

AN IMPROVED THREE-DIMENSIONAL SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS
BASED ON LIMIT EQUILIBRIUM METHOD BY USING PARTICLE SWARM
OPTIMIZATION

ROOHOLLAH KALATEHJARI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2013

I dedicated this thesis to my beloved father and mother for their support and encouragement.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Nazri Bin Ali for his guidance, advice, constructive feedback, and critical review of this thesis. For his support, time and help, they are the motivations for me to strive harder in my academic journey. I also would like to thank Professor Dr. Khairul Anuar Kasim, Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Hj. Ramli Nazir, and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nurly Binti Gofar for their critical feedbacks on my research. I wish to thank University Teknologi Malaysia for giving me the opportunity to do my research in a supportive academic environment. I also appreciate Professor D. Leshchinsky, Professor C.C. Huang, and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Edy Tonnizam bin Mohamad for their valuable help and guidance.

I would like to thank my wife for her understanding and love during the past few years. Her support and encouragement was in the end what made this dissertation possible. My parents, receive my deepest gratitude and love for their patience, prayers, and the many years of support during my studies that provided the foundation for this work.

Special thanks go to my dear friends Mr. Mohsen Hajihassani, Mr. Ehsan Gatavi, Mr. Mehrdad Kholghi Fard, Mr. Houman Sohaei, and Mr. Amin Kheirandish for their valuable helps.

I always thank the almighty God for removing my weaknesses and answering to my prayers.

ABSTRACT

The stability of slopes is a major concern in the field of geotechnical engineering. Two-dimensional (2D) limit equilibrium methods are usually implemented in this field due to their simplicity. However, these methods ignore the features of the third dimension of slopes. Although three-dimensional (3D) methods tried to remove the previous limitation, most of them assumed the direction of sliding, simplified or ignored the intercolumn forces, and avoided to search for location and shape of three-dimensional critical slip surface. This study was performed to overcome the mentioned limitations. In the present study, a new slope stability method was established based on the force and moment equilibrium in two vertical directions that was able to find the unique direction of sliding. Moreover, a modified Particle Swarm Optimization was developed by replacing the worst particle of each swarm with the previous global best particle and using a dynamic inertia weight to determine the 3D critical slip surface. Then, a computer program was established to model 3D slopes and perform the required calculations. Several benchmark problems were re-analyzed to verify the results of the study and good agreements were achieved with the results of previous studies when different failure mechanisms as ellipsoid, cylindrical, and composite slip surfaces were successfully applied in the analysis. The results indicated that the 3D factor of safety of a slope is always greater than its corresponding 2D factor. Moreover, the end effect in 3D analysis was found to be more significance in the problems with lower ratio of length to the width of the sliding mass. It was also found that the presence of water and weak layer enlarged this effect. Through the verification study, it was observed that different sliding directions produce different factors of safety, while the lowest value of factor of safety and 3D critical slip surface is only reachable through the real direction of sliding. Finally, case studies of actual stability problems were analyzed to find their critical slip surfaces. Achieving the minimum factor of safety of 0.977 for the critical slip surface of a failed slope demonstrated the validity of performance of presented computer code. Based on the obtained results, this study successfully overcame the mentioned limitations of the previous methods. The results of this study provided a better understanding of the actual failure mechanism and helped to enhance the safety and reduced the economic and health costs due to slope failure by a more detailed analysis than before.

ABSTRAK

Kestabilan cerun kini menjadi kebimbangan utama dalam bidang kejuruteraan geoteknik. Penggunaan had dua dimensi (2D) yang merupakan kaedah keseimbangan biasanya dijalankan kerana penyerderhanaan pengaplikasian. Walau bagaimanapun, kaedah ini mengabaikan dimensi ciri ketiga cerun. Kaedah tiga dimensi (3D) dihasilkan bagi menghapuskan keterbatasan sistem aplikasi sebelumnya. Kebanyakan pengkaji menganggap arah gelongsor, dipermudah atau diabaikan daya intercolumn, menghalang untuk mencari lokasi dan bentuk tiga dimensi permukaan slip critical. Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk mengatasi keterbatasan tersebut. Dalam kajian ini, satu kaedah baru telah diperolehi berdasarkan kestabilan cerun dalam mengimbangi tenaga dua arah menegak dapat mencari hala tuju unik gelongsor. Perubahan zarah sekumpulan pengoptimuman dibangunkan bagi menggantikan kekurangan kumpulan zarah terdahulu, dengan penggunaan berat inersia dinamik untuk menentukan permukaan slip critical 3D. Program komputer telah diperolehi untuk model cerun 3D dalam melaksanakan pengiraan yang tepat. Beberapa masalah penanda aras dianalisis untuk mengesahkan keputusan kajian dan satu kesepakatan telah dipersetujui berkaitan dengan penemuan kajian terdahulu, akibat terdapat kegagalan mekanisma yang berbeza di permukaan slip ellips, silinder, dan kegagalan permukaan komposit telah berjaya digunakan dalam analisis kajian. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa faktor keselamatan cerun 3D adalah sentiasa lebih besar berbanding faktor 2D. Hasil akhir dalam analisis kajian 3D didapati lebih penting dalam penyataan masalah dengan nisbah yang lebih rendah jisim panjang gelongsor. Kajian juga mendapati bahawa kehadiran air yang diperbesarkan akibat dari kesan lapisan yang lemah. Melalui kajian pengesahan, Dapat diperhatikan bahawa gelongsor arahan berbeza menghasilkan keselamatan faktor yang berbeza, manakala faktor keselamatan yang lebih rendah dan 3D kegagalan permukaan kritikal hanya dapat dicapai melalui gelongsor arah sebenar. Kajian kes masalah kestabilan sebenar telah dianalisis bagi mencari kegagalan permukaan kritikal. Faktor minimum keselamatan 0.977 di kegagalan permukaan kritikal kegagalan cerun menunjukkan kesahihan prestasi kod komputer dipaparkan. Berdasarkan keputusan yang diperolehi, kajian ini telah berjaya mengatasi keterbatasan aplikasi yang terdahulu. Hasil keputusan kajian memberikan pemahaman yang lebih baik terhadap mekanisme kegagalan sebenar dan dapat membantu untuk meningkatkan keselamatan bagi mengurangkan kos ekonomi dan kesihatan akibat kegagalan analisis cerun secara lebih terperinci berbanding sebelumnya.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxvii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Statement of the Problems	3
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	5
	1.4 Research Questions	5
	1.5 Significance of the Study	6
	1.6 Scope of the Study	7
	1.7 Expected Outcomes	8
	1.8 Limitations of the Study	9
	1.9 Definition of Terms	10
2	LITERATURE REVEIW	11
	2.1 Introduction	11

2.2	Slope Stability Analysis based on Limit Equilibrium Method	12
2.2.1	Two-Dimensional Limit Equilibrium Methods	14
2.2.1.1	Two-Dimensional Method of Slices	15
2.2.1.2	Common Methods of Slices	18
2.2.1.3	Two-Dimensional Methods of Slices	21
2.2.2	Three-Dimensional Limit Equilibrium Methods	24
2.2.2.1	Anagnosti's Method	26
2.2.2.2	Baligh and Azzouz's Method	27
2.2.2.3	Hovland's Method	32
2.2.2.4	Chen and Chameau's Method	33
2.2.2.5	Dennhardt and Forster's Method	36
2.2.2.6	Leshchinsky, Baker and Silver's Method	38
2.2.2.7	Ugai's Method	39
2.2.2.8	Leshchinsky and Baker's Method	42
2.2.2.9	Hungr's Method	44
2.2.2.10	Gens, Hutchinson, and Cavounidis' Method	46
2.2.2.11	Leshchinsky and Mullet's Method	47
2.2.2.12	Xing's Method	48
2.2.2.13	Hungr, Salgado, and Byrne's Method	50
2.2.2.14	Leshchinsky and Huang's Method	51
2.2.2.15	Cavounidis and Kalogeropoulos' Method	53
2.2.2.16	Lam and Fredlund's Method	54
2.2.2.17	Yamagami and Jiang's Method	56
2.2.2.18	Huang and Tsai's Method	58
2.2.2.19	Huang, Tsai, and Chen's Method	60
2.2.2.20	Chen, Mi, Zhang, and Wang's Method	62
2.2.2.21	Cheng and Yip's Method	64
2.2.2.22	Zheng's Method	67

	2.2.2.23 Sun, Zheng, and Jiang's Method	67
2.3	Critical Slip Surface	69
	2.3.1 Finding the Critical Slip Surface	70
2.4	Optimization Techniques	72
	2.4.1 Global Optimization Techniques	72
2.5	Summary and Discussion	76
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	81
	3.1 Introduction	81
	3.2 Procedure of the Research	82
	3.3 Data Sources	84
	3.4 Instrumentation	84
4	DEVELOPMENT OF INNOVATED THREE-DIMENSIONAL LIMIT EQUILIBRIUM ANALYSIS	86
	4.1 Introduction	86
	4.2 Initial Considerations on Slope Stability Analysis	89
	4.2.1 Total and Effective Stresses	89
	4.2.2 Tension Crack	91
	4.2.3 Earthquake	92
	4.2.4 Local Loads	93
	4.2.5 Three-Dimensional Failure Mode	93
	4.3 Three-Dimensional Equation of Factor of Safety	93
	4.3.1 Directional Factor of Safety in X-Direction	100
	4.3.2 Directional Factor of Safety in Y-Direction	103
	4.3.3 Intercolumn Forces	106
	4.3.4 Overall Factor of Safety	110
	4.3.5 Determinacy of the Problem	111
	4.3.6 Solving Process	112
	4.3.7 Special Considerations	114
	4.4 Summary and Discussion	114
5	APPLICATION OF PARTICLE SWARM OPTIMIZATION	117

