

A MULTI-CRITERIA GENERIC PROCESS MODEL FOR SELECTING  
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM

QUAH LEE HUI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

A MULTI-CRITERIA GENERIC PROCESS MODEL FOR SELECTING  
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM

QUAH LEE HUI

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science (Quantity Surveying)

Faculty of Built Environment  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2012

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Words cannot express my gratitude towards my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Roslan Amiruddin for the patience, humble supervision and advice I received from him in the course of this dissertation.

I am heartily thankful to all fire expert respondents and professional engineers whose encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the final level which enabled me to develop an outcome of the subject.

Tremendously thankful to Prof. Dr. Ahmad Rosdan Abd Razak, PM Dr. Zainal Abidin Akasah and Dr. Kherun Nita Ali for their advise, comment and recommendation which guide me to the award of Master in Quantity Surveying.

Lastly, I offer my regards and blessings to all of those who supported me in any aspect during the completion of the research.

## ABSTRAK

Jurutera mekanikal telah berusaha merekabentuk dan memasang sistem perlindungan kebakaran untuk berbagai jenis bangunan. Sistem perlindungan kebakaran di dalam bangunan yang sedang beroperasi diperlukan bukan sahaja untuk memadamkan api, tetapi juga untuk menyelamatkan nyawa dan harta benda. Reka bentuk perlindungan kebakaran merupakan aspek kejuruteraan yang kompleks dimana ia memerlukan pertimbangan pendekatan bersepadu oleh jurutera yang berpengalaman. Disebabkan oleh kekurangan garis panduan dan pengetahuan, jurutera mekanikal kini berusaha untuk memilih sistem perlindungan yang paling sesuai berdasarkan pengalaman projek-projek yang lepas dan rujukan kod undang-undang. Satu model penilaian adalah diperlukan dan perisian untuk membantu jurutera menilai kesan kebolehpercayaan, prestasi, kos dan kebolehsesuaian sistem perlindungan kebakaran, sebagai rujukan adalah penting. Sehubungan dengan ini, matlamat kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan satu model proses generik bagi menilai kesesuaian sistem perlindungan kebakaran bagi bangunan dan memilih sistem yang paling sesuai. Model ini mengambil kira satu set kriteria setara yang mempengaruhi pemilihan sistem perlindungan kebakaran di dalam sesebuah bangunan. Ini akan dicapai melalui tiga objektif yang berkaitan: 1) mengenalpasti hubungan di antara pelbagai pembolehubah bagi sistem perlindungan kebakaran yang aktif; 2) membangunkan sistem pemilihan model perlindungan kebakaran; 3) menilai dan mengesahkan model tersebut. Pendapat dan pengalaman merupakan data yang dikumpul melalui kaedah temuduga dengan lima belas responden yang terdiri daripada perunding kebakaran, jurutera kebakaran, kontraktor pelindung kebakaran dan pembekal pelindung kebakaran. Data yang dikumpulkan telah diproses kepada data yang boleh diukur secara subjektif dengan menggunakan Proses Hierarki Analitik (AHP). Satu model proses generik dibangunkan menggunakan IDEF-0 yang menyediakan garis panduan pemilihan sistem perlindungan kebakaran bagi membantu perunding kebakaran, jurutera kebakaran, pembekal serta klien untuk memilih sistem perlindungan kebakaran yang sesuai.

## ABSTRACT

Fire safety engineers have been trying their best to design and install fire protection systems in various buildings since the past decades. Fire protection is needed for every building in operation, not only for fire extinguishment, but also as a means for saving life and property. Fire protection design is a complex engineering aspect which needs an integrated approach consideration by engineers with enormous experience. Nowadays, due to the lack of proper guidelines and knowledge, fire engineers are trying their best to select the most suitable fire protection system by relying on their past experience, past projects and code references. In order to help designers in assessing the impact of reliability, performance, cost and adaptability of fire protection systems, assessment tools and software are essential. Hence, the aim of this research is to develop a generic process model to assess the suitability of fire protection systems for buildings and to select the most suitable system. The model takes into account a set of standard criteria that influences the implementation of fire protection system in a building. This is achieved through three interrelated objectives: 1) to identify the relationship between various variables for active fire protection system; 2) to develop a fire protection system selection model; 3) to evaluate and validate the generic process model. Opinions and experiences are the nature of data collected by interviews with fifteen fire expert respondents consisting of fire consultants, fire engineers, fire contractors and fire suppliers based on fire protection system selection for a building. Data collected were converted into subjective ranking measurable data using Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP). A generic process model was developed using IDEF-0 that provides fire protection system selection guidelines that will help fire contractors, consultants, suppliers, engineers and clients in selecting a suitable fire protection system.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER  | TITLE   | PAGE  |
|----------|---|-------|
|          | <b>DECLARATION</b>                                | ii    |
|          | <b>DEDICATION</b>                                 | iii   |
|          | <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>                           | iv    |
|          | <b>ABSTRAK</b>                                    | v     |
|          | <b>ABSTRACT</b>                                   | vi    |
|          | <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>                          | vii   |
|          | <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>                             | xii   |
|          | <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>                            | xiv   |
|          | <b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>                            | xvi   |
|          | <b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>                         | xviii |
| <b>1</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>                               | 1     |
|          | 1.1 Background of Studies                         | 1     |
|          | 1.2 Statement of Problem                          | 5     |
|          | 1.3 Aim and Objectives                            | 9     |
|          | 1.4 Scope of Work                                 | 9     |
|          | 1.5 The Importance of Research                    | 10    |
|          | 1.6 Organisation of Research Chapter              | 10    |
| <b>2</b> | <b>PRESCRIPTIVE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM DESIGN</b> | 12    |
|          | 2.1 Introduction                                  | 12    |
|          | 2.2 Fire Issues                                   | 14    |
|          | 2.3 Fire Protection System Design and Selection   | 18    |

