ASSESSMENT OF URBAN GREEN SPACE BASED ON LANDSCAPE ECOLOGICAL PRINCIPLES

SITI SYAMIMI BINTI OMAR

A dissertation for fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Urban and Regional Planning.

> Faculty of Built Environment Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2011

This dissertation is dedicated to my family. It is your love that keeps me going.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to thank Allah for His endless blessings, love and giving me strength to complete this task.

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Foziah Johar for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisors Professor Dr. Associate Professor Dr. Rafee Majid for their guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Mara (UiTM) for funding my Master study as well as for giving me opportunity and believing in me. Not forget, thank you for Librarians at UTM and all FAB's staff of Department of Urban and Regional Planning for their assistance in supplying the relevant literatures.

Lastly, my highest gratitude is to my parents, Omar Ali and Noridah Hussin for their endless love and supports, to my brothers, Amir Hamzah, Amir Afandi, Amir Hakeem and Amir Mustaqeem and also my best friends, Noor Masnira, Rafif Hatim, Fatimah Adi, Nurul Huda, Nursyahdah, Aslinaa, Siti Suhaila, Rabiah and Shakira for their help and companionship.

Thank you so much for everything.

ABSTRACT

Ecological factor has not always been the main factor to be concerned with when planning a city, thus a city has always been forgotten to be regarded as an ecosystem. If the city is treated as an ecosystem, there is a need to conserve adequate green space to fulfill the ecosystem services. Although the Malaysian statutory planning system through its development plan provides allocation of open space, the contribution of these open spaces towards urban ecosystem is still questionable. Therefore, this research is done to evaluate the ability of the planned urban green space of Johor Bahru City Council (MBJB) in two levels: neighbourhood and city to perform other function than just as a recreational space. By using GIS as a tool, assessment is done by using landscape ecological principles such as landscape element (urban green space), landscape structure (size, connectivity, shape and distribution) and landscape function (recreational and habitat for biodiversity) to assess whether the urban green space is able to function as a recreational space and habitat for biodiversity. The research finds that the urban green space is hard to become a habitat for biodiversity in terms of the connectivity. Nevertheless, in terms of the size of green space on the city level, it has a good potential to become a habitat compared to the size of green space in the neighbourhood level. Finally, the research concludes with recommendations for future research and recommendations to improve the green space condition to be able to perform another landscape function which is to become a habitat for biodiversity.

ABSTRAK

Faktor ekologi jarang dijadikan sebagai faktor utama dalam perancangan bandar, lalu menjadikan sebuah bandar tidak dianggap sebagai sebuah ekosistem. Keperluan untuk mengekalkan kawasan hijau akan wujud jika sebuah bandar dilihat sebagai sebuah ekosistem untuk memastikan bandar tersebut dapat menerima kesankesan positif kawasan hijau tersebut. Walaupun dalam aspek perundangan perancangan telah menetapkan penyediaan kemudahan kawasan lapang di dalam pelan perancangan, namun, sumbangannya terhadap ekosistem bandar masih tidak jelas dan kurang dirasai. Oleh itu, kajian ini dijalankan untuk melihat sama ada kawasan hijau yang dirancang di dalam kawasan Majlis Bandaraya Johor Bahru di dua peringkat: kejiranan dan bandar mampu untuk memberi servis kepada ekosistem bandar Johor Bahru selain daripada menjadi tempat rekreasi untuk orang awam. Penilaian kawasan hijau dijalankan melalui prinsip ekologi landskap seperti element landskap (kawasan hijau bandar), struktur landskap (saiz, perhubungan, bentuk dan taburan) dan fungsi landskap (tempat rekreasi dan habitat untuk biodiversiti) dengan menggunakan bantuan perisian GIS. Kajian ini mendapati kawasan hijau yang dirancang sukar untuk menjadi habitat untuk biodivesiti jika dilihat dari segi perhubungan antara kawasan-kawasan hijau tersebut. Walaubagaimanapun, saiz kawasan hijau di peringkat bandar mampu untuk menjalankan fungsi sebagai habitat jika dibandingkan dengan kawasan hijau di peringkat kejiranan. Akhir sekali, langkah-langkah untuk memperbaiki struktur landskap kawasan hijau dan skop untuk penyelidikan di masa hadapan bagi kawasan hijau bandar menjalankan fungsi selain daripada fungsi rekreasi dicadangkan sebagai kesimpulan kajian ini.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	Х
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	xiv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xv