5.1	Introduction	117
5.2	Parameters of Particle Swarm Optimization	118
5.3	Swarm of the Particle Swarm Optimization	121
5.3.1	Topology of the Swarm	122
5.3.2	Size of the Swarm	125
5.4	Termination Criteria	125
5.5	Application of Particle Swarm Optimization in Slope Stability Analysis	126
5.5.1	Coding of the Particles	126
5.5.2	Fitness Function	128
5.5.3	Modification of Particle Swarm Optimization	129
5.6	Sensitivity Tests of Particle Swarm Optimization	130
5.6.1	Optimum Swarm Size	132
5.6.2	Optimum Coefficients of Velocity Equation	135
5.6.3	Optimum Inertia Weight of Velocity Equation	137
5.7	Solving Process	139
5.8	Summary	141
6	THREE-DIMENSIONAL SLOPE MODELLING	143
6.1	Introduction	143
6.2	Framework of the Code	144
6.2.1	Modelling Process	144
6.2.2	Optimization Process	148
6.2.3	Calculation of Three-Dimensional Factor of Safety	152
6.3	Testing of the Code	153
6.3.1	Calculation of Total Area	156
6.3.2	Calculation of Total Volume	157
6.3.3	Calculation of Total Weight	158
6.3.4	Discussion on the Value of Grid Width	159
6.4	Summary	161
7	VERIFICATION OF THE RESULTS AND VALIDATION OF THE COMPUTER PROGRAM	162

7.1	Introduction	162
7.2	Verification of the Results	163
7.2.1	Problem 1	163
7.2.1.1	Geometry and Material Properties of Problem 1	164
7.2.1.2	Results and Discussion of Problem 1	165
7.2.2	Problem 2	167
7.2.2.1	Geometry and Material Properties of Problem 2	168
7.2.2.2	Results and Discussion of Problem 2	169
7.2.3	Problem 3	175
7.2.3.1	Geometry and Material Properties of Problem 3	176
7.2.3.2	Results and Discussion of Problem 3	176
7.2.4	Problem 4	182
7.2.4.1	Geometry and Material Properties of Problem 3	182
7.2.4.2	Results and Discussion of Problem 4	183
7.3	Validation of the Computer Program	188
7.3.1	Case Study 1	188
7.3.1.1	Geometry and Material Properties of the Case Study 1	190
7.3.1.2	Results and Discussion of the Case Study 1	192
7.3.2	Case Study 2	199
7.3.2.1	Geometry and Material Properties of the Case Study 2	200
7.3.2.2	Results and Discussion of the Case Study 2	202
8	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	206
8.1	Conclusions	206
8.2	Recommendations	210

REFERENCES

212

Appendices A-H

227-315

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Available equations of typical 2D slope (Fredlund and Krahn, 1977)	17
2.2	Available unknowns of a typical slope (Fredlund and Krahn, 1977)	17
2.3	Comparison of the most commonly used methods of slices	19
2.4	Components of moment and force equations in the methods of slices (Fredlund and Krahn, 1977)	22
2.5	Comparison of metaheuristic methods (Kitagawa et al., 2004)	75
2.6	Summary and characteristics of 3D limit equilibrium methods	77
4.1	Unknowns, equations and assumptions of the present method	111
5.1	Sample coded swarm of the current study	128
5.2	Properties of soil layers in sensitivity analysis	131
5.3	Results of the sensitivity analysis on swarm size	133
5.4	Relationship between coefficients of different tests	135
5.5	Inertia values of the sensitivity tests	137
6.1	Position of particles in a sample swarm	149
6.2	Results of the calculation analysis	154
7.1	Properties of soil of problem 1 (Huang et al., 2002)	164
7.2	Results of different studies for problem 1	165
7.3	Properties of layers in problem 2 (Xing, 1988a)	169
7.4	Properties of produced models in different tests	169
7.5	Overall results of analysis of problem 2	171
7.6	Comparison between 2D and 3D results of problem 2	173
7.7	Comparison between the results of 3D simplified methods for problem 2	174

7.8	Comparison between the results of 3D rigorous methods for problem 2	174
7.9	Properties of slope in problem 3 (Alkasawneh et al., 2008)	176
7.10	Minimum 3D FOS of problem 3	180
7.11	Properties of slope in problem 4 (Yamagami and Jiang, 1997)	183
7.12	Minimum 3D FOS of problem 4	186
7.13	Classification of soil layers in considered area of KUR (HCE, 2005)	191
7.14	Properties of access trenches of line 2 of KUR (DKPCE, 2005)	192
7.15	Properties of soil layers of case study 2 (Liew et al., 2003)	201
7.16	Groundwater level of case study 2 (Liew et al., 2003)	202

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Forces in the method of slices (Fredlund and Krahn, 1977)	16
2.2	Forces acting on a thin vertical slice in Anagnosti's method (Anagnosti, 1969)	26
2.3	The combined slip surfaces of Baligh and Azzouz's method (Baligh and Azzouz, 1975)	28
2.4	Slip surfaces of Azzouz and Baligh's method including a) 2D and b) 3D problems (Azzouz and Baligh, 1983)	31
2.5	A typical column of Hovland's method (Hovland, 1977)	32
2.6	The slip surface of Chen and Chameau's method (Chen and Chameau, 1983)	34
2.7	Chen and Chameau's method after applied assumptions (Chen and Chameau, 1983)	35
2.8	Three-dimensional problem of Dennhardt and Forster's method (Dennhardt and Forster, 1985)	37
2.9	Three-dimensional model of Leshchinsky et al.'s method (Leshchinsky et al., 1985)	39
2.10	Three-dimensional slip surface of Ugai's method (Ugai, 1985)	40
2.11	Developed model of method of slices by Ugai (Ugai, 1988)	41
2.12	Three-dimensional model of Leshchinsky and Baker's method (Leshchinsky and Baker, 1986)	42
2.13	Three-dimensional model of Baker and Leshchinsky's method (Baker and Leshchinsky, 1987)	43
2.14	Columns system of Hungr's method (Hungr, 1987)	45
2.15	Three-dimensional slip surface of Gens et al.'s method (Gens et al., 1988)	46

2.16	Log-spiral failure mechanisms of Leshchinsky and Mullet (Leshchinsky and Mullet, 1988b)	48
2.17	Three-dimensional model of Xing's method (Xing, 1988)	49
2.18	Assumed rotation axes in Hungr et al.'s method (Hungr et al., 1989)	51
2.19	Discretized slip surface of Leshchinsky and Huang (Leshchinsky and Huang, 1992b)	52
2.20	Slip surface of Cavounidis and Kalogeropoulos' method (Cavounidis and Kalogeropoulos, 1992)	53
2.21	Discretization of slip surface in Lam and Fredlund's method (Lam and Fredlund, 1993)	55
2.22	Typical slip surface and a column in Jiang and Yamagami's method (Jiang and Yamagami, 2004)	57
2.23	Three-dimensional model of Huang and Tsai's method (Huang and Tsai, 2000)	59
2.24	Component of base shear strength at the base of column and their projection on x-y plane (Huang et al., 2002)	61
2.25	Typical column of Chen et al.'s method a) before, and b) after applying the assumptions (Chen et al., 2003)	63
2.26	Forces acting on a typical column of Cheng and Yip's method (Cheng and Yip, 2007)	65
2.27	Triangular mesh and projection of slip surface in Sun et al.'s method (Sun et al., 2009)	68
2.28	Classification of optimization techniques	73
3.1	Flowchart of the research procedure	82
4.1	Plan view of discretized sliding mass (Huang et al., 2002)	94
4.2	Internal and external forces on a typical soil column, modified after Huang et al. (2002)	94
4.3	Base angles and sliding angle of a typical soil column (Huang and Tsai, 2000)	95
4.4	Boundaries normal forces in x- and y-directions (Huang et al., 2002)	102
4.5	Forces on central section of column (i,j) in x-direction, modified after Huang et al. (2002)	107

4.6	Forces on central section of column (i,j) in y-direction, modified after Huang et al. (2002)	109
4.7	Process to find the overall FOS	113
5.1	Standard flow chart of PSO (Kennedy and Eberhart, 1995)	119
5.2	Schematic structure of a particle in PSO	122
5.3	Sample of (a) local and (b) global topologies in 2D space	124
5.4	Projection of rotated ellipsoids on x-y plane	127
5.5	Schematic structures of a particle in the present study	127
5.6	Projection of disqualified slip surfaces on y-z plane	130
5.7	Three-dimensional slope model of sensitivity analysis	131
5.8	Convergence processes of swarms with different sizes	133
5.9	Total consumed time by CPU for different swarm sizes	134
5.10	Results of sensitivity tests of (a) unequal coefficients, and (b) equal coefficients	136
5.11	Results of sensitivity analysis on inertia weight	138
5.12	Results of the tests for dynamic inertia weight	138
5.13	Process to find the critical slip surface by particle swarm optimization	140
6.1	Graphical user interface of basic inputs	145
6.2	Graphical user interface of section plotter	146
6.3	Three-dimensional surface of a sample slope	146
6.4	Graphical user interface of layers properties	147
6.5	Three-dimensional model of a sample slope with layers and piezometric surface	148
6.6	Slip surfaces of a sample swarm	149
6.7	Intersection area of a sample problem	150
6.8	Discretized sliding body of a sample problem	150
6.9	Slip surfaces of qualified particles	151
6.10	Matrix calculation compare with conventional loops	152
6.11	Cropping method to reduce the time of calculations	153
6.12	Assumed model for calculation test	154
6.13	Projection of columns on x-y plane	155
6.14	Number of columns versus different grid widths	156
6.15	Percentage of area error in different tests	157

