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#### Abstract

Image recognition of line drawing involves feature extraction and feature comparison; works on the extraction required the representation of the image to be compared and analysed. Combining these two requirements, a framework that implements a new extraction algorithm of a chain code representation is presented. In addition, new corner detection is presented as pre-processing to the line drawing input in order to derive the chain code. This paper presents a new framework that consists of five steps namely pre-processing and image processing, new corner detection algorithm, chain code generator, feature extraction algorithm, and recognition process. Heuristic approach that is applied in the corner detection algorithm accepts thinned binary image as input and produces a modified thinned binary image containing $J$ characters to represent corners in the image. Using the modified thinned binary image, a new chain code scheme that is based on Freeman chain code is proposed and an algorithm is developed to generate a single chain code series that is representing the line drawing input. The feature extraction algorithm is then extracts the three pre-defined features of the chain code for recognition purpose. The features are corner properties, distance between corners, and angle from a corner to the connected corner. The explanation of steps in the framework is supported with two line drawings. The results show that the framework successfully recognizes line drawing into five categories namely not similar line drawing, and four other categories that are similar but with attributes of rotation angle and scaling ratio.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Image recognition of line drawing involves comparison and analysis of more than one line drawing against the reference. The recognition includes derivation of features from the input image, therefore, pre-processing, image processing and data representation stages are required to analyze the image in producing the feature of the line drawing. Thinning and corner detection algorithms are among basic steps in image processing while chain code is one of line drawing representation. Combining these steps, a framework is presented that consists of pre-processing, image processing, data representation, feature extraction and finally the recognition process.

This paper is divided into five sections. Section 1 presents an introduction on image recognition and steps involved. Next in Section 2, the framework and steps from previous works on image
recognition are discussed, and then the proposed framework and steps taken are detailed in Section 3 . Section 4 examines the experimental result of the framework that is supported with line drawing examples. Finally, conclusion and discussion is presented in Section 5.

## 2. FRAMEWORK IN IMAGE RECOGNITION

As mentioned earlier, steps in image recognition are including pre-processing, image processing, data representation and feature extraction. This section presents few previous works on image recognition and steps involved. In each step, new algorithm or representation is proposed and they are discussed in this section as motivation and comparison in the development of a new framework in image recognition.

The literature review is divided into three categories namely works about the framework on image recognition and its feature identification and extraction, input of the feature extraction especially the chain code representation, and the steps in image processing of the input image especially corner detection.

The previous works summarizes all works that accepts chain code as its input or data representation, and detecting corner based on chain code. The framework in [1-3] provides basis in identifying features of image recognition of this work. The works by [2-5] show the application of chain code in image recognition and feature extraction. The corner detection in [6] demonstrates the application of chain code in detecting corner while [7] points out how corner detection apply chain code scheme as curvature. Works by [8] proposes new chain code scheme in image retrieval.

Based on these three categories of previous works, it shows that the image recognition and feature extraction can possibly include corner detection as part of pre-processing and image processing step. The study also shows that chain code is relevant scheme and representation in image recognition and feature extraction. They give motivation and ideas on new framework in image recognition particularly for line drawing that combines feature extraction, corner detection and chain code representation.

## 3. THE PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

### 3.1 The Framework

This section presents the framework and its steps. Fig. 1 shows the framework diagram. The dotted box in the figure represents the input and output of the process contained in the solid box. There are five steps in the framework namely pre-processing, corner detection, chain code generation, feature extraction, and recognition. First, data preparation and pre-processing involving image processing tools in producing thinned binary image are performed. The derived thinned binary image (TBI) is then altered by the corner detection algorithm to produce a new modified TBI that contains additional $J$ characters representing corner of the image. By defining new chain code scheme, a series of chain code is derived from the modified TBI. This chain code series is then analyzed by the feature extraction algorithm based on three pre-defined features of line drawing namely corner properties, distance of edge between corners, and angle between corners. The extracted features are then used in the recognition process. The following subsections explain in detail each step while their experimental results are presented in Section 4.


