

**MEASURING EFFICIENCY OF  
PRACTICUM SUPERVISION AT  
SARAWAK TEACHERS' TRAINING INSTITUTE  
BY USING DATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS**

**SI TONG YONG**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA**

MEASURING EFFICIENCY OF PRACTICUM SUPERVISION  
AT SARAWAK TEACHERS' TRAINING INSTITUTE BY USING  
DATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS

SI TONG YONG

A dissertation submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science (Mathematics)

Faculty of Science  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2012

*Special dedicated to*

*My parents Si Thian Chin and Tan Joo Tiang. Thank you very much to my supervisor,  
Dr Zaitul Marlizawati Zainuddin and those people who have guided and inspired me  
throughout this research. I admire them all and they had given me the strength to finish  
my study until I get the degree of Master of Science (Mathematics). God bless.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to thank to the God for giving me a chance to complete this thesis. I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to Dr. Zaitul Marlizawati Zainuddin for providing this golden chance for me. Without her continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I also want to give my gratitude to Perpustakaan Sultanah Zanariah (PSZ) in helping me to find the references during the on-going research. My fellow postgraduate students should also be recognized for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed.

Last but not least, a million thanks to my parents, family members and friends who always support me until the end of this dissertation. It is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. Thank you.

## **ABSTRACT**

The purpose of this study is to use Data Envelopment Analysis to measure the efficiency of practicum supervision of 20 units in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute. The inputs considered are the total number of lecturers Grade DG44; the total number of lecturers Grade DG48; and the total number of lecturers Grade DG52 as the supervisor for the trainees in each departments in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute and the outputs considered are the total number of trainees that are satisfied with the supervision of their supervisors on planning their teaching, the total number of trainees that are satisfied with the supervision of their supervisors on implementing their teaching, and the total number of trainees that are satisfied with the supervision of their supervisors on managing their classroom. Besides the overall performance model, six models are developed for the purpose of testing the sensitivity of the results, and hence identifying the strengths and weaknesses of the units. The findings show that eight units are technically-efficient. Among the efficient units, Special Education Unit, Malay Studies Unit and Moral Education Unit are the representative units. Data Envelopment Analysis helps in identifying the reference sets for the inefficient units and further determining the potential improvements. As such, it can be a valuable benchmarking tool for unit administrators.

## ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menguji kecekapan penyeliaan praktikum bagi 20 unit di Institut Pendidikan Guru Malaysia Kampus Sarawak dengan menggunakan “Data Envelopment Analysis”. Input yang dipertimbangkan adalah jumlah pensyarah Gred DG44, jumlah pensyarah Gred DG48 dan jumlah pensyarah Gred DG52 sebagai penyelia untuk guru pelatih dalam setiap jabatan di Institut Pendidikan Guru Malaysia Kampus Sarawak dan output yang dipertimbangkan adalah jumlah bilangan guru pelatih yang berpuas hati dengan bimbingan penyelia mereka dalam merancang pengajaran mereka, jumlah guru pelatih yang berpuas hati dengan bimbingan penyelia mereka melaksanakan pengajaran mereka, dan jumlah guru pelatih yang berpuas hati dengan bimbingan penyelia mereka mengurus kelas mereka. Selain daripada model prestasi keseluruhan, enam model dibangunkan bertujuan menguji kepekaan keputusan, dan kemudian mengenal pasti kekuatan dan kelemahan unit. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa lapan unit teknikal yang cekap. Antara unit yang cekap adalah Unit Pendidikan Khas, Unit Pengajian Melayu dan Unit Pendidikan Moral merupakan unit contoh. “Data Envelopment Analysis” membantu dalam mengenali set rujukan untuk unit yang tidak cekap dan seterusnya menentukan potensi penambahbaikan. Oleh itu, ia boleh menjadi satu alat tanda aras untuk pentadbir unit.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| <b>CHAPTER</b> | <b>TITLE</b>                 | <b>PAGE</b> |
|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|
|                | <b>DECLARATION</b>           | ii          |
|                | <b>DEDICATION</b>            | iii         |
|                | <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>       | iv          |
|                | <b>ABSTRACT</b>              | v           |
|                | <b>ABSTRAK</b>               | vi          |
|                | <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>     | vii         |
|                | <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>        | x           |
|                | <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>       | xii         |
|                | <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> | xiii        |
|                | <b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>       | xvi         |
| <b>1</b>       | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>          | <b>1</b>    |
| 1.1            | Introduction                 | 1           |
| 1.2            | Background of the Problem    | 2           |
| 1.3            | Statement of the Problem     | 3           |
| 1.4            | Objectives of the Study      | 3           |
| 1.5            | Scope of the Study           | 3           |
| 1.6            | Significance of the Study    | 4           |
| 1.7            | Outline of the Thesis        | 5           |