6.16	Percentage of volume error in different tests	158
6.17	Percentage of weight error in different tests	159
6.18	Total weight and total effective weight in different tests	159
6.19	Calculation time of different tests	160
6.20	Errors of total area and volume versus grid width ratio	160
7.1	Vertical cut of problem 1 (Huang and Tsai, 2000)	164
7.2	Generated model of problem 1	166
7.3	Central section of problem 2 (Xing, 1988a)	167
7.4	Generated model for case 6 of problem 2	170
7.5	Three-dimensional FOS versus volume of the sliding mass for problem 2	172
7.6	geometry of 2D slope in problem 3 (Alkasawneh et al., 2008)	176
7.7	Two-dimensional and 3D views of model of problem 3 by (a) and (c) Plaxis 3D and (b) and (d) current study, respectively	177
7.8	Randomly generated cylindrical slip surfaces in first swarm of PSO for problem 3 in (a) cross sectional, and (b) 3D view	178
7.9	Average and maximum fitness versus iterations for problem 3	179
7.10	Minimum FOS versus iterations for problem 3	180
7.11	Exaggerated displacement vectors of Plaxis 3D result for problem 3	180
7.12	(a) cylindrical shape, (b) sliding mass, and (c) sliding mode of the 3D critical slip surface for problem 3	181
7.13	(a) half-plan view and (b) central cross section of geometry of problem 4 (Yamagami and Jiang, 1997)	182
7.14	Generated model of problem 3 by current study in (a) plan view, (b) central cross section, and (c) 3D view	184
7.15	(a) cross sectional and (b) 3D view of randomly generated ellipsoid slip surfaces in the first swarm of PSO for problem 4	185
7.16	Average and maximum fitness versus iterations for problem 3	185
7.17	Minimum FOS versus iterations for problem 3	186
7.18	(a) ellipsoid shape, (b) sliding mass, and (c) sliding mode of the 3D critical slip surface for problem 4	187
7.19	Location of Karaj city in Iran (sited as point A)	188
7.20	Karaj urban & suburban railway map (HCE-HPCE, 2010)	189

7.21	Top views of (a) T1, and (b) T2 access trenches to the main tunnel in part 2, phase I of line 2 of KUR	190
7.22	Geological map of part 2, phase I of line 2 of KUR (DKPCE, 2005)	191
7.23	Geometry of trenches in (a) end section, (b) top view, and (c) central cross section (HCE, 2005)	193
7.24	A sample ignored slip surface in conventional 3D methods	194
7.25	Cross sectional and 3D view of generated models of T1 and T2	195
7.26	Randomly generated slip surfaces for the first swarm	196
7.27	Process of PSO to achieve the maximum fitness for T1 and T2	197
7.28	Trend of minimizing FOS by PSO for T1 and T2	197
7.29	Critical slip surfaces of (a) T1 and (b) T2 obtained by the present code	198
7.30	Location of the site of case study 2 (sited by red rectangular)	199
7.31	Front view of failed slope (Liew et al., 2003)	200
7.32	Boreholes and Instrumentation for case study 2 (Liew et al., 2003)	201
7.33	Cross section plan of case study 2 (Liew et al., 2003)	202
7.34	Cross section and 3D view of generated model of case study 2	203
7.35	Process of PSO toward maximum fitness for case study 2	204
7.36	Trend of minimizing FOS by PSO for case study 2	204
7.37	Critical slip surfaces of case study 2 (a) cross section and (b) 3D	205

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	-	Two-Dimensional
3D	-	Three-Dimensional
DP	-	Dynamic Programming
FOS	-	Factor of Safety
FEM	-	Finite Element Method
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
KUR	-	Karaj Underground Railway
LEM	-	Limit Equilibrium Method
NATM		New Austrian Tunneling method
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
RNG	-	Random Number Generation
SRM	-	Strength Reduction Method

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	-	direction of sliding on base of column
a'	-	direction of sliding on x-y plane
a_x	-	inclination of column base along x-directions
a_y	-	inclination of column base along y-directions
ac	-	total number of active columns
a_L	-	distance of left side water force from center of rotation
a_R	-	distance of right side water force from center of rotation
A_e	-	percentage of error of area calculation
A_{half}	-	area of half-sphere
$A_{i,j}$	-	base area of column
A_t	-	calculated area of half-sphere by the code
A_L	-	resultant water force at the left side
A_R	-	resultant water force at the right side
b	-	horizontal width of slice
b_c	-	width of tension crack
$bg_{n(i)}$	-	best position in the swarm so far
$b_{i,j}$	-	width of the column on x-y plane
$bn_{m(i)}$	-	best position of m^{th} neighbour of n^{th} particle so far
$bp_{n(i)}$	-	best position of n^{th} particle so far
B	-	height of the side of column in y-direction
c'	-	average effective cohesion of the soil
C'	-	cohesion of the soil in terms of effective stress
d	-	distance of the external linear load from the center of rotation
D	-	height of the side of column in x-direction
D_s	-	dimension of the particles of swarm
e	-	distance of the center of slice from the center of rotation
$e\omega_{i,j}$	-	effective weight of column
E	-	deformation module

E_s	-	normal interslice force
E_x	-	normal intercolumn force in x-direction
E_y	-	normal intercolumn force in y-direction
E_L	-	normal interslice forces at left side of slice
E_R	-	normal interslice forces at right side of slice
F_w	-	horizontal force induced by water in tension crack
f	-	perpendicular distance of normal force and center of rotation
$f_{(x)}$	-	interslice force function of Morgenstern and Price's method
f_1, f_2, f_3	-	unit vectors for base shear force
$f_{(x)}$	-	objective function of optimization techniques
F_{eh}	-	horizontal force induced by earthquake
F_{ehx}	-	horizontal force induced by earthquake in x-direction
F_{ehy}	-	horizontal force induced by earthquake in y-direction
F_{ev}	-	vertical force induced by earthquake
F_m	-	overall moment equation
F_s	-	factor of safety of column
F_{sx}	-	directional factors of safety in x-directions
F_{sy}	-	directional factors of safety in y-directions
F_t	-	overall force equation
Fit	-	fitness value of particles
FOS	-	factor of safety
g_1, g_2, g_3	-	unit vectors for base normal force
G_x	-	normal intercolumn forces along x-directions
G_y	-	vertical shear intercolumn forces along x-directions
G	-	resultant of intercolumn forces along x-directions
h_1	-	height of right side of the column
h_2	-	height of left side of the column
h_c	-	depth of tension crack
$h_{i,j}$	-	central height of column
h	-	thickness soil layers
h_p	-	depth of point from water level
h_x	-	moment arm of normal intercolumn force in x-direction
h_y	-	moment arm of normal intercolumn force in y-direction
h_E	-	height of acting point of normal interslice force
H_u	-	coefficient of inclination of piezometric line

H_x	-	horizontal intercolumn shear force in x-direction
H_y	-	horizontal intercolumn shear force in y-direction
k	-	coefficient of seismic dynamic force
K	-	number of the neighbours in swarm
K_h	-	pseudo-static horizontal seismic coefficient
K_v	-	pseudo-static vertical seismic coefficient
l	-	external linear load on slope
L	-	horizontal length of sliding surface
L_z	-	external vertical load
L_x	-	external horizontal load in x-direction
L_y	-	external horizontal load in y-direction
N	-	total normal force at base of column
N'	-	effective normal force base at base of column
N_s	-	swarm size of particle swarm optimization
N_x, N_y, N_z	-	components of base total normal force in x- y- and z-directions
p	-	horizontal shear intercolumn forces
P	-	total normal force at base of slice
P_v	-	vertical external force
P_x	-	moment of external forces in x-direction
P_y	-	moment of external forces in y-direction
q	-	normal intercolumn forces along z-directions
Q	-	resultant of intercolumn forces
r	-	radius of sphere
r_u	-	pore water ratio
R	-	radius of moment arm of shear force
R_c	-	cohesion part of intercolumn shear force
R_x, R_y, R_z	-	semi-radiuses of ellipsoid in x- y- and z-directions
R_ϕ	-	cohesionless parts of intercolumn shear force
S	-	mobilized shear strength at base of column
S'	-	projection of mobilized shear strength on x-y plane
S_f	-	available shear strength of soil
S_m	-	mobilized shear strength at the base of slice
S_x, S_y, S_z	-	components of base shear strength along x- y- and z- directions
S_{xz}, S_{yz}	-	components of base shear strength in x-z and y-z planes
T	-	base shear strength of column

T_a/F	-	resultant of resisting forces
$T_{x,y}$	-	resultant of base shear strength in x-y plane
T_z	-	shear strength along z-direction
u_a	-	pore air pressure
u_w	-	pore water pressure
$u(0, \vartheta)$	-	vectors of random numbers for velocity equation of swarm
U	-	pore water force at the base of column
v	-	velocity of particle
V_e	-	percentage of error of volume calculation
V_{half}	-	volume of half-sphere
V	-	vertical intercolumn shear force
V_t	-	calculated volume of half-sphere by the code
w	-	inclination of the external linear load
W	-	weight of column
x_h	-	horizontal distance of center of slice and center of rotation
x_v	-	design variables in optimization techniques
x_{vmin}	-	lower bound of design variables in optimization techniques
x_{vmax}	-	upper bound of design variables in optimization techniques
x	-	position of particle in article swarm optimization
X_s	-	vertical interslice shear force
X_c, Y_c, Z_c	-	coordinates of center of ellipsoid in x- y- and z-directions
X_v	-	vector of design variables in optimization techniques
X_x	-	vertical intercolumn shear force in x-direction
X_y	-	vertical intercolumn shear forces in y-direction
X	-	vertical intercolumn shear forces
X_R	-	interslice shear forces at right side of slice
X_L	-	interslice shear forces at left side of the slice
α_s	-	inclination of base of slice
α_1	-	inclinations of the left side of column base
α_2	-	inclinations of the right side of column base
α	-	projection of direction of sliding at base of column
α'_i	-	direction of sliding on x-y plane
α_{xz}	-	inclination of column base along x-direction
α_{yz}	-	inclination of column base along y-direction
β	-	angle of resultant intercolumn force along x-direction

