

EFFECT OF BOTTOM ASH ON THE STRENGTH CHARACTERISTICS OF  
FLY ASH AND BOTTOM ASH MIXTURES

JACKY LING JIA YII

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Civil)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2013

To my beloved parent, siblings, and friends  
Thanks for your never ending love and support

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my project supervisor, Prof. Dr. Aminaton Marto for her generous advice, patience, guidance and encouragement throughout the duration of my preparation and writing this project report.

Secondly, I would like to express my gratitude to Mr. Abdul Rahim Awang, a PhD candidate, for his guidance and sharing his useful information and experience related to this projects. Besides, thanks to all technician at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) and Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), who generously spend their precious time for helping me in the laboratory works.

Furthermore, I would also like to express my sincere thanks to my senior and friends, who had given me a lot of guidance and advice for the completion of this Master project.

Finally, I am most thankful to my parents and family for their continuous support and encouragement given to me unconditionally in completing this Master project. Without the contribution of all those mentioned above, this work would not have been possible.

## ABSTRACT

One of the sources to produce electricity is coal. The major problem of the coal combustion based power plant is producing huge quantities of solid waste. Fly ash (FA) and bottom ash (BA) are two major contributions in the coal combustion products (CPP). Generally, most CPP are just dumped into the waste pond. The insufficient place for waste dumping is becoming an issue since the amount of CPP waste is increasing drastically. Recently, there are efforts to use the FA and BA together as mixtures in geotechnical engineering works. This paper focuses on the effect of BA content on the strength characteristic of fly ash and bottom ash mixtures, for coal ash taken from the Tanjung Bin power plant in Johor, Malaysia. This study investigates the effect of curing period on the morphology, chemical and strength characteristic of FA-BA mixtures that consists of 30 % and 70% BA. The mixtures had been tested in laboratory through Scanning Electron Microscopic test, X-Ray Fluorescences test and Consolidated Undrained triaxial test. Major chemical composition of FA-BA mixtures are silica, alumina, ferum oxide and calcium oxide. The value of modulus of elasticity decreased with the increase of bottom ash content from 30% to 70% in the ash mixtures. The internal friction angle at critical state,  $\phi_c'$ , was decreased as the content of BA is increased from 30% to 70%. Without curing periods, the increased of BA content from 30% to 70% did not give any significant effect on the critical state shear strength. However, after 28 days curing periods, the  $\phi_c'$  was increased, but it is not that significant. It is also observed that the  $\phi_c'$  of both mixtures with 30%BA and 70%BA are in the same range as the typical dense sands. Generally, it can be concluded that there is no significant effect on the shear strength properties at critical state for FA-BA mixtures at 30%BA and 70%BA. Hence, there will be a great potential to use a large quantity of BA in the mixtures without compromising the strength which can be a great benefit for usage in various geotechnical engineering works.

