

CHANNEL ESTIMATION FOR LTE DOWNLINK

AHMED MOHAMMED MOHAMMED AL-SAMMAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

Replace this page with form PSZ 19:16 (Pind. 1/07), which can be obtained from SPS or your faculty.

Replace this page with the Cooperation Declaration form, which can be obtained from SPS or your faculty.

CHANNEL ESTIMATION FOR LTE DOWNLINK

AHMED MOHAMMED MOHAMMED AL-SAMMAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Electrical-Electronics and Telecommunications)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2013

To My Parents

*For they brought me up, encouraged and supported me throughout my life, and for
their sacrifices that made me obtain the best education possible.*

AND

My Wife

The source of my aspiration

My Brothers and Sisters

Who always wished all the best for me

*The love of my parents, the aspiration of my wife and encouragement of my brothers,
sisters, friends have really been a tonic to me.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise and glory be to Allah (SWT) whose mercy we live and who enabled me to realize my dreams, by giving me strength and good health to accomplish the objective of this study. Without His help and assistance , all my efforts would have been fruitless.

Special thanks goes to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Tharek Bin Abd Rahman , for allowing me to carry out this study under his supervision and for his constructive criticism and support, which has enabled me to complete this study in time. While under his supervision, I have learnt how to be a competent and challenger and to set my benchmark even higher. Thank you Prof. Dr. Tharek , for your help, your priceless advices that made me feel not only as my supervisor but also as friend of mine.

I like to express my sincere thanks to my family:my parents , my wife and My wife's parents for their love and encouragement to pursue my interest.Especially to my parents, I owe all my success; I am nothing without my mothers prayers and my fathers advices filled with wisdom. All my brothers and sisters for their goodwill to me and to my achievements. All my relatives for their limitless prayers.

On this occasion, I would like to give final thanks to Mr. Abdualrahman , Mr. Ibrahim ALsamawi and Mr. Mohammed Makhraf for their motivation and unconditional support.

Ahmed Mohammed Mohamed , Johor Bahru, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

In LTE system, supporting high mobile user speed is one of the key of requirements. However, the channel variation in different mobility scenarios is a significant challenge to achieving this goal. The channel estimation is required at the receiver part to satisfy the good performance with channel variation. In this master thesis, I use the different estimators to estimate the channel at the LTE Downlink system with different scenarios. The LS block fading estimator use at low mobility where the channel is statistic during one subframe. When the user speed more than 20 km/h the LS block estimation degrade in MSE and throughput performance. The LS fast fading outperforms the LS block fading at the velocity more than 25 km/h. LS estimation can be simply implemented with low computational complexity, however the MSE performance is not satisfactory at the high mobility. The LMMSE method is optimum in minimizing the MSE of the channel estimates and in throughput performance. However, the algorithm contains matrix inverse operation and other complex operations which causes high computational complexity. To compatible between these different estimators with good performance and low complexity, the proposed method is used. This method combines three different type channel estimations which is termed Hybrid Linear Mean Square Error (HLMSE). This proposed estimator is a hybrid of the Least Square (LS) estimator block for low mobility , LS fast fading estimator for moderate mobility and Linear Minimum Mean Square Error (LMMSE) estimator for high mobility. The performance of the HLMSE estimator compared with LS in terms of throughput and Mean Square Error(MSE) outperforms the LS in both throughput and MSE. The complexity of the HLMSE can be controlled by channel variation, which depends on mobility.

