EFFECTIVE PARAMETERS ON STREET VITALITY IN JOHOR BAHRU CITY CENTER

NILOUFAR RASTEGAR

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Urban Design)

> Faculty of Built Environment Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2013

To my loving family,

To My mother for its sincere support of me making my dream come true,

To my father who has been always inspiring my life.

To my husband for showing his most enthusiasm and support throughout my journey

And to my brothers for their attentions

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is with immense gratitude that I acknowledge the support and help of my research supervisor, Dr. WAN MOHD ZAKRI WAN ABDULLAH. I consider it an honor and opportunity to work with him. It was also a pleasure to receive support from Prof. Dr. SYED ZAINOL ABIDIN IDID through his invaluable guidance during my studies. Last but not least I am indebted to my family whom I owe my deepest gratitude.

ABSTRACT

Cities all over the world are rediscovering their public spaces and a general awareness has been awakened regarding the need for dignified, high quality city environments for people. Vitality is a major characteristic in public spaces and especially streets to attract more people. To have a lively area we need some elements to create an environment suitable for all ages and races to come, walk, sit, gather and spare time without force. Johor Bahru city is the fast developing and changing which attracts many users and tourists every day especially in the city center. The study established on the effective parameters of vitality in streets as a prerequisite to create a livable urban environment. The study discusses the essential components of vitality, its criteria, its determinants and finding the most effective parameters of street vitality in Johor Bahru city center. The study is limited to the functional and physical character of the street and different criteria relating to the activities and behavior of street users.

ABSTRAK

Bandar di seluruh dunia kini mencari semula peranan dan fungsi ruang awam selain kesedaran awam yang semakin meningkat terhadap kepentingannya berdasarkan kepada keperluan mempunyai suatu persekitaran yang berkualiti tinggi untuk manusia. adalah elemen utama dalam ruang awam terutamanya jalan yang berfungsi menarik orang ramai. Untuk menghidupkan sesebuah kawasan, suatu elemen diperlukan bagi menghasilkan persekitaran yang sesuai dengan semua tahap umur dan bangsa untuk datang berjalan, duduk dan mengluangkan masa tanpa sebarang paksaan. Bandaraya Johor Bahru yang pesat membangun sentiasa menarik pelancong setiap hari terutama di pusat bandar. Kajian ini bertujuan mengukur tahapdi jalan sebagai syarat asas untuk menyediakan sebuah persekitaran perbandaran yang hidup.Kajian ini membincangkan mengenai keperluan komponen.,kriteria, penentu dan mencari parameter yang paling efektif di sekitar jalanraya Johor Bahru. Kajian ini hanya terhad kepada fungsi dan ciri-ciri fizikal pada sesebuah jalanraya dan perbezaan kriteria berkaitan dengan aktiviti dan tingkahlaku pengguna di jalanan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	LARATION	i
	DED	ICATION	i
	AKN	NOWLEDGMENT	ii
	ABS	TRACT	iii
	ABS	TRAK	iv
	TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	v
	LIST	T OF TABLES	X
	LIST	T OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST	T OF APPENDIX	XX
1	INTI	RODUCTION	1
	1.0	Introduction	1
	1.1	Background of Study	1
	1.2	Problem statement	3
	1.3	Research Agenda	4
	1.	3.1 Aim	4
	1.	3.2 Objectives	4
	1.4	Research Questions	5
	1.5	Scope of the Study	5
	1.6	Methodology of the Study	6
	1.7	Study Area	7

