ZnO LIGHTNING ARRESTER EARTHING IMPEDANCE CHARACTERISTICS UNDER TRANSIENT OVERVOLTAGES

MEHRDAD MOKHTARI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Electrical - Power)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia To my wife Sepideh, to my daughter, Bahar, and to my parents

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First, I would like to express my thanks to Associated Professor Dr. Zulkurnain Abdul Malek for his comments, guidance, and advices in the preparation of this report. I am also grateful to my family for their patience during the entire report making process.

ABSTRACT

Lightning arrester in a power system is categorized as a device, which operates in transient conditions. Therefore, the performance of the arrester must be analyzed in transient circumstances. Some particular considerations such as physical (grounding installation) and electrical (impulse current) aspects must be taken. Hence, this research aims to take into account the effect of nonlinear characteristics of the grounding impedance on the residual voltage of the lightning protection system in different discharge conditions. In this issue, the lightning protection system consisting of ZnO and grounding model was adjusted to yield the accurate results in EMTP. For this purpose, IEEE dynamic model of ZnO arrester was adjusted such that the manufacturer's performance test results are achieved. The arrester was connected to the improved circuit model of the grounding electrode system. To analyze the performance of the lightning protection system with different grounding configurations, the system was subjected to the three groups of lightning impulse currents. For this purpose, CIGRE standard, Berger, and 8/20 (μs/μs) standard lightning currents were applied as impulse currents. The results shown that the lightning protection system cannot completely protect the power system equipment during the high amplitude and very fast front times of discharge currents, which were experienced under CIGRE and Berger current. In addition, residual voltages of the lightning protection system under standard performance tests for discharge currents less than 5kA do not exceed the protection level, but compare to the manufacturer's results, the residual voltages are considerably increased.

ABSTRAK

Penangkap kilat dalam sistem kuasa dikategorikan sebagai alat yang beroperasi dalam keadaan fana (sementara). Oleh itu, prestasi penangkap kilat mesti dianalisis dalam keadaan fana. Beberapa pertimbangan tertentu seperti aspek fizikal (asas pemasangan pembumian) dan elektrik (arus dorongan) perlu diambil kira. Oleh itu, penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk mengambil kira kesan ciri-ciri linear rintangan pembumian pada sisa voltan sistem perlindungan kilat dalam keadaan pelepasan yang berbeza. Dalam isu ini, sistem perlindungan kilat terdiri daripada ZnO dan sistem pembumian yang telah diselaraskan untuk menghasilkan keputusan yang tepat dalam EMTP. Bagi tujuan ini, model dinamik IEEE penangkap ZnO telah diselaraskan untuk mencapai keputusan ujian prestasi pengilang. Penangkap kilat ini telah disambungkan kepada model litar baik sistem elektrod pembumian. Untuk menganalisis prestasi sistem perlindungan kilat dengan konfigurasi asas yang berbeza, sistem adalah tertakluk kepada tiga kumpulan arus dorongan kilat. Bagi tujuan ini, kelas CIGRE, Berger dan 8/20 (µs / µs) arus kilat piawai digunakan sebagai arus dorongan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa sistem perlindungan kilat tidak dapat melindungi peralatan sistem kuasa semasa amplitud tinggi dan masa depan arus pelepasan yang sangat cepat, di mana ia telah berpengalaman di bawah arus CIGRE dan Berger. Di samping itu, sisa voltan sistem perlindungan kilat di bawah ujian prestasi piawai bagi pelepasan arus kurang dari 5kA tidak melebihi tahap perlindungan, tetapi sisa voltan ini meningkat dengan ketara berbanding dengan keputusan pengeluar.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------|---------------------------------------|------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | AKNOWLEDGMENT | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xiv |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS | XX |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Background of the Study | 1 |
| | 1.2 Statement of the Problem | 2 |
| | 1.3 Purpose of the Study | 3 |
| | 1.4 Objectives of the Study | 3 |
| | 1. 5 Significance of Study | 3 |
| | 1.6 Scope of Study | 4 |
| | 1.7 Methodology | 4 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 6 |
| | 2.2 Earthing System in Fast Transient | 7 |
| | 2.2.1 Soil Conduction Mechanism | 7 |