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>2</b> | <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                 | <b>6</b>  |
| 2.1      | Introduction                             | 6         |
| 2.2      | Introduction to DEA                      | 6         |
| 2.3      | CCR Model                                | 7         |
| 2.4      | BCC Model                                | 11        |
| 2.5      | Related Works on DEA                     | 15        |
| 2.6      | Summary                                  | 18        |
| <br>     |  |           |
| <b>3</b> | <b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>              | <b>19</b> |
| 3.1      | Introduction                             | 19        |
| 3.2      | Problem Identification                   | 19        |
| 3.3      | Definition of the Decision Making Units  | 19        |
| 3.4      | Input and Output Items                   | 21        |
| 3.5      | Collection of the Sample Data            | 23        |
| 3.6      | Construction of Performance Models       | 24        |
| 3.7      | Identification of the Orientation        | 26        |
| 3.8      | Selection of Analysis Options            | 26        |
| 3.8.1    | Constant and Variable Returns to Scale   | 27        |
| 3.8.2    | Input-Orientation and Output-Orientation | 30        |
| 3.8.3    | Optimal Weights                          | 30        |
| 3.8.4    | Slacks                                   | 32        |
| 3.8.5    | CCR Projection                           | 37        |
| 3.9      | Summary                                  | 38        |



|          |                                       |           |
|----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>4</b> | <b>RESULTS AND ANALYSIS</b>           | <b>40</b> |
| 4.1      | Introduction                          | 40        |
| 4.2      | Inputs and Outputs Data               | 40        |
| 4.3      | Results                               | 42        |
| 4.4      | Results of Performance Model 1        | 42        |
| 4.4.1    | Technical Efficiency                  | 43        |
| 4.4.2    | Pure Technical Efficiency             | 50        |
| 4.4.3    | Scale Efficiency                      | 54        |
| 4.5      | Returns to Scale                      | 55        |
| 4.6      | Sensitivity of Results                | 58        |
| 4.7      | Slacks                                | 61        |
| 4.7.1    | Slacks Under Input-Orientation        | 61        |
| 4.7.2    | Slacks Under Output-Orientation       | 63        |
| 4.8      | Potential Improvements in Efficiency  | 64        |
| 4.8.1    | Improvements Using Input-Orientation  | 65        |
| 4.8.2    | Improvements Using Output-Orientation | 70        |
| 4.9      | Summary                               | 75        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</b>        | <b>76</b> |
| 5.1      | Introduction                          | 76        |
| 5.2      | Summary                               | 76        |
| 5.3      | Conclusions                           | 78        |
| 5.4      | Suggestions                           | 79        |
|          | <b>REFERENCES</b>                     | <b>80</b> |

## LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE  | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| 2.1       | Input and output measures for departments evaluation                   | 15   |
| 3.1       | Departments and its coorresponding units                               | 18   |
| 3.2       | Definition of input and output indicators                              | 20   |
| 3.3       | Units under investigation  | 21   |
| 3.4       | Indicators considered in performance models                            | 23   |
| 4.1       | Data for 20 units under investigation                                  | 35   |
| 4.2       | Units under investigation  | 36   |
| 4.3       | Unit's TE score  | 37   |
| 4.4       | Reference frequency of efficient units                                 | 39   |
| 4.5       | Inefficient units and their reference set with values of $\lambda_j^*$ | 40   |
| 4.6       | Optimal weights  | 41   |
| 4.7       | Units' ranks   | 42   |
| 4.8       | Unit's PTE score   | 44   |
| 4.9       | TE and PTE scores  | 45   |
| 4.10      | TE, PTE and SE scores  | 47   |
| 4.11      | Unit's returns to scale nature   | 48   |
| 4.12      | TE scores for units in different models                                | 50   |

|             |  |    |
|-------------|--|----|
| <b>4.13</b> | Input excesses and output shortfalls of units (input-orientation)  | 53 |
| <b>4.14</b> | Input excesses and output shortfalls of units (output-orientation) | 55 |
| <b>4.15</b> | Potential improvements for inefficient units (input-orientation)   | 56 |
| <b>4.16</b> | Potential improvements for inefficient units (output-orientation)  | 61 |

