. For example, ICA and the original of ACO have been designed for continuous and discrete search space respectively. Also, binary PSO (Kennedy and Eberhart, 1997) has some inherent disadvantages such as poor convergence rate and failure to achieve desired results (Nezamabadi-pour *et al.*, 2008) which bring about a decrease in performance of algorithm in the binary search space. Therefore, the enhancement of performance of previous meta-heuristics or even introduction of new ones in minimizing the disadvantages seems to be necessary. Hence, a new optimization meta-heuristic algorithm has been proposed based on Newtonian's motion laws and PSO algorithm to improve convergence speed and to avoid trapping into local optimum and setting many parameters. The algorithm is named Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (CAPSO) and can be applied for both continuous and discrete high-dimensional search spaces.

1.3 Research Statement with Research Questions

Traditional optimization algorithms cannot provide proper results for ML problems with high-dimensional search space since the search space exponentially increases with the size of problem and exhaustive search is impractical. Also, existing meta-heuristic algorithms suffer from different drawbacks such as lack of providing optimum solution for all problems, getting stuck in local optima, tuning many parameters, slow convergence rate and high run-time. Also, some meta-heuristic algorithms are designed for only continuous (real) or discrete (binary) search space and sometimes, they have good performance only in one of the search

spaces. However, the algorithms are robust and have the ability of adapting with changing environment.

Therefore, more works are still required to develop the performance of meta-heuristic algorithms in ML. Hence, new meta-heuristic algorithms are introduced in the study for both continuous and discrete search spaces to cope with the shortcomings.

Consequently, based on the above issues, the main research question is:

Are the proposed meta-heuristic algorithms beneficial for learning process enhancement in ML?

Thus, the following issues need to be addressed:

1. Could the proposed methods improve the learning process and accelerate the convergence rate in ML?
2. Is it possible that the algorithms need no parameters setting?
3. Could the proposed algorithms have good performance in both real and binary search spaces?

1.4 Goal of the Research

The aim of this research is to propose an improved scheme of Particle Swarm Algorithm (PSO) based on the Newtonian's motion laws, which is called Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (CAPSO) to accelerate the learning and convergence procedure of classifiers in real and binary search spaces.

1.5 Objectives of the Research

In order to answer the above questions, the objectives of this thesis have been identified as:

1. To propose efficient meta-heuristic algorithms for both real and binary search spaces.
2. To improve the performance of meta-heuristic algorithms for optimizing non-linear functions in both real and binary search spaces.
3. To enhance ANN learning using the proposed method.
4. To evaluate the performance of combinatorial optimization problems in binary search space.

1.6 Scope of the Study

To achieve the mentioned objectives, the scope of this study is bounded as follows:

1. Twenty three unimodal and multimodal high-dimensional non-linear benchmark functions have been chosen to validate and to compare the performance of proposed algorithms with some meta-heuristic algorithms in real search space (Yao *et al.*, 1999; Rashedi *et al.*, 2009).
2. Twenty four unimodal and multimodal high-dimensional non-linear benchmark functions have been selected to assess the efficiency of proposed algorithms in binary search space (Yao *et al.*, 1999; Rashedi *et al.*, 2010).
3. Six datasets on binary class classification problems (<http://www.ics.uci.edu/~mllearn/MLRepository.html>) have been used to validate the hybrid learning of proposed algorithm with MLP. The datasets are: Hepatitis, Heart Disease, Pima Indian Diabetes, Wisconsin Prognostic Breast Cancer, Parkinson's disease and Echocardiogram (Heart attack). The performance of the proposed method is measured

based on convergence towards error, Sensitivity, Specificity, and classification accuracy.

4. Twenty five datasets for MKP (OR-Library: <http://people.brunel.ac.uk/~mastjjb/jeb/orlib/mknapinfo.html>) are applied to test the performance of proposed methods for combinatorial optimization problems in binary search space.
5. All meta-heuristics used in the study are in the class of population-based global search meta-heuristic algorithms.
6. The programs have been customized, developed and applied to the problems using MATLAB R2011a software.

1.7 Importance of the Study

The study investigates the capabilities of meta-heuristic algorithms in Machine Learning (ML). The performance of the proposed methods is evaluated using some applications in ML such as function optimization, Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) learning for pattern classification tasks and solving the 0-1 Multidimensional Knapsack Problem (MKP). The approaches are tested to detect whether the methods are efficient in the applications.

1.8 Thesis Organization

This thesis consists of eight chapters. The first is the introductory chapter. The second and third chapters describe the background as well as the previously published work in the field of meta-heuristic algorithms and Machine Learning (ML). The fourth chapter describes the research methodology of this study. Chapter 5, 6 and 7 provide the proposed methods and their analysis of results on some ML applications. Finally, the summary of this study is presented in Chapter 8. The details of each chapter are as follows:

Chapter 2, *Meta-heuristic Algorithms*, provides a review on concept and techniques applied in meta-heuristic algorithms. Also, related works are elucidated in real and binary search spaces. Finally, the discussion and summary of this chapter are given.

Chapter 3, *Machine Learning and Its applications*, presents ML algorithms and the related problems. Some ML applications are reviewed in this chapter such as ANN learning, combinatorial optimization problems in binary search space and the optimization of unimodal and multimodal high-dimensional function. Moreover, a broad overview about the basic concepts and traditional techniques of ANN learning are described especially, the hybrid learning of MLP network with meta-heuristics is elucidated in details. Furthermore, the hybrid of the 0-1 MKP and meta-heuristics is discussed in this chapter. Lastly, the chapter will be finished by a summary.