FIGURE 1: The Proposed Line Drawing Recognition Framework.

### 3.2 Data Definition and Pre-Processing

Defining data set of line drawing involves several assumptions such as the line drawing is a twodimensional regular line drawing and the source of the line drawing would be from origami world. Pre-processing involves resizing and thinning the image, cleaning or removing noise and unwanted pixel, and reconnecting lines if necessary. This process is performed manually using tools such as Microsoft Paint and simple script based on MATLAB function. Since it is beyond the scope of discussion, they are not discussed in this paper. This step produces a TBI of the line drawing.

### 3.3 Development of Corner Detection Algorithm

This step reads cleaned TBI and creates a modified TBI with J character in the image. The module involves two phases. First, starting point to traverse is determined. Second, cluster grouping is performed that is labelling the TBI with temporary label ' $G$ ', creating vector, generating vector list, and elimination of path and vector. The result of corner list in term of new TBI with J character is produced.

Any gate location is marked with $G$ character and cluster member with + character. Any cluster in image will be grouped so that cluster exit gate which defines start and stop point for branch can be searched. Tracker movement is depends on the number of gates left untouched on current cluster before moving on to another cluster, while the current cluster is always referring to the latest cluster found.

To determine corner position in every cluster, vector creation is executed using cluster gate list that is either a beginning or end of a branch. Since connection between gates is not included in the list, path tracing between gates is inevitable. Combined with the need to include line angle namely inclination or degree of slope as its properties, edge detection is concurrently performed here since it involves scanning for slope changes. A box or window is maintained as reference slope and moved throughout the path trace while the actual branch slope will be calculated from the branch start until current point. When the fluctuation between the slopes has passed over the accepted limit, a corner is declared as found.

Line vectors are created based on cluster gates to find corners located in every cluster by line extrapolation. Every cluster member will be cross-marked its point distance from line vectors attached cluster and the location with lowest value (lowest distance) will be chosen as a corner in the cluster. Newly appointed corner is tested for its connectivity with all gates in corresponding cluster to ensure that corner is enough for the cluster or additional corner is required. Now, all corner locations have been found and will be marked with J character in the line drawing to form a modified TBI.

### 3.4 Definition of New Chain Code Scheme and Development of Its Generator

This framework section proposed a new modified Freeman chain code scheme (MFCC). The development of this chain code scheme is parallel with the development of the chain code generator. The scheme is based on Freeman chain code but with additional character started from A, B, C...Z not to represent the direction of the pixel but the corner label passed during tracing. The chain code scheme is defined to have classification for outer loop and inner loop of the line drawing: outer loop is derivation of codes in the series of the boundary while inner loop is for remaining inner lines. The MFCC is a single chain code series and the reverse traversal of the code will produce the same source line drawing.

Using TBI with label corner $\mathrm{J}(0,1, \mathrm{~J})$ as input, tracking point will be set at the most bottom left pixel of image as starting location. The tracing will start from here and repeatedly tests for current position and neighbouring pixels for next move direction. The unlabelled corner previously marked its location with J, will be assigned a label that follows the latest used label. For starting point, the corner will be assigned 'A' character as its label and the corner is recorded in the chain code. Every corner found during tracing process is recorded in a First In Last Out (FILO) stack for rollback ability when the tracing reaches the end of any path. The boundary of line drawing will be used first for path traversing until the tracking point reaches back the starting point.

After traversing the outer loop, next is extracting chain code for inner lines. Positions for tracker should be at the same starting point as in outer loop counterpart. All remaining paths are traversed and all corner found and direction taken during tracing is recorded. When the tracker reached dead end, where the end should be a corner, corner stack is consulted for previous corner and if there is still corner left, the tracker will be set at that corner position. If there are no more corners left in the stack, then line drawing traversal and MFCC generation is deemed complete.