ν	-	poisson's ratio
γ_w	-	unit weight of water
γ_t	-	total unit weight of overburden
γ	-	unit weight of soil layer
γ_s	-	saturated unit weight of soil layer
δ	-	angle of resultant interslice forces in Spencer's method
Δx	-	width of the column in x-direction
Δy	-	width of the column in y-direction
ΔE_x	-	resultant normal intercolumn forces in x-direction
ΔE_y	-	resultant normal intercolumn forces in y-direction
ΔH_x	-	resultant horizontal intercolumn shear forces in x-direction
ΔH_y	-	resultant horizontal intercolumn shear forces in y-direction
ΔX_y	-	resultant vertical intercolumn shear forces in y-direction
ΔX_x	-	resultant vertical intercolumn shear forces in x-direction
ε	-	required accuracy of result
θ	-	constant in velocity equation of particle swarm optimization
ϕ'	-	angle of internal friction of soil in terms of effective stress
θ	-	angle between sides of column on base plane
θ_{xy}	-	rotation angle of ellipsoid in x-y plane
κ_x	-	total external forces in x-direction
κ_y	-	total external forces in y-direction
λ	-	coefficient of interslice force function
ξ	-	constant multiplier in velocity equation of swarm
ρ	-	direction of shear strength at base of column
σ_n	-	total normal stress
σ'	-	effective normal stress
χ	-	coefficient related to degree of saturation
ψ_x	-	inclination between action points of normal intercolumn forces
ψ_y	-	inclination between action points of normal intercolumn forces
ω	-	inertia weight of particles in velocity equation
[]	-	calculator of integer part

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Equations of Three-Dimensional Methods of Slope Stability	227
B	Derivation of Direction of Sliding and Force Components	231
C	Derivation of Directional Factors of Safety	235
D	Detail Results of Verification Problem 1	237
E	Detail Results of Verification Problem 2	239
F	Details of Validation Case 1	244
G	Coding of the Study	251
H	List of Publications	315

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The stability of slopes is always an important concern in geotechnical engineering. Limit equilibrium method is commonly used in slope stability analysis (Morgenstern and Price, 1965; Fredlund and Krahn, 1977; Baker, 1980; Chen and Chameau, 1982; Fredlund, 1984; Ugai, 1995; Yu *et al.*, 1998; Kim *et al.*, 2002; Krahn, 2003; Cheng *et al.*, 2008; Askari and Farzaneh, 2008; Sun *et al.*, 2012; and Hongjun and Longtan, 2011). This method is established based on the principles of kinematics that does not consider displacement within the soil mass. Consequently, a kinematically admissible sliding surface is assumed for the failure. The soil mass above the sliding surface is considered a free body. Then disturbing and resisting forces along the sliding surface are estimated by using static equilibriums of force, moment, or both within the failure mass. It is assumed that the free body of the soil approaches the failure condition by reducing strength with a factor that is called the factor of safety (FOS). Although this solution provides quantitative information regarding the stability of slope as FOS, it is based on an assumed failure mass. Therefore, it has to be repeated for a number of probable slip surfaces to find the minimum FOS that is related to the critical slip surface. This surface is theoretically the critical slip surface, but the real failure surface may differ if the sliding occurs. This difference depends on how precisely the real slope idealized and what assumptions were used in the analysis.

The major classifications of slope stability analysis are 2D and 3D methods. In order to analyze the stability of a slope, 2D methods use its critical 2D section as a plain-strain problem, while 3D methods utilize its 3D model by using an appropriate mesh. These methods have similarities and differences in their basic theories and assumptions regarding the shape of the slip surface and dealing with the internal forces. The method of slices as a limit equilibrium method is commonly used to analyze complicated condition of 2D slopes. The equivalent of these methods in 3D analyses is called the method of columns. Since the internal forces of the failure mass are mainly unknown in this method, usually the problem becomes statically indeterminate. In this situation, the number of available equations to solve the problem is less than the number of unknowns. In order to overcome the mentioned indeterminacy, several assumptions and principles are applied in formulation of the limit equilibrium analysis. These assumptions are mainly related to determination of the shape and position of the sliding surface, and the magnitude, direction, and action point of the forces inside the sliding mass. The difference in theory behind the slope stability methods along with the applied assumption makes different processes to analyze the stability of slopes.

A number of 3D methods have established to consider the third dimension of slopes after the method of Anagnosti was proposed in 1969. However, the majority of them are limited in practice because of assumptions and limitations. A major assumption that is commonly made in 3D slope stability analyses is assuming a plane of symmetry for the sliding mass (Hovland, 1977; Chen and Chameau, 1983; Dennhardt and Forster, 1985; Leshchinsky et al., 1985; Ugai, 1985; Leshchinsky and Baker, 1986; Baker and Leshchinsky, 1987; Hungr, 1987; Leshchinsky and Mullet, 1988; Ugai, 1988; Xing, 1988; Hungr *et al.*, 1989; Leshchinsky and Huang, 1992; Cavounidis and Kalogeropoulos, 1992; Lam and Fredlund, 1993; and Jiang and Yamagami, 2004). Those methods that use the plane of symmetry assume the direction of sliding and consider only half of the sliding mass.

It is expected that the sliding mass move in a cross-sectional direction in symmetric slopes, but there is no guarantee to find the direction of sliding in asymmetric slopes without precise calculation. Consequently, the application of a

large number of 3D methods is limited to symmetric problems. In order to generalize the application of 3D methods some researchers have tried to find the real sliding direction in asymmetric problems (Yamagami and Jiang, 1996 and 1997; Huang and Tsai, 2000; Huang *et al.*, 2002; and Cheng and Yip, 2007). However, these methods still have limitations in basic theories and practice.

Accordingly, the slope stability analysis within the framework of limit equilibrium needs to determine the critical slip surface. Although many 2D studies were involved with the 2D critical slip surface, only a few well-known studies tried to find the 3D critical slip surface (e.g. Yamagami and Jiang; 1997; Jiang, Yamagami, and Baker, 2003; Mowen, 2004; and Mowen *et al.*, 2011). All these studies still have limitations and assumptions in their objective functions and/or in the applied searching techniques that are discussed further. Consequently, an effective method is required to be able to find the 3D critical slip surface.

1.2 Statement of the Problems

The slope stability is applied in 2D and 3D analyses; both of which have shortcoming in modelling the real slope and sliding procedure. Two-dimensional analyses mainly simplify the real condition of the slope. As a mutual assumption, all 2D methods consider infinite width for the slope. The significance of this assumption is to reduce the unknowns related to the third dimension of the slope and simplify the calculations.

In reality, natural slopes are generally limited in the third dimension and performing a 3D analysis best presents the longitudinal changes of the slip surface, especially when the geometry of the failure mass is complex. Consequently, the results of 2D methods may largely differ from the real condition. Overestimated and underestimated results as the probable outcomes of using inappropriate method may cause economic and safety issues. These issues are more significant when asymmetric slopes with complex geometries or failure mechanisms are considered by 2D methods. Moreover, the real direction and shape of sliding is not determinable in

2D analysis. Although, some quasi-3D approximately evaluates the 3D FOS based on 2D factors, the accuracy of these methods is not guaranteed (Chen *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, performing 3D analysis provides closer results to the actual condition than 2D approaches.

The mentioned inadequacies of 2D analysis were partially solved by some existing 3D slope stability analyses. However, many of the available methods cannot provide an appropriate 3D model in asymmetric slopes, due to their assumptions and simplifications. In addition, the existing 3D methods did not offer a well-defined process to find the direction of sliding. Moreover, an effective search strategy is still required to determine the 3D critical slip surface.

Researchers avoid using 3D analysis because it is difficult to consider complex analytic equations, determine the static condition of the problem, and find the 3D critical slip surface. In order to overcome the mentioned problems, the limitations of the existing methods have to be removed to make 3D analysis feasible for slopes stability analysis. The main constraints of existing limit equilibrium methods in analyzing the 3D slopes are maintaining the required accuracy in calculation, calculating the direction of sliding, making an appropriate model of the real slope, and applying an effective search technique to find the 3D critical slip surface. Limit equilibrium method contributes to the establishment of a stability analysis technique. An optimization process can help to determine the position of 3D critical slip surface. This research attempted to eliminate the mentioned limitations by developing a 3D limit equilibrium method, a PSO technique, and a computer code. The proposed 3D method calculated the 3D FOS and direction of sliding. The developed PSO technique determined the 3D critical slip surface. Lastly, the developed computer code modeled the 3D slope and performed the calculations.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The present study was aimed at performing 3D slope stability analysis based on limit equilibrium method by using PSO. In line with the main goals of the research, the following are the objectives of the study:

- i. To determine the limitations of the existing three-dimensional slope stability analyses based on limit equilibrium methods
- ii. To develop the equation of three-dimensional factor of safety based on limit equilibrium method
- iii. To develop a particle swarm optimization to determine the three-dimensional critical slip surface
- iv. To establish a computer code to model three-dimensional slopes and perform the calculations of the slope stability analysis
- v. To verify and validate the performance of the present study

1.4 Research Questions

In order to reach the objectives of the present research, the research questions of the study were defined as following:

- i. What are the limitations of existing three-dimensional slope stability analyses based on limit equilibrium method?
- ii. How to develop the equation of three-dimensional factor of safety based on limit equilibrium method?
- iii. How to develop a particle swarm optimization to find the three-dimensional critical slip surface?
- iv. How to establish a computer code to model three-dimensional slopes and perform the calculations of the slope stability analysis?
- v. How to verify and validate the performance of the present study?