Chapter 4, *Research Methodology*, comprises of research methodology, a general framework for each phase of the study and descriptions about the overall solving-tools and standard techniques adopted.

Chapter 5, *Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (CAPSO) in Real and Binary Search Spaces*, presents the encoding of the proposed algorithms and evaluates their performance using some non-linear benchmark functions in the search spaces.

Chapter 6, *Enhancement of Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) Learning Using Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (CAPSO)*, uses the hybrid learning of proposed algorithm and MLP network to improve the ability of the network in term of accuracy for classification problems. Finally, the results and discussion of the proposed method on several medical datasets are compared with some previous methods in the literature.

Chapter 7, *Binary Centripetal Accelerated Particle Swarm Optimization (BCAPSO) For Solving 0-1 Multidimensional Knapsack Problem (MKP)*, presents

the methods of solving the 0-1 MKP using meta-heuristic algorithms. Three methods of Penalty Function (PF) technique, Check-and-Dropt (CD) and Improved Check-and-Repair Operator (ICRO) algorithms are proposed to improve the 0-1 MKP solutions. Also, the performance of each method is compared, analyzed and benchmarked with previous methods.

Chapter 8, *Conclusion and Future Works*, discusses and highlights the contributions and findings of the research work and provides suggestions and recommendations for future studies.

REFERENCES

- Abraham, A., Nedjah, N. and Mourelle, L. M. (2006). Evolutionary Computation: from Genetic Algorithms to Genetic Programming. *Studies in Computational Intelligence (SCI)*. 13, 1–20.
- Ahmadi, M. A., Ahmadi, M. R. and Shadizadeh, S. R. (2012). Evolving artificial neural network and imperialist competitive algorithm for prediction permeability of the reservoir. *Neural Computing and Applications*. In press
- Al-Dulaimi, B. F. and Ali, H. A. (2008). Enhanced Traveling Salesman Problem Solving by Genetic Algorithm Technique (TSPGA). *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*. 38, 296-302.
- Al-Obeidat, F., Belacel, N., Carretero, J. A. and Mahanti, P. (2011). An evolutionary framework using particle swarm optimization for classification method PROAFTN. *Applied Soft Computing*. 11 (8), 4971–4980.
- Alpaydin, E. (2004). *Introduction to Machine Learning*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Anderson, J. A. (2003). *An Introduction to Neural Networks*. (3rd ed.). MIT Press.
- Andresen, M., Bräsel, H., Mörig, M., Tusch, J., Werner, F. and Willenius, P. (2008). Simulated annealing and genetic algorithms for minimizing mean flow time in an open shop. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*. 48, 1279-1293.
- Andrews, P. S. (2006). An investigation into mutation operators for particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of IEEE International Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. 16-21 July. Vancouver, Canada: IEEE, 1044–1051.
- Angelelli, E., Mansini, R. and Speranza, M. G. (2010). Kernel search: A general heuristic for the multi-dimensional knapsack problem. *Computers and Operations Research*. 37 (11), 2017–2026.
- Angeline, P. J. (1998). Using selection to improve particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of the 1998 IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation*. 4-9 May. Anchorage, AK: IEEE, 84–89.

- Argyris, N., Figueira, J. R. and Morton, A. (2011). Identifying preferred solutions to multi-objective binary optimization problems, with an application to the Multi-Objective Knapsack Problem. *Journal of Global Optimization*. 49 (2), 213-235.
- Ashizawa, K., Ishida, T., MacMahon, H., Vyborny, C. J., Katsuragawa, S. and Doi, K. (1999). Artificial neural networks in chest radiography: application to the differential diagnosis of interstitial lung disease. *Academic Radiology*. 6(1), 2-9.
- Atashpaz-Gargari, E. and Lucas, C. (2007). Imperialist Competitive Algorithm: An algorithm for optimization inspired by imperialistic competition. *Proceedings of IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. 25-28 September. Singapore: IEEE, 4661–4667.
- Atashpaz-Gargari, E., Hashemzadeh, F., Rajabioun, R. and Lucas, C. (2008). Colonial Competitive Algorithm, a novel approach for PID controller design in MIMO distillation column process. *International Journal of Intelligent Computing and Cybernetics*. 1 (3), 337–355.
- Azar, D. and Vybihal, J. (2011). An ant colony optimization algorithm to improve software quality prediction models: Case of class stability. *Information and Software Technology*. 53 (4), 388–393
- Bahrololoum, A., Nezamabadi-pour, H., Bahrololoum, H. and Saeed, M. (2012). Prototype classifier based on gravitational search algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing*. 12 (2), 819–825.
- Balev, S., Yanev, N., Fréville, A. and Andonov, R. (2008). A dynamic programming based reduction procedure for the multidimensional 0–1 knapsack problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 186 (1), 63-76.
- Baojiang, Z. and Shiyong, L. (2007). Ant colony optimization algorithm and its application to neuro-fuzzy controller design. *Journal of Systems Engineering and Electronics*. 18(3), 603–610.
- Bennett, K. P. and Mangasarian, O. L. (1992). Robust linear programming discrimination of two linearly Inseparable Sets. *Optimization Methods and Software*. 1, 23-34.
- Bergh, F. V. and Engelbrecht, A. P. (2006). A study of particle optimization particle trajectories. *Information Sciences*. 176 (8), 937–971.