|        |  |    |
|--------|--|----|
| 2.3.1  | Reasons for Design and Installation of Fire Protection       | 25 |
| 2.4    | Fire Protection and Prevention Code of Practices             | 27 |
| 2.4.1  | National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Regulation Code  | 41 |
| 2.5    | Fire Protection System Assessment Tools and Solution         | 43 |
| 2.5.1  | Fire Risk Evaluation and Cost Assessment Model (FiRECAM™)    | 45 |
| 2.5.2  | Fire Evaluation and Risk Assessment System (FIERA System)    | 49 |
| 2.6    | Active Fire Protection System                                | 50 |
| 2.7    | The Criteria/Attributes Selection of Fire Protection Systems | 53 |
| 2.7.1  | Introduction on the Selection of Equipment and Systems       | 53 |
| 2.7.2  | The Human Side of Fire System                                | 55 |
| 2.7.3  | Fire Protection Authority Code                               | 56 |
| 2.7.4  | Design Plan and Needs  | 59 |
| 2.7.5  | Cost of Budget   | 60 |
| 2.7.6  | Life Safety Contribution                                     | 61 |
| 2.7.7  | Ability of the Fire Protection System                        | 62 |
| 2.7.8  | Fire Protection System to Clearly Notify Occupant            | 63 |
| 2.7.9  | Safe Time for Occupant Evacuation Escape                     | 64 |
| 2.7.10 | Integration and Adaptability of Fire Protection System       | 64 |
| 2.7.11 | Means of Accessibility Fire Protection System                | 66 |
| 2.7.12 | Preventive Maintenance of Fire Protection                    | 67 |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
|          | System  |           |
|          | 2.7.13 Consumer Friendly Operation of Fire Protection System      | 68        |
|          | 2.7.14 Interior Finishes and Spaces                               | 69        |
|          | 2.7.15 Fire Protection System Environment Contribution            | 69        |
| 2.8      | Summary   | 70        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>                                       | <b>71</b> |
| 3.1      | Overview of Approach  | 71        |
| 3.2      | Data Prerequisite   | 73        |
| 3.3      | Data Required to Developed Fire Protection System Selection       | 74        |
| 3.4      | Source of Data  | 75        |
| 3.5      | Introduction of Data Collection                                   | 76        |
| 3.6      | Data Collection Technique   | 78        |
| 3.7      | Methodology Tools for Fire Protection System Selection            | 79        |
|          | 3.7.1 Scenario-Based Goal Decomposition Approach                  | 83        |
|          | 3.7.2 Dynamic Programming   | 84        |
|          | 3.7.3 Fuzzy Logic   | 86        |
|          | 3.7.4 Delphi Method   | 87        |
|          | 3.7.5 Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)                          | 88        |
| 3.8      | Data Collection Integrated with AHP Rating Technique Analysis     | 89        |
| 3.9      | Data Segregation and Compilation in AHP Rating Technique Analysis | 90        |
| 3.10     | Generic Process Model Development                                 | 90        |
| 3.11     | Evaluation and Validate Generic Process Model                     | 90        |
| 3.12     | Conclusion  | 91        |



|          |   |            |
|----------|---|------------|
| <b>4</b> | <b>ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY PROCESS DATA ANALYSIS</b>                   | <b>92</b>  |
| 4.1      | Introduction to Data Analysis                                       | 92         |
| 4.2      | Introduction to Analytical Hierarchy Process                        | 93         |
| 4.3      | AHP Data Analysis   | 94         |
| 4.4      | Assembly of Data before Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) Analysis | 96         |
| 4.5      | Organize Data in Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) Analysis        | 98         |
| 4.6      | Interpretation  | 109        |
| 4.7      | Summary   | 109        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>IDEFØ MODEL AND MODELLING TECHNIQUE</b>                          | <b>110</b> |
| 5.1      | Concept Modeling Development  | 110        |
| 5.2      | Modelling Development   | 113        |
| 5.3      | Layers of Model in Computer Integrated Construction (CIC)           | 116        |
| 5.3.1    | Specific Model  | 116        |
| 5.3.2    | Reference Model   | 116        |
| 5.3.3    | Conceptual Model  | 118        |
| 5.3.4    | Metamodel   | 118        |
| 5.4      | IDEFØ Modelling   | 120        |
| 5.5      | IDEFØ Concepts  | 121        |
| 5.6      | IDEFØ Syntax  | 122        |
| 5.7      | IDEFØ Cell Modeling Graphic Representation                          | 123        |
| 5.8      | Strengths and Weaknesses of IDEF-0                                  | 124        |
| 5.9      | Expected Result   | 126        |
| 5.10     | Summary   | 130        |

|          |  |     |
|----------|--|-----|
| <b>6</b> | <b>GENERIC PROCESS MODEL PERFORMANCE</b> | 132 |
|          | <b>VALIDATION</b>                        |     |
| 6.1      | Introduction                             | 132 |
| 6.2      | Respondent Validation                    | 132 |
| 6.3      | Result and Recommendation                | 134 |
| 6.4      | Concluding                               | 138 |
| <b>7</b> | <b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>     | 139 |
| 7.1      | Statement of Conclusion                  | 139 |
| 7.2      | Future Recommendation                    | 141 |
|          | <b>REFERENCES</b>                        | 142 |
|          | APPENDIX - A                             | 164 |
|          | APPENDIX - B                             | 165 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| <b>TABLE NO.</b> | <b>TITLE</b>   | <b>PAGE</b> |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| 1.1              | Large Loss Fire that Caused \$10 Million or More in Property Damage, 2000-2009 | 3           |
| 2.1              | List of Uniform Building By Laws   | 30          |
| 2.2              | Summary of British Standards and Malaysian Standards                           | 32          |
| 2.2.1            | Fire Hydrant System  | 32          |
| 2.2.2            | Automatic Sprinkler System   | 33          |
| 2.2.3            | Fire Alarm System  | 35          |
| 2.2.4            | Fire Detection System  | 37          |
| 2.2.5            | Fire Suppression System  | 38          |
| 2.2.6            | Standpipe Hose Reel System   | 39          |
| 3.1              | Methodology Tools Suitability Definition                                       | 81          |
| 3.2              | SWOT Scenario-Based Goal Decomposition Approach                                | 81          |
| 3.3              | SWOT Dynamic Programming   | 81          |
| 3.4              | SWOT Fuzzy Logic   | 82          |
| 3.5              | SWOT Delphi Method   | 82          |
| 3.6              | SWOT Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)  | 82          |
| 4.1              | Evaluation Based on Ranks of Each Factor                                       | 100         |
| 4.2              | Weight of Importance   | 103         |
| 5.1              | IDEF Family  | 111         |

|     |   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| 6.1 | Summary of Professional Respondent                                | 128 |
| 6.2 | Summary of Result and Recommendation from Professional Respondent | 129 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <b>FIGURE NO.</b> | <b>TITLE</b>  | <b>PAGE</b> |
|-------------------|---|-------------|
| 1.1               | Direct Dollar Loss in Large-Loss Fires, Unadjusted and Adjusted 2000-2009                       | 3           |
| 2.1               | Reason When Sprinkler Are Ineffective, 2004-2008  | 16          |
| 2.2               | Home Structure Fire Death by Smoke Alarm Performance 2003-2006                                  | 17          |
| 2.3               | Building design stage and the application of fire safety requirement                            | 18          |
| 2.4               | Project Development Process – Traditional Approach  | 20          |
| 2.5               | FiRECAM™ Flowchart  | 48          |
| 3.1               | Fuzzy Logic Temperature Example   | 86          |
| 4.1               | Analytical Hierarchy Process Cross Table  | 96          |
| 4.2               | Analytical Hierarchy Process Criteria Rating Sample   | 98          |
| 4.3               | AHP Analysis on Job Criteria Rating with Employee Alternative                                   | 99          |
| 4.4               | AHP Normalize Score Formula   | 103         |
| 4.5               | Example of Analytical Hierarchy Process Analysis (ST Integrated Engineering Sdn Bhd Respondent) | 105         |
| 4.6               | Importance Level and Importance Weight Combine AHP Analysis                                     | 106         |
| 4.7               | Sum and Normalize Score Fire Protection System Combine Respondent AHP Analysis                  | 107         |
| 4.8               | Criteria Ranking for Combine AHP Analysis   | 108         |

