

THE EFFECTS OF TAPERED SLEEVE IN IMPROVING THE ANCHORAGE
BOND OF REINFORCEMENT BAR

PAMELA ADELINE LO

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil-Structure)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2013

To my beloved parents and siblings

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Firstly, I would like to give a million thanks to my supervisors, Associate Professor Dr. Ahmad Baharuddin b. Abd. Rahman for his advice and guidance throughout the whole project. It was a pleasure to have the opportunity to work under his supervision where I have learned and gained a lot of knowledge.

I would also like to give my sincere appreciation to all the technicians who have been a helping hand during the project stages. Besides that, I would also like to give a giant thanks to all my friends who have been sharing their knowledge and opinions with me, not forgetting those who have been always supporting me during the completion of the project.

Last but not least, I want to express my gratitude to my family for their endless belief, encouragement, and great support for me in completing the whole project. Additionally, I would like to give thanks to those whose names I did not mention and have been giving me advice and support.

ABSTRACT

This research examine on the effectiveness of tapered sleeve connector in providing confinement effect to embedded reinforcement bar. The objectives of the investigation are to study the bond mechanism of grouted sleeve connector and to study the effects of confinement provided by the grouted sleeve connector. Two different configurations (welded bar sleeve and tapered sleeve) of sleeve connector were used in this study. Various sleeve diameters were used in this research to study their effects on the bond mechanism. The bond failure progression inside the grouted sleeve connector was observed visually as halved welded steel bar connector and tapered head connector were used. The experimental works consisted two phases where phase I was the testing of plain grouted sleeve. Phase II involved the testing steel fibre reinforced grout sleeve. Steel fibres of 0%, 0.5%, 0.75% and 1.0% were introduced to the connector in a way so the grout inside the connector will exhibit post-cracking behaviour. Pull-out tests were carried out and it was observed that the performance of tapered sleeve was better than welded bar sleeve due to higher confinement level. The provision of sufficient confinement stress able to delay the development of splitting cracks. The test result showed that welded bar sleeve was governed by splitting failure mode, whereas tapered sleeve failed in pull-out failure mode.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengkaji kesan pengurangan oleh penyambung yang berbentuk tirus ke atas tetulang besi. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji mekanisma ikatan penyambung dan juga mengkaji kesan pengurangan oleh penyambung. Dua jenis konfigurasi penyambung digunakan dalam kajian ini iaitu penyambung berbentuk silinder dan penyambung berbentuk tirus. Diameter yang berlainan digunakan dalam kajian ini untuk melihat kesannya ke atas mekanisma ikatan. Kegagalan ikatan yang berlaku dalam penyambung diperhatikan secara visual kerana penyambung yang digunakan dalam kajian ini adalah separuh daripada diameter penyambung. Eksperimen kajian ini terbahagi kepada dua fasa. Fasa pertama melibatkan ujian ke atas penyambung mengandungi 0% gentian besi. Fasa kedua melibatkan ujian ke atas penyambung mengandungi 0.5%, 0.75%, dan 1.0% gentian besi. Gentian besi ditambah ke grout dengan tujuan untuk memerhati jika penambahan gentian besi boleh melambatkan keretakan. Ujian *pull-out* dijalankan dan didapati bahawa prestasi penyambung berbentuk tirus lebih baik daripada penyambung berbentuk silinder kerana tahap tekanan pengurangan yang lebih tinggi. Tekanan pengurangan yang dikenakan oleh penyambung dapat melambatkan pembentukan retak pecah-pisah. Keputusan Eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa mod kegagalan penyambung berbentuk silinder adalah mod retak pecah-pisah, manakala mod kegagalan bagi penyambung berbentuk tirus adalah kegagalan *pull-out*.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 General Introduction	1
	1.2 Research significance	2
	1.3 Research Objectives	3
	1.4 Problem Statement	3
	1.5 Scope of Research	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Bonding Definition	5
	2.2 Bond Mechanism	5
	2.2.1 Chemical Adhesion	6
	2.2.2 Friction	6