- Biabangard-Oskouyi, A., Atashpaz-Gargari, E., Soltani, N., Lucas, C. (2008). Application of Imperialist Competitive Algorithm for materials property characterization from sharp indentation test. *International Journal of Engineering Simulation*. 1(3), 337-355.
- Bies, R. B., Manuck, S., Muldoon, M. F., Smith, G., Pollock, B. G. and Sale, M. E. (2006). A Genetic Algorithm-Based, Hybrid Machine Learning Approach to Model Selection. *Journal of Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics*. 33(2), 195-221.
- Birattari, M., Paquete, L., Stutzle, T. and Varrenttrapp, K. (2001). *Classification of Metaheuristics and Design of Experiments for the Analysis of Components*. Technical Report. AIDA-01-05.
- Bishop, C. (2006). *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*. Springer.
- Bonabeau, E. Dorigo, M. and Theraulaz, G. (1999). *Swarm intelligence: from natural to artificial systems*. Oxford University Press.
- Box, G. E. P. and Jenkins, G. M. (2008). *Time series analysis: forecasting and control*. (4th ed.). Holden-Day, New Jersey: Wiley.
- Bratton, D. and Kennedy, J. (2007). Defining a standard for particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE Swarm Intelligence Symposium*. 1-5 April. Honolulu, Hawaii: IEEE, 120–127.
- Brent, R. P. (1991). Fast training algorithms for multi-layer neural nets. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*. 2, 346-354.
- Chan, F. T. S. and Tiwari, M. K. (2007). *Swarm intelligence: focus on ant and particle swarm optimization*. InTech.
- Chau, K. W. (2007). Application of a PSO-based neural network in analysis of outcomes of construction claims. *Automation in Construction*. 16(5) 642-646.
- Chen, W.-N., Zhang, J., Chung, H. S. H., Zhong, W.-L., Wu, W.-G. and Shi, Y.-H. (2010). A Novel Set-Based Particle Swarm Optimization Method for Discrete Optimization Problems. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 14 (2), 278-300.
- Chen, Y. P., Peng, W. C. and Jian, M. C. (2007). Particle swarm optimization with recombination and dynamic linkage discovery. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics – Part B*. 37 (6), 1460–1470.
- Chingtham, T. S., Sahoo, G. and Ghose, M. K. (2010). Optimization of path finding algorithm using, clonal selection: application to traveling salesperson

- problem. *International Journal of Computer Theory and Engineering*. 2 (2), 290-294.
- Cho, H. S., Park, W. S., Choi, B. W. and Leu, M. C. (2000). Determining optimal parameters for stereo lithography processes via genetic algorithm. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*. 19 (1) 18–27.
- Chor, B., Rivest, R. L. (1988). A Knapsack-Type Public Key Cryptosystem based on Arithmetic Infinite fields. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*. 34, 1-22.
- Chow, M.-Y., Menozzi, A., Teeter, J., and Thrower, J. P. (1994). Bernoulli error measure approach to train feedforward Artificial Neural Networks for Classification problems. *Proceeding of the 1994 IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence*. 27 Jun- 2 July. Orlando, Florida: IEEE, 44 – 49.
- Chu, P. C. and Beasley, J. E. (1998). A Genetic Algorithm for the Multidimensional Knapsack Problem. *Journal of Heuristics*. 4(1), 63–86.
- Chuang, L.-Y., Chang, H.-W., Tu, C.-J. and Yang, C.-H. (2008). Improved binary PSO for feature selection using gene expression data. *Computational Biology and Chemistry*. 32 (1), 29-38.
- Clerc, M. and Kennedy, J. (2002). The particle swarm-explosion, stability and convergence in a multidimensional complex space. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 6 (1), 58–73.
- Congram, R. K., Potts, C. N. and Van de Velde, S. L. (2002). An Iterated Dynasearch Algorithm for the Single-Machine Total Weighted Tardiness Scheduling Problem. *INFORMS Journal on Computing*. 14 (1), 52-67
- Cook, W. J., Cunningham, W. H., Pulleyblank, W. R. and Schrijver, A. (1997). *Combinatorial Optimization*. Berlin: Springer.
- Coppini, G., Miniati, M., Paterni, M., Monti, S., and Ferdeghini, E. M. (2007). Computer-aided diagnosis of emphysema in COPD patients: neural-network-based analysis of lung shape in digital chest radiographs. *Medical Engineering and Physics*. 29, 76–86.
- Cotta, C. and Troya, J. M. (1998). A hybrid genetic algorithm for the 0-1 multiple knapsack problem. *Artificial Neural Nets and Genetic Algorithms*. 3, 250-254.
- Cotta, C., Troya, J. (1998). *A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm for the 0-1 Multiple Knapsack Problem*. In Smith, G., Steele, N., Albrecht, R., (Eds.) *Artificial*