| | 2.2.1.1 Thermal Process | / |
|---|---|----|
| | 2.2.1.2 Ionization Process | 8 |
| | 2.2.2 Test Techniques | 9 |
| | 2.2.2.1 Field Test | 9 |
| | 2.2.2.2 Laboratory Test | 9 |
| | 2.2.2.3 Computational Methods Based | |
| | on the Equivalent Circuits | 10 |
| | 2.2.3 Modeling of the Grounding Impedance | 11 |
| | 2.2.4 Effective Parameters in High Frequency | |
| | Earthing Systems | 15 |
| | 2.2.4.1 Effect of Lead | 15 |
| | 2.2.4.2 Effect of Electrode Length and | |
| | Buried Depth | 16 |
| | 2.2.4.3 Effect of Front Time | 17 |
| | 2.2.4.4 Effect of Frequency | 19 |
| 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 23 |
| | 3.1 Introduction | 23 |
| | 3.2 Lightning Arrester | 24 |
| | 3.2.1 Arrester Selection | 26 |
| | 3.2.2 Setting and Adjustment of the Arrester | 29 |
| | 3.2.3 Circuit Validation | 35 |
| | 3.3 Lightning Current's Parameter Setting | 36 |
| | 3.4 Approaches to Ground System Modeling | 40 |
| | 3.4.1 Power Frequency Model | 41 |
| | 3.4.2 High Frequency Circuit Model | 41 |
| | 3.4.3 High Frequency Transmission Line Model | 44 |
| | 3.4.5 High Frequency Electromagnetic Approaches | |
| | in Modeling | 45 |
| | 3.4.5.1 Frequency Dependent Phenomenon | 46 |

| | 3.4.5.2 Soil Ionization Effect | 47 |
|---|---|----|
| | 3.5 Simultaneous Time and Frequency Dependent | |
| | Approach | 49 |
| | 3.6 Impulse Coefficients | 50 |
| | 3.7 Electromagnetic Approaches to Model the Grounding | |
| | Electrode in EMTP | 51 |
| | 3.7.1 Examples of Grounding Electrode under Very | |
| | Fast Front and Fast Font Durations | 52 |
| | 3.7.2 Use of Electromagnetic Achievements in Improved | |
| | Model of the Grounding System in EMTP | 55 |
| | 3.7.3 Validation of Improved Modeling Results in Very | |
| | Fast Front Time Conditions | 57 |
| | 3.7.4 Impulse and Stationary Correction Factor for | |
| | Vertical Configuration | 65 |
| | 3.7.5 Common Configuration of Grounding Systems in | |
| | Distribution Level | 68 |
| 4 | FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION | 80 |
| | 4.1Introduction | 80 |
| | 4.2 Lightning Protection System with Ideal Grounding | |
| | System Results and Discussion | 81 |
| | 4.2.1 Protection System with Ideal Grounding Model | |
| | under CIGRE Impulse Currents | 81 |
| | 4.2.2 Protection System with Ideal Grounding Model | |
| | under Berger Current Setting | 84 |
| | 4.2.3 Protection System with Ideal Grounding Model | |
| | under Manufacturer Impulse Current Setting | 85 |
| | 4.3 Lightning Protection System with Simple Grounding | |
| | Model Results and Discussion | 86 |

| 4.3.1 Protection System with Simple Grounding Model | |
|--|-----|
| under CIGRE Impulse Currents | 86 |
| 4.3.2 Protection System with Simple Grounding Model | |
| under Current Setting of Berger | 90 |
| 4.3.3 Protection System with Simple Grounding Model | |
| under Manufacturer Impulse Current Setting | 92 |
| 4.4 Lightning Protection System with Complex Grounding | |
| Model Results and Discussion | 94 |
| 4.4.1 Protection System with Complex Grounding | |
| Model under CIGRE Impulse Currents | 95 |
| 4.4.2 Protection System with Complex Grounding | |
| Model under Berger | 98 |
| 4.4.3 Protection System with Complex Grounding | |
| Model under Manufacturer Currents Setting | 100 |
| 4.5 Summary of the Results | 103 |
| 5 CONCLUSION | 111 |
| 5.1 Conclusion | 111 |
| 5.2 Recommendation for future Research | 112 |
| REFERENCES | 113 |
| Appendix A | 118 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|---|------|
| 3.1 | Typical station and intermediate class arrester characteristics | 27 |
| | from IEEE Std C62.22-1991 | |
| 3.2 | ABB commercial ZnO arrester data sheet | 28 |
| 3.3 | V-I setting points of the frequency dependent model for A0 | |
| | and A1 nonlinear resistors | 30 |
| 3.4 | Test table of the L1 value | 33 |
| 3.5 | Residual voltage of simulation result and manufacturer data | 35 |
| 3.6 | First negative stroke values based on probability of | |
| | incidence | 37 |
| 3.7 | Subsequent negative stroke values based on probability of | |
| | incidence | 37 |
| 3.8 | Lightning current values based on Berger | 39 |
| 3.9 | Manufacturer lightning impulse current setting for performance | e |
| | tests | 39 |
| 3.10 | Input data for Greev impulse coefficient formula | 51 |
| 3.11 | Physical parameters of grounding system for vertical | |
| | installation | 52 |
| 3.12 | Soil and electrode parameters of the grounding electrode | |
| | system | 58 |
| 3.13 | Impulse and stationary voltages of the simulation results | |
| | based on Grcev data | 60 |