## ABSTRAK

Arang batu merupakan salah satu sumber untuk menghasilkan elektrik. Masalah utama bagi pembakaran arang batu di loji kuasa adalah pembakaran arang batu telah menghasilkan sisa pepejal dalam kuantiti yang banyak. Abu terbang (FA) dan abu dasar (BA) adalah dua sumbangan besar dalam produk pembakaran arang batu (CPP). Secara umumnya, CPP hanya akan dibuang ke dalam kolam sisa. Tempat pelupusan sisa buangan yang tidak mencukupi telah menjadi satu isu. Ini berpunca daripada jumlah sisa CPP telah menunjukkan peningkatan yang drastik. Kebelakangan ini, terdapat usaha-usaha untuk menggunakan FA dan BA bersama-sama sebagai campuran dalam kerja-kerja kejuruteraan geoteknik. Kajian ini memberi tumpuan kepada campuran abu arang batu dari loji kuasa Tanjung Bin di Johor, Malaysia. Kajian ini menyiasat kesan tempoh pengawetan pada ciri morfologi, kimia dan kekuatan campuran abu terbang dan abu dasar (FA-BA) yang mana ia terdiri daripada 30% dan 70% campuran BA. Campuran abu ini telah diuji dalam makmal melalui ujian pengimbasan elektron mikroskopik, ujian x-ray fluorescences dan ujian tiga paksi pengukuhan tak tersalir. Komposisi kimia yang utama dalam campuran FA-BA adalah silika, alumina, ferum oksida dan kalsium oksida. Nilai modulus keanjalan menurun dengan peningkatan kandungan abu dasar dari 30% ke 70% dalam campuran abu. Sudut geseran dalaman pada keadaan kritikal telah menurun apabila kandungan BA meningkat dari 30% ke 70%. Tanpa pengawetan, peningkatan kandungan BA daripada 30% kepada 70% tidak memberi apa-apa kesan yang besar ke atas kekuatan ricih dalam keadaan kritikal. Walaubagaimanapun, selepas 28 hari pengawetan,  $\phi_c'$  telah meningkat, tetapi tidak ketara. Semua  $\phi_c'$  ditemui dalam campuran FA-BA adalah hampir sama seperti  $\phi_c'$  yang ditunjukkan oleh pasir padat yang biasa. Secara umumnya, ia boleh membuat kesimpulan bahawa campuran FA-BA pada 30% BA dan 70% BA tidak memberi kesan yang ketara ke atas sifat-sifat kekuatan ricih pada keadaan kritikal. Maka, potensi untuk menggunakan BA dalam kuantiti yang besar ke dalam campuran tanpa menjejaskan kekuatan adalah besar. Ini boleh menjadi manfaat yang besar dalam pelbagai kerja kejuruteraan geoteknikal.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Statement of problems	2
	1.3 Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope and limitations of study	3
	1.5 Significance of study	4

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Fly ash	7
	2.2.1 Class F fly ash	10
	2.2.2 Class C fly ash	11
	2.2.3 Pozzolanic activity of fly ash	12
2.3	Utilization of fly ash	14
	2.3.1 Fly ash in cement concrete	15
	2.3.2 Fly ash in embankment and structural fills	16
2.4	Bottom ash	16
	2.4.1 Utilization of bottom ash	18
2.5	Shear strength of fly and bottom ash mixture	19
2.6	Tangent constrained modulus	22
2.7	Unconfined compressive strength	28
2.8	Peak friction angle and critical state friction angle	29
2.9	Critical state theory	35
	2.9.1 Critical state line (CSL)	35
	2.9.2 State boundary surface	37
2.10	Critical state of FA-BA Mixture	42
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1	Introduction	47
3.2	Material collection	49
3.3	Sample preparation	51
3.4	Morphology of mixture	53
3.5	Chemical properties of mixture	54
3.6	Consolidation undrained (CU) triaxial compression test.	55

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	3.6.1 Preparation of Consolidated Undrained (CU) Triaxial Compression Test	55
	3.6.1.1 Porous discs	56
	3.6.1.2 Rubber membrane	57
	3.6.1.3 O-ring	58
	3.6.1.4 De-aired water	59
	3.6.2 Installation and procedure of consolidated undrained triaxial test	60
	3.6 Data analysis	63
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	
	4.1 Introduction	65
	4.2 Morphology characteristics	66
	4.3 Chemical composition	70
	4.4 Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test	73
	4.4.1 Stress-strain and pore water pressure reponses	73
	4.4.2 Modulus of elasticity	81
	4.5 Critical state line	85
	4.6 Shear Strength	90
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
	5.1 Conclusion	90
	5.2 Recommendations	91
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	92



**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Chemical composition of coal fly ash	12
2.2	Utilization of coal fly ash in the United States, Europe and China	15
2.3	Chemical composition of coal bottom ash	18
2.4	The E value suggested	27
2.5	Shear strength values of mixtures at different fly ash composition at various curing periods.	28
2.6	Strength parameters from direct shear tests (Peak values)	37
2.7	Undrained strength parameters from triaxial tests (Standard Proctor optimum)	37
2.8	Drained strength parameters from triaxial tests	38
2.9	Effective strength parameters from triaxial tests (Standard Proctor optimum)	38