ABSTRAK

Di dalam sistem LTE, keperluan untuk menyokong kelajuan data bagi pengguna mudah alih yang laju adalah salah satu kunci. Walau bagaimanapun, perubahan saluran dalam senario ubah alih yang berbeza adalah satu cabaran besar untuk mencapai matlamat ini. Anggaran saluran yang diperlukan di bahagian penerima untuk memenuhi kebutuhan yang baik dengan perubahan saluran. Dalam tesis sarjana ini, saya memakai penganggar yang berbeza untuk menganggarkan saluran pada sistem LTE pautan turun dengan senario yang berbeza. Penggunaan penganggar pudar Blok LS pada mobiliti yang rendah di mana saluran adalah statistik dalam satu subframe. Apabila kelajuan pengguna lebih daripada 20 km/j anggaran LS blok merendahkan prestasi MSE dan pemprosesan. Performa cepat pudar LS melebihi blok pudar LS pada kelajuan lebih daripada 25 km/j. Anggaran LS hanya boleh dilaksanakan dengan kerumitan pengiraan yang rendah, namun prestasi MSE tidak memuaskan pada mudah alih tinggi. LMMSE adalah kaedah optimum dalam mengurangkan anggaran saluran MSE dan prestasi pemprosesan. Walau bagaimanapun, algoritma mengandungi operasi matriks songsang dan lain-lain operasi yang kompleks yang menyebabkan kerumitan pengiraan yang tinggi. Kaedah ini menggabungkan tiga jenis saluran anggaran yang berbeza yang dipanggil Ralat Min Hibrid Linear Square (HLMSE). Penganggar yang dicadangkan adalah hibrid daripada Least Square (LS) dan penganggar blok untuk mudah alih yang rendah, penganggar LS cepat pudar untuk mudah alih sederhana dan minimum dan Linear Min Ralat Square (LMMSE) penganggar bagi mudah alih yang tinggi. Prestasi penganggar HLMSE berbanding LS dari segi kendalian dan Mean Square Error (MSE) melebihi performa LS dalam kedua-dua throughput dan MSE. Kerumitan HLMSE yang boleh dikawal oleh perubahan saluran, yang bergantung kepada pergerakan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|--|----------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | ix |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xi |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xiv |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem Statement | 2 |
| | 1.3 Objectives and Scope | 2 |
| | 1.4 Structure of the Report | 3 |
| 2 | OVERVIEW OF LTE PHYSICAL LAYER | 4 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 4 |
| | 2.2 Overview of OFDM Based Structure | 5 |
| | 2.3 LTE Frame Structure | 6 |
| | 2.3.1 Type-1 Frame Structure | 6 |
| | 2.3.2 Type2 Frame Structure | 7 |
| | 2.4 Physical Resource and Slot structure | 7 |
| | 2.5 LTE Downlink Reference Signals Structure | 9 |
| | 2.6 Multiple Antenna Techniques | 10 |
| | 2.7 Advanced Antenna Techniques | 11 |
| | 2.7.1 Beam–forming | 11 |
| | 2.7.2 Spatial Multiplexing | 12 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2.7.3 | Spatial Diversity | 15 |
| 2.7.3.1 | Transmit Diversity | 16 |
| 2.7.3.2 | Receive Diversity | 17 |
| 2.7.3.3 | Cyclic Delay Diversity (CDD) | 19 |
| 2.7.3.4 | Space Frequency Block Coding (SFBC) | 19 |
| 2.8 | Previous and Related work | 21 |
| 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND SYSTEM MODEL | 23 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 23 |
| 3.2 | LTE characteristics | 23 |
| 3.3 | Pilot Symbols Structure | 25 |
| 3.4 | System Model | 27 |
| 3.5 | Chapter Summary | 32 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 33 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 33 |
| 4.2 | LS Estimator | 33 |
| 4.3 | LMMSE Estimator | 34 |
| 4.4 | PROPOSED Estimator(HLMSE) | 34 |
| 4.4.1 | COMPLEXITY OF HLMSE CHANNEL ESTIMATION | 35 |
| 4.5 | SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 36 |
| 4.5.1 | Simulation Parameters | 36 |
| 4.5.2 | Throughput Performance | 36 |
| 4.5.3 | MSE Performance | 41 |
| 4.6 | Chapter Summary | 44 |
| 5 | CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS | 45 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 45 |
| 5.2 | Future Works | 46 |
| | REFERENCES | 47 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Frame structure of type 1. | 6 |
| 2.2 | Frame structure of type 2. | 7 |
| 2.3 | Downlink Resource grid | 8 |
| 2.4 | Allocation of reference symbols for 2 TX antennas | 9 |
| 2.5 | Advanced Antenna Techniques | 11 |
| 2.6 | Beam-forming in MIMO | 13 |
| 2.7 | 2 by 2 Antenna Configuration (Here $M=N=2$) | 15 |
| 2.8 | Transmit diversity configurations | 17 |
| 2.9 | Receive diversity configurations | 18 |
| 2.10 | CDD for two antenna configuration | 20 |
| 2.11 | Space Frequency Block Coding SFBC assuming two antennas | 21 |
| 3.1 | Flowchart of the research methodology. | 24 |
| 3.2 | Signal structure. | 25 |
| 3.3 | Maximum Number of Resource Blocks. | 25 |
| 3.4 | Pilot symbols structure. | 26 |
| 3.5 | System Model. | 27 |
| 4.1 | The velocity with channel variation and different channel estimation . | 35 |
| 4.2 | Throughput of the LTE system with different channel estimators over user speed at $SNR=20dB$ | 36 |
| 4.3 | Throughput of the LTE system with different channel estimators over user speed at $SNR=30dB$ | 37 |
| 4.4 | Comparison of propose estimator with different estimators in terms of throughput at $SNR=20dB$ | 38 |
| 4.5 | Throughput of the LTE system with different channel estimators over SNR at user speed 60km/h | 38 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 4.6 | Throughput comparison of proposed estimator(HLMSE)with others over SNR at user speeds 120km/h | 39 |
| 4.7 | Throughput comparison of proposed estimator(HLMSE)with others over SNR at user speeds 150km/h | 39 |
| 4.8 | MSE of the LTE system with different channel estimators over user speed at SNR=20dB | 40 |
| 4.9 | MSE of the LTE system with different channel estimators over user speed at SNR=30dB | 40 |
| 4.10 | Comparison of propose estimator with different estimators in terms of MSE at SNR=20dB | 42 |
| 4.11 | MSE of the different channel estimators at user speed of 60 km/h | 42 |
| 4.12 | MSE comparison of proposed estimator(HLMSE)with others over SNR at user speeds 120km/h | 43 |
| 4.13 | MSE comparison of proposed estimator(HLMSE)with others over SNR at user speeds 150km/h | 43 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|---------|---|--|
| 3GPP | – | 3rd Generation Partnership Project |
| ALMMSE | – | Approximate Linear Minimum Mean Square Error |
| BER | – | Bit Error Rate |
| CQI | – | Channel Quality Indicator |
| CSI | – | Channel State Information |
| CDD | – | Cyclic Delay Diversity |
| CP | – | Cyclic Prefix |
| DwPTS | – | Downlink Pilot Timeslot |
| E-UTRAN | – | Evolved Universal Terrestrial Radio Access Network |
| FFT | – | Fast Fourier Transform |
| FDD | – | Frequency-Division Duplexing |
| GDD | – | Generalized Delay Diversity |
| GP | – | Guard Period |
| HSPA | – | High Speed Packet Access |
| HSDPA | – | High Speed Downlink Packet Access |
| H-ARR | – | Hybrid Automated Repeat Request |
| HLMSE | – | Hybrid Linear Mean Square Error |
| ICI | – | Inter Carrier Interference |
| IP | – | Internet Protocol |
| ISI | – | Inter Symbol Interference |
| ITU | – | International Telecommunication Union |
| ITUVehA | – | International Telecommunication Union Vehicular A |
| LS | – | Least Square |
| LMMSE | – | Linear Minimum Mean Square Error |