|     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| 5.1 | Abstraction Level for Meta-Model Processes | 119 |
| 5.2 | IDEFØ Box and Arrow Graphics               | 124 |
| 5.3 | AHP Criteria Grouping                      | 127 |
| 5.4 | Sample of IDEF-0 Function Modelling        | 128 |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

|                 |   |  |
|-----------------|---|--|
| NFPA            | – | National Fire Protection Association           |
| MEP             | – | Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing            |
| AHP             | – | Analytical Hierarchy Process                   |
| IBC             | – | International Building Code                    |
| UBBL            | – | Uniform Building By Law                        |
| CO <sup>2</sup> | – | Carbon Dioxide                                 |
| FEO             | – | For Exposition Only                            |
| FP              | – | Fire Protection                                |
| FIDO            | – | Fire Incident Data Organisation                |
| FiRECAM™        | – | Fire Risk Evaluation and Cost Assessment Model |
| ISO             | – | International Organisation for Standardisation |
| FIERA           | – | Fire Evaluation and Risk Assessment            |
| FPEtools        | – | Fire Protection Engineering Tools              |
| HVAC            | – | Heat Ventilation Air Conditioning              |
| CFAST           | – | Fire Growth and Smoke Transport Modeling       |
| HAZARD I        | – | Fire Hazard Assessment Method                  |
| BS              | – | British Standard                               |
| MS              | – | Malaysian Standard                             |
| IDEFØ           | – | Integration Definition for Function Modeling   |
| CIC             | – | Computer Integrated Construction               |
| SWOT            | – | Strengths, Weakness, Opportunities and Threats |
| MEP             | – | Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing            |
| SADT            | – | Structured Analysis and Design Technique       |
| FCRC            | – | Fire Code Reform Centre                        |
| FEO             | – | For Exposition Only                            |
| PFD             | – | Process Flow Description                       |

|      |   |                                      |
|------|---|--------------------------------------|
| OSTD | – | Object State Transition Description  |
| ICOM | – | Input, Control, Output and Mechanism |
| IDEF | – | Integrated Definition                |
| P.E  | – | Professional Engineer                |



**LIST OF APPENDICES**

| <b>APPENDIX</b> | <b>TITLE</b>                    | <b>PAGE</b> |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| A               | Developed Generic Process Model | 164         |
| B               | AHP Data Analysis Table         | 165         |

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1 Background of Studies**

Research development and legislation on problems of fire and buildings have three main aims (Eric, 1972). First is to reduce or ideally to eliminate the risk of personal injury or death due to fire. The second aim is to reduce the total loss of buildings, plant and goods. The third aim is to protect the public at large, e.g. the community by ensuring that fire in a building is confined to that building, where possible. Understanding of these three main aims, the nature scope of fire loss problem is necessary to provide basis for reducing losses. Losses offer valuable lessons because they provide information that are needed to prevent or reduce the impact of similar losses in future (Schroll, 2002). Lessons learned from losses incurred in fire and prevent it in the first place before the fire break out and take away all of our valuables. With the three guidelines stated previously fire prevention should be initiated to serve the massive building and human with the right protection.

Investment in constructing a commercial building is around ten to fifty millions of ringgit for the sake in future it will get returns in term of profit (Morgan, 2009). With this huge amount of money spending on a building in term of duration, the building must

be protected by business property insurance which secures the business from a minor interruption to a major financial loss. Owning a building, lease a workspace or work at home, insurance protect all business' physical assets either the interior as well as the whole entire physical of building. Unfortunately, buildings face their own enemy which is fire that cause damage or even destroy the entire of it. Results, fire protection system are the engineering method used by commercial property insurance companies which turn on for superior coverage and to serve and maintain building from fire strike disaster (Morgan, 2009).

Installation of fire protection is an important part of modern architecture to ensure that protection to the building and the occupants inside the building. Fire systems are effective in detecting, containing, and controlling as well as including extinguishing fire in early stage. Therefore, some little investment must be allocated in insurance to protect it against fire disaster where utilisation of fire protection system for a building will be the insurance to save lives, saving property and preserving business continuity.

**Table 1.1** illustrates the small share of fires that account for major losses. Trend of the table shows ten years case where there is major fire loses three to fours years in sequence. Fire protection principles reflected in National Fire Protection Association's codes and standards are essential if we are to reduce the occurrence of large-loss fires and explosions in the United States. Proper guideline design with early maintenance factor consideration and method operation of fire protection systems including features can reduce the fire losses damage due to fire disaster.

**Table 1.1:** Fire Losses Property Damage in United States, 2000-2009.

| Year  | Number of Fires | Number of Fires Causing \$10 Million or More in 2000 Dollars | Direct Property Damage (in Millions) |                 |
|-------|-----------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
|       |                 |  | As Reported                          | In 2000 Dollars |
| 2000  | 31              | 31   | \$1,814                              | \$1,814         |
| 2001* | 19              | 15   | \$762                                | \$702           |
| 2002  | 25              | 22   | \$562                                | \$509           |
| 2003  | 21              | 17   | \$2,623                              | \$2,417         |
| 2004  | 16              | 9  | \$337                                | \$242           |
| 2005  | 16              | 6  | \$217                                | \$101           |
| 2006  | 16              | 13   | \$380                                | \$305           |
| 2007  | 45              | 33   | \$3,393                              | \$2,709         |
| 2008  | 35              | 23   | \$2,372                              | \$1,794         |
| 2009  | 24              | 17   | \$940                                | \$693           |

\* Excluding the 9/11/01 World Trade center Incident from the loss totals but not the fire incident totals.

Source: NFPA's Fire Incident Data Organisation (FIDO, 2010)

Deborah (2010) professional engineer from Society of Fire Protection Engineers insist that *“Fire protection engineers must be involved in all aspects of the fire design in order to ensure a reasonable degree of protection of human life from fire and the products combustion as well as to reduce the potential loss from fire i.e., real and personal property, information, organisational operations”*. Fire protection must be integrated into the preliminary conceptual design process and coordinated throughout construction period. Sometimes the fire protection design approach is different for new construction than for existing buildings, but the underlying design principles are the same.

Jane (2003) stated that effectively integrating fire protection requires deep familiarity to the construction, layout, and occupancy of the building and knowing the functions that the fire protection systems are expected to serve. Planning for fire

protection inside or outside a building involves an integrated systems approach that enables the designer to analyse all of the building components as a total building fire safety system package. Jane (2003) also explained that design analysis not only concentrate on code compliance or meeting the minimum legal responsibilities for protecting a building; but on the same time building and fire systems are intended to protect against loss of life and limit fire impact on the community and necessarily protect the mission or assets, or solve problems brought upon by new projects with unique circumstances. Therefore, it is necessary to creatively and efficiently integrate code requirements with other fire safety measures as well as other design strategies to achieve a balanced design that will provide the desired levels of safety.