| 3.14 | Soil and electrode parameters of the Electricit´e de France and | |
|------|--|----|
| | Greev study | 61 |
| 3.15 | Soil and electrode parameters of the 15m long horizontal wire | 62 |
| 3.16 | Soil and electrode parameters of the 3.05m long vertical | |
| | electrode, F, in clay | 63 |
| 3.17 | Soil and electrode parameters of four parallel vertical | |
| | electrodes, FGHI, in clay | 64 |
| 3.18 | Correction factors for α and β coefficients in vertical | |
| | configurations | 65 |
| 3.19 | Correction factor of vertical driven rods with different | |
| | arrangement under slow front positive impulse current | 66 |
| 3.20 | Correction factor of vertical driven rods with different | |
| | arrangement under slow front negative impulse current | 67 |
| 3.21 | Generalized correction factor of stationary duration for | |
| | vertical driven rods | 68 |
| 3.22 | Soil and electrode parameters of the single vertical | |
| | electrode, case1 | 69 |
| 3.23 | Soil and electrode parameters of the 2-parallel vertical | |
| | electrode, case 2 | 69 |
| 3.24 | Soil and electrode parameters of the 8m long horizontal | |
| | wire, case 3 | 69 |
| 3.25 | Soil and electrode parameters of the 15m long horizontal | |
| | wire, case 4 | 69 |
| 4.1 | First stroke residual voltages and protective margins with ideal | |
| | ground under CIGRE current | 82 |
| 4.2 | Subsequent stroke residual voltages and protective margins | |
| | with ideal ground under CIGRE current | 83 |
| 4.3 | Residual voltages and protective margins with ideal ground | |
| | under Berger current | 84 |

| 4.4 | Residual voltages and protective margins with ideal ground | d | |
|------|--|-----|-----|
| | under manufacturer 8/20 (μs/μs) current | | 85 |
| 4.5 | First and Subsequent stroke residual voltages of power | | |
| | frequency ground model under CIGRE current | | 90 |
| 4.6 | ZnO residual voltages with simple ground models | | |
| | under Berger current | | 92 |
| 4.7 | ZnO residual voltages with various earthing resistances | | |
| | under manufacturer current | 94 | |
| 4.8 | ZnO residual voltages with complex ground models | | |
| | under CIGRE current | | 98 |
| 4.9 | ZnO residual voltages with complex ground models | | |
| | under Berger current | | 100 |
| 4.10 | ZnO residual voltages with complex ground models | | |
| | under manufacturer current | 103 | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | NO. TITLE | PAGE |
|--------|--|-------------------|
| 2.1 | Impedance measurement of the grounding system | n 12 |
| 2.2 | Electrode responses to the current impulses in dif | ferent |
| | front times | 18 |
| 2.3 | Measured grounding impedance as a function of | front |
| | time of the impulse current | 19 |
| 2.4 | Profile of distributed voltage in 50 Hz current inju | ection 20 |
| 2.5 | Profile of distributed voltage in 500 Hz current in | njection 20 |
| 3.1 | Schematic representations of the overvoltages ver | rsus time |
| | duration in power system | 24 |
| 3.2 | V-I characteristic of the typical ZnO arrester | 25 |
| 3.3 | IEEE ZnO frequency dependent model | 29 |
| 3.4 | V- I Relationships for nonlinear resistor model | 32 |
| 3.5 | Injected current and residual voltage of the arrest | er in |
| | switching test | 33 |
| 3.6 | Injected current and residual voltage of the arrest | er in |
| | lightning test | 34 |
| 3.7 | High frequency gapless ZnO lightning arrester | |
| | configurations in EMTP | 34 |
| 3.8 | $8/20~(\mu s/\mu s)$ current waveforms with different am | aplitude 35 |
| 3.9 | ZnO residual voltage waveforms with the ideal ea | arthing system 36 |
| 3.10 | First and subsequent of 95% incidence probability | у |
| | current waveforms | 37 |