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.1	Mixing proportion of 30% bottom ash and 70% bottom ash mixtures for one sample	51
4.1	XRF analysis of 30% bottom ash	71
4.2	XRF analysis of 70% bottom ash	71
4.3	Comparison between 30% and 70% fly ash on deviator stress and axial strain at peak	80
4.4	Comparison between 30% and 70% fly ash on deviator stress and axial strain at critical state	80
4.5	Comparison in secant modulus of $E_s$ , for every samples	82
4.6	Comparison between 30% bottom ash and 70% bottom ash on cohesion and angle of shear resistance	83
4.7	Comparison between 30% bottom ash and 70% bottom ash on shear strength	88
4.8	Comparison between 30% fly ash and 70% Bottom ash on percentage increase of shear strength before and after 28 curing days	89

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Typical thermal power plant and resulting waste generated	7
2.2	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) photographic of fly ash	10
2.3	Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) photographic of bottom ash	17
2.4	Stress-strain and volume change results from consolidated-drained triaxial tests completed on ash mixtures from (a) and (b) Wabash River plant; and (c) and (d) A. B. Brown plant	20
2.5	Volume change behaviour from CID triaxial test performed at different confining stresses on ash mixtures from (a) Wabash River plant; and (b) A. B. Brownplant	21
2.6	Graph of deviator stress versus axial strain	22

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.7	Definition of soil modulus	24
2.8	Tangent constrained modulus of ash mixtures and sands	25
2.9	Peak friction angle versus compaction level, confining stress, and fly ash content: (a) Wabash River plant; and (b) A. B. Brown plant	31
2.10	Critical state friction angle of ash mixtures	32
2.11	Critical state line and stress paths for undrained loading on a normally consolidated clay	37
2.12	$q'/v/p'$ triaxial test result	38
2.13	Three dimension projection of critical state line	39
2.14	The critical state boundary surface	40
2.15	Consolidated undrained compression test results for normally consolidated sample	42
2.16	Failure points for consolidated undrained compression test on isotropically consolidated specimens of all stress histories in $q-p'$ space	43

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.17	Failure points for consolidated undrained compression test on isotropically consolidated specimens of different stress histories in $v - p'$ space	43
2.18	Critical state line in $v - \ln p'$ space	45
2.19	Effective stress paths from consolidated undrained compression test of normally consolidated samples in $q-p'$ space	45
2.20	Critical state boundary surfaces from consolidated undrained compression test in $q/p'_e$ and $q/p'_e$ space.	46
3.1	Flow chart of the activities in the study	48
3.2	Tanjung Bin Power Plant	49
3.3	Bottom ash disposal area	50
3.4	Silo for fly ash storage	50
3.5	Sample of 30% bottom ash	52
3.6	Sample of 70% bottom ash	52
3.7	ZEISS SUPRA 35-VP	53

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
3.8	Bruker AXS Model S4 Pioneer	54
3.9	Porous discs	56
3.10	Rubber Membrane	57
3.11	O-ring	58
3.12	De-aired water apparatus	59
3.13	Triaxial machine	61
3.14	Sample in the triaxial machine	61
4.1	SEM micrograph of coal ash mixtures particles from Tanjung Bin power plant at 0 curing days (Magnification x1000)	68
4.2	SEM micrograph of coal ash mixtures particles from Tanjung Bin power plant at 28 curing days (Magnification x1000)	70

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
4.3	Consolidated undrained compression test results for normally consolidated 70% bottom ash samples 0 curing days	74
4.4	Consolidated undrained compression test results for normally consolidated 70% bottom ash samples 28 curing days	75
4.5	Consolidated undrained compression test results for normally consolidated 30% bottom ash samples 0 curing days	77
4.6	Consolidated undrained compression test results for normally consolidated 30% bottom ash samples 28 curing days	78
4.7	Critical state line for FA-BA mixtures with 70% bottom ash at 0 curing day	85
4.8	Critical state line for FA-BA mixtures with 70% bottom ash at 28 curing day	85
4.9	Critical state line for FA-BA mixtures with 30% bottom ash at 0 curing day	86

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
4.10	Critical state line for FA-BA mixtures with 30% bottom ash at 28 curing day	86