**LIST OF FIGURES**

| <b>FIGURE NO.</b> | <b>TITLE</b>                           | <b>PAGE</b> |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| <b>4.1</b>        | Reference frequency of efficient units | 39          |
| <b>4.2</b>        | Units and their scores                 | 43          |
| <b>4.3</b>        | TE and PTE scores of the units         | 46          |
| <b>4.4</b>        | Units and their TE, PTE and SE scores  | 48          |
| <b>4.5</b>        | The Sum of Lambda for units            | 50          |

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

|      |   |  |
|------|---|--|
| BCC  | - | Banker-Charnes-Cooper  |
| CCR  | - | Charnes-Cooper-Rhodes  |
| CRS  | - | Constant returns to scale  |
| DEA  | - | Data Envelopment Analysis  |
| DG44 | - | Total number of lecturers Grade DG44   |
| DG48 | - | Total number of lecturers Grade DG48   |
| DG52 | - | Total number of lecturers Gradd DG52   |
| DMU  | - | Decision Making Unit   |
| DRS  | - | Decreasing returns to scale  |
| IIT  | - | Indian Institute of Technology   |
| IRS  | - | Increasing returns to scale  |
| ITT  | - | Total number of trainees that are satisfied with the supervision of their supervisors on implementing their teaching |
| IUG  | - | Islamic University in Gaza   |
| JB   | - | Department of Languages  |
| JB1  | - | English Studies Unit   |
| JB2  | - | Chinese Studies Unit   |
| JS   | - | Department of Science  |
| JS1  | - | Science Unit   |
| JS2  | - | Environment Unit   |
| JM   | - | Department of Mathematics  |
| JM1  | - | Mathematics Unit   |

|       |   |   |
|-------|---|---|
| JPPP  | - | Professionalism Development and Research Department   |
| JPPP1 | - | Professionalism Development and Research Unit   |
| JIP   | - | Department of Education   |
| JIP1  | - | Pre-school Education Unit   |
| JIP2  | - | Guidance and Counseling Unit  |
| JIP3  | - | Special Education Unit  |
| JPJK  | - | Department of Health and Physical Education   |
| JPJK1 | - | Physical Education Unit   |
| JPJK2 | - | Health Education Unit   |
| JKS   | - | Department of Social Studies  |
| JKS1  | - | Local Studies Unit  |
| JKS2  | - | Unit of Art Education   |
| JKS3  | - | Music Education Unit  |
| JKS4  | - | Civics and Citizenship Unit   |
| JPM   | - | Department of Malay Studies   |
| JPM1  | - | Malay Studies Unit  |
| JTP   | - | Department of Educational Technology  |
| JTP1  | - | Library of Science Unit   |
| JTP2  | - | Information and Communication Technology Unit   |
| JPIM  | - | Department Islamic and Moral Education  |
| JPIM1 | - | Islamic Education Unit  |
| JPIM2 | - | Moral Education Unit  |
| MTC   | - | Total number of trainees that are satisfied with the supervision of their supervisors on managing their classroom |
| NCKU  | - | National Cheng Kung University  |

- PTE - Pure technical efficiency
- PTT - Total number of trainees that are satisfied with the supervision of their supervisors on planning their teaching
- SE - Scale efficiency
- TE - Technical efficiency
- VRS - Variable returns to scale