1	BAC	KGROUND OF THE STUDY	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Background of Problem	2
	1.3	Problem Statement	3
	1.4	Research and Goal Objectives	4
	1.5	Research Question	4
	1.6	Study Area	5
	1.7	Scope of Research	7
	1.8	Significance of Research	7
	1.9	Research Design	7
	1.10	Chapter Outlines	8

2	URBAN GREEN SPACE	9
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Definitions of Urban Green Space	9
	2.3 Importance of Urban Green Space in Urban Ecosystem	12
	2.4 Classification of Urban Green Space	12
	2.5 Malaysian Planning Framework for Green Space	16
	2.6 Chapter Summary	23
3	URBAN ECOSYSTEM AND LANDSCAPE ECOLOGICAL	
	PRINCIPLES	25
	3.1 Introduction	25
	3.2 Urban ecosystem	25
	3.3 Landscape Ecological Principles	27
	3.4 Chapter Summary	40
4	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND STUDY AREA	41
	4.1 Introduction	41
	4.2 Methodology	41
	4.3 Assessment of Ecological Function of Urban Green Space:	
	Habitat for Biodiversity	46
	4.3.1 Size	48
	4.3.2 Shape	49
	4.3.3 Distribution and Connectivity	50
	4.4 Assessment of Social Function of Urban Green Space :	
	Recreational Space	51
	4.5 Data Collection	54
	4.5.1 Spatial Data and Non-Spatial Data	54
	4.5.2 GIS Data Layer	54
	4.6 Chapter Summary	55

5	ANA	ALYSIS RESULTS AND FINDINGS	56
	5.1	Introduction	56
	5.2	Assessing the Ability of Urban Green Space to Perform	
		Recreational Function	56
	5.3	Assessing the Ability of Urban Green Space to	
		Perform Habitat for Biodiversity Function	65
	5.4	Discusssion	73
6	SUN	IMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	77
	6.1	Introduction	77
	6.2	Summary of findings	77
	6.3	Recommendation	79
	6.4	Recommendation for future study	80
	6.5	Conclusion	80
REFERE	NCES	3	81
APPEND	ICES		91

ix

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.0: Definitions of Green Space	11
Table 2.1: Classification of Urban Green Space in Japan	13
Table 2.2: Classification of Parks in China	14
Table 2.3: Classification of Parks in America	16
Table 2.4: Types of Open Space According to Town and Cou	ntry
Planning Department of Malaysia.	19
Table 2.5: Classification of Parks according to SJER CDP 202	25. 20
Table 2.6: Proposed Indicator for Recreational Area of Johor	Bahru. 21
Table 2.7: Classification of Open Space by Johor State	
Planning Guidelines Manual 2002.	22
Table 2.8: Calculation and Distribution of Open Space	
Provision for Any Land Development according to)
Johor State Planning Guidelines Manual 2002	23
Table 2.9: Comparison between Green Space Classifications.	24
Table 3.0: Common similarities of landscape ecological princ	ciples by various authors
and publication.	33
Table 3.1: Classification of Principles According to Basic Principles	nciples by Smith &
Hellmund (1993).	37
Table 4.0: Metrics for Assessing Landscape According to Silv	va (2008). 43
Table 4.1: Assessing Urban Green Space based on Landscape	Ecological
Principles by Smith and Hellmund (1993).	43

Table 4.2: Analysis Framework for Assessment of MBJB Urban Green Space.	45	
Table 4.3: Landscape Assessment Compared to E.A Silva et.al (2008).	47	
Table 4.4: Planning Requirement of Open Space in Johor Bahru.		
Table 4.5: Assessment of Urban Green Space Based on Landscape Ecological		
Principles.	53	
Table 4.6: Database of the Study.	54	
Table 4.7: GIS Data Layers of the Study.		
Table 5.0: Requirement for Evaluating the Recreational Function of Green		
Space.	57	
Table 5.1: Requirement for Evaluating the Recreational Function of Green		
Space.	59	
Table 5.2: Royal Botanical Garden as one of the town park of MBJB.	61	
Table 5.3: The Results of E.A Silva's (2008) Study for Comparing Results.		
Table 5.4: Analysis of Habitat for Biodiversity Function based on Landscape		
Spatial Characteristics Neighborhood level: Play fields &		
Neighborhood Parks.	68	
Table 5.5: Analysis of Habitat for Biodiversity Function based on Landscape		
Spatial Characteristics for Overall MBJB.	73	

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE

FIGURE NO.