1.5 Significance of the Study

In many cases of construction in urban areas or on industrial fields, the whole project or a part of it has to be established on top of slope, on slope, or at the toe of the slope. Consequently, the construction, design, remediation, and maintenance of slopes have always been important to geotechnical engineers. On the other hand, the importance of study on the stability of slopes is engaged with the safety and economic aspects of human life. Over the past decades, the frequency and consequences of landslides has increased significantly and this trend continues (Petely *et al.*, 2005; Petely, 2012). In addition to enormous economic losses resulting from slope failures and landslides, considerable loss of human life and injury also occur as a result of this events. Landslides were reported responsible for more than 100,000 deaths for the period of 1980 to 2000 for the main continental area (Petely *et al.*, 2005). Moreover, based on the recent published information more than 32,000 people lost their lives around the world only in the period of 2004 to 2010 as the direct act of landslides (Petley, 2012). With increasing frequency and adverse impact of slope failures, the increasing requirement of better understanding of hilly urban areas and constructions related to slopes is revealed.

Since the shape of slopes are naturally asymmetric, 2D analyses have to simplify the real condition. This simplification is not satisfactory in many cases, especially when a slope with structural asymmetry is considered. In order to perform realistic analysis of these cases, three-dimensional slope stability studies are needed. Furthermore, finding the critical slip surface is an important task in a 3D analysis, because it defines the location and shape of the probable failure mass. Defining this surface can lead to estimate of the most hazardous area of the slope. Another important issue in stability analysis of the slope that is confined to 3D analyses is the calculation of direction of sliding that determines the direction of probable failure. The present research sets its goals to prepare a realistic model of 3D slopes, find the direction of sliding together with the corresponding 3D FOS, and determine the 3D critical slip surface of the slopes.

The outcomes of the present study help to better understanding the behavior of soil slopes. This is also possible to find the probable instability of a 3D slope. These significances can avoid the consequences of overestimated and underestimated results in geotechnical designing or assessing of a slope that are the main causes of economic lost and safety issues. Therefore, two direct benefits that can be achieved from the results of this research are preventing the unnecessary stabilization costs and enhancing the safety related to the slope environment.

1.6 Scope of the Study

In order to improve the 3D equation of FOS, this study choses limit equilibrium method as the most common method among all soil slope stability methods to focus on. Some of the reasons of this selection are as follows:

- i. Limit equilibrium method is able to consider almost all of the engaged conditions in a slope stability problem such as external and internal forces of the soil mass, pore water pressure, and multi layered slopes (Morgenstern and Price, 1965).
- ii. Limit equilibrium method has a simple theoretical approach that considers the major effective factors on the shearing resistance and is reliable in modelling the practical cases (Fredlund and Krahn, 1977; Chen and Chameau, 1982; and Askari and Farzaneh, 2008).
- iii. The results of limit equilibrium method are similar to the results of more rigorous methods, while the required input parameters are reduced and achieved much easier than the mentioned methods (Spencer, 1967; Wright, Kulhawy, and Duncan, 1973; Spencer, 1973; Yu *et al.*, 1998; Duncan, 1996; Hongjun and Longtan, 2011).

The scope of this research is limited to the soil slopes with both homogeneous and layered material; however, the present method may be useful in analyzing other soil-alike materials. The linear Mohr-Coulomb failure criteria was adopted to model the behavior of material at the verge of failure. Moreover, the

linear relation of Terzaghi (1936) was adopted to determine effective stresses on the sliding surface. Consequently, unsaturated soils and nonlinear relationships of effective stresses was excluded from the scope of this research. The main failure mechanism of the present study is rotational. A 3D ellipsoid slip surface was adopted that includes the spherical shape as a specific condition. However, a cylindrical slip surface also employed as a part of this study. The procedure of finding the critical slip surface was established based on advance searching optimization techniques. A particle swarm optimization was developed and employed as a global search technique to find the critical slip surface. Finally, Matlab coding language was used in this research due to its capabilities as:

- i. Using matrix based calculation that saves the calculation time
- ii. Providing great graphical tools to better understanding the results
- iii. Providing powerful graphic user interface (GUI) to input data
- iv. Providing advanced geometrical and mathematical functions
- v. Co working with other databases such as Microsoft Excel to manage data

1.7 Expected Outcomes

The main outcomes of the present study are expected as following:

- i. An equation of factor of safety based on limit equilibrium method that is able to calculate the unique direction of sliding and factor of safety of 3D slopes.
- ii. A searching process based on particle swarm optimization that is able to find the critical slip surface of 3D slopes.
- iii. A computer program that is able to model 3D slopes and perform the calculations of factor of safety and critical slip surface.

1.8 Limitations of the Study

There were some unavoidable limitations to carry out the present research. Firstly, an elastic perfectly plastic behavior was adopted for the soil materials so the linear Mohr-Coulomb failure criteria were used to determine the shear strength on the slip surface. Secondly, the framework of limit equilibrium method was applied in the analysis, thus the failure mass was considered as a rigid body and the static equilibriums were used to establish the 3D equation of factor of safety. The third limitation was related to the modelling of the slope. The method of columns with a square grid was employed in the present study to discretize the sliding mass. Therefore, the 3D failure mass was simulated by vertical columns.

As the next limitation, soil materials were assumed saturated by Terzaghi's (1936) linear equation of effective stress. Consequently, the effects of negative pore pressure and nonlinear equations of effective stresses for unsaturated soils were not included in the present study. Moreover, a hydrostatic water condition was assumed to include the pore water pressure in the analysis, so the flow of moisture was not considered. The fifth limitation was related to the materials of the slope. Although the present study was able to handle different soil layers including weak layer and bedrock, an isotropic and homogeneous condition was assumed for the behavior of layers of the slope profile.

Finally, the mechanism of the failure was limited to 3D rotational or complex rotational surfaces, so the translational sliding mode was not in the scope of the analysis. Although a general ellipsoid shape was used in the analysis, other rotational slip surfaces were also applicable within the framework of the present study.

1.9 Definition of Terms

To provide a basis for discussion, following definitions are used in this study:

- i. Method of slices: This method divides the sliding mass into a number of vertical slices to establish the equation of factor of safety.
- ii. Method of columns: This method can be considered as an extension of method of slices into the third dimension that discretizes the failure mass into a number of columns.
- iii. Plane of symmetry: A hypothetical vertical plane that divides the sliding mass into two symmetric parts.
- iv. Graphical user interface: A graphic interface that is used to connect the computer code and the user.
- v. Optimization technique: A process that uses different search techniques among a series of probable answers to find the best suitable solution for a problem.

REFERENCES

- Abramson, L., Lee, T., Sharma, S., and Boyce, G. (2001). *Slope Stability and Stabilization Methods*. New York, USA: John Wiley and Sons.
- Alkasawneh, W., Malkawi, A., Nusairat, J., and Albataineh, N. (2008). A Comparative Study of Various Commercially Available Programs in Slope Stability Analysis. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 35: 428-435.
- Anagnosti, P. (1969). Three dimensional stability of fill dams. *Proceeding of 7th. International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*. Mexico: NRCan Library. 275-280
- Askari, F., and Farzaneh, O. (2008). Pore Water Pressure in Three Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis. *International Journal of Civil Engineering*, 6(1): 10-23.
- Azzouz, A., and Baligh, M. (1978). *Three-dimensional Stability of Slopes*. Alexandria, VA, USA: National Technical Information Service.
- Azzouz, A., and Baligh, M. (1983). Loaded Areas on Cohesive Slopes. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering Division*, 109(5): 724-729.
- Azzouz, A., Baligh, M., and Ladd, C. (1981). Three Dimensional Stability Analysis of Four Embankment Failures. *Proc., 10th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Found. Engrg.*, Rotterdam, Netherland, 343-346.
- Baker, R. (1980). Determination of the Critical Slip Surface in Slope Stability Computation. *International Journal of Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 4(4): 333-359.
- Baker, R., and Leshchinsky, D. (1987). Stability Analysis of Conical Heaps. *Soils and Foundations*, 27(4): 99-110.
- Baligh, M., and Azzouz, A. (1975). End Effects on Stability of Cohesive Slopes. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering Division*, 101(11): 1105-1117.

