DETERMINATION OF CHARACTERISTICS OF DISGUISED SIGNATURES AMONGST MALAYSIAN

NORMAH BINTI MOHAMMED

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

DETERMINATION OF CHARACTERISTICS OF DISGUISED SIGNATURES AMONGST MALAYSIAN

NORMAH BINTI MOHAMMED

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Forensic Science)

> Faculty of Science Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2013

Specially dedicated to.....

My beloved parents, Mohammed Cheyakutty & Beebi Ali, and my sisters My supervisors; Dr. Joazaizulfazli Jamalis (UTM) & Mr.Wong Kong Yong (JKM) and My friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My most appreciation is dedicated to Allah the Almighty with his concern for giving me a chance to further my study and giving strength to complete my research. The first person I wish to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation is my course coordinator, Assoc. Prof. Dr Umi Kalthom Ahmad who kept an eye on the progress of my work and always available when I needed her advices and not to forget her helpful support, guidance, valuable knowledge and constructive critics during the research progress.

A special thanks also goes to both of my supervisors; Dr Joazaizulfazli Jamalis and Mr. Wong Kong Yong. Their guidance, continual support, constant encouragement and patient throughout the completion of this research are deeply appreciated. I would also like to thank the Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education for the financial funding through MyBrain15.

Last but not least, my greatest thank to my beloved parents; Mohammed Cheyakutty and Beebi Ali. Thanks for all the inspiration and love you showered on me.

ABSTRACT

Verification of the signature is critical because there is no two identical signatures by the same person and no two persons write alike. Signatures are used on a wide range of documents, many of which bind an individual to an agreement, as such, signature forgery is common. By disguising his signature, an individual may hope to disclaim it at a later date, so as to exonerate himself from any consequences that may be linked to the document. In this study, 100 respondents were selected randomly amongst Malaysian in an equal amount of both genders. The respondents were required to produce five disguised signatures and a single genuine signature for comparison. The signatures were analyzed using magnifying glass. Out of 34 disguised characteristics observed, only 30 characteristics were observed from 50 male respondents while 32 characteristics were observed from 50 female respondents. The highest percentages for both genders were recorded for characteristic structural detail differ which exhibited 38% and 50% respectively. Female respondents showed higher percentage than male respondents for 21 characteristics out of 34 characteristics observed in this study. Besides, female respondents used up to twelve characteristics when disguising their signatures compared to male respondents which only used one to seven characteristics. Based on these findings, female respondents were more likely to use a variety of features during the disguising process which shows that they were more prone to do mistakes during the disguising process compared to male respondents.

ABSTRAK

Pengesahan tandatangan adalah kritikal kerana tiada dua tandatangan yang sama boleh dihasilkan oleh individu yang sama dan tiada dua orang yang menulis sama. Tandatangan digunakan ke atas pelbagai dokumen, banyak yang mengikat individu kepada sesuatu perjanjian, maka, pemalsuan tandatangan adalah perkara biasa. Dengan penyamaran tandatangan, individu boleh berharap untuk menafikannya kemudian, bagi melepaskan dirinya dari sebarang kesan yang boleh dikaitkan dengan dokumen itu. Dalam kajian ini, 100 orang responden telah dipilih secara rawak di kalangan Malaysia dalam jumlah yang sama bagi kedua-dua jantina. Responden dikehendaki untuk menghasilkan lima tandatangan menyamar dan satu tandatangan asli untuk perbandingan. Tandatangan tersebut dianalisis menggunakan kanta pembesar. Daripada 34 ciri-ciri menyamar yang didapati, hanya 30 ciri-ciri dapat diperhatikan daripada 50 responden lelaki manakala 32 ciri-ciri telah diperhatikan daripada 50 responden perempuan. Peratus tertinggi untuk kedua-dua jantina telah direkodkan bagi ciri butiran struktur berbeza yang menunjukan 38% dan 50% masing-masing. Responden perempuan menunjukkan peratusan yang lebih tinggi daripada responden lelaki bagi 21 ciri-ciri daripada 34 ciri-ciri yang diperhatikan dalam kajian ini. Tambahan pula, responden perempuan menggunakan sehingga dua belas ciri-ciri apabila menyamar tandatangan mereka berbanding dengan responden lelaki yang hanya menggunakan satu hingga tujuh ciri-ciri. Berdasarkan penemuan ini, responden perempuan didapati lebih cenderung untuk menggunakan pelbagai kriteria semasa proses menyamar. Ini menunjukan responden perempuan lebih cenderung untuk melakukan kesilapan semasa proses menyamar berbanding responden lelaki.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER

1

2

PAGE

DE	CLARATION	ii
DE	DICATION	iii
AC	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
AB	STRACT	V
AB	STRAK	vi
TA	BLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES		Х
LIST OF FIGURES		xi
LIS	ST OF ABREVIATIONS	xii
LIS	xiv	
INT	FRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Statement of Problem	3
1.3	Significance of Study	4
1.4	Objectives	4
LII	FERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1	Document Examination	5
	2.1.1 Questioned Document	7

	2.2	Signat	ure	8
		2.2.1	Uniqueness of Signature	9
		2.2.2	Signature Verification and Writer Identification	11
		2.2.3	Analysis of Signatures	15
		2.2.4	Comparison of Signature	17
		2.2.5	Disguised Signature	18
3	RES	EARC	H METHODOLOGY	23
	3.1	Materi	als and Equipments	23
	3.2	Respo	ndents	23
	3.3	Prepar	ation of Respondent Form	24
	3.4	Sampl	ing and Signatures Collection	24
	3.5		e Extraction for Disguised Signature cteristics	24
4	RES	ULTS	AND DISCUSSION	25
	4.1	Details	s of Respondent's Background	25
	4.2	Charac	cteristics of Disguised Signatures Observed	27
		4.2.1	Number of Disguised Characteristics Used By Respondents	31
		4.2.2	Counting Disguised Characteristics	32
		4.2.3	Characteristics of Disguised Signatures and Percentages of Respondents	34
		4.2.4	The Ranking of Characteristics of Disguised Signatures Used By Respondents	36
	4.3	Characteristics of Disguised Signatures Based On Genders		41
		4.3.1	Comparison of Disguised Signature Characteristics Based On Genders	43
		4.3.2	Comparison of Numbers of Disguised Characteristics Used Based On Genders	48
	4.4	-	arison of Characteristics of Disguised Signatures On Age Groups	50

	4.5	Handedness	54
	4.6	Determination of Disguised Signature Characteristics From Two Unknowns	56
5	CON	ICLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	58
	5.1	Conclusions	58
	5.2	Recommendations	60
REFERENC	ES		62
Appendices			68 - 76

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE OF TABLE	PAGE	
4.1	Respondent's background	26	

4.2	Definition of characteristics of disguised signatures	27
4.3	Number of disguised characteristics used by respondents	31
4.4	Examples of method of counting disguised characteristics	32
4.5	Characteristics of disguised signatures and percentages of respondents	35
4.6	Characteristics of disguised signatures based on genders	41
4.7	Numbers of disguised characteristics used based on genders	49
4.8	Disguised signatures characteristics based on age groups	50
4.9	Disguised signatures characteristics by left-handed Respondents	55
4.10	Disguised characteristics observed for unknown male	56
4.11	Disguised characteristics observed for unknown female	57

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE OF FIGURES

2.1 Examples of main types of signatures disguised 20 Example of disguised signatures 4.1 37 Ranking of characteristics of disguised signatures used by 4.2 41 Respondents Ranking of disguised signatures characteristics based on 4.3 43 gender (male) 4.4 Ranking of disguised signatures characteristics based on 44 gender (female)

FIGURE NO.

PAGE

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- ABNI Absence of name or initial
- ABO Absence of ornamentation
- ABS Absence of stroke
- ADNI Adding name or initial
- ADO Add ornamentation
- ADS Adding stroke
- AIL Absence of initial letter
- AILD Altering initial letter design
- ALBI Adding letter before initial
- ALM Adding letter at the middle
- ALT Adding letter at the terminal
- AML Absence of middle letter
- AMLD Altering middle letter design
- APS Altering the position of stroke
- ATL Absence of terminal letter
- ATLD Altering terminal letter design
- CCSL Change capital or small letter
- CO Change ornamentation
- DL Decrease legibility
- DS Decrease spacing
- DSGL Decrease signature's length
- DSS Decrease signature's size
- DSTL Decrease stroke's length
- FDE Forensic Document Examination