2.2.3	Interlocking Mechanism	6
2.3	Failure Mechanism of Bond	7
2.4	Bond Strength	9
2.4.1	Effect of Rib Area on Bond Strength	10
2.4.2	Rib Bearing Angle	12
2.4.3	Effects of Bar Diameter	14
2.4.4	Strength of Concrete	14
2.5	Confinement Effects	14
2.6	Failure Patterns	16
2.7	Bond Stress-Slip Behaviours	18
2.8	Steel Fibres	19
2.8.1	Effect of Steel Fibres on Grout Compressive Strength	20
2.8.2	Effect of Steel Fibres on Grout Tensile Strength	20
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	21
3.2	Specimens preparation	22
3.2.1	Phase I Test specimens	22
3.2.2	Phase II Test Specimens	23
3.2.3	Sleeve Connector Formwork	25
3.2.4	Steel Reinforcement Bar	27
3.2.5	Specimens Frame	29
3.3	Grout	30
3.3.1	Grout Type	30
3.3.2	Grouting Work	31
3.4	Steel Fibre	31
3.5	Curing Process	32
3.6	Tensile Strength Test of Reinforcement Bar	33
3.7	Cube Compressive Strength Test	34
3.8	Test Setup	35
3.9	Method of Experiment	38

4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Introduction	39
4.2	Cube Compressive Strength Test	40
4.3	Response of Load versus Displacement	43
4.4	Bond Stress	47
4.5	Crack Pattern	50
4.6	Stress- Strain Response of Sleeve	82
4.6.1	Strain Response in Reinforcement Bar (SG1)	83
4.6.2	Strain Response of Sleeve in Longitudinal Direction (SG2)	85
4.6.3	Strain Response in Transverse Direction (SG3)	87
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	
5.1	Conclusions	91
5.2	Recommendations	93
	REFERENCES	94
	Appendices	97-98

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Half Circular Welded Bar Sleeve Details	23
3.2	Half Circular Tapered Head Sleeve Details	23
3.3	Grout and Water Proportion per Mix	30
3.4	Properties of Steel Fibres	32
4.1	Cube Compressive Strength Test of 0.75% Steel Fibers at 5 Days (35mm)	40
4.2	Cube Compressive Strength Test of 0.75% Steel Fibers at 5 Days (40mm)	40
4.3	Cube Compressive Strength Test of 0.75% Steel Fibers at 5 Days (45mm)	41
4.4	Cube Compressive Strength of Plain Grout at 10 Days	41
4.5	Cube Compressive Strength of 0.5% Steel Fiber at 10 Days	42
4.6	Cube Compressive Strength of 0.75% Steel Fiber at 10 days	42
4.7	Cube Compressive Strength of 1.0% Steel Fiber at 10 days	42
4.8	Percentage Difference of Failure Load between Plain Grout and 0.5% Steel Fibers	47
4.9	Percentage Difference of Failure Load between Plain Grout and 0.75% Steel Fibers	47
4.10	Percentage Difference of Failure Load between Plain Grout and 1.0% Steel Fibers	48
4.11	Bond Stress of Plain Grout	49
4.12	Bond Stress of Grout with addition of 0.5% Steel Fibers	49
4.13	Bond Stress of Grout with addition of 0.75% Steel Fibers	50

4.14	Bond Stress of Grout with addition of 1% Steel Fibers	50
4.15	Crack Development for Plain Grout (W1)	53
4.16	Crack Development for Plain Grout (W2)	54
4.17	Crack Development for Plain Grout (T1)	56
4.18	Crack Development for Plain Grout (T2)	58
4.19	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 0.5% Steel Fibers (W1)	60
4.20	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 0.5% Steel Fibers (W2)	62
4.21	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 0.5% Steel Fibers (T1)	64
4.22	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 0.5% Steel Fibers (T2)	66
4.23	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 0.75% Steel Fibers (W1)	68
4.24	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 0.75% Steel Fibers (W2)	70
4.25	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 0.75% Steel Fibers (T1)	71
4.26	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 0.75% Steel Fibers (T2)	73
4.27	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 1.0% Steel Fibers (W1)	75
4.28	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 1.0% Steel Fibers (W2)	77
4.29	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 1.0% Steel Fibers (T1)	79
4.30	Crack Development for Grout with addition of 1.0% Steel Fibers (T2)	81