- Baligh, M., Azzouz, A., and Ladd, C. (1977). Line Loads on Cohesive Slopes. *Proc., 9th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Found. Engrg.* Tokyo, Japan: Japan Soc. for Soil Mechanics and Found. Engrg, 13-17.
- Bishop, A. (1959). The Principle of Effective stress. *Teknisk Ukeblad*, 106(39): 859-863.
- Bishop, A. W. (1955). The Use of The Slip Circle in the Stability Analysis of Earth Slope. *Geotechnique*, 5(1): 7-17.
- Bishop, A., and Blight, G. (1963). Some Aspects of Effective Stress in Saturated and Unsaturated Soils. *Geotechnique*, 13: 177-197.
- Blake, T. (2000). *Recommended Procedures for Implementation of DMG Special Publication 117 Guidelines for Analyzing and Mitigating Landslide Hazards in California*. Los Angeles, California: American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE).
- Bolton, H., Heymann, G., and Greonwold, A. (2003). Global Search for Critical Surface in Slope Stability Analysis. *Engineering Optimization*, 35(1): 51-65.
- Cavounidis, S. (1987). On the Ratio of Factor of Safety in Slope Stability Analyses. *Geotechnique*, 37(2): 207-210.
- Cavounidis, S., and Kalogeropoulos, H. (1992). End Effects on the Stability of Cuts in Normally Consolidated Clays. *Rivista Italiana Gi Geotecnica*, 2: 85-93.
- Chang, M. (2005). Three-dimensional stability analysis of the Kettleman Hills landfill slope failure. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 32(8): 587-599.
- Chen, J., Wang, X. G., and Lee, C. F. (2005). A Three-Dimensional Upper Bound Approach to Slope Stability Analysis using Rigid Finite Elements: Improved, Verification and Application. *Geotechnique*, 55(7): 547-556.
- Chen, R., and Chameau, J. (1983a). Three-dimensional Limit Equilibrium Analysis of slopes. *Geotechnique*, 32(1): 31-40.
- Chen, R., and Chameau, J. (1983b). Discussion Three-dimensional Limit Equilibrium Analysis of Slopes. *Geotechnique*, 33(1): 215-216.
- Chen, W. (2008). *Limit Analysis and Soil Placticity*. 3rd. ed. USA. J.Ross Publishing.
- Chen, W., and Chen, P. (2011). PSOslope: A Stand-Alone Windows Application for Graphic Analysis of Slope Stability. *Advances in Swarm Optimization, Second International Conference, ICSI 2011*. Part 1., Chongqing, China: Springle, 56-63

- Chen, Z. (2007). The Limit Analysis in Soil and Rock: A Mature Discipline of Geomechanics. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science A*, 8(11): 1712-1724.
- Chen, Z. Y., and Morgenstern, N. R. (1983). Extensions to the generalized method of slices for stability analysis. *Canadian geotechnical Journal*, 20(1): 104-119.
- Chen, Z. Y., Yin, J. H., and Wang, Y. J. (2006). The Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis: Recent Advances and a Forward Look, *Advances in Earth Structures: Reseach to Practice*, 151:42. ASCE.
- Chen, Z., and Shao, C. (1988). Evaluation of Minimum Factor of Safety in Slope Stability Analysis. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 25(4): 735-748.
- Chen, Z., Mi, H., Zhang, F., and Wang, X. (2003). A Simplified Method for 3D Slope Stability Analysis. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 40: 675-683.
- Chen, Z., Wang, X., Haberfield, C., Yin, J., and Wang, J. (2001). A Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis Method Using The Upper Bound Theorem, Part I: Theory and Methods. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 38: 369-378.
- Cheng, Y. M. (2003). Location of critical failure surface and some further studies on slope stability analysis. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 30: 255-267.
- Cheng, Y. M., Li, L., Chi, S. C., and Wei, W. B. (2007a). Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm for the Location of the Critical Non-circular Failure in Two-dimensional Slope Stability Analysis. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 34(2): 92-103.
- Cheng, Y. M., Li, L., and Chi, S. C. (2007b). Performance Studies on Six Heuristic Global Optimization Methods in the Location of Critical Slip Surface. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 34: 462-484.
- Cheng, Y. M., Liang, L., Chi, S. C., and Wei, W. B. (2008). Determination of the Critical Slip Surface Using Artificial Fish Swarms Algorithm. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 134(2): 244-251.
- Cheng, Y., and Lau, C. (2008). *Slope Stability analysis and Stabilization, New Methods and Insight*. Abingdom, Routledge: Taylor and Francis Group.
- Cheng, Y., and Yip, C. (2007). Three-Dimensional Asymmetrical Sloe Stability Analysis Extension of Bishop's, Janbu's, and Morgenstern-Price's Techniques. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 133(12): 1544-1555.

- Cheng, Y., Liu, H., Wei, W., and Au, S. (2005). Location of Critical Three-Dimensional Non-Spherical Failure Surface by NURBS Function and Ellipsoid with Application to Highway Slopes. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 32: 387-399.
- Chowdhury, R., Flentje, P., and Bhattacharya, G. (2010). *Geotechnical Slope Stability*. London, UK: Taylor and Francis Group.
- Clerc, M. (2011). *Standard Particle Swarm Optimisation, From 2006 to 2011*. Unpublished note
- Clerc, M., and Kennedy, J. (2002). The Particle Swarm-Explosion, Stability, and Convergence in a Multi-dimensional Complex Space. *IEEE Transaction on Evolutionary Computation*, 6(1): 58-73.
- Clow, B., and White, T. (2004). An Evolutionary Race: A Comparison of Genetic Algorithm and Particle Swarm Optimization for Training Neural Networks. *Proceeding of the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IC-AI'04*. Part 2, CSREA Press, 582-588.
- Dennhardt, M., and Forster, W. (1985). Problems of Three Dimensional Slope Stability. *Proceeding of the 11th International Conference in Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*. Part 2, San Francisco, 427-431.
- DKPCE, D. K. (2005). *Geological Report of Line 2 of Karaj Urban Railway*. karaj: Karaj Urban & Suburban Railway Organization.
- Dongfang, T., Lianming, X., and Shimei, W. (2009). The Application of Particle Swarm Optimization on the Search of Critical Slip Surface. *International Conference on Information Engineering and Computer Science (ICIECS 2009)*, Wuhan: China, 1-4.
- Dorigo, M., Maniezzo, V., and Colorni, A. (1996). Ant System: Optimization by a Colony of Cooperating Agents. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, Part B, 26(1): 29-41.
- Duncan, J. M. (1996). State of The Art: Limit Equilibrium and Finite-Element Analysis of Slopes. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 557-596.
- Duncan, J., and Wright, S. (2005). *Soil Strength and Slope Stability*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Eberhart, R., and Kennedy, J. (1995). A New Optimizer Using Particle Swarm Theory. *Proceeding of the Sixth International Symposium on Micro Machine and Human Science Nagoya, Japan: IEEE*, 39-43.

- Eberhart, R., and Shi, Y. (2000). Comparing Inertia Weights and Constriction Factors in Particle Swarm Optimization. *Proceeding of the IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, San Diego, CA: IEEE, 84-88.
- Eberhart, R., and Shi, Y. (2001). Tracking and Optimizing Dynamic System with Particle Swarms. *Proceeding of the IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*, Seoul, Korea: IEEE, 94-100.
- Fellenius, W. (1936). Calculation of the Stability of Earth Dams. *Proceeding of the Second Congress on Large Dams*, Washington, DC, 445-463.
- Fellenius, W. K. (1927). *Erdstatische Berechnungen mit Reibung und Kohäsion (Adhäsion) und unter Annahme kreiszylindrischer Gleitflächen*. Berlin: W. Ernest and Sohn.
- Fisher, M. (2007). *Software Verification and Validation: An Engineering and Scientific Approach*. USA: Springer.
- Fredlund, D. G. (1984). Analytical Methods for Slope Stability Analysis. *Proceeding of the Fourth International Symposium on Landslides, State-of-the-Art*. Toronto, Canada, 229-250.
- Fredlund, D. G., and Krahn, J. (1977). Comparison of slope stability methods of analysis. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 16: 121-139.
- Fredlund, D. G., and Barbour, S. (1986). The Prediction of Pore-Pressure for Slope Stability Analyses. *Slope Stability Seminar, April 28-29*, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada: University of Saskatchewan, 1-33.
- Gens, A., Hutchinson, J., and Cavounidis, S. (1988). Three-dimensional Analysis of Slides in Cohesive Soils. *Geotechnique*, 38(1): 1-23.
- Gitirana, G. J., Santos, M. A., and Fredlund, M. (2008). Three-Dimensional Analysis of the Lodalen Landslide. *GeoCongress 2008*. New Orleans, LA, USA: 9-12.
- Glover, F. (1977). Heuristics for Integer Programming Using Surrogate Constraints. *Decision Science*, 8(1): 156-166.
- Goh, A. T. (1999). Genetic Algorithm Search for Critical Slip Surface in Multiple-wedge Stability Analysis. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 36(2): 382-391.
- Goh, A. T. (2000). Search for Critical Slip Circle Using Genetic Algorithms. *Civil Engineering and Environmental Systems*, 17(3): 181-211.
- Goldberg, D. E. (1989). *Genetic Algorithms in Search Optimization and Machine Learning*. MA: Addison-Wesley Professional.

- Goshtasbi, K., Ataei, M., and Kalatehjary, R. (2008). Slope Modification of Open Pit Wall Using a Genetic Algorithm- Case Study: Southern Wall of the 6th Golbini Jajarm Bauxite Mine. *Journal of The Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy*, 108: 651-656.
- Greco, V. (1996). Efficient Monte Carlo Technique for Locating Critical Slip Surface. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 122(7): 517-525.
- Griffith, D., and Lane, P. (1999). Slope Stability Analysis by Finite Element Methods. *Geotechnique*, 49: 387-403.
- Hansen, P., and Mladenovic, N. (2001). Variable Neighbourhood Search. *European Journal of operational Research*, 130: 449-467.
- Hassan, R., Cohanin, B., and Weck, O. (2005). A Comparison of Particle Swarm Optimization and the Genetic Algorithm . *Proceeding of the 46th Structural Dynamics and Materials Conference*.
- HCE, H. C. (2005). *The Properties of Access Trenches of Phase1, Line 2 of Karaj Urban Railway*. Karaj: Karaj Urban & Suburban Railway Organization.
- HCE-HPCE, H. C. (2010). *Line 2 project Information of Karaj Urban & Suburban Railway*. Karaj: Karaj Urban & Suburban Railway Organization.
- Hodgson, R. (2002). Particle Swarm Optimization Applied to the Atomic Cluster Optimization Problem. *Proceeding of the 2002 Conference on Genetic and evolutionary Computation, GECCO'02*, 68-73.
- Holland, J. H. (1975). *Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems: an introductory analysis with applications to biology, control, and artificial intelligence*. Michigan: University of Michigan Press.
- Hongjun, L., and Longtan, S. (2011). Three-Dimensional Finite Element Limit Equilibrium Method for Slope Stability Analysis Based on the Unique Sliding Direction. *Geotechnical Special Publication*, 216: 48-55.
- Hovland, H. (1977). Three-dimensional Slope Stability Analysis Method. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering Division*, 103(9): 971-986.
- Hu, H., Yao, L., and Dong, M. (2011). Application of accelerating genetic algorithm combined with golden section in slope stability analysis. In M. Cai, and J. A. Wang, *Boundaries of Rock Mechanics, Recent Advances and Challenges for the 21st Century*, London, UK: Taylor and Francis Group, 477-482.