Fire protection systems may not be installed in all kind of buildings, but they stand ready to raise the alert, control, extinguish a fire in terms of protection to occupants and building. *“Fire protection systems are complicated and sophisticated and are different for just about every building. You need to look beyond a systems standpoint and examine as a whole, how all the components work together.”* noted by Jeffrey (2008) from an organisation of fire protection and security consultants based in Chicago.

Fire protection systems are complicated and sophisticated which need advanced knowledge and understanding of these systems may offers advantages and alternative methods in protecting the content of a property because there are different types of fire protection systems available that use different detection techniques and suppression gas other than water that we have commonly used. New strait times (1998) writer said that some not only detect fire at early stage and give alarm to alert occupants for evacuation, but they also control, suppress and extinguish in a way to avoids additional damage to the surrounding area. While there is no standard fire protection design blueprint for any building, the systems found in any building typically include detection, alarm and notification and suppression basic component. All components of modern fire protection systems need to work together to effectively detect, contain, control and extinguish a fire

in its early stages and to make survival for occupant during the fire. To achieve the beneficial symbiosis between these components, it's best to involve an experienced system designer, such as a fire protection engineer in the early planning and design stage (Lau, 1996).

Fire protection system cannot be taken for granted, so selection of fire protection system is not a one day task which can complete with simple step, but it consists of code references, experience of the system functional, criteria's require which need to be considered during the design stage. Complexity of selecting a suitable fire protection system which incorporating the consideration of design factors that are available, reliable and contributing in eliminating fire risk is important. However, fire engineers need a tool to consider and measure all criteria before deciding the best fire system for a building. Therefore there is a need of guideline tools which can work into the symbiosis of fire protection system which serves the best result for building and occupants itself.

## **1.2 Statement of problem**

In current construction practice, incorporating fire protection system into a building by an architect and engineer is merely the "compliance of fire code and building code". However what is the purpose of our codes? Will the code provide adequate information in detailed or it is only a general guideline for us to refer? Normally, the first chapter of a code references reads "the purpose of this code is to establish the minimum requirements for the installation of fire protection system in different size and different height of building in order protect life and property from fire and to provide safety to firefighters and others that respond during emergency

operations” (NFPA, 2002). Consideration of minimum requirement is inadequate to select the best fire protection system for a building itself.

We interpret few news from the News Strait Time on 25 July 1998 reported that an estimate of 200 staffs were seeking for help at the roof top of Menara Lion, Jalan Ampang during fire break in the middle floor of the building when the fire protection system was unable to function to extinguish the fire. Unfortunately, the fire spread and took over the lives and properties. Another case happened in John Sevier Retirement Center, Johnson City, Tenn was an eleven storey building caught in fire at the first floor causing 16 deaths due to the bad fire safety system design (Joseph, 1992). Therefore, we know that fire is a threat and enemy to a building which lead to installation of fire protection system to protect them against destroy and damage. Thus, it is important to choose a fire protection system that is incorporating the functionality of the system for a building.

The answer is still remaining in doubt when designing a fire protection system for building because there are myriads of alternative systems, factors, technology improvement and conflicting regulations. All these considerations must be taken into account not only comply to the building authority fire regulation and code but also to enhance the design needs, cost, life safety, hazardous area, aesthetic view, environment pollution and the most important is the fire protection system ability to extinguish fire in a safe duration of time (Jane, 2003). Although the entire factors are listed out, architects and engineers may not consider all the factors during designing a fire protection system.

Buildings are designed on the need of fulfillment to many needs. They must have adequate area, serving particular of functions and particular number of occupants. Besides, the structural, electrical and mechanical systems are designed to fulfill applicable requirement and code. Ahmed (2009) mentioned meeting fire protection goal

required coordination integration into the design process throughout the construction process. This is because at anytime, any other discipline changes a design, the fire protection has to be checked. Design process starts from the conceptual design going up to preliminary design, detail design and construction stage includes handing over with inspection. On top of design team view, fire protection system must be effectively integrated within the early design stage, construction stage, layout, and occupancy of the building and knowing the functions that the fire protection systems are expected to serve by eliminating the hazards. Chapter-2 will discuss in more detailed on the building design process with illustration incorporating with fire safety requirement throughout the whole construction.

In market, there are too many active fire protection systems such as fire alarms, automatic sprinklers, gas suppression systems, fire extinguishers, hose reels and hydrant to be chosen by the designers, contractors and engineers. Selection on the effectiveness and efficiency of fire protection systems based on specific requirements is not an easy task. With updated technologies, fire protection evolving into a more advance system which gives extra protection and extinguishment towards fire. This makes selecting the best fire system with consideration of surrounding factors a little complicated and guidelines needed. Architects, engineers and contractors who lack of proper guidelines and knowledge are trying to select a fire protection system relying on their past experience on past projects and code practice references (Deborah, 2010). Hence, there are many disadvantages when designers are more heavily relied on experience from previous work and rules of thumbs. As such, by developing a detail guideline it will helps to generate more information for future references.

To select a suitable system and evaluate both advantages and disadvantages in the aspects of cost, quality, performance and reliability of each system, the fire experts need some decision. To help designers in building officials' assessment, the impact of reliability, performance, cost and adaptability of fire protection systems, assessment



tools and software are essential. This is where the idea of research begins to find a tool consist of fire protection system concept model development with compilation of all criteria variables and factor circumstances which faced by the industry nowadays can be overcome. Knowledge and experience by fire expert alone are not enough to design a fire protection system (Andrew, 2003). Tools are vital to fire expert designers where enable them to investigate many alternative and scenario which will ultimately yield an optimum selection fire protection system. Therefore, we need a model to determine the type of fire protection system that is needed in a building by considering all criteria involved. Towards selection of fire protection system in commercial building this generic process model will show in flow diagram all the criteria needed for selection of fire protection system.

Many researchers has done on the fire protection regulation, fire protection smoke management, fire life safety, fire evacuation, reviewing of existing fire system, reviewing on how design being adapted by experts. Models like FiRECAM™, FRAMEworks (Richard, 1996), FIERA System, FPEtool (Deal, 1995), FASTLite (Portier et al, 1996), CFAST (Peacock et al, 1993), and HAZARD I (Bukowski et al, 1989) and CRISP2 (Fraser, 1994) concentrate only on fire hazard and risk assessment. However, by referring to the models created, there is no recommendation on how to select a fire system in conjunction to prevent or eliminate or extinguish fire during fire occurs. As a conclusion, there is a need to map out a generic process model based on consideration of criteria's to find out what type of fire protection system suitable to be used in a building.