2	LITE	ERATURE REVIEW	8
	2.0	Introduction	8
	2.1	Definition of Street	8
	2.2	Functional Aspect of Street	9
	2.3	2.1 Economic Aspect	10
	2.3	2.2 Cultural Aspect	11
	2.3	2.3 Social Aspect	11
	2.3	Street Activities	13
	2.4	Users of street	17
	2.5	Streets as channels of Movement	19
	2.6	Physical attributes of street	21
	2.	6.1 Sense of Direction	21
	2.	6.2 Street Begining and Ending	21
	2.	6.3 Street Built form	22
	2.	6.4 Street Line	23
	2.	6.5 Street Length	23
	2.	6.6 Type of Street	23
	2.	6.7 Street Capacity and Character	24
	2.	6.8 Street Unity	25
	2.	6.9 Street Classification	25
	2.7	Summery	26
3	REV	IEW OF VITALITY	27
	3.0	Introduction	27
	3.1	Definition of Vitality	27
	3.2	Social Vitality	28
	3.3	Concept of Vitality	30
	3.4	Vitality in Streets	31
	3.4	4.1 Indicators of Vitality in Street	32
	3.5	Criteria for Vitality	33
	3	5.1 Vitality criteria according to Bianchini and Landry	33

	3.5.2 Vitality criteria according to Doe	37
	3.5.3 Vitality criteria according to Montgomery	38
	3.5.4 Vitality criteria according to URBED	38
	3.5.5 Vitality criteria according to Sherman	38
	3.6 Summery	38
4	CASE STUDY AND ANALYSIS	41
	4.0 Introduction	41
	4.1 Historical background of Johor Bahru	41
	4.1.1 Johor Bahru Location	42
	4.1.2 Heritage in Johor Bahru City Centre	43
	4.1.3 Residential Development	45
	4.2 Wong Ah Fook Street	45
	4.2.1 Location and Character	46
	4.3 Functional aspect of Wong Ah Fook Street	48
	4.3.1 Social Function / Street Activities	48
	4.3.2 Economic Aspect	52
	4.3.3 Streets as Channels of Movement	52
	4.4 Physical Attributes of Street	54
	4.4.1 Sense of direction	54
	4.4.2 Street Beginning and Ending	54
	4.4.3 Street built form	56
	4.4.4 Street line	58
	4.4.5 Street length	58
	4.5 Type of Street	58
	4.5.1 Street capacity and character	59
	4.5.2 Street Unity	59
	4.5.3 Street Classification	61
	4.6 Criteria of vitality in Wong Ah Fook	62
	4.6.1 Diversity	62
	4.6.1.1 Diversity in building use	62

	4.6	5.2 Safet	ty and Security	66
	4.6	5.3 Activ	vity	68
	4.6	5.4 Acce	essibility	82
	4.7	Summ	ery	90
5	MET	HODOI	LOGY	91
	5.0	Introdu	uction	91
	5.1	Resear	ch Methodology and Design	91
	5.2	Data C	Collection	92
	5.2	2.1	Observation and analysis by photo	93
	5.2	2.2	Questionnaire method	93
	5.2	2.3	Technique and method of the research	
	Metho	odology		94
	5.3	Proced	lure	94
	5.4	Instru	ment	94
	5.5	Data A	analysis	95
	5.6	Summ	ery	96
6	ANA	LYSIS		97
	6.0	Introdu	uction	97
	6.1	Users P	rofile	97
	6.2	Genera	al Questions	107
	6.2	2.1	Analysis	108
	6.3	Respon	ndents short answers about 4 criteria of	
	Vitali	ty		110
	6.3	3.1	Analysis of different criteria of vitality	118
	6.4	Conclu	asion of analsis	119
7	CON	CLUSIC	ON	122
	7.0	Introdu	uction	122
	7.1	Resear	rch Agenda	122

	7.2	Main	Research Findings	123
	7.2	2.1	Functional Qualities associated with vitality	123
	7.2	2.2	Physical Qualities associated with vitality	124
	7.2	2.3	Components and characteristics contribute	
	to vita	ality		124
	7.3	Limit	ations	125
	7.4	Sugge	estions for future research	125
	7.5	Concl	usions	126
REFERENCES				128-129