- Neural Nets and Genetic Algorithms* 3. (pp. 251-255). Wien New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Crowder, H. Johnson, E. L. and Padberg, H. W. (1983). Solving Large Scale Zero–One Linear Programming Problems. *Operations Research*. 31, 803-834.
- D’Souza, C., Omkar, S. N. and Senthilnath, J. (2012). Pickup and delivery problem using metaheuristics techniques. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 39, 328–334.
- Deep, K. and Thakur M. (2007a). A new crossover operator for real coded genetic algorithms. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 188, 895–911.
- Deep, K. and Thakur, M. (2007b). A new mutation operator for real coded genetic algorithms. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 193, 229–247.
- Defersha, F. M. and Chen, M. Y. (2010). A Hybrid Genetic Algorithm for Flowshop Lot Streaming with Setups and Variable Sublots. *International Journal Production Research*. 48 (6), 1705-1726.
- Dehuri, S., Cho, S. B. and Ghosh, S. (2011). *Integration of swarm intelligence and artificial neural network*. World Scientific.
- Din, D. (2008). Heuristic and simulated annealing algorithms for wireless ATM backbone network design problem. *Journal of Information Science and Engineering*. 24, 483-501.
- Dorigo, M. and Socha, K. (2007). *An Introduction to Ant Colony Optimization*, In Gonzalez, T., F. (Ed.) *Approximation Algorithms and Metaheuristics* (pp. 1-19). CRC Press.
- Dorigo, M., Birattari, M. and Stützle, T. (2006). Ant Colony Optimization – Artificial Ants as a Computational Intelligence Technique. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, 28-39.
- Dorigo, M., Maniezzo, V. and Colorni, A. (1996). The ant system: optimization by a colony of cooperating agents. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics – Part B*. 26 (1), 29–41.
- Dreo , J. (2007). Dreaming of Metaheuristics. <http://metah.nojhan.net>.
- Dreo, J., Aumasson, J.-P., Tfaili, W. and Siarry, P. (2007). Adaptive Learning Search, a new tool to help comprehending metaheuristics. *International Journal on Artificial Intelligence Tools*. 16, 1-23
- Drexel A. (1988). A Simulated Annealing Approach to the Multiconstraint Zero–One Knapsack Problem. *Computing*. 40, 1–8.

- Du, W. and Li, B. (2008). Multi-strategy ensemble particle swarm optimization for dynamic optimization. *Information Sciences*. 178 (15), 3096–3109
- Du, W.; Du, H. and Li, M. (2009). Hyper-mutation antibody clone algorithms for TSP. *Journal of Xidian University*. 36, 527-534.
- Eberhart, R. C. and Shi, Y. H. (2000). Comparing inertia weights and constriction factors in particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. 16-19 July. La Jolla, CA, 84–88.
- Eberhart, R. C. and Shi, Y. H. (2001a). Particle swarm optimization: Developments, applications and resources. *Proceedings of IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. 27-30 May. Seoul, Korea, 81–86.
- Eberhart, R. C. and Shi, Y. (2001b). Fuzzy adaptive particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. 27-30 May. Seoul, Korea, 101–106.
- Eberhart, R. C. and Shi, Y. (2001c). Tracking and optimizing dynamic systems with particle swarms. *Proceedings of IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. 27-30 May. Seoul, Korea, 94–97.
- Eberhart, R. C. and Shi, Y. (2004). Guest editorial. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation -Special Issue Particle Swarm Optimization*. 8 (3), 201–203.
- Er, O., Sertkaya, C., Temurtas, F. and Tanrikulu, A .C. (2009). A comparative study on chronic obstructive pulmonary and pneumonia diseases diagnosis using neural networks and artificial immune system, *Journal of Medical Systems*. 33(6), 485–492.
- Fadlaoui, K. and Galinier, P. (2011). A tabu search algorithm for the covering design problem. *Journal of Heuristics*. 17(6), 659-674.
- Farmer, J. D., Packard, N. H. and Perelson, A. S. (1986). The immune system, adaptation and machine learning. *Physica D*. 2 (1-3), 187–204.
- Fawcett, T. (2006). An introduction to ROC analysis. *Pattern Recognition Letters*. 27, 861–874.
- Ferrer, M., Valveny, E. and Serratos, F. (2009). Median graph: a new exact algorithm using a distance based on the maximum common subgraph. *Pattern Recognition Letters*. 30 (5), 579-588.

- Fidanova, S. (2005). *Ant Colony Optimization for Multiple Knapsack Problem and Model Bias*. In Margenov, S., Vulkov, L.G., Wasniewski, J. (Eds.) *Numerical Analysis and Its Applications* (pp. 280-287). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Filipič, B., Urbančič, T. and Križman, V., (1999). A combined machine learning and genetic algorithm approach to controller design. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*. 12 (4), 401–409.
- Freitas, A. A. and Timmis, J. (2007). Revisiting the foundations of artificial immune systems for data mining. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 11(4), 521–537.
- Gaivoronski, A. A., Lisser, A., Lopez, R. and Hu, X. (2011). Knapsack problem with probability constraints. *Journal of Global Optimization*. 49 (3), 397-413.
- Gao, W-F., Liu, S-Y. and Huang, L-L. (2012). Particle swarm optimization with chaotic opposition-based population initialization and stochastic search technique. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*. 17 (11), 4316–4327.
- Gilmore, P. C. and Gomory, R. E. (1966). The theory and computation of knapsack functions. *Operations Research*. 14, 1045–1075.
- Glover, F. (1989). Tabu Search - Part 1. *ORSA Journal on Computing*. 1 (2), 190–206.
- Glover, F. (1990). Tabu Search - Part 2. *ORSA Journal on Computing*. 2 (1), 4–32.
- Glover, F. and McMillan, C. (1986). The general employee scheduling problem: an integration of MS and AI. *Computers and Operations Research*. 13(5), 563-573.
- Goldberg D. E. and Kalyanmoy, D. (1990). A comparative analysis of selection schemes used in genetic algorithms. *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Foundations of Genetic Algorithms*. 15-18 July. USA, 69-93.
- Goldberg, D. E. (1989). *Genetic Algorithms in Search, Optimization, and Machine Learning*. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley.
- Gori M. and Tesi, A. (1992). On the problem of local minima in backpropagation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*. 14, 76–85.
- Hagan, M. T. and Menhaj, M. B. (1994). Training feed forward network with the Marquardt algorithm. *IEEE Transaction on Neural Network*. 5 (6), 989-993.