### **1.3 Aim and Objectives**

The aim of this research is to develop a generic process model to select fire protection systems for commercial buildings. The model takes into account of standard criteria that influences the implementation of a fire protection system in a building. This will be achieved through interrelated general objectives which are:

- 1) To identify the interrelationship factors that influences the designer in selection of active fire protection system.
- 2) To develop a generic process framework to design and evaluate active fire protection system.
- 3) To evaluate and validate the proposed generic process framework.

### **1.4 Scope of work**

The scope of work for this research is focus on developing a generic process model that is used as a tool for selection of active fire protection systems in commercial building which consist of hotel building between five to twenty storeys. Five storey and above building consist of more complicated active fire protection system required by regulation (UBBL, 2005). Active fire protection system listed in regulation will be discussed further in Chapter-2. Resources are gathered from fire contractor experts, fire system designers and fire professional engineers inside fire protection industry in Johor Bahru. Detail particular of fire expert are listed in Chapter-4. Once the generic model is developed, it is evaluated by fire expert for upgrading and practical purpose.

## **1.5 The Importance of Research**

Importance of this research is an improvement development of a generic process model to select and install a comprehensive fire protection system in every building so that the cost of loss and damage due to fire can be kept to a minimum. Commercial buildings are often accommodated by a vast number of occupants with many sophisticated technologies automation, aesthetic interior and fit-outs. There is a significant need to adopt a suitable, reliable and effective fire protection system which helps in saving lives and properties damage. Hence, this research is to develop a generic process model level that can be used for evaluating and selecting an efficient and effective fire protection system for a commercial building.

## **1.6 Organisation of Research Chapter**

This section briefly state about the organisation of the whole research dissertation and the content of each chapter that can be divided into seven (7) chapters as follows:

### **Chapter 2: Prescriptive of Fire Protection System Design**

This chapter consists of fire protection system design, fire code practices and standard references, fire protection system assessment tools description, description of active fire protection system and design principal criteria selection in fire protection system.

### Chapter 3: Research Methodology

This chapter discuss about the research methodology overview approach, listed out data required for the research, source of data for this dissertation, methodology tools for fire protection system selection, data collection integrated with analytical hierarchy process rating technique, data segregation and compilation inside AHP, developing a generic process model and evaluation and validation of the model.

### Chapter 4: Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) Data Analysis

This chapter starts from introduction of analytical hierarchy process, assembly of data before AHP analysis, organizing and analysis data using AHP rating score and the rating score will be converted into development of a generic process model using IDEFØ. Idea of concept modeling development stated briefly.

### Chapter 5: IDEFØ Model and Modeling Technique

This chapter looks into the modeling concept development, modeling development and modeling layer in Computer Integrated Construction (CIC). IDEFØ funtion model which consist of IDEFØ Modelling, IDEFØ Concepts, IDEFØ Syntax and Cell Modeling Graphic Representation will be used to develop this dissertation generic process model. Strengths and weaknesses of IDEFØ discussed in this chapter.

### Chapter 6: Generic Process Model Performance Validation

This chapter discusses about the developed generic process model validated by the professional engineers in consultancy firm including recommendation given.

### Chapter 7: Conclusion and Recommendation

These chapters conclude the whole research outcome and future recommendation for researcher references.

## REFERENCES

- Abdalla H.S, Edalew K.O and Nash R.J (2000). *A Computer-Based Intelligent System for Automatic Tool Selection*. Department of Design Management and Communication, De Montfort University, The Gateway, Leicester LE1 9BH, UK
- Adler, M., Ziglio. E. (1996). *Gazing into the oracle: The Delphi Method and its application to social policy and public health*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Ahmed Sherif Megri (2009). *Integration of Different Fire Protection/Life Safety Elements into the Building Design Process*. Technical Paper: Practice Periodical on Structural Destruction and Construction Volume 14, Issue 4, pp.181-189.
- Andrew Bowman, P.E (2003). *Making an Impact: Fire Protection Engineers and the Design Process*. Fire Protection Engineering, 1, 12-22.
- Anon (1994). *Fire Engineering Design Guide, A. Buchanan edition, Center for Advanced Engineering*. New Zealand: University of Canterbury, Christchurch.

ARGOS (2002). *Theory Manual*. Denmark: Danish Institute of Fire Technology, Danish Fire Protection Association, Birkerød.

Aznan Adnan (2010). *Statistic of Fire and Rescue Department Analysis*. Article Jabatan Bomba dan Penyelamat Malaysia (JBPM), Malaysia.

Bare, William K. (1978). *Introduction to Fire Science and Fire Protection*. New York : Wiley.

Barnett, Cliff Council on Tall Buildings and Urban Habitat (1992). *Fire Safety In Tall Buildings/ Council On Tall Buildings and Urban Habitat*. New York : McGraw-Hill.

Barnfield, J, et al, (1993). *Draft British Standard Code of Practice for the Application of Fire Safety Engineering Principles to Fire Safety in Buildings*. London: British Standards Institute.

Bénichou, N.; Kashef, A.; Torvi, D.; Hadjisophocleous, G.; Reid, I, (2002). *FIERAsystem: A Fire Risk Assessment Model for Light Industrial Building Fire Safety Evaluation*. Canada: National Research Council Canada.

Bernstein. P (1999). *Using Meta-Data to Conquer Database Complexity*. Colloquium presentation, University of Toronto, October 1999; <http://www.research.microsoft.com/~philbe>.

- Bhushan, Navneet; Kanwal Rai (2004). *Strategic Decision Making: Applying the Analytical Hierarchy Process*. London: Springer-Verlag.
- Bjork, B-C (1992). *A Unified Approach for Modeling Construction Information, Building and Environment*. 27(2):173-194.
- Bjork, B-C (1999). *Information Technology in Construction: Domain Definition and Research Issues*. International Journal of Computer Integrated Design and Construction. 1(1):3-16.
- British Standard (1972). *Classification of fires*. BS4547:1972, EN2:1972.
- British Standard (2004). *Fire detection and fire alarm systems for buildings. Code of practice for the design, installation and maintenance of fire detection and fire alarm systems in dwellings*. BS 5839-6:2004
- British Standard (2005). *Underground fire hydrants*. BS EN 14339:2005.
- British Standard (2005). *Sprinkler systems for residential and domestic occupancies. Code of practice*. BS 9251:2005.
- British Standard (2006). *Specification for underground fire hydrants and surface box frames and covers*. BS 750:2006.