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Street as a Social Space	13
2.2	Type of activities expected in the public street.	17
2.3	Types of user groups expected to use the public spaces.	18
2.4	Street as a channel of movement	19
2.5	Street types that combine capacity and character	24
3.1	Vitality criteria according to different scholars	39
4.1	The social uses of Wong Ah Fook Street	49
4.2	Observation of Movement Circulation on the street	53
4.3	Density of different activities during weekdays	78
4.4	Density of different activities during weekends	78
5.1	Technique and method of the research Methodology	94
6.1	Respondents' population and percentage	98
6.2	Correlation between Ethnicity and other elements	98
6.3	Respondents' age categories	99
6.4	Correlation between the age and other elements	100
6.5	Distribution of genders in the area	101

6.6	Correlation between gender and other elements	101
6.7	Academic situation of respondents	102
6.8	Correlation between academic level of the respondents and other elements	103
6.9	Frequency of visiting the site among respondents	104
6.10	Correlation between the respondents' frequency of visiting and other elements	104
6.11	Purpose of visiting the site among respondents	105
6.12	Correlation between respondents' purpose of visiting and other elements	105
6.13	Short answers to general questions	107
6.14	Mean numbers of general questions' responses	108
6.15	General questions and their level of effectiveness	109
6.16	Responses according to Diversity effectiveness	111
6.17	Responses according to Security effectiveness	112
6.18	Responses according to Activity effectiveness	114
6.19	Responses according to Accessibility effectiveness	116
6.20	Mean of different criteria of vitality	118
6.21	Pearson correlations among 4 criteria of vitality	118
6.22	Functions commonly done by people in the area	120
6.23	Percentage of the effectiveness of different vitality criteria according to respondents	121

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Street as a commercial space	11
2.2	Night market in Johor Bahru	11
2.3	Necessary activities in Pedestrian area	15
2.4	Optional activities in Pedestrian area	16
2.5 & 2.6	Streets as channels of movement, pedestrian movement and	
	various movements of goods in Wong Ah Fook Street	20
2.7	Vehicular movement in Wong Ah Fook Street	20
4.1	Sultan Abu Bakar Mosque in Johor Bahru	42
4.2	The Johor Bahru Zoo	42
4.3	Map of Johor Bahru	43
4.4	The majestic Sultan Ibrahim Building	44
4.5	Shop houses in Jalan Trus, Johor Bahru	44
4.6	Existing Malay urban villages in City Centre	45
4.7 & 4.8	Site location of Wong Ah Fook Street	47
4.9	View of Wong Ah Street, View from the Crossing Bridge	47
4.10	Seget River along Wong Ah Fook Street before existing	

	development	48
4.11	Seget River along Wong Ah Fook Street after existing	
	development	48
4.12 & 4.13	Necessary activities	50
4.14 & 4.15	Optional activities in the pedestrian area	50
4.16 & 4.17	Social activities participation of people with others	51
4.18 & 4.19	Street as a commercial place	52
4.20	Street as a commercial place	52
4.21	Movement Circulation on the street	53
4.22	Curb side parking	53
4.23	Pedestrian Circulation	53
4.24	Entering and leaving subways	53
4.25	Location of Jalan Ibrahim (south) and Wong Ah Fook	
	(north)	55
4.26	Entrance of Wong Ah Fook Street	55
4.27	Ending point of Wong Ah Fook crossing Jalan Sulaiman	56
4.28	Beginning of Jalan Angku Puan	56
4.29	Wong Ah Fook as a harmonic path.	57
4.30	Wong Ah Fook as a viable path and place	57
4.31	Street line of Wong Ah Fook in front of city square mall	58
4.32	Wong Ah Fook's capacity with four lanes	59
4.33	View of Wong Ah Fook from the beginning until Jalan	
	Angku Puan	60
4.34	Wong Ah Fook from Jalan Angku Puan until Jalan	