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

|                |   |   |
|----------------|---|---|
| $u_r$          | - | weights of the output item $r$ (input-oriented)                             |
| $y_{ro}$       | - | amount of the $r$ th output produced by $o$ th Decision Making Unit         |
| $v_i$          | - | weights of the input item $i$ (input-oriented)                              |
| $x_{io}$       | - | amount of the $i$ th input used by $o$ th Decision Making Unit              |
| $\theta$       | - | efficiency score (input-oriented)   |
| $\lambda_j$    | - | proportion contributed by reference unit $j$ (input- / output-oriented).    |
| $\eta$         | - | efficiency score (output-oriented)  |
| $\mu_j$        | - | proportion contributed by reference unit $j$ (output-oriented).             |
| $p_i$          | - | weights of the input item $i$ (output-oriented)                             |
| $q_r$          | - | weights of the output item $r$ (output-oriented)                            |
| $\omega$       | - | free variable in BCC model.   |
| $s_i^-$        | - | input excesses (input-oriented)   |
| $s_r^+$        | - | output shortfalls (input-oriented)  |
| $\theta^*$     | - | the optimal value of input-oriented DEA models.                             |
| $t_i^-$        | - | input excesses (output-oriented)  |
| $t_r^+$        | - | output shortfalls (output-oriented)   |
| $\eta^*$       | - | the optimal value of output-oriented CCR model (envelopment form).          |
| $\hat{x}_{io}$ | - | adjusted $i$ th input for $o$ th Decision Making Unit under CCR Projection  |
| $\hat{y}_{ro}$ | - | adjusted $r$ th output for $o$ th Decision Making Unit under CCR Projection |



## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

All organizations have an interest in evaluating the performances of their operations. One of the performance measurement criteria is efficiency that evaluate relationship between inputs that is what is used in the production process and outputs that is production. Among the major challenge for today's organization is incomplete knowledge on organizational productivity efficiency. Some of the reasons to count for internal performance evaluation in every organization are as follows. Using criteria that is suitable, organizations must evaluate their unit's presentation to gain control and supervise their performance. Apart from that, the performance evaluation will result in determining appropriate criteria for budget and available resources allocation among the department (Fathi *et al.*, 2010). Lack of information on the efficiency of organizational units will result in management inability to make decision on direction of organization and its progress path.

Higher education is the backbone of development and economic growth in any country. Therefore, it is a necessity to assess the educational institutions efficiency, to know whether the high costs spent on them are worth. To do that, a scientific method considering the inputs and outputs of the educational institutions is necessary.

## **1.2 Background of the Problem**

Many literatures have discussed the performance evaluation using Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) at the university and schools. Research on performance of different colleges or universities, and research comparing the performance of teaching and research in a university department has been made. But as of today, there is no research on performance appraisal using Data Envelopment Analysis at the Teachers' Training Institute.

Teaching practice or practicum is an important component in teachers' training courses. The main function is to provide trainees with the opportunity to develop teaching competencies in classrooms under the guidance and supervision of co-operating teachers and teachers' training institute lecturers. During the teaching practice, trainees have the opportunities to use the knowledge, skills and theory they study and practice in schools.

Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute have ten departments and 20 units. There are no study on the efficiency of practicum supervision in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute. Thus, some questions arise such as how many units in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute are considered efficient on practicum supervision, and which departments are inefficient. A study comparing the units in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute is needed, because the performance evaluation of units will provide useful managerial guidelines to all the lecturers.

### **1.3 Statement of the Problem**

In this study, the non-parametric technique that is DEA is used to examine the efficiency of practicum supervision at each units in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute by evaluating the technical and scale efficiencies of units.

### **1.4 Objectives of the Study**

The objectives of this study are:

1. To measure the efficiency of practicum supervision of units in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute.
2. To determine the units' returns to scale nature.
3. To identify the areas of inefficiency for inefficient units.
4. To suggest the potential improvements for the inefficient units.

### **1.5 Scope of the Study**

The study discusses the basic concepts of DEA, the constant and variable returns to scale assumptions, input and output oriented models and also applications of DEA to each units in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute. The main tools for evaluating the performance of units in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute are Charnes-Cooper-Rhodes (CCR) and Banker-Charnes-Cooper (BCC) models. In this study, the inputs considered are the total number of Grade DG44 lecturers; the total number of Grade

DG48 lecturers; and the total number of Grade DG52 lecturers as the supervisor for the trainees in each departments in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute and the outputs considered are the total number of trainees that are satisfied with the supervision of their supervisors on planning their teaching, the total number of trainees that are satisfied with the supervision of their supervisors on implementing their teaching, and the total number of trainees that are satisfied with the supervision of their supervisors on managing their classroom.