- British Standard (2006). *Fire detection and fire alarm systems. Aspirating smoke detectors*. BS EN 54-20:2006.
- British Standard (2006). *Fire detection and fire alarm systems. Aspirating smoke detectors*. BS EN 54-20:2006.
- British Standard (2006). *Code of practice for fire extinguishing installations and equipment on premises. Hose reels and foam inlets*. BS 5306-1:2006
- British Standard (2008). *Code of practice for the operation of fire protection measures. Electrical actuation of pre-action watermist and sprinkler systems*. BS 7273-3:2008.
- British Standard (2008). *Fire detection and fire alarm systems for buildings. Code of practice for system design, installation, commissioning and maintenance*. BS 5839-1:2002+A2:2008.
- British Standard (2008). *Fire detection and fire alarm systems for buildings. Code of practice for the design, installation, commissioning and maintenance of voice alarm systems*. BS 5839-8:2008.
- British Standard (2009). *Fixed firefighting systems. Automatic sprinkler systems. Design, installation and maintenance*. BS EN 12845+Amendment 1:2009.



- Butcher, E.G, Parnell, A.C (1983). *Designing for Fire Safety*. Chichester : John Wiley
- Bukowski, R.W., Peacock, R.D., Jones, W.W., and Forney, C.L. (1989). *HAZARD I -Fire Hazard Assessment Method, NIST Handbook 146 (three vols.)*. Gaithersburg, MD 20899: National Institute Standard Technology.
- Bukowski, R.W. (1 993). *Balanced Design Concepts Workshop*. Gaithersburg, MD: NISTIR 5264, National Institute of Standards and Technology,
- Burke, Robert (2008). *Fire Protection : Systems and Response*. Boca Raton, FL : CRC
- Chen, P.P., Thalheim, B. and Wong, L.Y. (1999). *Future Directions of Conceptual Modeling*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1565. P.P. Chen, J. Akoka, H. Kangassalo and B. Thalheim, Eds. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Churchman, C. West (1960). *Management Sciences, Models and Techniques*. Institute of Management Sciences, Pergamon Press. United State: New York.
- Colburn, Robert E. (1975). *Fire Protection & Suppression*. New York : McGraw-Hill
- Council on Tall Buildings and Urban Habitat (1992). *Fire Safety in Tall Buildings*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Craighead, Geoff (1995). *High-Rise Security and Fire Life Safety*. Boston: Butterworth Heinemann.

Dato' Hamzah Abu Bakar (2006). *Guide to Fire Protection in Malaysia*. Kuala Lumpur: The Institution of Fire Engineers (UK) Malaysia Branch (IFEM).

Deborah F. Boice, P.E. (2010). *Whole Building Design Guide*. United States: Society of Fire Protection Engineers.

Deal, S. (1995). *Technical Reference Guide for FPEtool Version 3.2, NISTIR 5486*. Gaithersburg, MD 20899: National Institute Standard Technology.

Department of Agriculture Fire Service, US (2007). *Specification for Water Enhancer (Gels) for Wildland Fire Fighting, Specification 5100-306a*. United State: Department of Agriculture Fire Service.

Diamantes David (2006). *Fire Prevention: Inspection and Code Enforcement, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition*. United States of America: Thomson Delmar Learning.

Drysdale, J.W. (1965). *Fire Protection in Buildings-Tall buildings Fires and Fire Prevention*. Sydney: Department of Works Commonwealth Experimental Building Station

- Egan, M.David (1978). *Concepts in Building Fire Safety*. New York : John Wiley.
- Eric W. Marchant (1972). *A Complete Guide to Fire and Buildings*. University of Edinburgh: Medical and Technical Publishing Co Ltd.
- Feldman, C.G. (1998). *The Practical Guide to Business Process Engineering Using IDEF0*. New York: Dorset House Publishing.
- Fire Incident Data Organisation, FIDO (2010). *Fire Losses Property Damage in United States*. National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Statistics.
- Fire Code Reform Centre - FCRC (1996), *Fire Engineering Guidelines, first edition*. Australia, Sydney: Fire Code Reform Centre.
- Finucane, M, and Pickney, D. (1987). “*Reliability of Fire Protection and Detection Systems*” *United Kingdom Atomic Energy Authority*. Scotland: University of Edinburgh.
- Fisher, Colin (2004). *Researching and Writing A Dissertation for Business Student*. England : Pearson Education Ltd.
- Fire Protection Journal (1979). *Fire Prevention Fire extinction.Vol-1*. London : Benn Brothers

- Forman, E. (1980). *Decision By Objectives*. George Washington University: Expert Choice Inc.
- Forman, Ernest H.; Saul I. Gass (2001). *The Analytical Hierarchy Process – An Exposition*. *Operation Research* 49 (4): 469-487.
- Fraser-Mitchell, J.N. (1994). *An Object Oriented Simulation (CRISP2) for Fire Risk Assessment*. IAFSS: Proc of the Fourth International Symp, p793-804.
- Forrest, J. C. M (1972). *The Design of Industrial Buildings for National Fire Protection the Role of the Structural Engineer*. Evening Meeting, Centre Hall, Westminster, 20 April, London: Concrete Society, 1-12
- Grogan, Edward (1972). *The design of industrial buildings for rational fire protection - the role of the architect*. London : Concrete Society.
- Groner, N.E., Williamson, R.B. (1998). *Scenario-based Goal Decomposition: A Method for Implementing Performance-Based Fire Safety Analysis*. In: Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Fire Research and Engineering. pp. 200–211.
- Hall Jr., J.R. and Clarke, F.B. (1992). *Fire Risk Assessment Method: User's Manual*. Quincy, MA 02269: National Fire Protection Research Foundation.

- Hannus, M. and Pietilainen, K. (1995). *Implementation Concerns of Process Modeling Tools*. CIB Proceedings 180, W78 Workshop on Modeling Buildings Through Their Lifecycle. Stanford, CA, August 21-23. 163-171.
- Hasofer A. M., Beck V. R., Bennetts I. D., (2007). *Risk Analysis in Building Fire Safety Engineering*. Oxford, United Kingdom: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Howard W. Emmons (1965). *Fire Development Theory – An Overview*. Professor of Mechanical Engineering, Harvard University.
- IBC. (2006). *International Building Code*. United States: International Building Code.
- IEEE Computer Board (1998). *IEEE Standard for Functional Modeling Language-Syntax Semantics for IDEF0*. Software Engineering Standards Committee of the IEEE Computer Society: IEEE-SA Standards Board.
- International Organisation for Standardisation (1982). *Concept and Terminology for the Conceptual Schema and the Information Base*. Griethuysen, J.J. (ed). ISO TC97/SC5/N695. New York: American National Standard Institute.
- International Organisation for Standardisation (2006). *Fire protection - Automatic sprinkler systems Part 5: Requirements and test methods for deluge valves*. ISO 6182-5:2006

- International Organisation for Standardisation (2006). *Fire protection - Automatic sprinkler systems Part 6: Requirements and test methods for check valves*. ISO 6182-6:2006
- Jane I.Lataille, P.E. (2003). *Fire Protection Engineering in Building Design*. United States: Butterworth Heinemann.
- Jelani Abdullah (2001). *Fire In Tall Buildings- Occupants' Safety and Owners' Liability*. Kuala Lumpur: International Law Book Services.
- Jeffrey E. Harper, P.E. (2008). *Fire Protection Engineering*. United State: Society of Fire Protection Engineers.
- Jarrett, D.N. (2005). *Cockpit Engineering*. Aldershot, Hampshire: Ashgate Publishing.
- John R. Grandzol (1989). *Improving the Faculty Selection Process in Higher Education: A Case for AHP or Analytical Hierarchy Process*. Pennsylvania: Bloomsburg.
- John R. Hall, Jr. (2010). *U.S. Experience with Sprinkler and others Automatic Fire Extinguishing Equipment*. Quincy, Mass : National Fire Protection Association

Johnny Wong and Heng Li (2005). *Development of a conceptual model for the selection of intelligent building systems*. Hong Kong: Department of Building and Real Estate, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University.