Figure 1.0:	The regional context of Johor Bahru City Council.	6
Figure 2.0:	Developmental skeleton of green space system	11
Figure 2.1:	Classification of Green Area in Various	
	Development Plan of Kuala Lumpur.	18
Figure 3.0:	The Urban Ecosystem Principles Adapted	
	from Smith & Hellmund (1993).	27
Figure 3.1:	Landscape matrix either in natural or urban environment	
	composed of patches and corridors.	29
Figure 3.2:	Landscape structure in natural environment.	30
Figure 3.3	Small patches has greater edge effect making the number	
	of core species lesser in small patches than larger patches.	30
Figure 3.4:	Irregular shaped patches has greater edge effect making	
	the number of core species lesser in small patches	
	than round patches.	31
Figure 3.5:	Single patch has lesser species than connected patches of the	
	same size as the species can move from one patch to another	
	by corridors	31
Figure 3.6:	Unconnected patches is more exposed to local species	
	extinction than connected patches that enables species dispersion.	31
Figure 4.0:	Spatial Structure Requirements for Performing Ecological	
	Function : Habitat for Biodiversity	48
Figure 4.1:	Characteristics of Lisbon & Porto Metropolit an Area and	
	Landscape Assessment Results.	51
Figure 4.2:	Spatial Structure Requirement for Performing Recreational	
	Function.	52

PAGE

Figure 4.3: Combination of Requirement for Assessing Recreational Function.	53
Figure 5.0: MNND and Distribution Pattern for play fields in MBJB	59
Figure 5.1: One of neighborhood level green space in MBJB.	60
Figure 5.2: Taman Merdeka is the only MBJB regional park.	60
Figure 5.3: MNND and Distribution Pattern for neighborhood parks in MBJB.	66
Figure 5.4: Round shaped patch (a) has lesser edge and larger area than irregular	
shaped patch (b) which has more edge and smaller area.	
Round shaped patch (a) shows the condition of lower LSI while	
irregular shaped patch(b) has higher LSI.	68
Figure 5.5: MNND and Distribution Pattern of Local Park in MBJB.	70
Figure 5.6: MNND and Distribution Pattern of Town Park in MBJB.	
Figure 5.7: MNND and Distribution Pattern of Town park, Local park,	
Regional Park in MBJB.	70
Figure 5.8: Unconnected patches (a) has more edge than connected patch (b)	
which has lesser edge therefore making the unconnected patches (a)	
has higher LSI while unconnected patches (b) has lower LSI	71
Figure 5.9: MNND and Distribution Pattern of Overall MBJB Green Space.	73

LIST OF SYMBOLS

 $\sqrt{}$ - The mean of the study population

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

DTCP Department of Town and Country Planning -NUP National Urbanisation Policy -KLSP Kuala Lumpur Structure Plan -Johor Bahru City Council MBJB -MNND Mean Nearest Neighbour Distance -LSI Landscape Shape Index -MPS Mean Patch Size -

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

TITLE

PAGE

A	Play Field Attribute Table	91
В	Neighborhood Park Attribute Table	92
С	Local Park Attribute Table	92
D	Town Park Attribute Table	92
E	Regional Park Attribute Table	92

CHAPTER 1

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

1.1 Introduction

An ecosystem is the overall interaction between biotic and abiotic factors in a habitat. Biotic factor is the living organisms such as humans, wildlife, plants and microorganisms that live in a habitat and abiotic factor refers to the non-living things such as the soil, water, air or the environment in which they live. Habitat can be defined as a place where organisms live and get all their needs to live. Therefore, urban area is eligible to be considered as an ecosystem too. The study about ecosystem has always been more focused towards natural ecosystem only. The studies on urban ecosystem becoming more frequent in recent years parallel with sustainable development movement. Urban ecological study is very important where interactions between biotic and abiotic factors can be observed and managed according to landscape ecological principles. Although sustainable development encompasses three main components- environment, social and economy can be considered as the most prominent as its quality affects greatly on the other two components.

An ecologically stable habitat is needed to ensure our quality of life. In the world that we live in today, a more sustainable urban planning has to be practised as a solution for urban habitat's major problems by providing adequate green space around the city. Green spaces in cities could be used by planners to counter climaterelated threats to biodiversity, as well as to improve flood control and air quality, and reduce urban heat island effects (Wilby and Perry., 2006). Urban area is inevitably could not be 'green' or sustainable without literally being green with abundant of green space. As ecologically sustainable urban area is usually associated with vast greenery.