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

BA	-	Bottom ash
E	-	Modulus of elasticity
FA	-	Fly ash
H	-	The slope of the Hvorslev on the $q$ - $p'$ plane
M	-	The slope of critical state line on the $q$ - $p'$ plane
$p'$	-	Mean normal effective stress
$q$	-	Deviator stress
$q_p$	-	Deviator stress at peak
$q_c$	-	Deviator stress at critical
Y	-	Specific volume = $1 + e$
$\Gamma$	-	Intercept of critical state line with the $v$ -axis
$\lambda$	-	The slope of normal consolidated line in $v - p'$ plane
$\phi_c'$	-	Internal friction angle at critical state
$\phi_p$	-	Internal friction angle at peak
$\varepsilon_p$	-	Axial strain at peak
$\varepsilon_c$	-	Axial strain at critical state

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

One of the sources to produce electricity is coal. The major problem of the coal combustion based power plant is producing huge quantities of solid waste. The coal combustion residues (CCR's) including silica (61%), alumina (22%) and iron oxide (7%), which make up of 90% of the ash. The others element are calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), sodium (Na), kalium (K) and sulfur (S) (Chang *et al.* 1979, Adriano *et al.* 1980, Saxena *et. al.* 1998a).

Coal-burning power plant has produced a large volume of coal ash and bottom ash. The ratio of production between fly ash and bottom ash is 80:20 by weight (ACAA 2001). Most of the CCRs are disposed off as slurry to ash ponds. It is due to low in cost as well as easily operated. The solid CCRs are collected and mixed with water, and the slurry is pumped through a series of pressurized pipes to ash ponds. (Asokan *et al.* 2004).

In Malaysia, the study of the coal ash and bottom ash is still limited. The disposal problem of coal ash and bottom ash is becoming critical by the times going.

Thus, some studies have been made to utilize the fly and bottom ash as replacement material in geotechnical works. For instance, fly and bottom ash can be used in soil replacement, soil embankment even in material filling (Abdul Rahim *et al.* 2012).

## **1.2 Problem of Statement**

There is limited study on local coal ash compared to other countries. The local companies do not have enough information and laboratory evaluation on fly and coal ash. The ash pond for disposing the coal combustion products becoming lesser and the authorities have to find other alternative routes to solve coal ash accumulative problems.

The use of fly and bottom ash as the substitution in geotechnical works is still in essential stage. Hence, the study of the strength characteristic of the fly and bottom mixture is significant and critical. Apart from this, the appropriate proportion of fly and ash mixtures is also important to maximize the strength of the mixtures. Respective mixture may be used for highway embankment construction, reclamation and others geotechnical works.

### **1.3 Objective of the Study**

The purpose of the study is to investigate the effect of bottom ash content on the strength characteristics of fly ash and bottom ash mixtures. Thus, the following study objectives have been formulated:

1. To evaluate the morphology and chemical properties of different FA:BA mixtures at various curing period.
2. To determine the modulus of elasticity and strength parameters of different FA:BA mixtures
3. To evaluate the strength behavior of different ratio of FA: BA mixtures at various curing periods under undrained condition using critical state method.

### **1.4 Scope and Limitation of Study**

The study is focusing the morphology, chemical and strength characteristic of fly ash and bottom ash mixtures from the Tanjung Bin power plant in Johor, Malaysia. The mixtures used in this study is including, 30% and 70% of the bottom ash in the mixtures. The curing periods of the samples concerned were 0 and 28 days. The morphology characteristic had been tested by scanning electron microscopy, the chemical properties tested by x-ray fluorescence and the strength test was carried out using consolidation undrained triaxial test.

## **1.5 Significant of the Study**

The result that obtained from this study can be determined the suitability of fly and bottom mixture ratio in beneficial the geotechnical works. The variety of strength that obtained can investigate the suitability in different scope of geotechnical works such as soil replacement, soil embankment or back filling materials.