| 3.11 | First and subsequent of 50% incidence probability | |
|------|--|----|
| | current waveforms | 38 |
| 3.12 | First and subsequent of 5% incidence probability | |
| | current waveforms | 38 |
| 3.13 | First and subsequent of Berger current waveforms | 39 |
| 3.14 | 8/20 (μs/μs) manufacturer lightning current waveforms | 40 |
| 3.15 | Simple power frequency model of grounding in static analysis | 41 |
| 3.16 | High frequency circuit model | 41 |
| 3.17 | Relation between soil resistivity and soil water content | 43 |
| 3.18 | High frequency segmented RLC circuit | 45 |
| 3.19 | Surge characteristics of a 10m long electrode in earth | |
| | with $\rho = 100 \ \Omega$.m for first (a) and subsequent (b) strokes | 47 |
| 3.20 | Computed components of the potential at the injection | |
| | point for subsequent stroke current injected in a 10 m long | |
| | electrode in earth with $\rho = 100 \ \Omega$.m [46]. | 49 |
| 3.21 | Improved RLC circuit based on electromagnetic approaches | 55 |
| 3.22 | Voltage characteristics of a 10 m long vertical electrode | 56 |
| 3.23 | Voltage characteristics of a 10 m long vertical electrode | 57 |
| 3.24 | Voltage characteristics under first stroke current in a 10 m | |
| | long vertical electrode | 58 |
| 3.25 | Voltage characteristics under subsequent stroke current | |
| | in a 10 m long vertical electrode | 59 |
| 3.26 | Voltage characteristics under first stroke current in a 30 m | |
| | long vertical electrode | 59 |
| 3.27 | Voltage characteristics under subsequent stroke current | |
| | in a 30 m long vertical electrode | 60 |
| 3.28 | Computational results based on electromagnetic approach | |
| | in time domain for the circuit, transmission line, and | |
| | electromagnetic models, (a) first stroke-10m, (b) subsequent | |
| | stroke-10m, (c) first stroke-30m, (d) subsequent stroke-30m | 61 |

| 3.29 | Comparison of improved circuit result with measurements | |
|------|---|----|
| | by Electricit'e de France (FDE) and Grcev | |
| | electromagnetic computed result | 62 |
| 3.30 | Measurement and simulation of transient voltages | |
| | of 15m long horizontal wire | 63 |
| 3.31 | Comparison of Bellaschi measurement and Liew dynamic model | |
| | simulation with improved model for single vertical driven rod | 64 |
| 3.32 | Comparison of Bellaschi measurement and Liew dynamic model | |
| | simulation with improved model for 4-parallel driven rod | 65 |
| 3.33 | Stationary correction factor for positive and negative | |
| | strokes with different vertical driven rod arrangements | 67 |
| 3.34 | Common configurations of grounding systems in | |
| | distribution level | 68 |
| 3.35 | Voltage characteristics of improved model under | |
| | Berger current setting | 70 |
| 3.36 | Voltage characteristics of improved model under | |
| | manufacturer current setting | 70 |
| 3.37 | Voltage characteristics of improved model under | |
| | CIGRE first stroke current setting | 71 |
| 3.39 | Voltage characteristics of improved model under | |
| | CIGRE subsequent stroke current setting | 71 |
| 4.1 | First stroke residual voltages with ideal ground under | |
| | CIGRE current | 81 |
| 4.2 | Subsequent negative stroke residual voltages with ideal | |
| | ground under CIGRE current | 83 |
| 4.3 | ZnO lightning arrester residual voltages with ideal ground | |
| | under Berger current | 84 |
| 4.4 | ZnO lightning arrester residual voltages under $8/20~(\mu s/\mu s)$ | |
| | manufacturer current | 85 |
| 4.5 | First stroke residual voltages with 7 Ω earthing resistance | 87 |

| 4.6 | Subsequent stroke residual voltages with 7 Ω earthing | |
|------|---|----|
| | resistance | 87 |
| 4.7 | First stroke residual voltages with 11 Ω earthing resistance | 87 |
| 4.8 | Subsequent stroke residual voltages with 11 Ω earthing | |
| | resistance | 88 |
| 4.9 | First stroke residual voltages with 14.5 Ω earthing resistance | 88 |
| 4.10 | Subsequent stroke residual voltages with 14.5Ω earthing | |
| | resistance | 88 |
| 4.11 | First stroke residual voltages with 27 Ω earthing resistance | 89 |
| 4.12 | Subsequent stroke residual voltages with 27 Ω earthing | |
| | resistance | 89 |
| 4.13 | ZnO residual voltages under Berger current with 7 Ω | |
| | earthing resistance | 90 |
| 4.14 | ZnO residual voltages under Berger current with 11 Ω | |
| | earthing resistance | 90 |
| 4.15 | ZnO residual voltages under Berger current with 14.5 Ω | |
| | earthing resistance | 91 |
| 4.16 | ZnO residual voltages under Berger current with 27 Ω | |
| | earthing resistance | 91 |
| 4.17 | ZnO residual voltages under manufacturer current | |
| | with 7 Ω earthing resistance | 92 |
| 4.18 | ZnO residual voltages under manufacturer current | |
| | with 11 Ω earthing resistance | 93 |
| 4.19 | ZnO residual voltages under manufacturer current | |
| | with 14.5 Ω earthing resistance | 93 |
| 4.20 | ZnO residual voltages under manufacturer current | |
| | with 27 Ω earthing resistance | 93 |
| 4.21 | ZnO residual voltages under CIGRE first stroke current | |
| | with complex ground, case1 | 95 |