### 3.5 Defining the Features and Development of the Extraction Algorithm

This step involves two stages namely defining features to be used in the recognition, and extracting the features of the line drawing based on the generated MFCC. Identifying features of the line drawing is based on the geometric and topological properties of a line drawing. The three features are number of branches at each corner (F1), distance between corners (F2), and angle between corners (F3). Before extracting the features, layer where the corner resides in the line drawing must be determined starting from the boundary layer (outer loop) and moving into the inner loop based on corner linkage.

For F1, two properties is extracted namely the number of branches and the interconnectivity between corners. A table is created to represent these features. For F2 and F3, the calculation of these features is performed by a heuristic approach producing two values namely distance (length) in pixel for each corner, and branch angle at each corner. In MFCC, a branch will be found between 2 corner markers with its distance and angle will be derived based on directional codes defining the branch. Pythagoras theorem will be used to calculate the distance (F2) while the angle (F3) is using tangent formulation. Value of F1, F2 and F3 will be stored in corner property list, where the list is unique for each compared MFCC.

### 3.6 Recognition Process

Recognition involves comparison between two line drawings and it is based on the values of F1, F2 and F3. All features must be considered matched or accepted so that the recognition session to be declared successful. For F1, comparison of properties for each corner between two line drawings is performed. The list of corner properties with the number of branches at each corner for tested line drawing is rotated by one displacement until the quantity in both lists is matched. Corner labels are also important to be matched, but the pairing is limited to be performed on outer loop corners only since the labelling is in sequential order for this segment.

After F1 analysis is satisfied, analysis for F2 and F3 is performed by calculating the means and variances of both distance and angle. For F2, mean value represents ratio (\%) of the scaling process while mean for F3 represents the degree of rotation occurred between two line drawings. Variances for both F2 and F3 are used to measure on how far a set of distance and angle values are spread out among them against a preset limit. Thus for these features, variances are used as rejection/acceptance criterion in the recognition process.

## 4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULT

Result on two line drawings tested on the framework is presented in this section. The discussion on input and output of four steps in the framework of first line drawing (LD1) namely preprocessing, corner detection, generating the chain code and deriving features are presented. Next, second line drawing (LD2) and its features is presented as input of the fifth step, recognition process. The details of the first four steps for LD2 are not given because of its similarity in steps taken in LD1. After the features of LD1 and LD2 are obtained, the recognition process is conducted and conclusion of the recognition is displayed. The following sub-sections show the input and output of each step in the framework.

### 4.1 Pre-processing

This step reads input of image as shown in Fig. 2(a), produced temporary thinned image as shown in Fig. 2(b), and lastly output the thinned binary image as shown in Fig. 3(a). The detail of the process can be referred in Section 3.2.

### 4.2 Corner Detection

This second step reads the thinned binary image (TBI) and produces the thinned binary image with $J$ as shown in Fig. 3(c). The character $J$ indicates corner of the image detected by the algorithm mentioned in Section 3.3. As the intermediate process, the clustering produces a cluster map which shows the cluster member and its gates, marked with + and G, respectively, as depicted in Fig. 3(b).


FIGURE 2: The line drawing and its thinned image.


FIGURE 3: The TBI and its modification until final version with J character.

### 4.3 Chain Code Generation

Third step in the framework reads the TBI with J and produces the modified Freeman Chain Code (MFCC). Temporary binary image with additional code as defined in the MFCC scheme is created by the algorithm. Fig. 4(a) and 4(b) respectively show the temporary TBI created and the MFCC derived from the TBI. The temporary TBI includes the additional corner label A-H of the TBI that is
related to the derived MFCC shown in Fig. 4(b). Based on the algorithm, if Fig. 4(a) is traversed from A (most bottom left pixel) and continue the traversal, it will lead to the character B until H .