Jones A.Maurice (2009). *Fire Protection System*. United States: Delmar, Cengage Learning.

Joseph Zicherman (1992). *Council on Tall building and Urban Habitat Committee 8A. Fire Safety In Tall Building*. McGraw-Hill, Inc.

Kawalek, P. and Kueng, P. (1997). *The Usefulness of Process Models: A Lifecycle Description of How Process Models Are Used in Modern Organisation*. Proceeding of The Second Cause/IFIP8.1, International Workshop on Evaluation of Modelling Methods in System Analysis and Design. Barcelona, 16-17 June 1997.

Klinoff. Robert.W (2003). *Introduction to Fire Protection, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition*. New York: Thompson Delmar Learning.

Klote, John H. (2002). *Design of Smoke Control System for Buildings*. Washington: US Veterans Administration.

Kook, K. W. (1990). *Exterior Fire Propagation in a High Rise Building*. Worcester, MA: Masters Thesis, Worcester Polytechnic Institute.

- Ladwig, T. (1991). *Industrial Fire Prevention and Protection*. London: VNR.
- Lau, Lay Cher (1996). *Fire Fighting System Design*. Skudai : Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Lee, Y.T. (1999). *An Overview of Information Modelling for Manufacturing Systems Integration*. U.S.A: Manufacturing Engineering Division, NIST. NISTIR 6382.
- Logendran Subramaniam (2006). *Fire Safety In High Rise Buildings*. Skudai : Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Lotfi Zadeh (2004). *Fuzzy Logic System: Origin, Concepts and Trends*. UC Berkeley: Computer Science Division Department of EECS.
- Malaysian Standard (1977). *Code of practice for hydrant systems*. MS 484 : 1977
- Malaysian Standard (1990). *Fire extinguishing media : Part 3 : Specification for powder*. MS 1180 : Part 3 : 1990.
- Malaysian Standard (1990). *Fire extinguishing media : Part 2 : Specification for halogenated hydrocarbons*. MS 1180 : Part 2 : 1990



Malaysian Standard (1996). *Fire detection and alarm systems for building : Part 4 : Specification for control and indicating equipment*. MS 1404 : Part 4 : 1996

Malaysian Standard (1999). *Specification for semi-rigid reel hose for first aid fixed installations*. MS 1488 : 1999.

Malaysian Standard (1999). *Specification for fixed fire fighting systems - Hose reels with semi-rigid hose*. MS 1447 : 1999.

Malaysian Standard (1999). *Fire extinguishing installations and equipment : Part 1 : Hydrant systems, hose reels and foam inlets*. MS 1489 : Part 1 : 1999.

Malaysian Standard (1999). *Fire protection Fire extinguishing media Carbon dioxide*. MS ISO 5923 : 2003.

Malaysian Standard (1999). *Vocabulary on fire protection : Part 3 : Fire detection and alarm*. MS 1471 : Part 3 : 1999.

Malaysian Standard (1999). *Specification for fire hydrant systems equipment*. MS 1210 : Part 1,2,3,4,5 : 1991 (1999)

Malaysian Standard (2000). *Fire protection - Automatic sprinkler systems - Part 1: Requirements and test methods for sprinklers*. MS ISO 6182-1 : 2000

Malaysian Standard (2000). *Fire protection - Automatic sprinkler systems - Part 2: Requirements and test methods for wet alarm valves, retard chambers and water motor alarms*. MS ISO 6182-2 : 2000.

Malaysian Standard (2000). *Fire protection - Automatic sprinkler systems - Part 3: Requirements and test methods for dry pipe valves*. MS ISO 6182-3 : 2000

Malaysian Standard (2000). *Fire protection - Automatic sprinkler systems - Part 4: Requirements and test methods for quick-opening devices*. MS ISO 6182-4 : 2000.

Malaysian Standard (2000). *Fire protection - Automatic sprinkler systems - Part 5: Requirements and test methods for deluge valves*. MS ISO 6182-5 : 2000.

Malaysian Standard (2004). *Fire detection and alarm systems: Part 1: Introduction*. MS 1745 : Part 1 : 2004 .

Marty Ahrens (2009). *Smoke Alarms in U.S. Home Fires*. Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association.

Mayer, Richard J., et al (1992). *IDEF Family of Methods for Concurrent Engineering and Business Re-engineering Applications*. Texas: Knowledge-Based Systems, Incorporation.

- Megri, A.C. (2008). *Primer on fire sprinkler installation for structural engineers*. Practical Period Structural Design Construction. 13(2), 54-62.
- Mihram, G. Arthur (1972). *Simulation: Statistical Foundations and Methodology*. . United State: New York, Academic Press.
- Ministry of Construction (1988). *Comprehensive Fireproof Building Design Methods. Four Volumes (in Japanese)*. Japan: Tokyo.
- Mohamed Rashid Embi (1997). *An intelligent assistant for designing to fire regulations in Malaysia*. United Kingdom : University of Sheffield.
- Morgan Hurley, P.E (2009). *Fire Protection Engineering*. United States: Society of Fire Protection Engineers.
- Mustafa Yurdakul (2003). *AHP as a strategic decision-making tool to justify machine tool selection*. Ankara, Turkey: Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Gazi University.
- National Fire Protection Association (1991). *Fire protection guide on hazardous materials*. Quincy, Mass : National Fire Protection Association

National Fire Protection Association (2002). *Fire Protection Handbook*. Quincy, MA:  
NFPA

National Fire Protection Association Code (2002). *National Fire Code*. Quincy, Mass:  
NFPA

National Fire Protection Association-11 (2005). *National Fire Code*, Quincy, Mass:  
NFPA

National Fire Protection Association (2006). *Journal NFPA Volume104 Number1~10*.  
Mass: NFPA

National Fire Protection Association (2009). *Journal NFPA Volume104 Number1~10*.  
Mass: NFPA

National Fire Protection Association (2010). *Fire Protection Handbook*. Quincy, MA:  
NFPA

National Fire Protection Association (2010). *Journal NFPA Volume104 Number1~10*.  
Mass: NFPA

News Strait Times (1998). *Fire Alarm, Sprinkler Did Not Function at Menara Lion*.  
Malaysia: 25 Julai, News Strait Time.