## **1.6 Significance of the Study**

The Charnes-Cooper-Rhodes model used will demonstrate whether the technical units tested are efficient or not. If the test shows the technical unit is efficient, then the unit can be treated effectively in the process of changing inputs into outputs. If the unit does not demonstrate effectiveness when tested, the areas of inefficiency can be identified.

By using Charnes-Cooper-Rhodes (CCR) and Banker-Charnes-Cooper (BCC) models efficiency score, the scale efficiency and the returns to scale's nature of the units can also be determined. This result is useful to the head of department because scale efficiency can determine which aspects of the unit are inefficient. By using the information of returns to scale, the unit can be more focused on aspects that are inefficient in the future.

CCR model is also used to provide some suggestion on targets of improvement to the department that is not efficient in performance. In addition to help in identifying the reference sets that is peer group for inefficient departments and determines productivity

improvements, DEA can also be a useful benchmarking tool for head of department to determine more efficient allocation of scarce resources.

## **1.7 Outline of the Thesis**

Chapter 1 consists an introduction to background of this research study, statement of the problem, objective of the study, scope of the study and also the significance of the study.

Chapter 2 concentrates on the literature review of Data Envelopment Analysis, which includes introduction of Data Envelopment Analysis, Charnes-Cooper-Rhodes Model, Banker-Charnes-Cooper Model and related works on DEA.

Chapter 3 presents the methodology and research design for this research. The input and output measures that are used to evaluate departments, the developed performance models and analysis options chosen in this research.

Chapter 4 reported the analysis of the results. In this chapter, technical and scale efficiency measures are presented for eight units in Sarawak Teachers' Training Institute. The results obtained by each performance model are also interpreted in this section of Chapter 4.

Chapter 5 consists of conclusions and suggestions for further research.

## REFERENCES

- Agha, S. R., Kuhail, I., Abdelnabi, N., Salem, M., and Ghanim, A. (2010). Assessments of Academic Departments Efficiency Using Data Envelopment Analysis. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*. 4(2), 301 -325.
- Beasley, J. E. (1990). Comparing University Departments. *Omega International Journal*. 18(2), 171 – 183.
- Cook, W. D., and Zhu, J. (2008). *Data Envelopment Analysis: Modeling Operational Processes and Measuring Productivity*. USA: Lexington, KY.
- Cooper, W. W., Seiford, L. M., and Tone, K. (2006). *Introduction to Data Envelopment Analysis and Its Uses with DEA-Solver Software and References*. New York, USA: Spring Science + Business Media, LLC.
- Cooper, W. W., Seiford, L. M., and Zhu, J. (2004). *Handbook on Data Envelopment Analysis*. United Kingdom: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Evanoff, D. D., and Israilevich, P. R. (1991). Productive Efficiency in Banking. *Economic Perspectives*. 15(4), 11 – 52.
- Fathi, B., Ahmadi, M., and Torabi, T. (2010). The Measuring of the Efficiency of Islamic Azad University in Iran Using Data Envelopment Analysis. *International Conference on Business and Economic Research (ICBER 2010)*. 128.

- Kao, C., and Hung, H. T. (2008). Efficiency Analysis of University Departments: An Empirical Study. *Omega: The International Journal of Management Science*. 36, 653 – 664.
- Martin, E. (2006). Efficiency and Quality in the Current Higher Education Context in Europe: An Application of Data Envelopment Analysis Methodology to Perform Assessment of Departments within the University of Zaragoza. *Quality in Higher Education*. 12(1), 57 – 79.
- Sherman, H. P., and Zhu, J. (2006). *Service Productivity Management: Improving Service Performance using Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)*. United States of America: Springer Science + Business Media, LLC.
- Thanassoulis, E. (2001). *Introduction to the Theory and Application of Data Envelopment Analysis*. Norwell, Massachusetts: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Tyagi, P., Yadav, S. P., and Singh, S. P. (2009). Relative Performance of Academic Departments Using DEA with Sensitivity Analysis. *Evaluation and Program Planning*. 32, 168 – 177.
- Zhu, J. (2003). *Quantitative Models for Performance Evaluation and Benchmarking: Data Envelopment Analysis with Spreadsheets and DEA Excel Solver*. Norwell, Massachusetts: Kluwer Academic Publishers.