| | | |
|----------|---|---|
| LTE | – | Long Term Evolution |
| LTE-A | – | Long Term Evolution-Advanced |
| MATLAB | – | Matrix Laboratory |
| MLE | – | Maximum Likelihood Estimator |
| MSE | – | Mean Square Error |
| MIMO | – | Multiple Input Multiple Output |
| MISO | – | Multiple-Input Signal-Output |
| OFDM | – | Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing |
| OFDMA | – | Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access |
| PHY | – | Physical |
| QAM | – | Quadrature Amplitude Modulation |
| QOS | – | Quality Of Service |
| RAN | – | Radio Access Network |
| RB | – | Resource Block |
| RE | – | Resource Element |
| SNR | – | Signal to Noise Ratio |
| SC-FDMA | – | Single Carrier Frequency Division Multiple Access |
| SIMO | – | Single Input Multiple Output |
| SISO | – | Single Input Single Output |
| SDMA | – | Space-Division Multiple Access |
| SFBC | – | Space Frequency Block Coding |
| STC | – | Space Time Coding |
| STBC | – | Space Time Block Coding |
| SSD | – | Soft Sphere Decoder |
| SU-MIMO | – | Single-User Multiple Input Multiple Output |
| TTI | – | Transmission Time Interval |
| TDD | – | Time-Division Duplexing |
| TD-SCDMA | – | Time Division Synchronous Code Division Multiple Access |
| UE | – | User Equipment |
| UMPCs | – | Ultra-Mobile PCs |
| UMTS | – | Universal Mobile Telecommunication System |
| UpPTS | – | Uplink Pilot Timeslot |