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Bearing Forces of an Embedded Reinforcement Bar (ACI 2003)	7
2.2	Stresses Acting along the bar. (Choi O.C.,2001)	9
2.3	Hoop Tensile Stress induced by Radial Stress	9
2.4	Illustration of Influencing Parameters Relative Rib Area	10
2.5	Reduction of Reinforcement Cross-Section Area due to Corrosion	11
2.6	Stresses along interface	12
2.7(a)	Angle of Bearing lugs for 57° bearing angle	13
2.7(b)	Angle of Bearing lugs for 45° bearing angle	13
2.8	Steel Stress- Slip Behaviour of Deformed Bar	13
2.9	Splitting Resistance in Correspond to Bearing Angle	13
2.10(a)	Development of Radial Crack	15
2.10(b)	Development of Radial and Longitudinal Splitting Crack	15
2.11	Phases of Steel to Concrete Interaction	17
2.12	Failure of Concrete at the Top and Bottom of Reinforcement Bar.	17
2.13	Bond Stress-Slip responses under Cyclic Loading	18
2.14	Stress- Strain Relations of Plain and Steel Fibres Reinforced Concrete. (CC3-0 was plain Reinforced Concrete, CC3-I, CC3-II; CC3-III was steel fibres reinforced concrete with 38.25kg/m ³ , 58.88kg/m ³ , and 78.50kg/m ³ of steel fibres respectively.	19

2.15	Effects of Steel Fibres Dosage on Concrete Compressive Strength. (Mansour F.R., 2011)	20
2.16	Splitting Tensile Strength for Plain Grouts and Fibres Reinforced Grout.	21
3.1	Welded Bar Sleeve (Inner Diameter of 50mm)	24
3.2	Welded Bar Sleeve (Inner Diameter of 65mm)	24
3.3	Tapered Head Sleeve (Inner Diameter of 50mm at Cross-Section A-A)	24
3.4	Tapered Head Sleeve (Inner Diameter of 65mm at Cross-Section A-A)	25
3.5	Embedded Steel Reinforcement in sleeve connectors	25
3.6	Formwork for Welded Bar Sleeve Connector	26
3.7	Formwork for Tapered Head Sleeve Connector	26
3.8	Formwork Glued to Sleeve Connector	26
3.9	Sample of Reinforcement Bar (Y16).	27
3.10	Reinforcement bar of Y10 Connected to Inner Wall of Sleeve	27
3.11	Cross-Section of Reinforcement Bar in Longitudinal Direction	28
3.12	Details of Reinforcement Bar of Y16	28
3.13	Details of Reinforcement Bar of Y10	28
3.14	Rib Face Angle of Y16 Reinforcement Bar	28
3.15	Frame used to Hold Specimens	29
3.16	Specimens Tied to Frame	29
3.17	Sika Grout ®-215	30
3.18	Grouted Half Circular Welded Bar Sleeve and Tapered Head Sleeve	31
3.19	Steel Fibres of 45mm Length	32
3.20	Grout Cubes Wrapped with Transparent Polyethylene Sheet	33
3.21	Sleeve Connectors Wrapped in Transparent Polyethylene Sheet	33
3.22	Painted White Welded Bar Sleeve	33