- NFPA 550 (1995). *Fire Safety Concepts Tree*. National Fire Protection Association, Quincy, Mass: NFPA.
- O'leary, Zina (2004). *The Essential Guide to Doing Research*. London: SAGE Publication Ltd.
- Osullivan, D. (1991). *Project Management in Manufacturing Using IDEF0*. International Journal of Project Management. pp;162-168.
- Oxford (1999). *The concise Oxford dictionary / edited by Judy Pearsall*. Oxford : Oxford University Press.
- P. DiNenno (1995). *SFPE Handbook of Fire Protection Engineering, Second Edition*. Boston: Society of Fire Protection Engineer.
- Pandya, K.V. (1995). *Review of Modelling Technique and Tools for Decision Making in Manufacturing Management*. In IEEE Proceedings on Science, Measurement and Technology. 142(5): 371-377.
- Peacock, R.D., Forney, G.P., Reneke, P., Portier, R., and Jones, W.W. (1993). *CFAST, the Consolidated Model of Fire Growth and Smoke Transport, NIST Technical Note 1299*. Gaithersburg, MD 20899: National Institute Standard Technology.

Perry Pat (2003). *Fire Safety Question and Answers: A Practical Approach*. London: Thomas Telford Books.

Portier, R.W., Peacock, R.D., and Reneke, P.A. (1996). *FASTLite: Engineering Tools for Estimating Fire Growth and Smoke Transport, NIST SP899*. Gaithersburg, MD 20899: National Institute Standard Technology.

Rasbash D. (2004). *Evaluation of Fire Safety*. Chichester. West Sussex: John Wiley Sons Ltd.

Raymond M. Hill (2007). *Fire Technology, Part III An Approach to Fire Protection Code*. Springer Netherlands: Springerlink.

Richard Fellows & Anita Liu (2003). *Research Methods For Construction, Second Edition*. Great Britain: Blackwell Publishing.

Richard J. Mayer (1992). *A Reconstruction of the Original Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratory Technical Report AFWAL-TR-81-4023*. University Drive East, Texas: Knowledge Based Systems, Inc.

Richard W. Bukowski, P.E. (1996). *Fire Risk or Fire Hazard as the Basis for Building Fire Safety Performance Evaluation*. Gaithersburg: NIST Building and Fire Research Laboratory

- Richard W. Bukowski, P.E. (2001). *Estimates of the Operational Reliability of Fire Protection Systems*. Gaithersburg: MST Building and Fire Research Laboratory
- Robert P. Hanrahan (1995). *The IDEF Process Modeling Methodology*. Software Technology Support Centre.
- Ryde N. (1991). *FIRECALC Computer Software for the Engineering Professional Version 2.2*. Australia: CSIRO Division of Building, Construction, and Engineering.
- S. Dasgupta, C.H. Papadimitriou, and U.V. Vazirani (1998). *Dynamic Programming Algorithm*. Chapter-6 Dynamic Programming.
- Saaty, Thomas L. (1980). *The Analytic Hierarchy Process*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Saaty, Thomas L. (1982). *Decision Making for Leaders : The Analytical Hierarchy Process World*. Belmont, Calif. : Lifetime Learning Pub.
- Saaty, T.L. and Vargas, L.G. (2000) *Models, Methods, Concepts and Applications of the Analytic Hierarchy Process*, Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Saaty, Thomas L. (2008). *Decision Making for Leaders: The Analytic Hierarchy Process for Decisions in a Complex World*. Pittsburgh. Pennsylvania: RWS Publications.

- Saaty, Thomas L.; Paniwati. Kirti (2008). *Group Decision Making: Drawing Out and Reconciling Differences*. Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania: RWS Publications.
- Sayre, Kenneth M. ; Crosson, Frederick J. (1963). *The Modeling of Mind; Computer and Intelligence*. University of Notre Dame Press: Notre Dame Industries.
- Schroll, R.Craig (2002). *Industrial Fire Protection Handbook*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press LLC.
- Scott, Dornan. (2008). *Industrial Fire Brigade: Principles and Practice / International Association of Fire Chiefs, National Fire Protection Association*. Sudbury, Mass: Jones and Bartlett
- Shields, T J, Silcock, G W and Donegan, H A (1990). *Towards the development of a fire safety systems evaluation for public assembly buildings*. *Construction Management and Economics*, 8(2), 147-58.
- Stephen G.Badger (2010). *Large-Loss Fires In The United States-2009*. Batterymarch Park, Quincy: Fire Analysis and Research Division National Fire Protection Association
- Steiguer, J.E.; Jennifer Deberstein, Vicente Lopes (2003). *The Analytic Hierarchy Process as a Means for Integrated Watershed Management*. In Renard, Kenneth G. *First Interagency Conference on Research on the Watersheds*. Benson, Arizona: U.S.Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. 736 – 740.



Stollard, Paul., Abrahamas, John. (1999). *Fire from First Principle: A Design Guide to Building Fire Safety*. London: E & FN Spon.

Strathfield (1996). *Fire Engineering Guidelines, First Edition*. NSW: Standards Australia.

Tanaka, T., Nakamura, K. and Shimizu, K.(1987). *Refinement of a Multiroom Fire Spread Model, Thermal Engineering, Vol. 1*. Japan: ASME.

Teh, Poh Seng (1996). *Research on the Sultanah Zanariah Library fire fighting system*. Skudai : Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Uniform Building By Law, UBBL (2005). *ACT133. Kuala Lumpur*: MDC Publisher Sdn Bhd

Warrington Fire Research (1996). *Probablistic Risk Assessment Data - Delphi Exercise*. Warrington, United Kingdom: Warrington Fire Research.

William Butler Yeats (1921). *Introduction to Fire in California-Sample Chapter*. California: UC Press Education Books.

William D. Waltman (1993). *Reading and Critiquing and IDEF-O Model*. Texas: Enterprise Integration Frameworks Group Automation & Robotics Research Institute

- Wisnosky, Dennis E., Allen W. Batteau (1990). *IDEF in Principle and Practice*. GATEWAY, pp. 8-11.
- Yahya Mohamad Yatim (1993). *A critical review of the techniques and procedures for fire detections and fighting provision for the high rise buildings*. Edinburgh : Heriot-Watt University.
- Ying-Shen Juang (2006). *Design and implementation of a fuzzy inference system for supporting customer requirements*. Taiwan: Elsevier Ltd
- Yung, D.; Bénichou, N. (2000). Consideration of Reliability and Performance of Fire Protection Systems in FiRECAM™\*. *InFIRE Conference 2000*, 9-12 May. Ottawa, Canada: InFIRE, 1-11.
- Yung, D.; Hadjisophocleous, G.V.; Yager, B (1998). Case study: the use of FiRECAM™ to identify cost-effective fire safety design options for a large 40-storey office building. *Pacific Rim Conference and 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference On Performance-Based Codes & Fire Safety Design Methods 1998*, 3-9 May, Maui, Hawaii: Pacific Rim, 441-452