Therefore, the task of environmentally sympathetic development is arduous because the land use planning, especially in urban areas, is a grueling and complex job, because to strike a balance between development and environment requires a great deal of mental exercise (Ismawi, 2006). Different than them, there are some countries, like the United Kingdom and Malaysia, who have some provisions for protecting the natural resources in their planning legislations supplemented with some independent laws for protection of the environment (Ismawi, 2006). By looking at these problems that a green space should solve, the quality of urban green space is assessed in order to know its level of service based on the landscape ecological principles. As urban green space plays an important role in urban ecosystem, it should have a good quality in order to serve other functions than being a recreational space for the urbanites.

1.2 Background of Problem

The urban area suffers environmental problems such as pollutions, flash floods, urban heat islands, species scarcity which affects the ecosystem health. These problems originate from the consumption of land for development that is usually in the name of human survival. Development is not the only mean to ensure human's ability to survive in their habitat. The environment that human live actually plays a vital role in determining the quality of life and the quality of life ensure the survival of human species. Nevertheless, by not focusing on the survival of human species alone, the ecosystem health also must be in a good condition in order to ensure the survival of all species. The ecosystem health can be measured by the quality of environment; pollution level, frequency of flash flood occurrence, temperature of urban areas. These qualities also depend on the eco-services provided by green spaces around cities. Green space functions as habitats for organisms, providing oxygen, lowering temperature, strengthening the soil, managing storm water, providing recreational value and improving the living environment. Unfortunately, green space are usually underutilised from performing its fullest potential. Green space in urban areas usually planned for recreational use only. In this modern era, with the sustainable development as a target, green space should become of the tools in mitigating the climate change and many other useful functions that should be optimized by green space. Yet still, it is implied that the existing open spaces are not valued as the city's heritage; therefore, the spaces are constantly under threat of land acquisition, changes and modification (Federal Department of Town and Country Planning, 2005). In addition to the matter, the open spaces have also loss their importance to physical development in which, their provision in many developments are usually either compromised for, largely being ignored or merely treated and included as leftover spaces (Mansor, M., 2007).

The pressure of meeting the demands for new housing, offices and transportation networks leads to the exploitation of new areas causing more pressure on the remaining nature in urban areas (Mortberg et.al, 2007). Now, planners have been facing the reality of having to bear the cause of past developments which compromised on the need of having a stable urban ecosystem through providing adequate green space. Green space should not just be preserved but if an area is to be developed into a green space, it has to follow certain criteria according to sustainable urban planning practise. The green space should not be provided merely to fulfil the requirement for development plan's approval only. It has to fulfil the requirement of being sustainable enough for the city which will make the city more sustainable for the future.

1.3 Problem Statement

In the ever-changing world today that faces the challenge of rapid development especially in the city, the effectiveness and quality of urban green space towards achieving sustainability is still questionable. As according to Tyrvainen (2001) and Neilan (2008), there is a lack of reliable and robust approaches to the valuation of urban green spaces that effectively support decision making. According to Gairola and Noresah (2010), as urban green space studies have great significance in the near future in accommodating the increasing urban population and as studies on urban green spaces have not received much attention, more studies should be focused on urban green spaces of Malaysian cities. Green space are not fully-utilized to carry out other functions that will benefit the whole urban ecosystem but rather been focused to serve as recreational space only. Urban green space should be able to perform other functions such as to promote habitat for biodiversity and although it is mostly agreed that green space gives many benefits, yet, green spaces are not evaluated to see whether the design and provisions really aimed and be able to provide those benefits.

1.4 Research Goal and Objectives

This research is aimed to assess the ecological quality of planned green space of Majlis Bandaraya Johor Bahru (Johor Bahru City Council) by using landscape ecological principles. The main objectives of this research are:

- i. To assess the ability of urban green space to perform multiple ecosystem services.
- ii. To evaluate the quality of the urban green space according to landscape metrics.

1.5 Research Questions

- i. What is the quality of current urban green space?
- ii. Can the current green space become habitat for biodiversity?