| | | |
|-------|---|--|
| UTRAN | – | UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network |
| WCDMA | – | Wideband Code Division Multiple Access |
| WLAN | – | Wireless Local Area Network |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| A | LTE DOWNLINK STRUCTURE | 51 |
| B | MATHEMATICAL CHANNEL ESTIMATION | 55 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Long Term Evolution (LTE) was standardized by 3GPP to improve the UMTS mobile phone standard to cope with future requirements. The LTE project is not a standard, but it will result in the new evolved release 8 of the UMTS standard, including wholly extensions and modifications of the UMTS system. LTE can provide up to 300 Mbps peak data rate for downlink(4 x 4MIMO) and 75 Mbps for uplink, the latency for radio-network is less than 10 ms [1]. The spectrum efficiency is a significant compared to 3rd generation (3G) systems. LTE provides extensive supports both frequency-division duplex (FDD) and time-division duplex (TDD) , support for spectrum flexibility and targets a smooth evolution from earlier 3GPP system such as Time Division Synchronous Code Division Multiple Access (TD-SCDMA) and High Speed Packet Access (HSPA) as well as 3rd Generation Partnership Project 2 systems such as cdma2000 [1]. The LTE downlink transmission scheme is based on Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access (OFDMA) which converts the wide-band frequency selective channel into a set of many flat fading subchannels. The significant benefits for users and operators in LTE , including the following [2]:

- **Performance and capacity** With LTE built to provide downlink peak rates of at least 100Mbps and allowance for speeds of more than 300 Mbps as well as Radio access network (RAN) round-trip times of less than 10 ms, LTE meets key 4G requirements compared to other comparable technologies.
- **Simplicity** Flexible carrier bandwidths ranging from 1.4 MHz up to 20 MHz as well as LTE support time division duplexing (TDD) and frequency division duplexing (FDD). 3GPP has already identified fifteen paired and eight unpaired

spectrum bands for LTE and with many more to come, operators will be able to introduce LTE in new bands where it is easiest to deploy 10 MHz or 20 MHz carriers and eventually deploy LTE in all bands. Features such as self-configuration and self-optimization will simplify and reduce the cost of network roll-out and management, hence simplifying the building and management of next generation LTE radio networks in the future. These will be deployed in parallel with simplified, IP-based core and transport networks that are easier to build, maintain and incorporate new services.

- **Terminals** Wide range LTE modules can be embedded into devices like mobile phones, computers and other consumer electronic devices, such as ultra-portables, notebooks, gaming devices and cameras. These devices can have universal coverage from the onset as a result of LTE supporting handover and roaming to existing mobile networks.

1.2 Problem Statement

The channel estimation in OFDM systems is generally based on the use of pilot subcarriers in given positions of the frequency-time grid. The estimators are compared in terms of the throughput, that allows to observe influence of an estimator on the complete system. Although the Mean Square Error (MSE) shows directly the performance of an estimator, it is not clear if the decrease of MSE, will also increase the throughput. The problem here is that the subcarriers are not perfectly orthogonal to each other so we need the channel estimators for rapidly changing channels.

1.3 Objectives and Scope

The objectives of this research are:

1. To estimate the channel for LTE system downlink .
2. To minimize the mean squared error (MSE) using different channel estimation algorithms.

3. To investigate the LS and LMMSE estimation effect for the specific model on the Throughput and MSE performance.
4. To get the optimal estimator with low complexity and good performance.

The main objective of this study is to provide very good estimation and compare the performance with LS and LMMSE estimators.

The scope of this research includes:

- Literature review for LTE .
- Architecture of LTE,system model and current progress on channel estimation for LTE downlink.
- Study link level simulation for LTE from channel estimation view.
- The simulation tool which will be used is Matrix Laboratory(MATLAB) based on the Vienna LTE simulators.