| 4.22 | ZnO residual voltages under CIGRE subsequent stroke | |
|------|---|-----|
| | current with complex ground, case1 | 95 |
| 4.23 | ZnO residual voltages under CIGRE first stroke current | |
| | with complex ground, case2 | 96 |
| 4.24 | ZnO residual voltages under CIGRE subsequent stroke | |
| | current with complex ground, case2 | 96 |
| 4.25 | ZnO residual voltages based on CIGRE first stroke current | |
| | with complex ground, case3 | 96 |
| 4.26 | ZnO residual voltages under CIGRE subsequent stroke | |
| | current with complex ground, case3 | 97 |
| 4.27 | ZnO residual voltages under CIGRE first stroke current | |
| | with complex ground, case4 | 97 |
| 4.28 | ZnO residual voltages under CIGRE subsequent stroke | |
| | current with complex ground, case4 | 97 |
| 4.29 | ZnO residual voltages under Berger current | |
| | with complex ground, case1 | 99 |
| 4.30 | ZnO residual voltages under Berger current | |
| | with complex ground, case2 | 99 |
| 4.31 | ZnO residual voltages under Berger current | |
| | with complex ground, case3 | 99 |
| 4.32 | ZnO residual voltages under Berger current | |
| | with complex ground, case4 | 100 |
| 4.33 | ZnO residual voltages under manufacturer current | |
| | with complex ground, case 1 | 101 |
| 4.34 | ZnO residual voltages under manufacturer current | |
| | with complex ground, case 2 | 101 |
| 4.35 | ZnO residual voltages under manufacturer current | |
| | with complex ground, case 3 | 102 |
| 4.36 | ZnO residual voltages under manufacturer current | |
| | with complex ground, case 4 | 102 |

| 4.37 | The residual voltages of the lightning protection system with | |
|------|---|-----|
| | various grounding models under CIGRE first stroke current | 104 |
| 4.38 | The residual voltages of the lightning protection system with | |
| | various grounding models under CIGRE subsequent stroke | |
| | current | 104 |
| 4.39 | The residual voltages of the lightning protection system with | |
| | various grounding models under Berger current | 105 |
| 4.40 | The residual voltages of the lightning protection system with | |
| | various grounding models under 8/20(us/us) standard current | 105 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a - Diameter

d - Depth

C - Capacitance

 E_0 - Critical electric field

Ig - Ionization current

 I_{max} - Maximum impulse current

L - Inductance

l - Length

R - Resistance

 S_{max} - Maximum slope of impulse current

 T_f - Front time of impulse current

 T_h - Tail time of impulse current

 ε - Permittivity

 μ - Permeability

 π - Pi number = 3.14

 ρ - Soil resistivity

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|--|------|
| A | MVK Lightning Arrester Manufacturer Data Sheet | 121 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

In normal operation, lightning arresters according to the micro characteristics (micro varistor) are in a block position until the system is exposed to transient overvoltages. Then in these circumstances, the lightning arrester is short-circuited and it instantly discharges the impulse current and mitigates the overvoltage to less than the desired value (80-85% BIL). In power frequency, a pure resistor characterizes the grounding system of the power system equipment, however, under transient overvoltage circumstances the nonlinear behavior of the grounding system, in relation to the high discharge frequency, requires a complex model of earthing system, which includes resistor, inductor, and capacitor [1]. In these conditions, the residual voltages of the lightning protection system is different when compared to the simple grounding model or power frequency cases. Therefore, the effect of grounding nonlinear characteristics in transient condition should be taken into account [2], [3]. Although many studies that have been conducted in the field of lightning protection systems include gapless ZnO lightning arresters, none of them shows the effect of non-linearity characteristics of the ground impedance under transient conditions on the residual voltage of the system. In the IEEE standard, only the inductive behavior is considered with a length of more than 300m. However,

many studies were done to analyze the soil and impedance behavior [4], [5]. Therefore, it needs more investigations to determine the effects of nonlinearity behavior of the grounding system on the protective performance of the lightning protection system, which includes the surge arrester and grounding system.