- Hamzaçebi, C. (2008). Improving genetic algorithms' performance by local search for continuous function optimization. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 196, 309–317.
- Hassan, M. R. and Nath, B. (2005). Stock market forecasting using hidden markov model: a new approach. *Proceedings of 5th international conference on intelligent system design and application*. 8-10 September. Poland, 192–196.
- Hassan, M. R., Nath, B. and Kirley, M. (2007). A fusion model of HMM, ANN and GA for stock market forecasting. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 33 (1), 171–180.
- He, H. and Tan, Y. (2012). A two-stage genetic algorithm for automatic clustering. *Neurocomputing*. 81, 49–59
- Heckerling, P. S., Gerber, B. S., Tape, T. G., and Wigton, R. S. (2004). Use of genetic algorithms for neural networks to predict community-acquired pneumonia. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*. 30, 71–84.
- Holland, J. H. (1975). *Adaptation in natural and artificial systems: an introductory analysis with applications to biology, control, and artificial intelligence*. Michigan: Ann Arbor, University of Michigan Press.
- Holliday, D., Resnick, R. and Walker, J. (1993). *Fundamentals of physics*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Hong, W.-C., Dong, Y., Chen, L.-Y. and Wei, S.-Y. (2011). SVR with hybrid chaotic genetic algorithms for tourism demand forecasting. *Applied Soft Computing*. 11(2), 1881–1890.
- Hornik, K., Stinchcombe, M. and White, H. (1989). Multilayer feedforward networks are universal approximators. *Neural Networks*. 2, 359–366.
- Hrstka, O. and Kučerová, A. (2004). Improvements of real coded genetic algorithms based on differential operators preventing premature convergence. *Advances in Engineering Software*. 35, 237–246.
- Huang, M.-L., Hung, Y.-H. and Chen, W.-Y. (2010). Neural network classifier with entropy based feature selection on breast cancer diagnosis. *Journal of Medical Systems*. 34(5), 865–873.
- James, T., Rego, C. and Glover, F. (2009). Multistart tabu search and diversification strategies for the quadratic assignment problem. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics Part -A*. 39 (3), 579-596.

- Jeatrakul , P. and Wong, K. W. (2009). Comparing the performance of different neural networks for binary classification problems. *Proceedings of Eighth International Symposium on Natural Language Processing*. 20-22 October. Bangkok, Thailand, 111-115.
- Jin, Y. and Branke, J. (2005). evolutionary optimization in uncertain environments-a survey. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 9 (3), 303-317.
- Jin, Y. and Sendhoff, B. (2008). Pareto-Based Multiobjective Machine Learning: An Overview and Case Studies. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics Part -C: Applications and Reviews*. 38 (3), 397–415.
- Johnson, D. S., Aragon, C. R., McGeoch, L. A. and Schevon, C. (1991). Optimization by simulated annealing—an experimental evaluation; part 2, graph-coloring and number partitioning. *Operations Research*. 39, 378–406.
- Juang, C. F. (2004). A hybrid of genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization for recurrent network design. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics Part-C*. 34 (2), 997–1006.
- Kadirkamanathan, V., Selvarajah, K. and Fleming, P. J. (2006). Stability analysis of the particle dynamics in particle swarm optimizer. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 10 (3), 245–255.
- Karaboga, D. (2005). *An idea based on honey bee swarm for numerical optimization*. Technical Report. TR06.
- Karakuzu, C. (2008). Fuzzy controller training using particle swarm optimization for nonlinear system control. *ISA Transactions*. 47 (2), 229–239.
- Kaveh, A. and Talatahari, S. (2010). A novel heuristic optimization method: charged system search. *ActaMechanica*. 213 (3–4), 267–289.
- Kennedy, J. and Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks*. 27 November- 1 December. IEEE, 1942–1948.
- Kennedy, J. and Eberhart, R. C. (1997). A discrete binary version of the particle swarm algorithm. *Proceedings of IEEE international conference on computational cybernetics and simulation*. 12-15 October. Orlando, Florida: IEEE, 4104–4108.
- Kennedy, J. and Mendes, R. (2002). Population structure and particle swarm performance. *Proceedings of IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. 12-17 May. Honolulu, Hawaii: IEEE, 2, 1671–1676.

- Kennedy, J. and Mendes, R. (2006). Neighborhood topologies in fully informed and best-of-neighborhood particle swarms, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics Part-C*. 36 (4), 515-519.
- Kim, J., Kim, M., Stehr, M. O., Oh, H. and Ha, S. (2012). A parallel and distributed meta-heuristic framework based on partially ordered knowledge sharing. *Journal of Parallel Distributed and Computing*. 72 (4) 564–578
- Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatto, C. D. and Vecchi, M. P. (1983). Optimization by simulated annealing. *Science*. 220, 671–680.
- Kisi, O. and Ozturk, O. (2007). Adaptive neuro-fuzzy computing technique for evapotranspiration estimation. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*. 133(4), 368–379.
- Kong M. (2008). A new ant colony optimization algorithm for the multidimensional Knapsack problem. *Computers and Operations Research*. 35 (8), 2672-2683.
- Kong, M. and Tian, P. (2006). *Apply the particle swarm optimization to the multidimensional knapsack problem*. In: Rutkowski, L., Tadeusiewicz, R., Zadeh, L. A., Zurada, J. M. (Eds.) *Artificial Intelligence and Computational Intelligence* (pp. 1140–1149). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Labeled, S., Gherboudj, A. and Chikhi, S. (2011). A modified hybrid particle swarm optimization algorithm for multidimensional knapsack problem. *International Journal of Computer Applications*. 34 (2), 11-16.
- Lazar, A. and Reynolds, R. G. (2003). *Heuristic knowledge discovery for archaeological data using genetic algorithms and rough sets*, Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, Department of Computer Science, Wayne State University.
- Leung, Y., Gao, Y. and Xu, Z. B. (1997). Degree of population diversity - a perspective on premature convergence in genetic algorithms and its markov chain analysis. *IEEE Transaction on Neural Network*. 8(5), 1165-1176.
- Li, H., Jiao, Y. C, Zhang, L. and Gu, Z.-W. (2006). *Genetic Algorithm Based on the Orthogonal Design for Multidimensional Knapsack Problems*. In Jiao, L., Wang, L., Gao, X.B., Liu, J., Wu, F. (Eds.) *Advances in Natural Computation* (pp. 696-705). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Li, X. D. and Engelbrecht, A. P. (2007). Particle swarm optimization: An introduction and its recent developments. *Proceedings of IEEE conference*