1.6 Study Area

Johor Bahru district is located in the State of Johor at the southern tip of the Peninsula Malaysia and is connected to Singapore by road and rail via a causeway. Being a tropical country, the average temperature is 27°C with high humidity. The minimum range of the mean relative humidity varying from 80% (in February) towards 88% (in November). Johor Bahru has been recognized as the growth centre for the southern part of the Peninsular while Kuala Lumpur in the centre and Pulau Pinang for the northern region. Johor Bahru is separated from Singapore by the Johor Straits and become the main entrance for Singaporeans to come to Malaysia. Johor Bahru is the most rapidly growing city in Johor with a population of 1,159,079 or 42.49 percent of the total population of the Johor State in the year 2000. This study focuses on the areas under Johor Bahru City Council (MBJB) which is one of five local authorities in the District of Johor Bahru. There are fifteen planning blocks under MBJB that constitutes 11.86% (21,551.40 hectares) of the total area of Johor Bahru district which is 181,776.20 hectares. The city center of Johor Bahru under the Planning Block1: Daerah Sentral.



Figure 1.1: The regional context of Johor Bahru City Council. (Source: Adapted from SJER CDP)

1.7 Scope of Research

The scope of this research is focused on how spatial characteristics of green space are suitable for encouraging the habitat for biodiversity function of green space according to landscape ecological principles. Green spaces which are assessed are planned spaces classified as 'open space' in Johor Bahru District Local Plan only. Data of the green space are obtained through secondary resources such as GIS database from local authority, Johor Bahru District Local Plan 2020, South Johor Economic Region Comprehensive Development Plan and also through observations. Landscape ecological principles are applied in the analyses which are conducted through metrics.

1.8 Significance of Research

The research will show the importance of a good quality green space to the urban environment. The quality of urban green space is evaluated based on landscape ecological principle to show whether urban green space is trusted to serve the urban ecosystem ecologically. The ability for urban green space to perform as habitat for biodiversity is assessed. This research will show the landscape ecological requirements for urban green space to perform the function.

1.9 Research Design

The study uses the principles of landscape ecology to assess the quality of green space in urban area. Although there are many authors who discussed and identified the principles of landscape ecology, the landscape ecological principles used in this study are selected principles which are considered relevant with the context of Johor Bahru besides considering the related statutory requirement on green space development. This will be discussed in Chapter 4: Research Methodology.

1.10 Chapter Outlines

In this study, Chapter 1 explains the direction of this study and the aspects that will be covered by the rest of the chapters and showing the relevance behind conducting this study. Chapter 2 discusses the aspect of urban green space. Chapter 3 examines the landscape ecological concept and principles. Chapter 4 explains about the methods used in the study. Chapter 5 discusses the data and analysis for the assessment of green space of MBJB. Finally, Chapter 6 will summarize and conclude for the study.

- Ahern, J. (2002). Greenways as strategic landscape planning : theory and application. Dissertation, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- Azwar, D.H., Ghani, I. (2009). The Importance of Green Space: Towards A Quality Living Environment in Urban Areas.
- Baycan-Levent, T., R. Vreeker an P. Nijkamp. (2009). A Multi-Criteria Evaluation of Green Spaces in European Cities, European Urban and Regional Studies, vol. 16, no. 2, 2009, pp. 219-239
- Binning, C., Cork, S., Parry, R. and Shelton, D., (2001).Natural Assets: An Inventory of Ecosystem Goods and Services in the Goulburn Broken Catchment. CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, Canberra, Australia.
- Blaschke, T. (2006). The Role of the Spatial Dimension Within the Framework of Sustainable Landscapes and Natural Capital. Landscape and Urban Planning, 75(3–4), 198–226.
- Breuste, J. (2003). Grundlagen der Modellierung der urbanen Landschaftsstruktur– Anwendung von Methoden der Landschaftso kologie in der stadto kologischen Analyse. In: Schmitt T (ed) Themen, Trends und Thesen der Stadt und Landschaftso kologie–Festschrift fu r Hans-Ju rgen Klink. Bochum, pp 1–14
- Breuste, J., Niemela, J. and Snep, R.P.H. (2008) *Applying Landscape Ecological Principles in Urban Environments.* Landscape Ecol.
- Brown, L.R. (2001). *Eco-Economy: Building an Economy for the Earth*. W.W. Norton, New York.