FDEs	Forensic Document Examiner
FHE	Forensic Handwriting Examination
FHEs	Forensic Handwriting Examiner
GMM	Gaussian Mixture Models
GSCC	Grey-Scale Co-Occurrence Matrix
IDF	Introduce different features
IL	Increase legibility
IS	Increase spacing
ISGL	Increase signature's length
ISS	Increase signature's size
ISTL	Increase stroke's length
KNN	K-Nearest Neighbours
PL	Pen lifts
PT	Patching
SDD	Structural detail differ
TFN	Triangular Fuzzy Number
ТМ	Tremor
UNS	Unnatural start
WED	Weighted Euclidean Distance

LIST OF APPENDICES

TITLE OF APPENDIX 68 Details of the Student Α В Details of the Respondents 69 С Scenario 70 D Examples of Genuine and Disguised Signatures For 71 Each Characteristic Proceeding Paper for ISPC 2012 Е 76

APPENDIX

PAGE

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Signature verification has been an active research topic for several decades (Plamondon and Lorette, 1989). Despite continuous effort, signature verification remains a challenging issue. It provides a way of identifying the writer of a piece of handwriting in order to verify the claimed identity in security and related applications.

Variation in handwriting has, for a long time, attracted the attention of graphologists. Unfortunately, despite the perceived importance of this issue, very little empirical research has been devoted to it (Matuszewski, 2004). Handwritten signature has been widely accepted in personal attributes for identity verification and also a symbol of authorization, especially in the prevalence of credit cards and also bank cheques that had been long become the target of falsification. The problem arises when irresponsible people manipulates the signature for their own benefit. Therefore, the owner of the signature suffer losses of any kind of the owner property especially their financial (Zakaria *et al.*, 2010).

Forensic Document Examination (FDE) is a forensic science discipline pertaining to documents that are in dispute in court proceeding. The major purpose of forensic document examination is to answer questions concerning a disputed document using a multiplicity of scientific methods and processes. Several of these examinations involve a comparison of the questioned document or the details of the document, to a set of known standards. The most common form of examination involves in handwriting is when the examiner tries to address concerns concerning potential authorship (Srihari *et al.*, 2007).

Generally, a document is a physical illustration of information intended to communicate effectively. Usually, signature will be interrelated with document as it binds an individual to an agreement. Signature is normally handwritten and it represents someone's name or nickname. Signature also plays a role as a proof of identity. Therefore, irresponsible individual tends to disguise other person's signature and expect to deny it at later date, afterward to disclaim himself from any responsibilities that may be connected to the document (Coupland *et al.*, 2004). Thus, the widespread occurrence of signature falsification on many types of documents has become a common task to document examiners to identify the genuineness of the signature.

A document examiner is often required to provide an opinion on the authorship of handwriting or signatures, which is essentially an exercise of distinguishing forgery from genuineness. To be successful, forgers must discard all their own writing habits and at the same time, assume those unfamiliar characteristics of another writer. The conscious mental task is enormous and it involves the physical struggle of using an alien writing process in place of the well-founded, usual writing movements as well. Therefore, truly successful forgeries are rare. It is generally recognized that there are two methods of forgery, namely simulation and tracing, which have been extensively reviewed (Leung *et al.*, 1993). Besides that, forensic document examination field concerned with issues such as writer of the questioned document, for example, a ransom note is the same as the known writer of samples documents, and also the signature is genuine or fake. The individuality of the handwriting is the most important point in this field which is in becoming evidence. Every person's writing is different and every person's signature is unique (Srihari *et al.*, 2007).

1.2 Statement of Problem

The task of forensic signature verification is to prove if a questioned document sample is genuine or forgery when samples of signatures of an individual are given. Besides, it still remains a challenging problem as the analysis result is based on the opinion of the FDEs as well as peer review. The assessment of signature disguise, where an individual attempts to disguise their own signature with the intention to disclaiming it later (so-called 'view to deny' signatures), is a problem faced by many document examiners.

In this study, the characteristics of disguised signature were determine based on comparison made between the genuine signature and several samples of disguised signatures collected randomly from 100 respondents amongst Malaysian. Also, the characteristics of disguised signatures are differentiated based on gender to observe any uniqueness in the features used.

1.3 Significance of Study

Nowadays, the profession as examiners of questioned documents is crucial in the courts to aid in interpreting evidence related to the preparation evaluation of documents. Thus, the result of this study will be very useful to guide and save time for the examiners as it provides information on the characteristics of disguised signature which is commonly being used by an individual and also the difference between male and female in choosing the disguise characteristics.