1.4 Structure of the Report

The rest of this report is organized as follows. Chapter 2 offers a detailed background about LTE Air Interface features describing LTE down link frame structure ,overview for OFDM,the transmission techniques used in LTE and the related work for LTE downlink channel estimation Research methodology is explained in Chapter 3 which illustrates the map used to carry out this study from beginning untill end. The physical model of the system and system model are discussed, a description of channel estimation used is provided, At the end, the methodology to extract results is also described. Chapter 4 presents results and discussion ,it presents the results from simulation of each of the following algorithms: Least Squares Channel Estimation(LS) for block and fast fading, LMMSE Channel Estimation , Approximate LMMSE Channel Estimation and Proposed estimator. A thorough discussion on these results is also presented to analyze and evaluate the performance in each algorithms. Chapter 5 concludes the the study and points out potential future directions for this work.

REFERENCES

1. 3GPP, T. . *Requirements for Evolved UTRA and Evolved UTRAN*. (Release 7), version 2.0.0. 2005.
2. 36.913, G. T. *Requirements for Further Advancements for E-TRA (LTE-Advanced)*. (Release 8), version 0.0.3. November 2008.
3. 3GPP, T. . *Physical Channels and Modulation*. (Release 8), version 8.7.0. May 2009.
4. Furht, B. and Ahson, S. A. *Long Term Evolution: 3GPP LTE radio and cellular technology*. Taylor & Francis Group. LLC 2009.
5. 3GPP, T. . *Physical Channels and Modulation*. Technical report, 3GPP: (Release 8), version 0.3.1. May 2007.
6. Mehlfrer., C. *et al* .*The Vienna LTE Simulators - Enabling Reproducibility in Wireless Communications Research*. vol., pp 1-13: EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing. 2011.
7. Mehmood, A. *CHANNEL ESTIMATION FOR LTE DOWNLINK*. Karlskrona, Sweden: MS thesis, Blekinge Institute of Technology. 2009.
8. Stefania Sesia, M. B. and Toufik, I. *LTethe UMTS Long Term Evolution: From Theory to Practice*. John Wiley & Sons. Ltd 2009.
9. Dahlman, E. *et al* .*3G Evolution: HSPA and LTE for Mobile Broadband*. Elsevier Ltd 2007.
10. A. A. Salwa Ali, S. T. *A Review on MIMO Antennas Employing Diversity Techniques*. Indonesia June 17-19: Proceedings of the International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics Institute Technology Bandung. 2007.
11. Foschini, G. J. and M. J. Gans, . *On limits of wireless communications in a fading environment when using multiple antennas*. A Flexible Laboratory MIMO System Using Four Transmit and Four Receive Antennas, 6(3), pp 311 335: Wirel. Pers. Commun., O. Weikert and U. Zolzer. in Proc. 10th

- International. OFDMworkshop , Hamburg, Germany,2005.
12. Technologies, A. *MIMO Channel Modelling and Emulation Test Challenges*. USA: John Wiley & Sons. October 7, 2008.
 13. Babu., K. J. *et al* .*A review on the design of MIMO antennas for upcoming 4G communications*. vol.1, no.4: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED ENGINEERING RESEARCH. 2011.
 14. F. Hagebolling, O. W. and Zolzer, U. *Deterministic Prediction of the Channel Capacity of Frequency Selective MIMO Systems*. Hamburg Germany: Proc.11th International OFD-MWorkshops. 2006.
 15. Foschini, G. J. and Gans, M. J. *On Limits of Wireless Communications in a Fading Environment When Using Multiple Antennas*. Vol. 6, pp.311-335: Wireless Personal Communications. 1998.
 16. Lizhong Zheng, I., Member and David N. C. Tse, I., Member. *Diversity and Multiplexing: A Fundamental Tradeoff in Multiple-Antenna Channels*. Vol. 49, No. 5: IEEE Transactions on Information Theory. MAY 2003.
 17. Kadir, M. A. *et al* .*Polarization Diversity in Wireless MIMO Systems*. Proceedings of IEEE 6th National Conference on Telecommunication Technologies. 2008.
 18. Jankiraman, M. *Space-Time Codes and MIMO Systems*. London: Artech House Boston. 2004.
 19. Alamouti, S. *A Simple Transmit Diversity Technique for Wireless Comm*. Vol. 16, No. 8, pp 1451-1458: IEEE Journal Select. Areas Communications. October 1998.
 20. Oestges, C. and Clercksi, B. *MIMO Wireless Communications: From Real-World Propagation to Space-Time Code Design*. Elsevier. 2007.
 21. Kuhni, V. *Wireless Communications over MIMO Channels*. Ltd: John Wiley& Sons. 2006.
 22. Tse, D. and Viswanath, P. *Fundamentals of Wireless Communication*. Cambridge University. 2005.
 23. Hottinen, A. *et al* . *Multi-antenna Transceiver Techniques and for 3G and Beyond*. John Wiley& Sons. 2004.
 24. Huebner, A. *et al* . *A Simple Space- Frequency Coding Scheme with Cyclic Delay Diversity for OFDM*. 5th European Personal Communications Conference. 2003.