- Huang, C. C., and Tsai, C. C. (2000). New Method for 3D and Asymmetrical Slope Stability Analysis. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 126(10): 917-927.
- Huang, C. C., Tsai, C. C., and Chen, Y. H. (2002). Generalized Method for Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 128(10): 836-848.
- Hungr, O. (1987). An Extension of Bishop's Simplified Method of Slope Stability Analysis to Three Dimensions. *Geotechnique*, 37(1): 113-117.
- Hungr, O. (1994). Discussion: A general limit equilibrium model for three-dimensional slope stability analysis. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 31: 793-795.
- Hungr, O., Salgado, F., and Byrne, P. (1989). Evaluation of a Three-Dimensional Method of Slope Stability Analysis. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 26: 679-686.
- Hutchinson, J., and Sarma, S. (1985). Discussion on Three-Dimensional Limit Equilibrium Analysis of Slopes. *Geotechnique*, 35(2): 215-216.
- Janbu, N. (1954). Application of composite Slip Surface for Stability Analysis. *Proceeding of the Conference on Stability of Earth Slopes*, Part. 3, Stockholm, Sweden, 43-49
- Janbu, N. (1957). Earth Pressure and Bearing Capacity Calculations by Generalized Procedure of Slices. *The Proceeding of the fourth International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, London, 207-212.
- Janbu, N. (1973). Slope Stability Computations. In R. Hirschfeld, and S. Poulos. *Embankment Dam Engineering*, The Casagrande: 47-86.
- Janbu, N., Bjerrum, L., and Kjarnsli, B. (1956). *Stabilitetsberegning for Fyllinger Skjaeringer og Naturlige Skraninger*. Oslo, Norway: Norwegian Geotechnical Publication.
- Jiang, J. C., and Yamagami, T. (2002). Discussion of "Generalized Method for Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis" by Ching-Chuan Huang, Cheng-Chen Tsai, and Yu-Hong Chen. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 128(10): 227-229.
- Jiang, J. C., and Yamagami, T. (2004). Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis Using an Extended Spencer Method. *Journal of the Japanese Geotechnical Society of Soils and Foundations*, 44(4): 127-135.

- Jiang, J. C., Yamagami, T., and Baker, R. (2003). Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis Based on Nonlinear Failure Envelope. *Chinese Journal of Rock Mechanics and Engineering*, 22(6): 1017-1023.
- Jianping, S., Jiachun, L., and Qingquan, L. (2008). Search for Critical Slip Surface in Slope Stability Analysis by Spline-Based GA Method. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 134(2): 252-256.
- Jonson, S., and Middendorf, M. (2005). A Hierarchical Particle Swarm Optimizer and its Adaptive Variant. *IEEE Transactions on System, Man, and Cybernetics*, 35(6): 1272-1282.
- Josselin, G. (1981). A Variational Fallacy. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 31(2): 289-290.
- Kahatadeniya, K., Nanakorn, P., and Neaupane, K. (2009). Determination of the Critical Failure Surface for Slope Stability Analysis Using Ant Colony. *Engineering Geology*, 108: 133-141.
- Kayadelen, C. (2008). Estimation of Effective Stress parameter of Unsaturated Soils by Using Artificial Neural Networks. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 32(9): 1087-1106.
- Kennedy, J., and Clerc, M. (2006). *Standard PSO 2006*. Unpublishe note, Retrieved from Standard-PSO-2006.c.
- Kennedy, J., and Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle Swarm Optimization. *IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks*. Part. 4, Perth, WA , Australia: IEEE, 1942-1948.
- Khajehzadeh, M., Taha, M. R., El-Shafie, A., and Eslami, M. (2011). Search for critical failure surface in slope stability analysis by gravitational search algorithm. *International Journal of the Physical Sciences*, 6(21): 5012-5021.
- Kim, J., Salgado, R., & Lee, J. (2002). Stability Analysis of Complex Soil Slopes using Limit Analysis. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 128(7): 546-557.
- Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt, C., and Vecchi, M. (1983). Optimization by Simulated Annealing. *Science*, 220(4598): 671-680.
- Kitagawa, S., Takenaka, M., and Fukuyama, Y. (2004). Recent Optimization Techniques and Applications to Customer Solutions. *Fuji Electric Journal*, 77(2): 137-141.

- Kopacsy, J. (1957). Three-dimensional Stress Distribution and Slip Surfaces in Earth Works at Rupture. *Proc. of the 4th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Found. Eng.*, London, England, 339-342.
- Lam, L., and Fredlund, D. (1993). A General Limit Equilibrium Model for Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 30: 905-919.
- Lam, L., and Fredlund, D. (1994). A General Limit Equilibrium Model For Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis: Reply. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 31: 795-796.
- Landau, R. (1960). Mathematical Expression for the Circular Arc Method of Stability Analysis. *Highway Research Board Bulletin*, 236: 39-68.
- Leshchinsky, D. (1988). Discussion: Three-Dimensional Stability Analysis of Concave Slopes in Plan View. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 116(2): 342-345.
- Leshchinsky, D. (1990). Slope Stability Analysis: Generalized Approach. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 116(5): 851-866.
- Leshchinsky, D., and Baker, R. (1986). Three-dimensional Slope Stability: End Effects. *Soils and Foundations*, 26(4): 98-110.
- Leshchinsky, D., and Huang, C. C. (1992a). Generalized Slope Stability Analysis: Interpretation, Modification, and Comparison. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 118(10): 1559-1576.
- Leshchinsky, D., and Huang, C. C. (1992b). Generalized Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 118(11): 1748-1764.
- Leshchinsky, D., and Mullett, T. (1988a). Design Charts for Vertical Cuts. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 114(3): 337-344.
- Leshchinsky, D., and Mullett, T. (1988b). Stability of Vertical Corner Cuts. *Proceeding of the Sixth International Conference on Numerical Methods in Geomechanics*, Innsbruck, Austria: AA Balkema, 1249-1256.
- Leshchinsky, D., Baker, R., and Silver, M. (1985). Three Dimensional Analysis of Slope Stability. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, 9: 199-223.

- Li, L., and Chu, X. S. (2010). An Improved Swarm Optimization Algorithm with Harmony Strategy for the Location of Critical Slip Surface of Slopes. *China Ocean Engineering*, 25(2): 357-364.
- Li, L., Yu, G., Lu, S., Wang, G., and Chu, X. (2009). An Improved Harmony Search Algorithm for the Location of Critical Slip Surfaces in Slope Stability Analysis, *ICIC'09 Proceedings of the Intelligent Computing 5th International Conference on Emerging Intelligent Computing Technology and Applications*, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 215-229.
- Li, Y., Cheng, Y., Zhan, L. T., Ling, D., and Cleall, P. J. (2010). An Efficient Approach for Locating the Critical Slip Surface in Slope Stability Analyses Using a Real Coded Genetic Algorithm. *Canadian geotechnical Journal*, 47: 806-820.
- Liang, J., and Suganthan, P. (2005). Dynamic Multiswarm Particle Swarm Optimizer (DMS-PSO). *Proceeding of the IEEE Swam Intelligence Symposium (SIS)*, Piscataway: IEEE, 124-129.
- Liew, S. S., Gue, S. S. and Liong, C. H., (2003), Geotechnical Investigation and Monitoring Results of a Landslide Failure at Southern Peninsula Malaysia, Part 1: Investigating Causes of Failure, *International Conference on Slope Engineering*, Hong Kong.
- Lin, M., Tsai, J., and Yu, C. (2012). A Review of Deterministic Optimization Methods in Engineering and Management. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2012: 1-15.
- Lin, S., and Kernighan, B. (1973). An Effective Heuristic Algorithm for the Travelling Salesman Problem. *Operational Research*, 21: 498-516.
- Loehr, J. E., McCoy, F. B., and Wright, G. S. (2004). Quasi-Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Analysis Method for General Sliding Bodies. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 130(6): 551-560.
- Malkawi, A. I., Waleed, F. H., and Sarma, S. K. (2001, August). Global Search Method for Locating General Slip Surface Using Monte Carlo Techniques. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 127(8): 688-698.
- Matsui, T., and San, K. (1999). Finite Element Slope Stability Analysis by Shear Strength Reduction Technique. *Soil Foundation*, 32: 59-70.