1.4 Objectives

The objective of this study is to determine the characteristics of disguised signatures amongst Malaysian. This study also aims to reveal the disguised characteristics according to gender and compare the characteristics observed between both genders.

REFERENCES

- Bertolini, D., Oliveira, L. S., Justinoa, E., and Sabourin, R. (2010). Reducing Forgeries in Writer-independent Off-line Signature Verification through Ensemble of Classifiers. J. Pattern Recogn., 43: 387-396.
- Boatwright, D. E. (1987). Triazolam-Handwriting and Amnestic States: Two Cases. J. *Forensic Sci.*, 32(4): 1118–1124.
- Coupland, V. T. (2004). A Critical Evaluation of Two Methods of Signature Analysis. *Sci. & Justice*, 44(2): 65-71.
- Das, M. T. and Dulger, L. C. (2009). Signature Verification (SV) Toolbox: Application of PSO-NN. *Engrs. Appl. Artificial Intelligence*, 22: 688–694.
- Dawson, G. A., and Lindblom, B. S. (1998). An Evaluation of Line Quality in Photocopied Signatures. *Sci. & Justice*, 38 (3): 189-194.
- Fang, B., Leung, C. H., Tang Y. Y., Tse K. W., Kwok, P. C. K., Wong, Y. K. (2003). Online Signature Verification by the Tracking of Feature and Stroke Positions. *J. Pattern Recogn.*, 36: 91-101.
- Faruk, A. and Turan, N. (2003). Handwriting Changes under the Effect of Alcohol. J. Forensic Sci., 132: 201–210.

- Found, B. and Rogers, D. K. (2005). Investigating Forensic Document Examiners' Skill Relating to Opinions on Photocopied Signatures. *Sci. & Justice*, 45(4): 199-206.
- Funsch, K. and Halde-Sin, P. (1998). Intra-individual Changes in Handwriting Features Depending on Handwriting Velocity. J. Forensic Sci., 11: 1-16.
- Guler, I. and Meghdadi, M. (2008). A Different Approach to Off-line Handwritten Signature Verification Using the Optimal Dynamic Time Warping Algorithm. J. Dig. Sig. Pro., 18: 940–950.
- Guo, J. K., Doermann, D. and Rosenfeld, A. (1997). Local Correspondence for Detecting Random Forgeries. Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition. 18-20 August. Germany, Ulm: IEEE, 2: 319-323
- Haines, K., Phillips, J. G., Rogers, D. and Found, B. (2001). The Ability to DistinguishHandwriting Samples on the Basis of Age and Gender. J. Forensic Sci., 14(1): 31-51.
- Hanmandlu, M., Yusof, M. H. M. and Madasu, K. V. (2005). Off-line Signature Verification and Forgery Detection Using Fuzzy Modeling. J. Pattern Recogn., 38: 341-356.
- Herkt, A. (1986). Signature Disguise or Signature Forgery. J. Forensic Sci., 26(4): 257-266.
- Hilton, O. (1982), *Scientific Examination of Questioned Documents (revised ed.)* New York: Elsevier North Holland, Inc.
- Hilton, O. (1983). *Scientific Examination of Questioned Document*. New York: Elsevier Science Publishing Inc.

- Houck, M. M. and Siegel, J. A. (2006). *Fundamentals of Forensic Science*. U.S.A: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Huang, K. and Hong, Y. (1997). Off-line Signature Verification Based on Geometric Feature Extraction and Neural Network Classification. J. Pattern Recogn., 30(1): 9-17.
- Huber, R. A. and Headrick, A. M. (1999). *Handwriting Identification: Facts and Fundamentals*. U.S.A: CRC Press.
- James, S. H. and Nordby, J. J. (2005). *Forensic Science: An Introduction to Scientific and Investigantion Technques.* U.S.A: CRC Press.
- Konstantinidis, S. (1987). Disguised Handwriting. J. Forensic Sci., 27(6): 383-392.
- Koppenhaver, K. M. (2007). *Forensic Document Examination: Principles and Practice*. New Jersey: Humana Press, Inc.
- Lee, C. D. and Abbey, F. A. (1922), *Classification and Identification of Handwriting*. New York: Appleton.
- Leung, S. C., Cheng, Y. S., Fung, H. T., and Poon, N. L. (1993). Forgery I Simulation. *J. Forensic Sci.*, 38(2): 402-412.
- Li, C. K., Poon, N., Fung, W. and Yang, C. (2005). Individuality of Handwritten Arabic Numerals in Local Population. *J. Forensic Sci.*, 50 (1): 185-191.