- Burrgi, M., Hersperger, A., and Schneeberger, N. (2004). Driving Forces of Landscape Change—Current and New Directions. Landscape Ecology, 19, 857–868.
- Dale, V.H and R.A Haeuber (2001). *Applying Ecological Principles to Land Management*, Springer, New York, New York.
- Davis, B.N.K. (1978). Urbanization and the diversity of insects In: Mound LA, Waloff N (eds) Diversity of insect faunas. Blackwell, Oxford, UK, pp 126– 138.
- Dramstad, W.E, Olson, J.D and Forman, Richard T.T. (1996). Landscape Ecology Principles in Landscape Architecture and Land Use Planning, Island Press, Harvard University Graduate School of Design.
- Evers, H.-D., Korff, R. (2000). Southeast Asian Urbanism : The Meaning and Power of Social Space, Munster : Lit Verlag.
- Flink, C.A., Searns, R.M., (1993). *Greenways: A Guide to Planning, Design, and Development*. Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Forman, R.T.T (1995). Some General Principles of Landscape and Regional Ecology, Landscape Ecology vol.10 no.3, SPB Academic Publishing bv. Amsterdam
- Forman, R.T.T (1995). Land Mosaics: The Ecology of Landscapes and Regions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Forman, R.T.T. and Godron, M. (1986). Landscape Ecology. Wiley, New York.

Gairola, S. and Noresah, M.S. (2010) Emerging Trend of Urban Green Space Research and the Implications for Safeguarding Biodiversity: a Viewpoint School of Distance Education, Universiti Sains Malaysia 11800, MINDEN, Penang, Malaysia

- Galloway, J.N. (1998). *The global nitrogen cycle: changes and consequences*. Environmental Pollution 102:15–24.
- Geneletti, D. (2008). Incorporating Biodiversity Assets in Spatial Planning: Methodological Proposal and Development of a Planning Support System. Landscape and Urban Planning, 84(3–4), 252–265.
- Gilbert, O.L (1989). The ecology of urban habitats. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Goldstein, E.L., Gross, M., Degraaf, R.M. (1982/1983). Wildlife and green space planning in medium-scale residential developments. Urban Ecol. 7, 201–214.
- Grimm, N.B., Faeth, S.H., Golubiewski, N.E., Redman, C.L., Wu, J., Bai X et al (2008) Global Change and The Ecology of Cities.Science 319:756–760. doi:10.1126/science.1150195
- Gustafson, E. (1998). *Quantifying Landscape Spatial Pattern: What is the State of the Art?* Ecosystems, 1, 143–156.
- Harris, L.D. and Kangas, P. (1979). Designing future landscapes from principles of form and function. In: Our National Landscape, Techniques for Analyzing and Management of Visual Resources. Washington, DC: USDA, Forest Service, Gen. Tech. Rep. PSW-35, pp. 725-729.
- Hesperger, A.M. (1994). Landscape Ecology and Its Potential Application to Planning. Journal of Planning Literature. 9(1):14-29
- Hesperger, A.M. (2006). Spatial adjacencies and interactions : Neighborhood mosaics for landscape ecological planning, Landscape and Urban Planning, 77, 227-239
- Jia, J. (2001). *Planning and Design of Green Space System*. Beijing, Chinese Forestry Press.

- Jim, C.Y. and Chen, S.S. (2003). Comprehensive Green Space Planning Based On Landscape Ecology Principles In Compact Nanjing City China. Landscape Urban Planning 65, 95-116
- Johor Bahru Local Plan 2020, Town and Country Planning Department, Malaysia. Johor Bahru Local Plan 2020 Technical Report, Town and Country Planning Department, Malaysia.
- Johor State Planning Guidelines Manual 2002, Town and Country Planning Department, Johor.
- Johor State Structure Plan 2020, Town and Country Planning Department, Malaysia.
- Johor State Structure Plan 2020 Technical Report, Town and Country Planning Department, Malaysia.
- Kaplan R, Kaplan S. (1989). *The experience of nature: A psychological perspective*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Klopatek, J.M. and Gardner, R.H. (Eds.), (1999). Landscape Ecological Analysis: Issues and Applications. Springer, New York.
- Knight, R.L., (1999). Private Lands: The Neglected Geography. Conserv. Biol. 13, 223–224.
- Kong, F., & Nakagoshi, N. (2006). Spatial-temporal Gradient Analysis of Urban Green Spaces in Jinan, China. Landscape Urban Planning, 78(3), 147–164.
- Kumar, S., Stohlgren, T. and Chong, G. (2006). *Spatial Heterogeneity Influences Native and Non-native Plant Species Richness*. Ecology, 87(12), 3186–3199.
- Leeuwen, E.V., Nijkamp, P. and Noronha Vaz, T.D. (2009). *The Multi-functional* Use of Urban Green Space.