	Sulaiman	60
4.35	Last part of Wong Ah Fook from Jalan Sulaiman until the	
	end.	61
4.36	Residential spots along Wong Ah Fook	62
4.37	Commercial spots along Wong Ah Fook	63
4.38	Administrative spots along Wong Ah Fook	63
4.39	Religious spots along Wong Ah Fook	64
4.40	Institutional spots along Wong Ah Fook	64
4.41	Recreational spots along Wong Ah Fook	64
4.42	Storage spots along Wong Ah Fook	65
4.43	Clinic and Hospital spots along Wong Ah Fook	65
4.44	Petrol station along Wong Ah Fook	66
4.45	Narrow/back lanes of Wong Ah Fook	67
4.46	People doing necessary activities	68
4.47	Volume of necessary activities during weekdays and	
	weekends from 8 am to 12 pm	68
4.48	Volume of necessary activities during weekdays and	
	weekends from 12 pm to 4 pm	69
4.49	Volume of necessary activities during weekdays and	
	weekends from 4 pm to 8 pm	69
4.50	Volume of necessary activities during weekdays and	
	weekends from 8 pm to 10 pm	70
4.51	People doing optional activities	70
4.52	Volume of optional activities during weekdays and	

	weekends from 8 am to 12 pm	71
4.53	Volume of optional activities during weekdays and	
	weekends from 12 pm to 4 pm	71
4.54	Volume of optional activities during weekdays and	
	weekends from 4 pm to 8 pm	72
4.55	Volume of optional activities during weekdays and	
	weekends from 8 pm to 10 pm	72
4.56	People doing social activities	73
4.57	Volume of social activities during weekdays and weekends	
	from 8 am to 12 pm	73
4.58	Volume of social activities during weekdays and weekends	
	from 12 pm to 4 pm	74
4.59	Volume of social activities during weekdays and weekends	
	from 4 pm to 8 pm	74
4.60	Volume of social activities during weekdays and weekends	
	from 8 pm to 10 pm	75
4.61	Volume of necessary , optional and social activities during	
	weekdays and weekends from 8 am to 12 pm	75
4.62	Volume of necessary , optional and social activities during	
	weekdays and weekends from 12 pm to 4 pm	76
4.63	Volume of necessary , optional and social activities during	
	weekdays and weekends from 4 pm to 8 pm	76
4.64	Volume of necessary , optional and social activities during	
	weekdays and weekends from 8 pm to 10 pm	77

4.65	Charts of activities during weekdays and weekends	79
4.66	Spots of formal activities along Wong Ah Fook	79
4.67 & 4.68	People doing formal activities	80
4.69	Places occurring informal activities along Wong Ah Fook	80
4.70 & 4.71	People doing informal activities	81
4.72	Activity level map along Wong Ah Fook	81
4.73	Public spaces along Wong Ah Fook	82
4.74	Pedestrian walkways in both sides of Wong Ah Fook	83
4.75	Pedestrian walkway from Wong Ah Fook to Jalan Angku	
	Puan	83
4.76	Pedestrian routs in and around Wong Ah Fook	84
4.77	Taxi stand at the beginning of Jalan Siu Chin along Wong	
	Ah Fook	84
4.78	Taxi stands near City Square mall along Wong Ah Fook	84
4.79	Taxi stations along Wong Ah Fook	85
4.80	Row of buses along Wong Ah Fook	85
4.81	Bus stops in front of City Square mall	85
4.82	Bus stops along Wong Ah Fook	86
4.83	Bus stops and bus destinations along Wong Ah Fook	86
4.84	Circulation in and around Wong Ah Fook	87
4.85	Exit and access points from and to Wong Ah Fook	87
4.86	Exit points of Wong Ah Fook	87
4.87	Access points from Wong Ah Fook	88
4.88	Traffic during weekdays at work time along Wong Ah	

	Fook	88
4.89	Traffic during weekdays at night along Wong Ah Fook	89
4.90	Traffic during weekends along Wong Ah Fook	89
4.91	Parking places along Wong Ah Fook	89
6.1	Pie chart of the D1 responses	111
6.2	Pie chart of the D2 responses	112
6.3	Pie chart of the S1 responses	113
6.4	Pie chart of the S2 responses	113
6.5	Pie chart of the A1 responses	114
6.6	Pie chart of the A2 responses	115
6.7	Pie chart of the A3 responses	115
6.8	Pie chart of the A4 responses	116
6.9	Pie chart of the ACC1 responses	117
6.10	Pie chart of the ACC2 responses	117

LIST OF APPENDIX

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Questionnaires	130

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

This study investigates the effective parameter of street vitality in Johor Bahru city center by gathering different parameters that affect the street vitality according to different scholars, picking the most mentioned ones and analyzing each of them in the area. The study specifically explores the functional and physical aspects those contribute to vitality. In order to achieve these purposes, this chapter discusses the background of study and statement of the problem. It also covers the objectives and research questions of the study.