- Liwicki, M., Malik, M. I., Heuvel, C. E., Chen, X., Beger, C., Stoel, R. Blumenstein, M., Found, B. (2011). Signature Verification Competition for Online and Offline Skilled Forgeries. *Proceedings of the 2011 International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition*. 18-21 September. China, Beijing, 1480-1484.
- Locard, E. (1920). Questioned Documents (2nd ed.): Criminal Investigation and Scientific Methods. Toronto: Boyd Printing Company.
- Matuszewski, S. (2004). Natural Variation in Selected Constructional Features of Female Signatures. *Prob. Forensic Sci.*, 7: 24-43.
- Michel, L. (1978). Disguised Signatures. J. Forensic Sci., 18: 25–29.
- Mizukami, Y., Yoshimura, M., Miike, H. and Yoshimura, I. (2002). An Off-line Signature Verification System Using an Extracted Displacement Function. J. Pattern Recogn., 23: 1569–1577.
- Mohammed, L. A. (1993). Signature Disguised in Trinidad and Tobago. J. Forensic Sci., 33: 21-24.
- Muehlberger, R. J. (1990). Identifying Simulations: Practical Considerations. *J. Forensic Sci.*, 35(2): 368-374.
- Muhammad, M. I., Liwicki, M. and Dengel, A. (2011). Evaluation of Local and Global Features for Offline Signature Verification. *Proceedings of the 1st International Workshop on Automated Forensic Handwriting Analysis (AFHA).* 17-18 September. China, Beijing, 26-30.
- Osborn A. S. (1929). Questioned Documents (2nd ed.) Toronto: Boyd Printing Company.

- Pavlidis, I., Papanikolopoulos, N. P., and Mavuduru, R. (1998). Signature IdentificationThrough The Use of Deformable Structures. J. Signal Proc., 71: 187-201.
- Phillips, J. G., Noutsis, S., Hughes, C. and Rogers, D. (2000). Effects of Writing Speed upon Modes of Signature Simulation: A Kinetic Analysis. J. Forensic Sci., 13: 1-14.
- Plamondon, R. and Lorette, G. (1989). Automatic Signature Verification and Writer Identification The State of The Art. J. Pattern Recogn., 22: 107–131
- Robertson, J. (2004). *The Scientific Examination of Documents: Methods and Techniques*. Australia: John Willey & Sons.
- Said, H. E. S., Peake, G. S., Tan, T. N., Baker, K. D. (2000). Personal Identification Based on Handwriting. J. Pattern Recogn., 33: 149-160
- Schuckit, M. A. (1987). Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine: Alcohol and Alcoholism (11th ed.) New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Shanker, A. P. and Rajagopalan, A. N. (2007). Off-line Signature Verification using DTW. J. Pattern Recogn., 28: 1407–1414.
- Siegel, J. A. (2007). *Forensic Science: The Basics*. Florida: CRC Press. & Taylor Francis Group.
- Srihari, S. N., Huang, C., Srinivasan, H., and Shah, V. (2007). Biometric and Forensic Aspects of Digital Document Processing. *Elsevier*. 379-405.
- Srihari, S. N., Kuzhinjedathu, K., Srinivasan, H., Huang, C. and Pu, D. (2008). Signature Verification Using a Bayesian Approach. IWCF, LNCS 5158. Springer, 192–203.

- Wen, J., Fang, B., Tang, Y. Y. and Zhang, T. (2009). Model-based Signature Verification with Rotation Invariant Features. J. Pattern Recogn., 42: 1458-1466.
- Zakaria, R., Wahab, A. F. and Ali, J. M. (2010). Offline Handwritten Signature Verification Using Alpha Cut of Triangular Fuzzy Number (TFN). J. Fundamental Sci., 6(2): 148-153.
- Zakaria, R., Wahab, A. F. and Ali, J. M. (2010). Verification of Complex Fuzzy Data of Offline Handwriting Signature. *J. Scientific Research*, 42(4): 565-575.