- Leitao, A., & Ahern, J. (2002). Applying Landscape Ecological Concepts and Metrics in Sustainable Landscape Planning. Landscape and Urban Planning, 59(2), 65–93.
- Leitao, A. B., Miller, J., Ahern, J., and McGarigal, K. (2006). *Measuring Landscapes: A Planner's Handbook*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- Li Feng, Wang Rusong, Paulussen, J. and Liu Xusheng (2005). Comprehensive Concept Planning of Urban Greening based on Ecological Principles : A Case Study in Beijing, China. Landscape and Urban Planning.
- Ligmann-Zielinska, A., Church, R. L., and Jankowski, P. (2008). Spatial Optimization as a Generative Technique for Sustainable Multiobjective Land-Use Allocation. International Journal of Geographic Information Science, 22(6), 601–622.
- Little, C.E. (1990). *Greenways for America*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- Maas, J., Verheji, R.A., Groenewegen, P.P., de Vries, S. And Spreeuwenberg, P. (2006). *Green Space, Urbanity and Health : How Strong is the Relation?*, Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health.
- Maller, C., Townsend, M., Pryor, A., Brown, P., & St Leger, L. (2005). Healthy nature healthy people: 'Contact with nature' as an upstream health promotion intervention for populations'. Health Promotion International, 21(1), 45-54.
- Mansor, M. (2007). Green Infrastructure as Network of Social Spaces for Health and Well-being of Urban Residents in Towns of Malaysia. Research Proposal.
 Faculty of Built Environment, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Marull, J., Pino, J., Mallarach, J., & Crodobilla, M. (2007). A Land Suitability Index for Strategic Environmental Assessment in Metropolitan Areas. Landscape and Urban Planning, 81(3), 200–212.

- McGarigal, K. & Marks, B. (1995). FRAGSTATS: Spatial Pattern Analysis Program for Quantifying Landscape Structure (USDA Forest Service General Technical Report PNW-351). Washington, DC: USDA.
- Miller, R.W. (1988). Urban Forestry: Planning and Managing Urban Green Spaces. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Miller, J.R., Hobbs, R.J., (2002). *Conservation Where People Live and Work*. Conserv. Biol. 16, 330–337
- Mortberg, U.M., Balfors, B. And Knol, W.C. (2007). Landscape Ecological Assessment : A Tool for Integrating Biodiversity Issues in Strategic Environmental Assessment and Planning, Elsevier, Journal of Environmental Management.

National Urbanisation Policy, Town and Country Planning Department, Malaysia.

- Ndubisi, F. (1997). *Landscape Ecological Planning*. In G. F. Thompson & F. R. Steiner (Eds.), *Ecological Design and Planning* (pp. 9–44). New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Neilan, C. (2008). *CAVAT: Capital Asset Value for Amenity Trees*. Revised edition. London Tree Officers Association.
- Niemela, J. (1999). *Ecology and Urban Planning*. Biodivers Conserv 8:119–131. doi:10.1023/A:1008817325994
- Opdam, P., Wascher, D. (2004). Climate change meets habitat fragmentation: linking landscape and biogeographical scale level in research and conservation. Biol.Conserv. 117, 285-297.

- Opdam, P., Steingrover, E., Rooij,S.V. (2006). *Ecological networks : A spatial concept for multi-actor planning of sustainable landscapes*. Landscape and Urban Planning 75, 322-332.
- Osman, M.T. (2005). Urban Landscape Management : In Research of a Sustainable System, School of Architecture, Planning and Landscape. Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, University of Newcastle.
- Randall T.A, Churchhill C.J, Baetz B.W. (2003). A GIS based decision support system for neighborhood greening. Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design; 30: 541-563.
- Risser, P. (1987). Landscape Ecology: State of the Art. In M. Turner (Ed.), Landscape Heterogeneity & Disturbance (pp. 3–14). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Risser, P.G., Karr, J.R., Forman, R.T.T., 1984. Landscape Ecology—Directions and Approaches. Illinois Natural History Survey, Special Publication 2, Champaign.
- Rusli, N.Ludin, A.N.M. (2010). Evaluation of Open Space and Recreation Area in Johor Bahru Tengah Municipal Council, UTM.
- Silva, E.A., Ahern, J. and Wileden, J. (2008). *Strategies for Landscape Ecology: An Application Using Cellular Automata Models.* Progress in Planning.
- Smith, D.S and Hellmund, P.C. (1993). *Ecology of Greenways: Design and Function* of *Linear Conservation Areas*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Sorrell, J. (1997). Using Geographic Information Systems to Evaluate Forest Fragmentation and Identify Wildlife Corridor Opportunities in the Cataraqui Watershed. Online paper. Ontario, Canada: Faculty of Environmental Studies, York University.