1.1 Background of Study

In a vibrant environment, various activities take place on street, which is one of the most significant physical spatial elements of any city. The street environment has a large role in identifying the city character; high quality street environments will create livable cities. People use the street for different activities; necessary activities, optional activities

(urban recreation) and social activities. The streets are places where informal activities take place along with the formal ones. Formal activities take place within the buildings of both side of the street space; meanwhile informal activities take place in between the buildings. As a manifestation of street culture, informal human activities take most part of the street spaces in between the physical street walls on both sides of the street space.

Today, friendly city centers are subjected and discussed by many scholars. Therefore, liveliness besides diversity is mentioned as the important issues related to these subjects (Gehl, 1994). Streets contain people and their activities . Based on public spaces, liveliness is entirely associated with people and activities (Montgomery, 2006). In other words, liveliness refers to how busy the public spaces are (oruce and Giritioglu, 2008).

According to Gehl (1987), there are three types of activities occur in public places. The first type is necessary activity, which people have to do them regardless of environment. The second type is optional activities; those activities that people are attracted to do them when the conditions are charming. The last type is social activities; which is based on the presence of others. In a good city, which has lively streets, large amount of optional activities and people are visible and at the same time people are experiencing these activities and also each others.

A lively street is defined as a street with the existence of people engaged in a variety of stationary (the key characteristic of activity) and sustained activities, particularly those activities that are social in nature (Mehta, 2006).

Many of architectural, urban design and planning solutions established priorities for people and their activities in street. Their interventions were to ensure that streets would be successfully functioning or "lively" as "places of interaction", "living spaces", etc. However, the solutions arrived at for integration of pedestrians "static activities" such as sitting, standing, chatting and browsing, compared with their dynamic movement were theoretically and empirically limited. In particular, an operational solution for balancing the use of streets for both static and dynamic activities has been unattainable (Appelyard

1987). While many streets have been much used for static activities and conductive to people sitting, waiting and meeting other people, others have much less so. Even in a highly dense shopping or commercial area, some streets have still failed to attract such activities. This is still a problem pertinent to urban design practice (Gehl, 1979.1987, Whyte 1980, Appleyard 1980, 1987).

This study attempts to identify the characteristics as components of those contribute to the (vitality) liveliness of street environment in Johor Bahru city center, especially, those that center for static activities.

1.2 Problem Statement

Johor Bahru is the third largest city in Malaysia with a total population of 1.4 million, which is expected to grow to 2.2 million by 2020 (Draft Local Plan of Johor Bahru, 2020 and Economic Report, 2005/2006). Provision of safety in the city centre is the main concern to many Johoreans.

The Social Report and Quality of Life Index 2007 indicated that increasing crime rate, such as snatch thefts, car thefts and vandalism in Johor Bahru is getting worse. The crime rate in Johor Bahru was the highest, with 13,649 cases 68.8% out of a total of 15,679 cases in Johor State. (GOH SCE YUAN, master thesis). The characteristics and nature of businesses and services offered in city centre also play an important role. The movement of population shifting to outskirt of the city centre, the physical image of the buildings, lack of public amenities such as; proper bus stop and taxi stands in the city centre, poor maintenance of public facilities such as dirty street, poor sidewalk, dirty toilets, poor landscape and street light were also highlighted. Other areas of concerns are social problems associated with the crime, snatch thief and vandalism, poor traffic, insufficient parking and good entertainment, retail outlets also among the main factors contributed to decline of city centre. Therefore if the city centre has to attract business and visitors, it

is important that the city centre is safe, clean, interesting and offers a wide choice of merchandise, in addition to well-established entertainment and leisure facilities. When the city centre becomes more interesting and where entrepreneur opportunities exist, people will come to the city centre.