3.23	Painted White Tapered Head Sleeve	33
3.24	Grout Cubes Prepared for Compressive Strength Test	34
3.25	Compression Machine	35
3.26	DARTEC Tensile Machine for Pull-Out Test	36
3.27	Test Setup for Grouted Sleeve Connector	36
3.28	Data Logger used to Record Strain Reading	37
3.29	Workflow throughout Experiment Process	38
4.1	Effects of Steel Fiber Dosage on Compressive Strength at 10 days	43
4.2	Deformation pattern of Plain Grout Cube at Failure	43
4.3	Load-Displacement Curve for Welded Steel Bar Sleeve 1 (W1)	45
4.4	Load-Displacement Curve for Welded Steel Bar Sleeve 2 (W2)	45
4.5	Load-Displacement Curve for Tapered Sleeve 1 (T1)	46
4.6	Load-Displacement Curve for Tapered Sleeve 2 (T2)	46
4.7	Congested Steel Fibers inside Tapered Head Connector	48
4.8	Congested Steel Fibers inside Welded Steel Bar Sleeve 1	48
4.9	Welded Bars Sleeve of Plain Grout at Failure.	52
4.10	Welded Bars Sleeve of 0.75% Steel Bar at Failure.	52
4.11	Tapered Head Sleeve at Failure	52
4.12	Strain Gauge position	83
4.13	Strain of Reinforcement Extruded from Welded Bar Sleeve 1 (W1).	84
4.14	Strain of Reinforcement Extruded from Welded Bar Sleeve 2 (W2)	84
4.15	Strain of Reinforcement Extruded from Tapered Sleeve 1 (T1)	85
4.16	Strain of Reinforcement Extruded from Tapered Sleeve 2 (T2)	85
4.17	Strain of Welded Bar Sleeve 1 in Longitudinal Direction (W1)	87

4.18	Strain of Welded Bar Sleeve 2 in Longitudinal Direction (W2)	87
4.19	Strain of Tapered Sleeve 1 in Longitudinal Direction (T1)	88
4.20	Strain of Tapered Sleeve 2 in Longitudinal Direction (T2)	88
4.21	Strain of Welded Bar Sleeve 1 in Transverse Direction (W1)	89
4.22	Strain of Welded Bar Sleeve 2 in Transverse Direction (W2)	89
4.23	Strain of Tapered Sleeve 1 in Transverse Direction (T1)	90
4.24	Strain of Tapered Sleeve 2 in Transverse Direction (T2)	90

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

W1	-	Welded Bar Sleeve 1
W2	-	Welded Bar Sleeve 2
T1	-	Tapered Sleeve 1
T2	-	Tapered Sleeve 2

LIST OF SYMBOLS

R_r	-	Relative rib area
h_r	-	Height of rib
s_r	-	Spacing between ribs
p	-	Nominal diameter of reinforcement bar
σ_q	-	Bearing Stress
σ_r	-	Radial Stress
σ_n	-	Normal Stress

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX.	TITLE	PAGE
A	Details of Welded Bar Sleeve	98
B	Details of Tapered Sleeve	99

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Introduction

Precast concrete construction involves the fabrication of structural member in factory. The prefabricated members are then transported to construction site to be erected. Precast concrete in comparison to conventional construction; is not affected by weather. Furthermore, the material and workmanship quality control of precast structure is easier to maintain as compared to conventional type of construction. Since all structural elements are manufactured in the factory, the progress of construction is much faster and the site area could be keep clean.

Connection comprises of several structural elements and joints between them. The design of connection takes into consideration the interaction between these structural elements when loading is applied. Connection must possess the ability to transfer forces between structural elements. The force transfer in a connection comprises of compression, tension, shear, flexure, and also torsion. Besides that, the connection must be able to resist accidental load to prevent from structural instability; accidental load here refers to unexpected event such as explosion, fire, collision.

Connection in precast concrete structure is very important in ensuring the stability of the structure. The tensile capacity of connection could be achieved by few methods such as bolting, grouting of steel reinforcement at site, or welding. Mechanical rebar splicing system is then introduced.

Mechanical connector in comparison to conventional method is more reliable as they do not depend on concrete for load transfer. Besides that, installation of mechanical connector could prevent from steel reinforcement congestion at lap zone. Mechanical connector also eliminates the occurrence of rock pocket. Lapping zone often experiences rock pocket problem as concrete cannot pass through the space between lapped bar, leaving voids in between which could weaken the structural system as the bonding between the reinforcement bar and the vicinity of concrete is weak.

Previous researcher has done a lot of studies on bond mechanism by doing finite element modeling. However, the behavior of the bond mechanism cannot be observed physically. Hence, this research is carried intending to study and observe the bond mechanism physically. Besides that, smaller tapered sleeve connector is developed which is more economical since previous connector was bulky and expensive.