(a) Temporary TBI

A000000000B000000000000000000000C
222222222222222222222 D 22222222222
2E44444444444F44444444445G6656665
6665665666566656656665666AGF66666
666666666666666666H11111111111DH5 555555555B

FIGURE 4: The MFCC Chain Code Generation.

### 4.4 Feature Extraction

Fourth step in the framework reads the MFCC and produce a table consist of values of Feature 1 (F1), Feature 2 (F2) and Feature 3 (F3) as shown in Table 1. For F1, number in bracket in Current column indicates the number of branches from the corner, while Target column represents the connectivity of neighbouring corner from the corner. For F2 and F3, their values of distance and angle are shown in respective column. Distance represents the value of distance from Current corner to Target corner in unit pixel while Angle symbolizes angle value to point to Target corner from Current corner.

| Feature 1 |  | Feature 2 Distance (unit pixel) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Feature } 3 \\ \hline \text { Angle } \\ \text { (') } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Feature 1 Corner Properties |  | Feature 2 Distance (unit pixel) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Feature } 3 \\ \hline \text { Angle } \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corner P | perties |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current | Target |  |  | Current | Target |  |  |
| A (2) | B | 9.00 | 0.00 | E (2) | D | 12.00 | 270.00 |
|  | G | 32.99 | 75.96 |  | F | 11.00 | 180.00 |
| B (3) | A | 9.0 | 180.00 | F (3) | E | 11.00 | 0.00 |
|  | C | 21.00 | 0.00 |  | G | 11.05 | 185.19 |
|  | H | 14.14 | 45.00 |  | H | 23.00 | 270.00 |
| C (2) | B | 21.00 | 180.00 | G (2) | F | 11.05 | 5.19 |
|  | D | 21.00 | 90.00 |  | A | 32.98 | 255.96 |
| D (3) | C | 21.00 | 270.00 | H (3) | F | 23.00 | 90.00 |
|  | E | 12.00 | 90.00 |  | B | 14.14 | 225.00 |
|  | H | 15.56 | 225.00 |  | D | 15.56 | 45.00 |

TABLE 1: Value of features for LD1.

### 4.5 Recognition

As mentioned earlier in this section, for recognition purpose more than one line drawing is required as comparison. For example, if there are two line drawings to be compared, recognition process reads two set of features values for every given line drawings. The recognition produces
one of recognition result enumerated in Table 2. The result from recognition also provides angle of rotation and ratio of scaling of LD2 from LD1 based on mean values found during variance calculation in recognition algorithm.

| Result | Similar image | Rotation | Scaling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | No | No |
| 2 |  | Yes | No |
| 3 |  | No | Yes |
| 4 |  | Yes | Yes |
| 5 | Not | milar image |  |

TABLE 2: Category of recognition result.
Fig. 5(a) and 5(b) show example of line drawing 1 (LD1) and 2 (LD2), respectively. The features of LD1 are as shown in Table 1 while features of LD2 are shown in Table 3. These tables will be used in recognition process.


FIGURE 5: The input of recognition process with corners labelled.

| Feature 1 |  | Feature 2 | Feature 3 | Feat | e 1 | Feature 2 | Feature 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corner Properties |  | Distance (unit pixel) | Angle <br> ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Corner Properties |  | Distance (unit pixel) | Angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |
| Current | Target |  |  | Current | Target |  |  |
| A (2) | B | 15.56 | 45.00 | E (2) | D | 8.60 | 324.46 |
|  | G | 15.56 | 135.00 |  | F | 24.70 | 211.76 |
| B (3) | A | 15.56 | 225.00 | F (2) | E | 24.70 | 31.76 |
|  | C | 9.90 | 45.00 |  | G | 7.07 | 315.00 |
|  | H | 12.00 | 180.00 | G (3) | F | 7.07 | 135.00 |
| C (2) | B | 9.90 | 225.00 |  | A | 15.56 | 315.00 |
|  | D | 8.49 | 135.00 |  | H | 10.00 | 0.00 |
| D (3) | C | 8.49 | 315.00 | H (3) | G | 10.00 | 180.00 |
|  | E | 8.60 | 144.46 |  | B | 12.00 | 0.00 |
|  | H | 18.38 | 225.00 |  | D | 18.38 | 45.00 |