Simple activities such as walking, talking and eating have taken to parks, restaurants and public buildings; this makes streets not to be lively spaces. Livable streets are needed to absorb people in all situations to gather and have activity without any difficulty. In order to create an environment that is lively at first, the vitality and liveliness in urban Design should be identified and different criteria of it should be found out and the most effective parameters causing a street to have the quality of vitality will be figured out.

1.3 Research Agenda

1.3.1 Aim

The purpose of this study is to determine the characteristics of vitality and the prerequisite elements and characteristics of an area to have the quality of vitality in Johor Bahru city center.

1.3.2 Objectives

- I. To identify the functions that contributes to user activities.
- II. To identify the physical aspects contribute to vitality.
- III. To establish the components and characteristics contribute to vitality.

1.4 Research Questions

- 1. What are the functional aspects of vitality?
- 2. What are the physical components related to vitality?
- 3. What are the important components and characteristics contribute to vitality?

1.5 Scope of the study

Jalan Wong Ah Fook has been chosen among different streets of Johor Bahru city center, it is a main street about one kilometer long and 16-18 meters wide in the heart of city center.

The study will focus on the physical, functional and characteristics along Wong Ah Fook Street with the people using it live or work around it. The study will be based on the theories of the environment – behavior sciences derived from literature review.

The purpose of this study is focusing on the Johor Bahru City Centre; the information of this study is used to identify ways to create a livable city centre so that the city centre can offer more vitality. In order to have a better understanding of vitality elements, this study shows the different parameters mentioned by different scholars. Different activities will be analyzed alang Jalan Fook.

1.6 Methodology of the study

This research will adopt a qualitative methodology, which involves visual survey of field observation in the form of photographs and physical mapping. The methodology includes the following:

First Stage: Identify the Problem Statement and Objectives

The first stage mainly concentrates on identifying the problem statement, the objectives, significance and scope of the study. The idea for the problem statement was taken from observations and recent media coverage.

Second Stage: Theoretical Research

The second stage is the theoretical review. In this stage, the study emphasizes on gathering theories that are relevant to the study. The focus for this stage is to gather parameters affecting the vitality according different scholars.

Third Stage: Data Analysis

At this stage, the data and information collected from the second stage will select to glean the relevant data for the study.

Forth Stage: Suggestions, Recommendations and Conclusion

The outcome of the analysis will be used for a final discussion regarding the vitality of the city centre. Suggestions and recommendations from different urban experts would also be stated at this stage. The suggestions and recommendations are related to the Johor Bahru city centre.

Physical Mapping

Documentation of the physical elements of streetscape is extremely useful in the analysis stage and final reports because it can help precisely imaging the condition of the street.

1.7 Study area

Johor Bahru central business district is one of the most popular shopping districts in Johor, attracting local visitors and tourists to its wide range of shopping and public plaza. The study will focus city center area in Johor Bahru exactly in the Wong Ah Fook Street.