1.2 Research significance

The understanding of bonding mechanism is essential as it plays important role in affecting the behavior of a structure. The significant of the research is to study about the bonding mechanism within the sleeve connector due to confinement stress. A close up study on the bonding mechanism allows the investigation on how confinement provided by the sleeve connector affects the bond strength of the embedded steel bars. Besides that, it also allows the development of smaller

connector with similar strength or higher strength capability than previous connector by improving the crack resistance.

1.3 Research Objectives

Phase I

1. To study the bond mechanism of grouted sleeve connector.
2. To study the effects of confinement provided by the tapered sleeve.
3. To determine the magnitude of bond stress as a result of tapered confinement.

Phase II

1. To study the effects of steel fibers on the bond mechanism of grouted sleeve connector.

1.4 Problem Statement

Bonding is the interaction between the contact surface of embedded reinforcement bar and concrete or grout, where a deformed bar leads to the formation of shear force along the surface of the steel bar. Bond strength is often related to the surface characteristic of steel bar such as the roughness of the steel bar or the relative area of the bar lug. Besides that, bond strength is also related to the cement particle.

The application of confinement pressure onto embedded steel bar is believed to increase the bond strength. Exceeded confinement stress would result in bond failure. However, the failure mechanism has remained blurry as it occurs within the concrete and cannot be observed physically. Studies on bond mechanism done by previous researches was by doing finite element modelling where the analysis of bond mechanism is known to be governed by three primary mechanisms. These three primary mechanisms are chemical adhesion, friction, and interlocking mechanism.

Therefore, this research proposes the on the investigation of half circular tapered sleeve and half circular welded bar sleeve. The study of half tapered sleeve allows the understanding of bond mechanism in which the step by step failure mechanism will be observed physically. The method of observing the bond mechanism physically allows us to monitor the crack pattern throughout the application of loading process.

Previous study on grouted sleeve connectors showed that this splice bar connection system has similar flexural capacity as in conventional construction. Conventional construction usually applies the method or reinforcement bar lapping in order to ensure the continuity of the connected precast structural members.

However, the previous grouted sleeve connector was very big and bulky causing them to be expensive and heavy. Thus, a small tapered sleeve could be proposed from this research by understanding the cracking behaviour within the grouted connector; where this small tapered sleeve would also possesses similar capacity as in the previous grouted sleeve connector.

1.5 Scope of Research

The scope of this research is limited to:

1. The study of grouted tapered sleeve connector for connecting two steel reinforcement bars.
2. The experimental work was only involving half circular sleeve.
3. Only one type of bar diameter, rib face angle, rib height, and rib spacing was used in this study.

REFERENCES

1. Mahdi Moosavi, Ahmad Jafari, and A. Khosravi, *Bond of Cement Grouted Reinforcing Bars under Constant Radial Pressure*. Cement and Concrete Composite, 2003. **27**: p. 103-109.
2. Huanzi Wang, *An Analytical Study of Bond Strength Associated with Splitting of Concrete Cover*. Engineering Structures, 2009. **31**: p. 968-975.
3. Barbosa, M.T.G., et al., *Analysis of the Relative Rib Area of Reinforcing Bars Pull Out Tests*. Material Research, 2008. **11**(4): p. 453-457.
4. Bosco C. and Tondolo F., *Bond Performance in Machined Reinforcing Bar for Reinforced Concrete*. Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2012. **166-169**: p. 828-831.
5. International Federation For Structural Concrete, *Bond of Reinforcement in Concrete: State of Art Report*. 2000.
6. Johnson J.B, *Bond Strength of Corrossion Resistant Steel Reinforcement in Concrete*, in *Civil Engineering*. 2010, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University: Blacksburg. p. 236.
7. M. H. Harajli, *Bond Stress-Slip Model for Steel Bars in Unconfined or Steel, FRC, or FRP Confined Concrete under Cyclic Loading*. Journal of Structural Engineering, 2009. **135**(5): p. 509-518.
8. Oan Chul Choi, *Bond Analysis of Ribbed Reinforcing Bars*. KCI Concrete Journal, 2001. **13**(2): p. 19-25.
9. Choi, O.C., *Roles of Bearing Angle in Bond Action of Reinforcing Bars to Concrete*. Journal of Korea Concrete Institute, 2004. **16**(5): p. 719-724.
10. Muhammad N.S.H, *Bond of High Strength Concrete with High Strength Reinforcing Steel*. The Open Civil Engineering Journal, 2008. **2**: p. 143-147.
11. Reinhardt, H.W. and G.L. Balasz, *Steel-Concrete Interfaces: Experimental Aspects*. Mechanics of Geometrical Interfaces: p. 255-280.