TABLE 3: Value of features for LD2
Table 4 shows the comparison of features F1, F2 and F3. For F1, the label for outer loop corners will be rotated as well as the sum of branches in order to find the pairing of the corners. The value of Distance Ratio is the scaling ratio between two distance values of F2 in Table 1 and 3. The

Distance Ratio represents the scaling factor of the new line drawing. For F3, the angle difference (Angle Diff.) is calculated based on the values of angle in Table 1 and 3. The values are then normalised. The normalised values indicate the rotation angle of the operation. Table 4 shows the value of mean and variance for F2 and F3. For F2, the value mean 0.768 indicates that the $76.8 \%$ scaling had happened for LD2. For F3, the value 44.40 indicates that line drawing 2 also have been rotated $44^{\circ}$ with the rotation direction will be conferred from the actual angle difference value since the information is lost when angle normalization is executed. The exact answer would be the LD1 has been resized to $75 \%$ and $45^{\circ} \mathrm{CW}$ rotation to form LD2.

The value of variance is to measure structure lines properties uniformity. This value should be small enough to show that the line drawing 2 is really based on the line drawing 1. To judge how small the variance value must be is by comparing it to generic error margin set so that it must be lower than this value. If error margin is set to 0.1 for F2 and 15 for F3, the comparison can be allowed that LD2 is similar to LD1 after $76 \%$ scaled and $45^{\circ}$ rotated clockwise. This acceptance is because variance value for F2 is 0.00093 and F3 is 1.863 that is less than error margin set.

| F1 (LD1) |  | F1 (LD2) |  | F2 |  | F3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corner |  | Corner |  | Distance Ratio | Angle Diff. |  |
| Current | Target | Current | Target |  | Actual | Normalized |
| A | B | F | G | 0.786 | -315.00 | 45.00 |
|  | G |  | E | 0.749 | 44.20 | 45.20 |
| B | A | G | F | 0.786 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
|  | C |  | A | 0.741 | -315.00 | 45.00 |
| C | B | A | G | 0.741 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
|  | D |  | B | 0.741 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
| D | C | B | A | 0.741 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
|  | E |  | C | 0.825 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
| E | D | C | B | 0.825 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
|  | F |  | D | 0.771 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
| F | E | D | C | 0.771 | -315.00 | 45.00 |
|  | G |  | E | 0.779 | 40.73 | 40.73 |
| G | F | E | D | 0.779 | -319.27 | 40.73 |
|  | A |  | F | 0.749 | 44.20 | 44.20 |
| H | F | H | D | 0.800 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
|  | D |  | B | 0.771 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
|  | B |  | G | 0.707 | 45.00 | 45.00 |
| Mean |  |  |  | 0.768 |  | 44.40 |
| Variance |  |  |  | 0.00093 |  | 1.863 |

TABLE 4: Feature Comparison Table in Recognition Process

## 5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The results show that the framework successfully detects corner, generates chain code, extracts features, and finally recognizes the line drawing via comparison. The proposed heuristic corner detection algorithm provides a simpler way since it does not involve complex mathematical equation in the calculation. The advantage of the proposed MFCC is that a line drawing can be represented as one single code series. This overcomes problems in representing a line drawing by more than single series of chain code such as proposed by Freeman chain code. The proposed extraction algorithm successfully reads and derives features which is considered simple and yet produced accurate result. The proposed three features is enough for recognition purpose. The advantage of recognition based on the MFCC is storage saving and increasing complexity of input drawing to be compared. Finally, an integrated system which can compare and recognize altered line drawing from its original is presented.
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