## REFERENCES

- Abdul Rahim Awang, Aminaton Marto and Ahmad Mahir Makhtar (2012).  
*Morphological and Strength Properties of Tanjung Bin Coal Ash Mixtures for applied in Geotechnical Engineering Work*. International Journal on Advanced Science Engineering Information Technology Vol.2, pp 55-62
- ACAA (American Coal Ash Association) (2003) *Fly Ash Facts for Highway Engineers*. Technical Report ACAA, USA.
- Adriano, D.C., Page, A.L., Elseewi, A.A., Chang, A.C. & Stranghan, L. (1980):  
*Utilisation and Disposal of Fly Ash and Other Coal Residues Interrestrial Eco System: A Review*. Environmental Quality, 9, 333.
- Asokan Pappu, Saxena Mohini, Aparna Asokan, Shyam R. Asoletar (2004). *Characteristics variation of coal combustion residues in an Indian ash pond*. Waste Management and Research, v 22, n 4, p 265-275
- ASTM (American Standard Testing Method) (2004) *.Standard Specification for Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural Pozzolan for Use as a Mineral Admixture in Portland Cement Concrete*. ASTM, USA.
- ASTM C618-98, 1998, *Standard Specifications for Coal Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural Pozzolans for Use as a Mineral Admixture in Concrete*. 1998 Annual Book of ASTM Standards, vol. 4.02 (concrete and aggregates), pp. 293\_295, West Conshohocken, PA, USA.

- Briaud J.L. (2001) *Introduction to Soil Modulus*, Geotechnical News, June 2001, BiTech Publishers Ltd, Richmond, B.C., Canada.
- Bumjoo, K., Prezzi, P. and Salgado, R. (2005). *Geotechnical Properties of Fly and Bottom Ash Mixtures for Use in Highway Embankments*. Journal Of Geotechnical And Geoenvironmental Engineering, 914-924
- Carlton, C. Wiles (1996). *Municipal Solid Waste Combustion Ash: State of The Knowledge*. J. Hazard. Mater., 47, 325–344.
- Carrier, W. D., III. (2000). “*Compressibility of a compacted sand*.” J. Geotech. Geoenviron. Eng. 126(3), 273–275.
- Chakraborty, T.K. and Dasgupta S.P.(1996). *Randomly reinforced fly ash as foundation material*, Proc. Indian Geotechnical Conf., Madras. Vol. 1, pp. 231–235
- Chang, A.C., Lund L.J., Page A.L. & Warneke, J.E. (1979): *Physical properties of fly ash amended soil*. Journal of Environmental Quality, 6, 267–270.
- Das, Braja M. (2011), *Principles of Foundation Engineering*, 7<sup>th</sup> edition, Cengage Learning, 2011
- DiGioia, A. M., and Nuzzo W. L. (1972). *Fly Ash as Structural Fill*. Proc., American Society of Civil Engineers, Journal of the Power Division, New York.
- Eisele, T.C. , Kawatra S.K. & Nofal A. (2004). *Comparison of Class C and Class F Fly-Ashes as Foundary Sand Binders and The Effectiveness of Accelerators in Reducing Curing Time*. Mineral Processing and Extractive Metallurgy Review: An International Journal, 25:4, 269-278
- Faber, J.H. and Digioia A.M., (1976). *Use of Ash in Embankment Construction*, Transportation research record, Use of waste materials and soil stabilization, National Academy of Sciences, Washington DC, No. 593, pp. 13–19.

- Head, K.H. (1986). *Manual of Soil Laboratory Testing Volume 3*. Pentech Press Limited
- Huang, W. H., (1990), *The Use of Bottom Ash In Highway Embankments, Subgrades, And Sub-bases*, Joint Highway Research Project, Final Report, FHWA/IN/JHRP-90/4, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, Indiana.
- Huang, H. W. and Lovell, C. W. (1993), *Use of Bottom Ash and Slags in Highway Construction*, Fourth International Symposium on the reclamation, Treatment, and Utilization of Coal Mining Wastes, Karakow, Poland, Sep., pp. 358-368.
- Indraratna, B., Nutalaya P., Koo K.S. and Kuganenthira N. (1991)., *Engineering behaviour of a low carbon, pozzolanic fly ash and its potential as a construction fill*, Can. Geotech. J., 28, 542–555.
- Kaniraj,S.R. and Gayathri, V. (2004). *Permeability and Consolidation Characteristics of Compacted Fly ash*. Journal of Energy Engineering, Vol. 130, No.1.
- Kim, B., Prezzi, M., and Salgado, R. (2005). *Geotechnical Properties of Fly and Bottom Ash Mixtures for Use in Highway Embankments*. J. Geotech. Geoenviron. Eng., 131(7), 914–924.
- Kim, B.J., Yoon, S.M., and Balunaini, U. (2006). *Determination of Ash Mixture Properties and Construction of Test Embankment –Part A*. Joint Transportation Research Program, Final Report, FHWA/IN/JTRP-2006/24! Purdue University, W. Lafayette, Indiana.
- Martin, J.P., Collins R.A., Browing J.S. and Biehl F.J. (1990). Properties and use of fly ashes for embankments, *J. Energy Engng*, 116, 71–75.
- Marwa, B. A. *Critical State Of Fly Ash-Bottom Ash Mixture*. Master project. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia; 2010
- McKerall, W. C., Ledbetter, W. B., and Teague, D. J. (1982). *Analysis of Fly Ashes Produced in Texas*. Texas Transportation Institute, Research Rep. 240-1, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX.