- Swaffield, S. and Primdahl, J. (2004). Spatial Concepts in Landscape Analysis and Policy: Some Implications of Globalization. Landscape Ecology, 20, 657– 673.
- Tan, K. W. (2006). A Greenway Network for Singapore. Landscape and Urban Planning, 76, 45-66.
- Thaiutsa, B., Fuangchit, L, Kjelgren, R. And Arunpraparut, W. (2008). Urban Green Space, Street Tree and Heritage Large Tree Assessment in Bangkok, Thailand, Elsevier, Urban Forestry and Urban Greening.
- Thwaites, K., Helleur, E. and Simkins, I. M. (2005). Restorative Urban Space: Exploring the Spatial Configuration of Human Emotional Fulfilment in Urban Open Space. Landscape Research, 3, 4, 525 – 547.
- Town and Country Planning Act 1976, Department of Town and Country Planning Malaysia.
- Turner, M. (1987). *Landscape Heterogeneity and Disturbance*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Turner, M., & Gardner, R. (1991). Quantitative Methods in Landscape Ecology. New York: Springer.
- Tyrvainen, L. (2001). *Economic Valuation of Urban Forest Benefits in Finland*. Journal of Environmental Management 2001; 62: 75-92.
- Tzoulas K, Korpela K, Venn S, Yli-Pelkonen V, Kazimiercak A, Niemela" J et al. (2007). Promoting ecosystem and human health in urban areas using green infrastructure: a literature review. Landsc Urban Plan 81:167–178. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2007.02.001
- Uuemaa, E., Roosaare, J. and Mander, U. (2005). Scale Dependence of Landscape Metrics and their Indicatory Value for Nutrient and Organic Matter Losses from Catchments. Ecological Indicators, 5(4), 350–369.

- Vos, C.C., Verboom, J., Opdam, P.F.M, ter Braak, C.J.F. (2001). Towards ecologically scaled landscape indices, Am.Nat. 157, 24-51
- Wang, S.S., Chen, C. and Yang, Q. (2008). *Ecological Infrastructure As A Powerful Instrument for Smart Conservation*. 44th ISOCARP Congress.
- Wilby, R.L and Perry, G.L.W. (2006). Climate change, biodiversity and the urban environment: a critical review based on London, UK, Progress in Physical Geography 30, 1 (2006) pp. 73–98
- Wiens, J.A., (1995). Landscape Mosaics and Ecological Theory. In: Hansson, L., Fahrig, L., Merriam, G. (Eds.), Mosaic Landscapes and Ecological Processes. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 1–26.
- Williams, J., Read, C.F., Norton, T., Dovers, S., Burgman, M., Proctor and W., Anderson, H., (2001). Australia State of the Environment Report 2001: Biodiversity Theme Report. Department of the Environment and Water Resources, Canberra. <u>http://www.environment.gov.au/soe/2001/publications/</u> theme-reports/biodiversity/index.html (accessed July 5, 2007).
- Wu, J., Hobbs, R. (2002). Key issues and research priorities in landscape ecology: an idiosyncratic synthesis. Landscape Ecol.17, 355–365.
- Wu, J. (2008). Making the case for landscape ecology—an effective approach to urban sustainability. Landsc J 27:41–50. doi:10.3368/lj.27.1.41
- Wu, R. (1999). The Classification of Green Space System. Chinese Horticulture 15(6): 26-32.
- Yaakup, A., Bajuri, H., Abu Bakar, S.Z., Sulaiman, S. (2001). Integrated land use assessment (ILA) for sustainable metropolitan development. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Zakariya, A. (1990). Urban Forestry in Malaysia: Current and Future. In: IUFRO XIX World Congress, 5-11 August 1990, Montreal Canada. Part 1, Vol.2. Canadian IUFRO World Congress Organizing Committee.