REFRENCE

- Ahmad Bashri Sulaiman, Shuhana Shamsuddin, Wan Mohd Zakry (2004) *The study of Physical and Functional characteristics of urban public spaces, case study of* KOTA BHARU *town centre*, KELANTAN, Research vote NO:71889, Faculty of Built Environment, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Balsas, C. J. L. (2004), *Measuring the Livability of an Urban Centre*: An Exploratory Study of Key Performance Indicators. Planning, Practice & Research, Vol. 19, No. 1, 101-110.
- Bianchini, F. Landry, C.(1994), "A Methodology for Assessing Urban Viability and Vitality" The Creative City Working Paper3 Indicators of a Creative City, COMEDIA
- Carmona, M., Heath, T., Oc, T. & Tiesdell, S. (2003) *Public Space—Urban Spaces: The Dimensions of Urban Design* (Oxford: Architectural Press).
- Champion, A. G. (1991), *the Booming Towns Studies*: Methodological Issues, Environment and Planning A, 23, 1393-1408.
- DoE (Department of the Environment), (1996). "Town Centres and Retail Development", Revised Planning Policy Guidance Note 6, London: HMSO
- Eichner and Tobey (1987). In Moudon, A.V (ed). *Public Streets for Public Use*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company Inc., New York
- Evans, R. (1997), *Regenerating Town Centers*, Manchester University Press. Green, A. E. & Montgomery, J. (1995), *Urban Vitality and the Culture of Cities*. The Planner, April, 20-21.
- Geddes, P. (1915) *Cities in Evolution*: An Introduction to the Town Planning Movement and to the Study of Civics (London: Williams & Norgate Ltd).
- Gehl Architects and the City of Melbourne (2004) *Places for People*—Melbourne 2004 (Melbourne: City of Melbourne).
- Gehl, J. and Gemzoe, L. *Public Spaces Public Life*. (3d ed.). Copenhagen: Narayana Press, 2004.
- Gehl. Life between Buildings. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1987.
- Idid, Syed Zainol Abidin, Arif Budi Sholihah (2005). *The Role of Informal Street Activities in the Context of Conserving Urban Cultural Entity Case Study: Malioboro Street, Yogyakarta, Indonesia*, 8th International conference of the Asian planning schools Association 11-14th September 2005
- Jacobs and Allan (1993). Great Streets, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USAKorff, Rudiger
- Jacobs, Allan B (1985). Looking at Cities, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 1985
- Jacobs, Allan B (1993). Great Streets, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA
- Jacobs, Jane (1961). *The Death and Life of Great American Cities*, Vintage Books, New York
- Lichfield, Nathaniel (1988). Economics in Urban Conservation, Cambridge University Press, New York
- Lynch, Kevin (1960). *The Image of the City*, the MIT Press, Massachusetts, USA Llewelyn-Davies (2006) Urban Design Compendium, English partnerships. The housing corporation.

- Lynch, Kevin (1981). Good City Form, the MIT Press, Massachusetts, USA
- Matthew Carmona at, el. (2003), *public places- urban spaces, The Dimensions of urban* design, Architectural press, London
- Montgomery, J. (1995) *Urban vitality and the culture of cities*, Planning Practice and Research, 10(2), pp. 101-110.
- Montgomery, J. (1998) *Making a city: Urbanity, vitality and urban design*, Journal of Urban Design, 3(1), pp. 93-116.
- Moughtin, Cliff (2003), *Urban Design: Street and Square*, Butterworth Architecture, Great Britain
- Mumford, L. (1946) The Culture of Cities (London: Secker & Warburg).
- Paul, J. & Sanders, E. (1997), *Measuring the Effectiveness of Town Centre Management Schemes*: An Exploratory Framework. International Journal of Retail and Distribution Management, Vol. 25, No. 2, 70-77.
- Ratcliffe, J., Flanagan, S., (2004), *Enhancing the vitality and viability of town and city centers* The concept of the business improvement district in the context of tourism enterprise, Property Management Volume 22 Number 5 2004 pp. 377-395
- Ravenscroft, N. (2000), *The Vitality and Viability of Town Centers*. Urban Studies, Vol. 37, No. 13, 2533-2549.
- Relphs, E. (1972). Place and Placelessness, Pion Limited, London
- Rudofsky, Bernard (1969). Streets for People: A Primer for Americans, Doubleday, New York.
- Silverman, D. (2005). *Doing Qualitative Research*: A Practical Handbook. (3rd eds). London: Sage Publications.
- Tiesdell, S., Oc, T., Heath, T. (1996), *Revitalizing Historic Urban Quarters*, Great Britain, Architectural Press,
- Tyler, N. (1998). Evaluating the Health of Downtowns, Center for Community Economic Development, University of Wisconsin, No. 23.
- URBED (Urban and Economic Development Group), (1994). Vital and Viable Town Centers: Meeting the Challenge. London: HMSO.
- Wan Mohd Zakry (2008) appropriate urban public open space Faculty of Built Environment, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.