25. Rahman, M. I. *et al* . *Combining Orthogonal Space-Frequency Block Coding and Spatial Multiplexing in MIMO-OFDM System*. Denmark: Center for TeleInFrastruktur (CTiF), Aalborg University. 2005.
- 26.
27. 3GPP. *Summary of Requirements identified*. REV-WS 044,3rd Generation Partnership Project(3GPP): 3GPP RAN long term evolution workshop. 2004.
28. 3GPP. *Study Item on Evolved UTRA and UTRAN*. RP 040461, 3rd Generation Partnership Project(3GPP). Mar 2006.
29. 3GPP. *Requirements for Evolved UTRA(E-UTRA) and Evolved UTRAN(E-UTRAN)*. TR 25.913, 3rd Generation Partnership Project(3GPP),V7.3.0. Mar 2006.
30. Rana. *et al* . *Low Complexity Downlink Channel Estimation for LTE Systems*. Vol.2,pp 1198-1202: Advanced Communication Technology(ICACTION), International Conference. 7-10Feb. 2010.
31. Qin, Y. *et al* . *Performance and complexity evaluation of pilot-based channel estimation algorithms for 3GPP LTE downlink*. vol., no., pp 218-221: Ubiquitous and Future Networks (ICUFN), 2010 Second International Conference. 16-18 June 2010.
32. Simko. *et al* . *Doubly dispersive channel estimation with scalable complexity*. VOL. , NO. ,pp251-256: Smart Antennas (WSA), 2010 International ITG Workshop on. 23-24 Feb. 2010.
33. Muruganathan, S. D. *et al* . *A Null-Subcarrier-Aided Reference Symbol Mapping Scheme for 3GPP LTE Downlink in High-Mobility Scenarios*. VOL. 61, NO. 2: IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON VEHICULAR TECHNOLOGY. FEBRUARY 2012.
34. Dahlman, E. *et al* . *3G Evolution*. Academic Press. July 2007.
35. Wilzeck, A. and Kaiser, T. *Antenna subset selection for cyclic prefix assisted MIMO wireless communications over frequency selective channels*. VOL., pp1-14: EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Process. 2008.
36. J. Kim, J. P. and Hong, D. *Performance analysis of channel estimation in OFDM systems*. VOL. 12, NO. 1, pp. 60–62: IEEE Signal Processing Letters. 2005.
37. M. Noh, Y. L. and Park, H. *Low complexity LMMSE channel estimation for OFDM*. vol. 153, no. 5, pp. 645–650: IEE Proceedings Communications. Oct

2006.

38. Hung, K.-C. and Lin, D. W. *Pilot-Based LMMSE Channel Estimation for OFDM Systems With Power Delay Profile Approximation*. Jan 2010.
39. Hsieh, M.-H. and Wei, C.-H. *Channel Estimation For OFDM Systems Based On Comb-Type Pilot Arrangement In Frequency Selective Fading Channels*. Feb 1998.
40. j. Van de Beek. *et al* .*On Channel Estimation in OFDM Systems*. Vol. 2 ,pp815-819: IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference. 1995.
41. Yang, B. *et al* .*Analysis of low-complexity windowed DFT-based MMSE channel estimator for OFDM systems*. Vol. 49 ,No.11,pp1977-1987: IEEE Transactions on Communications. 2001.
42. Galih, S. *et al* .*Intelligent Signal Processing and Communication Systems*. pp162-166: International Symposium. Jan 2009.