1.2 Statement of Problem

To damp the effects of the surge impulses in power system equipment such as transformers, reactors, or cables, use of lightning surge arresters are necessary. Surge arresters are connected between line conductors and earthing system by means of leads and connectors. In a simple configuration, ground electrode is modeled as a resistor and in some surveys, it is neglected. However, in transient conditions, two phenomena affect the ground impedance, which are soil breakdown and electromagnetic effects. Many parameters such as the length of the electrode, soil resistivity, discharge current magnitude, and current front time affect the ground impedance characteristics. In some cases, according to the previously mentioned parameters, the so-called impulse coefficient can be less than one or greater than one, which represents more or less the grounding system efficiency. Therefore, the voltage drop across the ground impedance varies with the effect of these parameters and behavior of the ground system. This voltage drop is represented by V(t)=R(t).I(t)+X(t), where R(t) is the soil breakdown nonlinear resistance, I(t) is the discharge current, and X(t) is the voltage drop related to the frequency dependent phenomena. According to the impulse coefficient of the earthing system (the impulse coefficient defined as $A=\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{R}$), the voltage drop in earthing systems can be more or less than the measured value in the simple resistive model. This voltage is to be added to the residual voltage of the ZnO lightning arrester. Therefore, the effects of the grounding impedance characteristics and effective parameters in transient conditions, on the total residual voltage of the lightning protection system should be taken into account.

1.3 Purpose of Study

The aim of this research is to survey the effect of dynamic ground impedance characteristics under transient overvoltage conditions and lightning current parameters on the value of the voltage drop across the whole lightning protection system, which includes the ZnO lightning surge arrester and the ground proper.

1.4 Objectives of Study

The objectives of the study are as follows:

- 1) To improve the model of the grounding system to cope with the various impulse conditions.
- 2) To evaluate the protective performance of the ZnO lightning arrester based on simple and complex grounding models.
- 3) To survey the effects of lightning current amplitude and front time on the protective performance of the lightning protection system.

1.5 Significance of Study

By determining the voltage drop value in different conditions according to the effective parameters of the impulse current for simple and complex models of the grounding systems, accurate data can be used in protection formulas.these data can be taken into account to determine the residual voltage across the lightning protection system during the insulation coordination considerations.

1.6 Scope of Study

The scope of the research is 33kV AC transmission overhead lines, which are protected by gapless ZnO lightning surge arrester grounded by simple and complex earthing system.

1.7 Methodology

This study was conducted to evaluate the effect of grounding system on residual voltage of the lightning protection system. Therefore, three main components of the lightning protection system, which are zinc oxide gapless lightning arrester, grounding system, and the lightning current source were taken into account. In this regard, IEEE-C62.22 was used to set the dynamic model of ZnO lightning arrester in transient conditions for ABB commercial lightning arresters (MVK type) in 33kV overhead transmission lines. A linear resistor was used as an equivalent circuit of the horizontal and vertical rod representing a simple earthing model. While, for the modeling of complex grounding system the improved grounding system based on the electromagnetic approaches was used as grounding system. The validity of the improved model was checked by the computational, simulation and experimental cases. Three types of current sources based on incidence probability, CIGRE impulse current, Berger findings, and ZnO standard performance test (8/20 (μs/μs) in different magnitudes) were used as current sources.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bellaschi, P. L. and Armington, R. E. Impulse and 60-type Characteristics of Driven Grounds III. *AIEE Power Transmission and Distribution*, 1942 .102 (2): 201-214
- 2. Geri, A., Garbagnati, E. and Sartorio, G. Non-linear Behavior of Ground Electrodes Under Lightning Surge Currents. *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, 1992. 28 (2): 105-112
- 3. Grcev, L. Time- and Frequency-Dependent Lightning Surge Characteristics of Grounding Electrodes. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2009. 24 (4): 223-230
- 4. Snowden, D. P., Beale, E. S. and Darveniza, M. The Effect of Gaseous Ambient on the Initiation of Breakdown in Soil. *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 1986. 33 (6): 145-156
- 5. Flanagan, T. M., Mallon, C. E. and Denson, R. Electrical Breakdown Characteristics of Soil. *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 1982. 29 (6): 564-571
- 6. Towne, H. M. Impulse Characteristics of Driven Grounds. *Generation Electrical Review*, 1928. 31 (11): 605–609
- 7. Snowden, D.P. Initiation of Electrical Breakdown of Soil by Water Vaporization. *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 1983. 30 (6): 574-582
- 8. Petropoulos, G. M. The High-Voltage Characteristic of Earth Resistances. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 1947. 33 (6): 375-382
- 9. Snowden, D. P. Morris, G. C. and Van Lint, V. A. J. "Measurement of the Dielectric Constant of Soil," *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 1985. 32 (6): 695-702
- 10. Mohamad Nor, N. Soil Electrical Characteristics Under High Impulse Currents. *IEEE Transactions, Electromagnetic Compatibility*, 2006. 48 (4): 826–828
- 11. Bellaschi, P. L. Impulse and 60-type characteristics of Driven Grounds I. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 1941. 60 (4): 375-386
- 12. Bellaschi, P. L. Armington, R. E. and Snowden, A. E. Impulse and 60-type characteristics of Driven Grounds II. *AIEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 1942. 61 (6): 267-271
- 13. Chen, Y. "Correlation Between Laboratory and Field Tests on the Impulse Impedance of Rod-Type Ground Electrodes. *IEE*, 2003. 34 (6): 467-471
- 14. Liew, A. C. and Darveniza, M. Dynamic Model of Impulse Characteristics of Concentrated Earths. *IEE*, 1974. 121 (2): 275-280

- 15. Thapar, B. Behaviour of Grounding Systems under Impulse and High Frequency Currents. *Technical Report No.16*, *Central Board of Irrigation and Power -India*. 1978.
- Ramamoorty, M., Babu Narayanan, M. M., Parameswaran, S. and Mukhedkar, D. Transient Performance of Grounding Grids. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 1989. 4 (4): 324-328
- 17. Velazquez, R. and Mukhedkar, D. Analytical Modeling of Grounding Electrodes Transient Behavior. *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, 1984. 103 (6): 573-579
- 18. Liu, Y., Theethayi, N., Thottappillil, R., Gonzalez, R. M. and Zitnik, M. Investigating the Validity of Existing Definitions and Empirical Equations of Effective Length/Area of Grounding Wire/Grid for Transient Studies. *Elsevier Journal of Electrostatics*, 2006. 24(3): 329–335
- 19. Mohamad Nor, N. Simulation of Earthing system under High Impulse Condition. *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, 2006. 32 (6): 362-364
- 20. IEEE standard. Guide for Measurement of Impedance and Safety Characteristics of Large, Extended or Interconnected Grounding Systems, 1991. IEEE Std 81.2.
- 21. Grcev, L. Modeling of Grounding Electrodes Under Lightning Currents. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, 2009. 51 (3): 493-496
- 22. Theethayi, N., Thottappillil, R., Paolone, Nucci, M. C. A. and Rachidi, F. External Impedance and Admittance of Buried Horizontal Wires for Transient Studies Using Transmission Line Analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation*, 2007. 14 (3): 238-240
- 23. Gatta, F.M., Geri, A., Lauria, S. and Maccioni, M. Simplified HV Tower Grounding System Model for Back Flashover Simulation. *Elsevier on Electric Power Systems Research*, 2011. 23(4): 16-23
- 24. Salari, J.C. and Portela, C. Grounding Systems Modeling Including Soil Ionization. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2008. 20 (3): 497-501
- 25. Poljak, D., Lucic, R., Doric, V. and Antonijevic, S. Frequency Domain Boundary Element Versus Time Domain Finite Element Model for the Transient Analysis of Horizontal Grounding Electrode. *Elsevier on Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements*, 2011. Volume 35 (5): 375–382
- 26. Lorentzou, M. I., Hatziargyriou, N. D. and Papadias, B. C. Time Domain Analysis of Grounding Electrodes Impulse Response. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2003. 18 (2): 237-241
- 27. Grcev, L. Impulse Efficiency of Ground Electrodes. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2005. 24 (1): 364-369

- 28. Grcev, L. High Frequency Circuit Equivalents of a Vertical Ground Rod. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2005. 20 (2): 422-427
- 29. Alipio, R.S., Schroederb, M.A.O., Afonsoa, M.M., Oliveiraa, T.A.S. and Assisc, S.C. Electric Fields of Grounding Electrodes with Frequency Dependent Soil Parameters. *Elsevier on Electric Power Systems Research*, 2011. 20 (3): 220-226
- 30. Sheshyekani, K., Sadeghib, S.H.H., Moinib, R. and Rachidi, F. Frequency Domain Analysis of Ground Electrodes Buried in an Ionized Soil When Subjected to Surge Currents: A MoM–AOM Approach. *Elsevier on Electric Power Systems Research*, 2010. 13 (4): 290-296
- 31. Gómez, P. and Uribe, F. A. The Numerical Laplace Transform: An Accurate Technique for Analyzing Electromagnetic Transients on Power System Devices. *Elsevier on Electric Power Systems Research*, 2011. 12 (3): 220-226
- 32. Cidrás, J. A., Otero, F. and Garrido, C. Nodal Frequency Analysis of Grounding Systems Considering the Soil Ionization Effect. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2000. 15 (1): 378-382
- 33. IEEE standard. Guide for Measuring Earth Resistivity, Ground Impedance, and Earth Surface Potentials of a Ground System. ANSI/IEEE Std 81, 1983.
- 34. IEEE standard. Guide for the Connection of Surge Arresters to Protect Insulated, Shielded Electric Power Cable Systems. IEEE Std 1299, 1996.
- 35. Ma, J. and Dawalibi, F. P. Effects of Inductive Coupling Between Leads in Ground Impedance Measurements Using the Fall-of-Potential Method. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 1999. 23 (6): 234-240
- 36. Visacro, S. and Soares, A. A Model for Simulation of Lightning-Related Engineering Problems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2005. 20 (2): 132-136
- 37. Mohamad Nor, N., Haddad, A. and Griffiths, H. Determination of Threshold Electric Field EC of Soil Under High Impulse Currents. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2005. 20 (3): 384-390
- 38. Bourg, S. and Debu, T. Deep Earth Electrodes in High Resistive Ground: Frequency Behavior. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 1995. 25 (6): 331-336
- 39. Ametan, A., Chikaraaa, T., Moriib, H. and Kubob, T. Impedance Characteristics of Grounding Electrodes on Earth Surface. *Elsevier on Electric Power Systems Research*, 2012. 25 (3): 342-348
- 40. Choi, J.H., Shin, H.K. Kim, D.S. and Lee, B. H. Grounding Impedance Based on the Current Distribution for the Horizontal Electrode Installed in 2 Layer Soil Structure. *Presented at the IEEE 7th Asia-Pacific International Conference on Lightning*, November.2011. 234-238

- 41. Choi, J. H. and Lee, B. H. An Analysis of Conventional Grounding Impedance Based on the Impulsive Current Distribution of a Horizontal Electrode. *Elsevier on Electric Power Systems Research*, 2011. 24 (4): 30-37
- 42. Vujevic, S., Sarajcev, P. and Lovric, D. Time-Harmonic Analysis of Grounding System in Horizontally Stratified Multilayer Medium. *Elsevier on Electric Power Systems Research*, 2011. 12 (4): 28-34
- 43. IEEE standard. Guide for the Application of Metal-Oxide Surge Arresters for Alternating-Current Systems. IEEE Std C62.22, 2009.
- 44. IEC standard. Surge Arresters-Selection and Application Recommendations. IEC 60099-5, 1997.
- 45. IEEE standard. *Guide for the Application of Insulation Coordination*. IEEE Std 1313.2,1999.
- 46. IEC standard. Surge arresters-Part 5: Selection and application recommendations. IEC 60099-5, 1997.
- 47. IEEE Working Group. Application of Surge Protective Devices Subcommittee, Surge Protective Devices Committee. *Transactions on Power Delivery*, 1992. 7 (1): 172-178
- 48. IEC Standard. IEC Standard for Protection against Lightning, Part 1: General Principles. IEC 62305-1, 2011.
- 49. Rachidi, F., Janischewskyj, W., Hussein, A. M., Nucci, C. A., Guerrieri, S., Kordi, B. and Chang, J. S. Current and electromagnetic field associated with lightning-return strokes to tall towers. *IEEE Transaction on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, 2001. 43 (3): 356-367
- 50. Berger, K., Anderson, R. B. and Kroninger, H. Parameters of lightning flashes. *Electra*, 1975. 80 (41): 23-37
- 51. Zulkurnain, A. M. *Lightning Tests on High Voltage Surge Arresters*. M.S Thesis. School of Electrical, Electronic and Systems Engineering. University of Wales, College of Cardiff; 1995
- 52. Mata, C.T., Fernandez, M.I., Rakov, V.A. and Uman, M. A. EMTP modeling of a Triggered Lightning Strike to the Phase Conductor of an Overhead Distribution Line. *IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation*, 2000. 15 (4): 163-169
- 53. Gadani, D. H. and Yas, A. D. V. Measurement of complex dielectric constant of soils of Gujarat at X- and C- band microwave frequencies. Ind*ian Journal of Radio and Space Physics*, 2008. 23(37): 221-229
- 54. Grcev, L. Time and Frequency Dependent Lightning Surge Characteristics of Grounding Electrodes. *IEEE Transaction on Power Delivery*, 2006 (3): 24-29

- 55. Rochereau, H. and Merheim, B. Application of the transmission lines theory and EMTP program for modelisation of grounding systems in high frequency range. *Collection de notes internes*, 1993. 15 (3): 21-24
- 56. Grcev, L. and Arnautovski, V.Comparision between Simulation and Measurement of Frequency Dependent and Transient Characteristics of Power Transmission Line Grounding. 24th International Conference on Lightning protection, Birmingham, U.K., 1998. 1: 524-529
- 57. Dwight, H. B. Calculation of the resistances to ground. *Electrical Engineering*, 1936. 55 (2): 1319-1328