12. Ogura, N., J.E. Bolander, and T. Ichinose, *Analysis of Bond Splitting Failure of Deformed Bars within Structural Concrete*. Engineering Structures, 2008. **30**: p. 428-435.
13. Torre-casanova, A., et al., *Confinement Effects on the Steel-Concrete Bond Strength and Pull-Out Failure*. Engineering Fracture Mechanics, 2013. **97**: p. 92-104.
14. Menzel, C.A., *Some Factors Influencing Results of Pull-Out Bond Tests*. Journal of the American Concrete Institute, 1939. **35**: p. 517-542.
16. International Federation For Structural Concrete, *Lightweight Aggregate Concrete, Volume 1*. 1999, International Federation For Structural Concrete: Lausanne, Switzerland
17. Zuo, J. and Darwin, *Splice Strength of Conventional and High relative Rib Area Bars in Normal and High Strength Concrete*. ACI Structural Journal, 2000. **97**(4): p. 630-641.
18. Oan Chul Choi and S.Y. Yang, *Bearing Angle Model for Bond of Reinforcing Bars to Concrete*.
19. Feng xu, et al., *Experimental Study on the Bond Behavior of Reinforcing Bars Embedded in Concrete Subjected to Lateral Pressure*. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 2012. **24**(1): p. 125-133.
20. Abrams, D.A., *Tests of Bond between Concrete and Steel*. 1913, University of Illinois: Illinois.
21. Jen Hua Ling, et al., *Behaviour of Grouted Pipe Splice under Incremental Tensile Load*. Construction Building and Material, 2011. **33**: p. 90-98.
22. D.A. Bournas and T.C. Triantafillou, *Bond Strength of Lap Spliced Bars in Concrete Confined with Composite Jackets*. Journal of Composite for Construction, 2011. **15**(2): p. 156-167.
23. Ichinose T., et al., *Size Effect on Bond Strength of Deformed Bars*. Construction and Building Material, 2004: p. 1-10.
24. Plizzari G.A., Deldossi M.O, and massimo S., *Transverse Reinforcement Effects on Anchored Deformed Bars*. Magazine of Concrete, 2002. **50**(2): p. 161-177.
25. P.J Robins and I.G. Standish, *The Effect of Lateral Pressure on the Bond of Round Reinforcing Bars in Concrete*. INT. J. Adhesion and Adhesives, 1982: p. 129-133.

26. A Takaku and RGC Arridge, *The Effect of Interfacial Radial and Shear Stress on Fibre Pull-Out in Composite Materials*. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 1973. **6**: p. 2038-2047.
27. Rteil A., Soudki K., and Topper T., *Mechanics of Bond under Repeated Loading*. Construction and Building Material, 2011. **25**: p. 2822-2827.
28. Erlin, B., *Embedded Metals and Other Materials other than Reinforcing Steel*. Significants of Tests and Properties of Concrete and Concrete Making Materials, (169, Part 1): p. 51-58.
29. Tokgoz, S., C. Dundar, and A.k. Tanrikulu, *Experimental Behavior of Steel Fibers High Strength Reinforced Concrete and Composite Column*. Journal of Construction Steel Research, 2012. **74**: p. 98-107.
30. Mansour F.R., Parniani S., and Izni S.I., *Experimental Study on Effects of Steel Fiber Volume on mechanical Properties of SFRC*. Advanced Material Research, 2011. **214**: p. 144-148.
31. Berndt M.L., *Strength and Permeability of Steel Fibre Reinforced Grouts*. Construction and Building Material, 2010. **24**: p. 1768-1772.
32. Lim D.H. and Nawy E.G., *Behaviour of Plain and Steel Fibre Reinforced High-Strength Concrete under Uniaxial and Biaxial Compression*. Magazine of Concrete Research, 2005. **57**(10): p. 603-610.