- Meegoda, Jay N.; Shenyan Gao; N. M. A. Al-Joulani; and Liming Hu (2011): *Solid Waste and Ecological Issues of Coal to Energy*. Journal of hazardous, toxic, and radioactive waste, 99-107
- Muhardi, Aminaton Marto, Khairul Anuar Kassim, Ahmad Mahir Makhtar, Lee Foo Wei, Yap Shih Lim (2010), *Engineering Characteristics of Tanjung Bin Coal Ash*, EJGE, volume 15, 1117-1129
- Naganathan, S., Subramaniam, N. & Mustapha, K.N. (2012), *Development of Brick using Thermal Power Plant Bottom Ash and Fly ash*. Asian Journal of Civil Engineering (Building and Housing) Vol. 13 No. 1 pp. 275-287.
- National Research Council. (2006). *Managing Coal Combustion Residues in Mines*. National Academies Press, Board on Earth Sciences and Resources, Published, National Academies, (U.S.).
- NETL (National Energy Technology Laboratory), (2006). *Clean Coal Technology: Coal Utilization By-Products*. Washington DC; Department of Energy Office of Fossil Energy; Topical report No. 24.
- Pandian, N.S. and S. Balasubramonian (2000). *Leaching Studies on ASTM Type F Fly Ashes by zn Accelerated Process Method*, J. Testing Evaluation, ASTM, 28, 44–51.
- Pandian, N.S. (2004). *Fly Ash Characterization with Reference to Geotechnical Applications*, Journal of Indian of Institute of Science, Vol. 84, p 189-216.
- Roszczyński, W. (2002). *Determination of Pozzolanic Activity of Materials by Thermal analysis*. Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, Vol. 70, p.387-392.

- Roy, W. R., Thiery, R. G., Schuller, R. M., and Suloway, J. J. (1981). *Coal Fly Ash: A Review of The Literature and Proposed Classification System With Emphasis on Environmental Impacts*. Environmental Geology Notes, No. 96, Illinois State Geological Survey, Champaign, IL.
- Saxena, M., Asokan, P. & Aparna, A. (1998a): *Effect of Fly Ash on Clay Soil*. Clay Research, 17, 109–114.
- Singh, S.R. and Panda A.P. (1996)., Utilization of fly ash in geotechnical construction, *Proc. Indian Geotechnical Conf.*, Madras, Vol. 1, pp. 547–550.
- Taylor, H. F. W.(1990) *Cement Chemistry*, Academic Press, London, pp. 231\_237.
- Tri Utomo, S.H. (1996). *The Effects of Time on Properties of Pulverised Fuel Ash*. PhD Thesis, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, UK (Unpublished).
- Tsen, M. Z., (2008) *The Properties of Fly Ash-Based Geopolymer Mortar with Potassium Based Alkaline Reactor*. Unpublished B.Eng Thesis, Curtin University of Technology.
- U.S. Navy (1986). *Design Manual – Soil Mechanics, Foundations and Earth Structures*. NAVFAC DM-7, Dept. of the Navy, Washington, D.C.
- Waseem, M., Prashant A., Chandra, S. (2004). *Single Element Test Predictions for Stress-Strain Behaviour of Panki Fly-Ash*. Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, India-208016.
- Whitlow, R. (2001). *Basic Soil Mechanics*. Forth edition. Pearson Education Ltd.