- McCombie, P. (2009). Critical failure Surface Location using Simple Genetic Algorithm and Multiple Wedge Slope Stability. *First International Symposium on Computational Geomechanics*. 2009-04-29 - 2009-05-01. Juan-les-Pins.
- McCombie, P., and Wilkinson, P. (2002). The Use of Simple Genetic Algorithm in Finding the Critical Factor of Safety in Slope Stability Analysis. *Computer and Geotechnics*, 29: 699-714.
- Mendes, R., Cortes, P., Rocha, M., and Neves, J. (2002). Particle Swarm for Feedforward Neural Net Training. *Proceeding of the International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*. Honolulu, HI: IEEE, 1895-1899.
- Michalowski, R. L. (1989). Three-Dimensional Analysis of Locally Loaded Slopes. *Geotechnique*, 36(1): 27-38.
- Morgenstern, N., and Price, V. (1965). The Analysis of the Stability of General Slip Surfaces. *Geotechnique*, 15(1): 79-93.
- Mowen, X. (2004). A Simple Monte Carlo Method for Locating the Three-dimensional Critical Slip Surface of a Slope. *Acta Geologica Sinica - English Edition*, 78(6): 1258–1266.
- Mowen, X., Zengfu, W., Xiangyu, L., and Bo, X. (2011). Three-Dimensional Critical Slip Surface Locating and Slope Stability Assessment for Lava Lobe of Unzen Volcano. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 3(1): 82-89.
- Nash, D. (1987). A Comparative Review of Limit Equilibrium Methods of Stability Analysis. In: Anderson M. G. and Richards K. ed, *Slope Stability: Geotechnical Engineering and Geomorphology*. 11-75, New York: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Neves, E. (1991). Methods of Soil Slope Stability. Constraints of the Limit Equilibrium Methods for Natural Slopes. *Environment and quality of life: Natural hazards and engineering geology prevention and control of landslides and other mass movements*, Brussels: Commission of the European Communities, 83-99.
- Osman, I., and Laporte, G. (1996). Metaheuristics: A Bibliography. *Annals of Operations Research*, 63: 513-623.

- Peram, T., Veeramachaneni, K., and Mohan, C. (2003). Fitness-Distance Ratio Based Particle Swarm Optimization. *Proceeding of the International Swarm Intelligence Symposium (SIS)*. Indianapolis, IN: IEEE, 174-181.
- Petely, D., Dunning, S., & Rosser, N. (2005). The Analysis of Global Landslide Risk Through the Creation of Database of Worldwide Landslide Fatalities. *Landslide Risk Management*. Amsterdam: AT Balkema, 367-374.
- Petley, D. (2012). Global patterns of loss of life from landslides. *Geology*, 40(10): 927-930.
- Poli, R., Kennedy, J., and Blackwell, T. (2007). Particle Swarm Optimization: An Overview. *Swarm Intelligence*, 1: 33-57.
- Reynolds, C. (1987). Flocks, Herbs, and Schools, A Distributed Behavioral Model. *Computer Graphics*, 21(4): 25-34.
- Rezaeean, A., Noorzad, R., and Dankoub, A. (2011). Ant Colony Optimization for Locating the Critical failure Surface in Slope Stability Analysis. *World Applied Science Journal*, 13(7): 1702-1711.
- Rini, D. P., Shamsuddin, S. M., and Yuhaniz, S. S. (2011). Particle Swarm Optimization: Technique, System and Challenges. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 14(1): 19-27.
- Sarma, S. (1981). Closure: Stability Analysis of Embankments and Slopes. *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, 107(GT5): 697-698.
- Sarma, S. K. (1973). Stability Analysis of Embankments and Slopes. *Geotechnique*, 23(3): 423-433.
- Sarma, S. K. (1979). Stability Analysis of Embankments and Slopes. *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division*, 105(12): 1511-1524.
- Sarma, S. K. (1987). A note on the stability analysis of slopes. *Geotechnique*, 37(1): 107-11.
- Seed, R., Mitchell, J., and Seed, H. (1990). Kettleman Hills Waste Landfill Slope Failure. II: Stability Analyses. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. 116(4): 669-690.
- Segupta, A., and Upadhyay, A. (2009). Locating the Critical Failure Surface in a Slope Stability Analysis by Genetic Algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing*, 9: 387-392.

- Shi, Y., and Eberhart, R. (1998). A Modified Particle Swarm Optimizer. *Proceeding of the IEEE International Conference of Evolutionary Computation*. Piscataway: IEEE, 69-73.
- Silvestri, V. (2006). A Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Problem in Clay. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 43: 224-228.
- Sivanandam, S., and Deepa, S. (2008). *Introduction to Genetic Algorithm*. New York: Springer.
- Solati, S., and Habibagahi, G. (2006). A genetic Approach for determining the Generalized Interslice Forces and the Critical Non-Circular Slip Surface. *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transaction B, Engineering*, 30(B1): 1-20.
- Spencer, E. (1967). A Method of Analysis of the Stability of Embankments Assuming Parallel Inter-Slice Forces. *Geotechnique*, 17(1): 11-26.
- Spencer, E. (1973). Thrust line criterion in embankment stability analysis. *Geotechnique*, 23(1): 85-100.
- Suganthan, P. (1999). Particle Swarm Optimiser with Neighbourhood Operator. *Proceeding of the IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)*. Piscataway: IEEE, 1958-1962.
- Sun, G., Zheng, H., and Jiang, W. (2011). A global Procedure for Evaluating Stability of Three-Dimensional Slopes. *Natural Hazards*, 61(3): 1083-1098.
- Taha, M. R., Khajehzadeh, M., and El-Shafie, A. (2010). Slope Stability Assessment Using Optimization Techniques: An Overview. *EJGE*, 1901-1915.
- Taylor, D. W. (1948). *Fundamentals of Soil Mechanics*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Terzaghi, K. (1936). A Fundamental Fallacy in Earth Pressure Computations. *Journal of the Boston Society of Civil Engineers*, 23: 71-88.
- Thompson, R. (1993). The Location of Critical Slip Surface in Slope-Stability Problems. *Journal of South African Institute of Mine and Metallurgy*, 93(4): 85-95.
- Tian, D., Wang, S., and Xu, L. (2009). The Application of Particle Swarm Optimization on the Search of Critical Slip Surface. *International Conference on Information Engineering and Computer Science, ICIECS 2009*. Wuhan: IEEE, 1-4.

- Timothy, D. S., and Hisham, T. E. (1998, November). Performance of Three-Dimensional Slope Stability Methods in Practice. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 1049-1060.
- Toufigh, M., Ahangarar, A., and Ouria, A. (2006). Using Non-Linear Programming Techniques in Determination of the Most Probable Slip Surface in 3D Slopes. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 17: 30-35.
- Ugai, K. (1985). Three Dimensional Stability Analysis of Vertical Cohesive Slopes. *Soils and Foundations*, 25(3): 41-48.
- Ugai, K. (1988). Three-dimensional Slope Stability Analysis by Slice Methods. *Proceeding of the International Conference on Numerical Methods in Geomechanics*. Innsbruck, Austria, 1369-1374.
- Venter, G. (2010). Review of Optimization techniques. In: R. Blockley, and W. Shyy ed. *Encyclopedia of Aerospace Engineering*. 1-12. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.
- Windisch, A., Wappler, S., and Wegener, J. (2007). Applying Particle Swarm Optimization to Software Testing. *Proceeding of 9th Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computations*. London, UK: ACM, 1121-1128.
- Wright, S., Kulhawy, E., and Duncan, J. (1973). Accuracy of Equilibrium Slope Stability Analysis. *Journal of Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, 99(10): 783-791.
- Xie, L., Zeng, J., and Cui, Z. (2009). General Framework of Artificial Physics Optimization Algorithm. *World Congress on Nature and Biologically Inspired Computing (NaBIC 2009)*. IEEE, 1321-1326.
- Xie, M., Esaki, T., and Cai, M. (2006). Gis-Based Implementation of Three-Dimensional Limit Equilibrium Approach of Slope Stability. *Journal of Geotechnical And Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 132(5): 656-660.
- Xing, Z. (1988a). Three-Dimensional Stability Analysis of Concave Slopes in Plan View. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 114(6): 658-671.
- Xing, Z. (1988b). Closure: Three-Dimensional Stability Analysis of Concave Slopes in Plan View. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 116(2): 345-346.
- Yamagami, T., and Jiang, J. C. (1996). Determination of the sliding direction in three-dimensional slope stability analysis. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Soft Soil Engineering*. Part 1. Nanjing: Hohai University Press, 567-572.

- Yamagami, T., and Jiang, J. C. (1997). A Search for the Critical Slip Surface in Three-dimensional Slope Stability analysis. *Soils and Foundations*, 37(3): 1-16.
- Yamagami, T., and Ueta, Y. (1988). Search for Non-circular Slip Surfaces by the Morgenstern-Price Method. *Proceeding of the 6th International Conference on Numerical Methods in Geomechanics*. 11-15 April. Innsbruck, Austria: AA Balkema, 1219-1223.
- Yu, H., Salgado, R., Sloan, S., and Kim, J. (1998). Limit Analysis Versus Limit Equilibrium for Slope Stability. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 124: 1-11.
- Yusup, N., Zain, A., and Hashim, S. (2012). Overview of PSO for Optimizing Process Parameters of Machining. *Procedia Engineering*, 29: 914-923.
- Zhao, H. B., Zou, Z. S., and Ru, Z. L. (2008). Chaotic Particle Swarm Optimization for Non-Circular Critical Slip Surface Identification in Slope Stability Analysis. In: M. Cai, and J. A. Wang. *Boundaries of Rock Mechanics, Recent Advances and Challenges for the 21st Century*. 585-588. London, UK: Taylor and Francis Group.
- Zheng, H. (2009). Eigenvalue Problem from the Stability Analysis of Slopes. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 135(5): 647-656.
- Zheng, Y., Ma, L., Zhang, L., and Qian, J. (2003). On the Convergence Analysis and Parameter Selection in Particle Swarm Optimization. *Proceeding of the IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Cybernetics*. Piscataway: IEEE, 1802-1807.
- Zhu, D., Lee, C., Qian, Q., and Sun, F. (2001). A New Procedure for Computing the Factor of Safety Using Morgenstern-Price Method. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 38, 882-888.
- Zolfaghari, A., Heath, R., and McCombie, P. (2005). Simple Genetic Algorithm Search for Critical Noncircular Failure Surface in Slope Stability Analysis. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 32(3): 139-152.