- companion on Genetic and evolutionary computation*. 7-11 July. London, England, 3391–3414.
- Liang, J. J. and Suganthan, P. N. (2005). Dynamic multi-swarm particle swarm optimizer. *Proceedings of Swarm Intelligence Symposium*. 8-10 June. IEEE, 124–129.
- Liang, J. J., Qin, A. K., Suganthan, P. N. and Baskar, S. (2006). Comprehensive learning particle swarm optimizer for global optimization of multimodal functions. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 10 (3), 281–295.
- Loyola, P., Román, P. E., Velásquez, J. D. (2012). Predicting web user behavior using learning-based ant colony optimization. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*. 25 (5), 889-897
- Lu, D. S. and Chen, C. C. (2008). Edge detection improvement by ant colony optimization. *Pattern Recognition Letters*. 29, 416–425.
- Manerba, D. and Mansini, R. (2012). An exact algorithm for the Capacitated Total Quantity Discount Problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 222 (2), 287–300
- Mansini, R., Speranza, M. G. (2002). A multidimensional knapsack model for the asset-backed securitization. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*. 53, 822–832.
- Marsland, S. (2009). *Machine Learning: An Algorithmic Perspective*. CRC press.
- Mendes, R., Kennedy, J. and Neves, J (2004). The fully informed particle swarm: simpler, maybe better. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 8 (3), 204–210.
- Menhas, M. I., Wang, L., Fei, M. and Pan, H. (2012). Comparative performance analysis of various binary coded PSO algorithms in multivariable PID controller design, *Expert Systems with Applications*. 39 (4), 4390–4401.
- Mezmaz, M., Melab, N., Kessaci, Y., Lee, Y. C., Talbi, E.-G. Zomaya, A.Y. and Tuytens, D. (2011). A parallel bi-objective hybrid metaheuristic for energy-aware scheduling for cloud computing systems. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*. 71 (11), 1497–1508.
- Michie D. and Spiegelhalter D. J. (1994). *Machine learning, neural and statistical classification*. Ellis Horwood.
- Mitchell, T. (1997). *Machine Learning*. MCGraw Hill.

- Mladenović, N., Hansen, P. (1997). Variable neighborhood search. *Computers and Operations Research*. 24 (11), 1097–1100.
- Moslemipour, G., Lee, T. S. and Rilling, D. (2012). A review of intelligent approaches for designing dynamic and robust layouts in flexible manufacturing systems. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*. 60, 11–27.
- Neapolitan, R. and Naimipour K. (2004). (3rd ed.). *Foundations of Algorithms using C++ Pseudo code*. Jones and Bartlett.
- Nezamabadi-pour, H., Rostami Shahrabaki, M. and Maghfoori-Farsangi, M. (2008). Binary Particle Swarm Optimization: Challenges and new Solutions. *CSI Journal on Computer Science and Engineering, in Persian*. 6 (1), 21-32.
- Oreski, S., Oreski, D. and Oreski, G. (2012). Hybrid system with genetic algorithm and artificial neural networks and its application to retail credit risk assessment. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 39 (16), 12605–12617.
- Ozkan, C. Kisi, O. and Akay, B. (2011). Neural networks with artificial bee colony algorithm for modeling daily reference evapotranspiration. *Irrigation Science*. 29, 431–441.
- Pankratz, A. (1993). *Forecasting with Univariate Box-Jenkins models: Concepts and Cases*. New York: John-Wiley.
- Park, J. and Sandberg, I. (1991). Universal approximation using radial-basis function networks. *Neural Computing*. 3, 246–257.
- Pavez-Lazo, B. and Soto-Cartes, J. (2011). A deterministic annular crossover genetic algorithm optimization for the unit commitment problem. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 38 (6), 6523–6529.
- Prechelt, L. (1995). Some notes on neural learning algorithm benchmarking. *Neurocomputing*. 9 (3), 343-347.
- Qasem, S. N. and Shamsuddin, S. M. (2011). Radial basis function network based on time variant multi-objective particle swarm optimization for medical diseases diagnosis. *Applied Soft Computing*. 11, 1427–1438.
- Rabanal, P., Rodríguez, I., and Rubio, F. (2007). *Using river formation dynamics to design heuristic algorithms*. In AKL et al. (Eds.) *Unconventional Computation* (pp. 163–177). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Rajabioun, R., Hashemzadeh, F., Atashpaz-Gargari, E., Mesgari, B. and Salmasi, F. R. (2008). Identification of a MIMO evaporator and its decentralized PID

