

BUILDING PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT IN TERMS OF ENERGY
CONSUMPTION USING BUILDING INFORMATION MODELING

MOJTABA VALINEJAD SHOUBI

A master's project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Construction Management)

Faculty of Civil Engineering

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2013

DEDICATION

This project report is dedicated to my husband, family for their support and encouragements throughout my program. Finally, the author wishes to thank all those who have provided assistance at various occasions in making this project a possible one.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, I want to give thanks to Almighty God who is my source of wisdom my provider. In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Dr KHAYRULZAN YAHYA who has guided me so patiently in completing this project. His dedication, patience and continues assistances have led me to strive for better achievement in this project.

ABSTRACT

Due to concerning about rising the cost of energy and growing the environmental concerns, the intensive of using sustainable building with minimal environmental impact is increasing worldwide. Our environment is an important aspect, not only for use, but also to conserve. The main objective of this study is to assess the capability of utilizing Building Information Modeling (BIM) to model for building energy performance improvement. The amount of energy consumption of double storey house at Skudai Malaysia is evaluated through Revit and Ecotect software. The study evaluated the annual life cycle performance of the building in terms of cooling energy consumption in operational stage. It also intended to assess different alternative design configurations to identify which design configuration has more impacts on building performance which can reduce embodied energy and lead to energy efficient building. It has been concluded from the study that some materials such as double brick and reverse brick veneer, timber, concrete floor- tiles, plaster insulation and glass sliding in components of wall, windows, floor, ceiling and door respectively are more energy efficient compared to the other materials investigated, and would have beneficial role in reducing the building's operational embodied energy.

ABSTRAK

Disebabkan untuk mengenai tentang kenaikan kos tenaga dan berkembang kebimbangan alam sekitar, intensif menggunakan bangunan mampan dengan kesan alam sekitar yang minimum semakin meningkat di seluruh dunia. Persekitaran kita merupakan aspek penting, bukan sahaja untuk kegunaan, tetapi juga untuk memelihara. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menilai kemampuan menggunakan Building Information Modeling (BIM) untuk model untuk membina tenaga peningkatan prestasi. Jumlah penggunaan tenaga rumah cerita double di Skudai Malaysia dinilai melalui Revit dan Ecotect perisian. Kajian ini menilai kitaran hidup prestasi tahunan bangunan dari segi penggunaan tenaga penyejukan di peringkat operasi serta menilai konfigurasi reka bentuk alternatif yang berbeza untuk mengenal pasti mana konfigurasi reka bentuk mempunyai lebih banyak kesan kepada prestasi bangunan yang boleh mengurangkan tenaga yang terkandung dan membawa ke bangunan cekap tenaga. Ia telah membuat kesimpulan daripada kajian bahawa beberapa bahan seperti double bata dan venir bata terbalik, kayu, konkrit lantai jubin, plaster penebat dan kaca gelongsor dalam komponen dinding, tingkap, lantai, siling dan pintu masing-masing adalah lebih cekap tenaga berbanding bahan-bahan lain yang disiasat, dan akan mempunyai peranan yang bermanfaat dalam mengurangkan tenaga operasi bangunan termaktub.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | x |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 General | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem Statement | 2 |
| | 1.3 Aim and Objectives | 4 |
| | 1.4 Scope of Study | 4 |
| | 1.5 Significance of Study | 4 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 6 |

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| 2.2 | Energy Efficiency | 7 |
| 2.3 | Energy-Efficient Buildings | 9 |
| 2.4 | R- Value | 10 |
| 2.4.1 | Different Insulation Types | 11 |
| 2.4.2 | Thickness | 12 |
| 2.4.3 | Factors | 12 |
| 2.5 | Building Insulation | 13 |
| 2.6 | Building Insulation Materials | 13 |
| 2.7 | Energy Consumption in Buildings | 14 |
| 2.8 | Building Information Modeling | 16 |
| 2.8.1 | What is Building Information Modeling? | 16 |
| 2.8.2 | History of BIM | 18 |
| 2.8.3 | BIM Benefits | 20 |
| 2.8.3.1 | