

**SIMULATION ON THE EFFECT OF SUBMERGED BREAKWATER
TO THE WAVE TRANSMISSION AND RUN-UP**

ZAHEDER BIN ABD AZIZ

**A project report submitted in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil - Hydraulics and Hydrology)**

**Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia**

JANUARY, 2013

To My Beloved Mother and Father ...

Thanks for all your pray, attention and spiritual support...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the duration of completing this thesis, first and foremost I would like to give praise to Allah s.w.t on his blessing and gift of life in order for me to continue with my studies.

It is with great pleasure I would like to give my heartiest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Mohamad Hidayat bin Jamal on his tireless support and guidance. His dedication and willingness to go the extra miles is very much appreciated and will be my motivation for my future undertaking.

I would like to give thank also to my parents and family on their pray, guidance and moral supports for me to complete this study.

Also I would like to give thanks to my colleague in UEM Builders Sdn Bhd on their friendship and motivation for me to further my studies.

Last but not least, I would like to express my gratitude to my fellow classmates of hydraulic and hydrology course session 2011/13 on their co-operation and friendships. It has been a memorable one and half year. It has been great pleasure in knowing every one of you.

Thank you...

ABSTRACT

The construction of submerged breakwater is one of the methods to reduce the effect of wave energy on the beach. Submerged breakwater has many advantages compared to emerge breakwater such lower construction cost, a higher aesthetic values and have a lower environmental impact to the surrounding areas. The aim of this study is to simulate and investigate the effect of submerged breakwater to the value of wave transmission coefficient, K_t and also the run-up value on the beach slope. The initial data for wave period, wave height, water depth and beach slope was taken from experimental dataset done by Saville, (1955). Several submerged breakwater height will be tested in the numerical simulation which will follow the experimental setup done by Chiranjeevi Rambabu and Mani (2005). The numerical simulation will be based on the Non-Linear Shallow Water Equation (NLSWE). As a results, the wave transmission coefficient, K_t value will decrease in relation to the increase of submerged breakwater height. This will caused the increase energy during breaking and also the velocity speed of the wave induced currents. The increased of the current speed will resulted in a higher run-up value. The effective submerged breakwater height is determined by its wave reduction capabilities which influence the run-up values.

ABSTRAK

Pembinaan tembok pemecah ombak tenggelam permukaan adalah salah satu cara untuk mengurangkan kesan kuasa ombak ke atas permukaan pantai. Terdapat banyak kelebihan tembok pemecah ombak tenggelam permukaan berbanding pembinaan tembok pemecah ombak timbul permukaan diantaranya kos pembinaan yang lebih rendah, nilai estatik yang lebih tinggi malah memberikan kesan semulajadi yang lebih baik ke atas kawasan sekitarnya. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menilai kesan pembinaan pemecah ombak tenggelam permukaan ke atas nilai koefisiensi K_t dan nilai *run-up* diatas kecerunan pantai. Data utama seperti nilai masa ombak, ketinggian ombak, kedalaman air dan kecerunan pantai diambil daripada data eksperimen yang dilakukan oleh Saville (1955). Beberapa ketinggian tembok pemecah ombak tenggelam permukaan telah dipilih untuk diujikaji didalam simulasi komputer mengikut keadaan eksperimen yang dilakukan oleh Chiranjeevi Rambabu dan Mani (2005). Simulasi adalah berdasarkan '*Non-Linear Shallow Water Equation*' (NLSWE). Keputusan simulasi menunjukkan nilai koefisiensi K_t akan berkurangan dengan peningkatan ketinggian tembok pemecah ombak tenggelam permukaan. Ini akan mengakibatkan peningkatan tenaga semasa pemecahan ombak dan juga peningkatan kelajuan arus ombak. Peningkatan kelajuan arus ombak ini akan mengakibatkan peningkatan kadar *run-up*. Ketinggian tembok pemecah ombak tenggelam permukaan yang efektif adalah bergantung kepada kebolehan struktur untuk mengurangkan kuasa ombak yang boleh member kesan kepada nilai *run-up*.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Background problem	2
	1.3 Importance of study	3
	1.4 Objective	4
	1.5 Scope of study	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 General	6
	2.2 Sea, tides, wave, currents and surges	6
	2.3 Wave mechanics	8
	2.4 Wave transformation	12
	2.5 Wave set down, set up and run-up	18

2.6	Wave transmission over breakwater	20
2.7	Previous studies on wave transmission coefficient, K_t	22
2.8	Coastal management plan	25
2.9	Breakwater structure	26
2.9.1	Shore connected breakwater	28
2.9.2	Detached breakwater	29
2.9.3	Floating breakwater	30
2.9.4	Submerged breakwater	32
3	METODOLOGY	
3.1	General	33
3.2	Foundation to numerical simulation	34
3.3	Data collection	35
3.4	Numerical simulation setup	37
3.5	Computer program introduction	38
3.6	Model calibration	40
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	
4.1	General	41
4.2	Model calibration results	41
4.3	Effect of friction factor on the run-up	44
4.4	Effect of different wave characteristic on the run-up	45
4.5	Effect of submerged breakwater has on wave transmission	47
4.6	Effect of submerged breakwater has on the K_t value	51
4.7	Effect of submerged breakwater has on the run-up	53
4.8	Discussion	55
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Conclusion	59

5.2	Recommendation	60
-----	----------------	----

REFERENCES	61
-------------------	-----------

APPENDICES	63
-------------------	-----------

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Common equation in coastal engineering	10
2.2	Tabulated K_d value by Weigel (1964)	14
2.3	Summary of breaker type	18
2.4	Summary of coastal protection structure	27
3.1	Converted run-up data from laboratory experiment (Saville, 1955)	36
4.1	Run-up value of experiment and numerical simulation	42
4.2	Person's R-square value for empirical equation	54

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Representation to the linear wave theory	9
2.2	Water particle displacement in deep, intermediate and shallow water	11
2.3	Diffraction process due to barrier	13
2.4	K_d value by Weigel (1964)	14
2.5	Different form of breaking	17
2.6	Sketch definition of run-up, set up and set down	18
2.7	Tabulated figure for K_r estimation by Saville (1963)	22
2.8	Shows connected type breakwater	29
2.9	Shows detached type breakwater	30
2.10	Shows floating type breakwater	31
3.1	Graphical representation of NLSWE	34
3.2	Experiment dataset published by (Saville, 1955)	36
3.3	Numerical simulation setup	37
3.4	Example of variable used in FORTRAN program	38
3.5	Example of output file produced by FORTRAN program	39
3.6	Example of wave transmission profile using TECHPLOT	39
4.1	Percentage difference of run-up value for various friction factors	43
4.2	Run-up value of different wave characteristic and friction factor	44
4.3	Simulation run-up value for case with different wave period	45
4.4	Simulation run-up value for case with different wave height	45

4.5	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=6s$, $H=2.4384m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0$, $B/d=0$	47
4.6	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=6s$, $H=2.4384m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.625$, $B/d=1.25$	48
4.7	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=6s$, $H=2.4384m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.5$, $B/d=1.25$	48
4.8	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=6s$, $H=2.4384m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.375$, $B/d=1.25$	49
4.9	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=6s$, $H=2.4384m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.25$, $B/d=1.25$	49
4.10	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=6s$, $H=2.4384m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.25$	50
4.11	K_t obtained from numerical simulation reference to submerged breakwater height	52
4.12	K_t obtained from numerical simulation reference to submerged breakwater crest width	52
4.13	Comparison of K_t value simulated and calculated	53
4.14	Comparison of run-up value for different test cases	55

LIST OF SYMBOLS

K_t	-	Wave transmission coefficient
d	-	Water depth from the toe of submerged breakwater to <i>SWL</i>
d_s	-	Water depth from top of the breakwater crest to <i>SWL</i>
h_s	-	Submerged breakwater structure height from ocean bed
B	-	Submerged breakwater crest width
H	-	Wave height
E	-	Wave energy
T, t	-	Wave period
L	-	Wave length
g	-	Acceleration of gravity
d/gT^2	-	Dimensionless water depth
H/gT^2	-	Dimensionless wave steepness
h_s/d	-	Structure height to water depth ratio
<i>SWL</i>	-	Still water level
I_r	-	Iribarren parameter
f	-	Friction factor
η	-	Deviation height from the <i>SWL</i>
ρ	-	Water density
α	-	Slope of breakwater structure on the seaward side
θ, β	-	Slope of beach profile
F	-	Freeboard - measured height of breakwater crest from <i>SWL</i> . Negative value if structure is submerged

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Table A.1	Run-up, K_t simulated, K_t calculated value for case 1 ($h_s/d=0.625$)	63
Table A.2	Run-up, K_t simulated, K_t calculated value for case 1 ($h_s/d=0.5$)	63
Table A.3	Run-up, K_t simulated, K_t calculated value for case 1 ($h_s/d=0.375$)	64
Table A.4	Run-up, K_t simulated, K_t calculated value for case 1 ($h_s/d=0.25$)	64
Figure B.1	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=4.5s$, $H=1.2192m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0$, $B/d=0$	65
Figure B.2	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=4.5s$, $H=1.2192m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.25$, $B/d=1.25$	65
Figure B.3	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=4.5s$, $H=1.2192m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.375$, $B/d=1.25$	66
Figure B.4	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=4.5s$, $H=1.2192m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.5$, $B/d=1.25$	66
Figure B.5	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=4.5s$, $H=1.2192m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.625$, $B/d=1.25$	67
Figure B.6	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=5.5s$, $H=1.2192m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0$, $B/d=0$	67
Figure B.7	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=5.5s$, $H=1.2192m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.25$, $B/d=1.25$	68
Figure B.8	Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=5.5s$, $H=1.2192m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.375$, $B/d=1.25$	68

- Figure B.9 Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=5.5s$, 69
 $H=1.2192m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.5$, $B/d=1.25$
- Figure B.10 Simulation for case without submerged breakwater - $T=4.5s$, 69
 $H=1.2192m$, $d=5.334m$, $h_s/d=0.625$, $B/d=1.25$

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Coastal area is a country natural treasure trove which is priceless not only due to its diverse marine ecosystems but also crucial for the continuation of the country economic progress. However this area is facing continues threat of destruction due to action of natural forces such as wind and waves and also due to the interference of human activities. The establishment of coastal protection system is an important step in order to minimize the destruction and also to rehabilitate the damaged coastal area.

Countries with a large coastline area such as United State of America, Australia and Japan are the pioneer in implementing coastal protection systems. This system can be implemented in the form of soft or hard approached. Soft approached dictates the coastal protection systems that does not require permanent protection structure such as better conservation regulation, stricter law enforcement, giving greater public awareness etc. The hard approached is the opposite where permanent structures need to be constructed in order to protect the shoreline area. These permanent structures such as jetties, sea wall, tide gate, emerged breakwater, submerged breakwater etc.

1.2 Background problem

Wave and current forces is the source of many coastal engineering problems. These natural forces not only cause damage the coastal area but also damage the properties of the communities located along the coastal area. The need to provide protection to the coastline area is crucial in order to avoid further devastation. The construction of shoreline protection structure such as submerged breakwater is one of the methods available in order to reduce this problem.

However in order to ensure the submerged breakwater structure effectiveness, the determination of the submerged breakwater dimension need to consider many factors such as the local wave parameters, material to be used for the submerged breakwater, the location of the breakwater etc. All this parameter will influence the submerged breakwater wave transmission coefficient which also can be the basis of measuring the structure effectiveness. The purpose of this study is to investigate the effect of different submerged breakwater geometry have on the wave transmission and run-up values.

1.3 Importance of study

The main function of constructing submerged breakwater structure is as a wave reduction structure. The submerged breakwater will act as an artificial barrier which will reduce the energy of the propagating wave and currents. However the effectiveness of the submerged breakwater structure depends very much on the structure geometry such as breakwater height and crest width. This study is done in order to investigate the effect of the structure geometry has on the submerged breakwater wave reduction capabilities or wave transmission coefficient values.

Without the additional submerged breakwater structure acting as an artificial barrier the coastal area will be subjected to the devastating impact of the high wave and current forces. This energy of this wave will hit the beach surface with great force, which will then dislodge the loose soil particle on the slope surface. The repeating impact of the high wave on the slope of the beach will expedite the coastal erosion problems. Apart from expediting the coastal erosion problems, the high wave impacting the slope of the beach will also caused high run-up value. This high run-up value will cause the excessive water to spill over on land and will caused flesh flooding. The frequent flesh flooding in the coastal area will allow sea water excess in order to infiltrate more easily into the ground water table. Due to the salinity of the sea water, excessive amount of sea water infiltration will contaminate the fresh ground water table in the coastal area.

1.4 Objective

The objective of this study will involve two different criteria or case study.

1.4.1 Case without submerged breakwater

- A) To investigate the effect of friction factor has on the value of run-up on the slope of the beach

- B) To investigate the effect of different wave characteristics has on the value of run-up on the slope of the beach

1.4.2 Case with submerged breakwater

- A) To simulate and investigate the effect of submerged breakwater with different geometry has on wave transmission coefficient value, K_t
- B) To evaluate the effect of submerged breakwater with different geometry has on values of run up at the slope of beach

1.5 Scope of work

The purpose of this study is to investigate the effect of submerged breakwater with different design geometry has on the wave transmission coefficient value K_t and also the value of run-up at the beach slope. This study will be conducted by using computer program in order to simulate and produced numerical calculation on the wave transmission propagating above the submerged breakwater and also the run-up value over the slope of the beach. The computer program will be using the Non-linear Shallow Water equation NLSWE based model which was first developed by Kobayashi, et al. (1987) and further developed by Pedrozo-Acuna (2005).

There are many factors that will influence the wave transmission coefficient value K_t . However for this study, the focus will be on the influence factors due to the different wave characteristic and different submerged breakwater geometry. For the factor involves the different wave characteristic the simulation data will be based on the dataset produced by Saville (1955). A total six different wave characteristic will be used in the numerical simulation. As for the different submerged breakwater geometry focus will be on the submerged breakwater ratio comprises of structure height to depth ratio h_s/d and also breakwater crest width to depth ratio B/d which will based on the work done by Chiranjeevee Rambabu and Mani (2005). Other

influence factors for the submerged breakwater structure such as breakwater structure slope, impermeability, structure orientation were not being considered.

REFERENCES

- Chiranjeevi Rambabu, A and Mani, J.S. (2005). Numerical prediction of performance of submerged breakwater, *Ocean Engineering* 32(2005) pp.1235-1246
- Coastal Engineering Manual (2002). US Army Coastal Engineering Research Centre.,1, U.S Government Printing Office ,Washington D.C.
- D'Angremond, K., Van der Meer, J.W., De Jong, R.J., 1996, Wave Transmission at Low-crested Structures, *Proceedings of the 25th Int. Conf. Coast.Engineering*, pp. 2418–2427.
- Friebel, H. C., Harris, L. E., 1999, Re-evaluation of Wave Transmission Coefficient Formulae from Submerged Breakwater Physical Model, Florida.
- Fuchs, R.A., Johnson, J.W. and Morison, J.R., "The Damping Action of Submerged Breakwaters," *Transactions of the American Geophysical Union*, Vol. 32, No. 5, 1951, pp. 704-718.
- Jeffreys, H., "Note on the Offshore Bar Problems and Reflection from a Bar," *Wave Report No. 3*, Great Britain Ministry of Supply, 1944.
- Kobayashi, N., Otta, A. K., and Roy, I. (1987). Wave reflection and run-up on rough slopes, *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, Ocean Engineering*, ASCE 113(3), pp 282–298.
- Kobayashi, N and Wurjanto, A (1989), Wave transmission over submerged breakwaters, *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering*, vol.115, ISSN 0733-0950x/89/0005-0662, pp.662-680
- Pedrozo Acuna,A. (2011). Concerning swash on steep beaches. Ph.D Thesis. University of Plymouth, United Kingdom
- Saville, T.,Jr. (1955). Wave Runup on Shore Structures. *Journal of the Waterways and Harbor Division*, Volume 82.

- Saville, T., Jr. (1956). Laboratory Data on wave Runup and Overtopping on Shore Structures. Technical Memorandum No. 64. Beach Erosion Board. Corps of Engineers.
- Saville, T., Jr. (1963) Hydraulic Model Study of Transmission of Wave Energy by Low-Crested Breakwater, Unpublished Memo for Record, U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Beach Erosion Board, Washington, D.C.
- Shore Protection Manual (1975). US Army Coastal Engineering Research Centre., 1, U.S Government Printing Office , Washington D.C
- Sorensen, R. M. (2006). Basic Coastal Engineering, Springer Science, New York.
- Svendsen, I.A. (2006). Introduction to Nearshore Hydrodynamics, World Scientific, London
- Van der Meer, J.W., Janssen, J.P.F.M., 1995. Wave run-up and wave over topping at dikes. Wave Forces on Inclined and Vertical Structures ASCE — Task Committee Reports, pp. 1–27.
- Wiegel, R.L. (1962), “Diffraction of Waves by Semi-infinite Breakwater,” Journal, Hydraulics Division, American Society of Civil Engineers, January, pp. 27–44.