- controller tuning using Colonial Competitive Algorithm. *Proceedings of the 17th World Congress, the International Federation of Automatic Control*. 6-11 July. Seoul, Korea, 9952-9957.
- Randall, S. S. and Naheel, A. S. (2001). Data Mining Using a Genetic Algorithm-Trained Neural Network. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management*. 10, 201–210.
- Rashedi, E., Nezamabadi, S. and Saryazdi, S. (2009). GSA: a gravitational search algorithm. *Information Sciences*. 179 (13), 2232–2248.
- Rashedi, E., Nezamabadi, S. and Saryazdi, S. (2010). BGSA: binary gravitational search algorithm. *Natural Computing*. 9 (3), 727–745.
- Ratnaweera, A., Halgamuge, S. and Watson, H. (2004). Self-organizing hierarchical particle swarm optimizer with time-varying acceleration coefficients. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 8(3), 240–255.
- Romeo, F. and Sangiovanni-Vincentelli, A. (1991). A theoretical framework for simulated annealing. *Algorithmica*. 6, 302–345.
- Rumelhart, D. E. and McClelland, J. L. (1986). *Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition*. Cambridge: MIT.
- Russell, S. J. and Norvig, P. (1995). *Artificial Intelligence a Modern Approach*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Sammut, C. and Webb, G. I. (2007). *Encyclopedia of Machine Learning*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Sarıçiçek, İ and Çelik, C. (2011). Two meta-heuristics for parallel machine scheduling with job splitting to minimize total tardiness. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*. 35 (8), 4117–4126
- Sarkara, B. K., Sanab, S. S. and Chaudhuri, K. (2012). A genetic algorithm-based rule extraction system. *Applied Soft Computing*. 12 (1), 238–254.
- Schutz, B. (2003). *Gravity from the ground up*. Cambridge University Press.
- Senaratne, R., Halgamuge, S. and Hsu, A. (2009). Face recognition by extending elastic bunch graph matching with particle swarm optimization. *Journal of Multimedia*. 4 (4), 204–214.
- Setiono, R. and Hui, L. C. K. (1995). Use of a quasinewton method in a feedforward neural network construction algorithm. *IEEE Transaction on Neural Network*. 6, 740-747.

- Shah-Hosseini, H. (2007). Problem solving by intelligent water drops. *Proceedings of IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. 25-28 September. Singapore: IEEE, 3226–3231.
- Shapiro, J. (2001). *Genetic Algorithms in Machine Learning, Machine Learning and Its Applications*. In Paliouras, G., Karkaletsis, V. and Spyropoulos C. D. (Eds.) *Artificial Intelligence and Computational Intelligence* (pp. 146-168). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Shavlik, J. W. and Dietterich, T. G. (Eds.) (1990). *Readings in machine learning*. California: Morgan Kaufmann.
- Sheikh-Hosseini, M., Zekri, M. (2012). Review of medical image classification using the adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system. *Journal of Medical Signals and Sensors*. 2(1), 49-60.
- Shi, X. H., Liang, Y. C., Lee, H. P., Lu, C. and Wang, Q. X. (2007). Particle swarm optimization-based algorithms for TSP and generalized TSP. *Information Processing Letters*. 103 (5), 169–176.
- Shi, Y. and Eberhart, R. (1998). A modified particle swarm optimizer. *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation*. 4-9 May. Anchorage, Alaska: IEEE, 69–73.
- Shi, Y. and Eberhart, R. C. (1999). Empirical study of particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation*. 6-9 July. Washington, U.S.A., 1945–1950.
- Shih, W. (1979). A branch and bound method for the multiconstraint zero–one knapsack problem. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*. 30, 369–378.
- Sivagaminathan, R. K. and Ramakrishnan, S. (2007). A hybrid approach for feature subset selection using neural networks and ant colony optimization. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 33 (1), 49–60.
- Smet, Y. D., Nemery, P. and Selvaraj, R. (2012). An exact algorithm for the multicriteria ordered clustering problem. *Omega*. 40 (6), 861–869.
- Socha K. and Blum, C. (2007). An ant colony optimization algorithm for continuous optimization: application to feed-forward neural network training. *Neural Computing and Application*. 16 (3), 235–247.
- Sousa, T., Silva, A. and Neves, A. (2004). Particle swarm based data mining algorithms for classification tasks, *Parallel Computing*. 30, 767–783.

- Suganthan, P. N., Hansen, N., Liang, J. J., Deb, K., Chen, Y.-P., Auger, A., Tiwari, S. (2005). Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the CEC 2005 special session on real-parameter optimization. *Technical report*, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.
- Sulaiman, M. H., Mustafa, M. W., Shareef, H. and Khalid, S. N. A. (2012). An application of artificial bee colony algorithm with least squares support vector machine for real and reactive power tracing in deregulated power system. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*. 37 (1), 67–77.
- Sulaiman, S. I., Rahman, T. K. A., Musirin, I. and Shaari, S. (2012). An artificial immune-based hybrid multi-layer feedforward neural network for predicting grid-connected photovoltaic system output. *Energy Procedia*. 14, 260–264.
- Tanaka, S., Fujikuma, S. and Araki, M. (2009). An exact algorithm for single-machine scheduling without machine idle time. *Journal of Scheduling*. 12 (6), 575-593.
- Tang, K. S., Man, K. F., Kwong, S. and He, Q. (1996). Genetic algorithms and their applications. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*. 13 (6), 22–37.
- Tashkova, K., Korošec, P., Šilc, J., Todorovski, L. and Džeroski, S. (2011). Parameter estimation with bio-inspired meta-heuristic optimization: modeling the dynamics of endocytosis. *BMC Systems Biology*. 5, 159.
- Tayefeh-Mahmoudi, M., Forouzideh, N., Lucas, C. and Taghiyareh, F. (2009). Artificial Neural Network Weights Optimization based on Imperialist Competitive Algorithm. *Seventh International Conference on Computer Science and Information Technologies*. 2 October. Yerevan, Armenia, 244–247.
- Tayefeh-Mahmoudi, M., Taghiyareh, F., Forouzideh, N. and Lucas, C. (2012). Evolving artificial neural network structure using grammar encoding and colonial competitive algorithm. *Neural Computing and Applications*. In press.
- Trelea, I. C. (2003). The particle swarm optimization algorithm: Convergence analysis and parameter selection. *Information Processing Letters*. 85 (6), 317–325.
- Tripathi, P. K., Bandyopadhyay, S. and Pal, S. K. (2007). Multi-Objective Particle Swarm Optimization with time variant inertia and acceleration coefficients. *Information Sciences*. 177, 5033–5049.

- Tsoulos, I. G. (2008). Modifications of real code genetic algorithm for global optimization. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 203 (2), 598–607.
- Tsoulos, I., Gavrilis, D. and Glavas, E. (2008). Neural network construction and training using grammatical evolution. *Neurocomputing*. 72(1–3), 269–277.
- Vaessens, R. J. M., Aarts, E. H. L. and Lenstra, J. K. (1998). A local search template. *Computers and Operations Research*. 25 (11), 969-979.
- Valdez, E., Melin, P. and Castillo, O. (2011). An improved evolutionary method with fuzzy logic for combining Particle Swarm Optimization and Genetic Algorithms. *Applied Soft Computing*. 11 (2), 2625-263
- Voudouris, C. and Tsang, E. (1996). Partial constraint satisfaction problems and guided local search. *Proceedings of Second International Conference on Practical Application of Constraint Technology (PACT'96)*. London, 337-356.
- Wilamowski, B. M., Yu, H. (2010). Improved computation for levenberg–marquardt training. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*. 21(6), 930-937.
- Wolpert, D. H. and Macready, W. G. (1997). No free lunch theorems for optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 1, 67–82.
- Xie, F. W., Hou, Y. F., Xu, Z. P. and Zhao, R. (2009). Fuzzy-immune control strategy of a hydro-viscous soft start device of a belt conveyor. *Mining Science and Technology*. 19 (4), 544–548.
- Xiong, W., Wang, L. and Yan, C. (2006). Binary ant colony evolutionary algorithm. *International Journal of Information Technology*. 12 (3), 10-20.
- Xu, C. and Duan, H. (2010). Artificial bee colony (ABC) optimized edge potential function (EPF) approach to target recognition for low-altitude aircraft. *Pattern Recognition Letters*. 31 (13), 1759–1772
- Yang, D., Jiao, L., Gong, M. and Liu, F. (2011). Artificial immune multi-objective SAR image segmentation with fused complementary features. *Information Sciences*. 181 (13), 2797–2812.
- Yang, S. (2002). Adaptive crossover in genetic algorithms using statistics mechanism. *Artificial Life*. 8, 182–185.
- Yang, X., Yuan, J., Yuan, J. and Mao, H. (2007). A modified particle swarm optimizer with dynamic adaptation. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 189, 1205–1213.

- Yao, X. (1999). Evolving artificial neural networks. *Proceedings of IEEE*. 87 (9), 1423–1447.
- Yao, X., Liu, Y. and Lin, G. (1999). Evolutionary programming made faster. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 3, 82–102.
- Yasuda, K., Ide, A. and Iwasaki, N. (2003). Stability analysis of particle swarm optimization. *Proceedings of the fifth Metaheuristics International Conference*. 341–346.
- Yu, C.-C., and Liu, B-D. (2002). A Back-Propagation Algorithm with Adaptive Learning Rate and Momentum Coefficient. *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*. 12-17 May. Honolulu, Hawaii, 1218-1223.
- Yu, J., Xi, L. and Wang, S. (2007). An Improved Particle Swarm Optimization for Evolving Feedforward Artificial Neural Networks. *Neural Processing Letter*. 26, 217-231.
- Yue-bo, M., Jian-hua, Z., Xu-sheng, G. and Liang, Z. (2012). Research on WNN aerodynamic modeling from flight data based on improved PSO algorithm. *Neurocomputing*. 83, 212–221.
- Zhan, Z.-H., Zhang, J., Li, Y. and Chung, H.-S. (2009). Adaptive Particle Swarm Optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics – Part B*. 39 (6), 1362-1381.
- Zhan, Z.-H., Zhang, J., Li, Y. and Shi, Y.-H. (2011). Orthogonal Learning Particle Swarm Optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*. 15 (6), 832-847.
- Zhang, C., Shao, H. and Li, Y. (2000). Particle Swarm Optimization for Evolving Artificial Neural Network. *Proceedings of the 2000 IEEE International Conference on System, Man, and Cybernetics*. 8-11 October. Nashville, TN: IEEE, 4, 2487–2490.
- Zhao, W. and Davis, C. E. (1983). A modified artificial immune system based pattern recognition approach--an application to clinical diagnostics. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*. 52 (1), 1–9.
- Zhu, G. and Kwong, S. (2010). Gbest-guided artificial bee colony algorithm for numerical function optimization. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*. 217 (7), 3166–3173.

- Zhu, W., Curry, J. and Marquez, A. (2009). SIMD tabu search for the quadratic assignment problem with graphics hardware acceleration. *International Journal of Production Research*. 48, 1035-1047.
- Ziver, A. K., Pain, C. C., Carter, J. N., de Oliveira, C. R. E., Goddard, A. J. H. and Overton, R. S. (2004). Genetic algorithms and artificial neural networks for loading pattern optimization of advanced gas-cooled reactors. *Annals of Nuclear Energy*. 13 (4), 431–457.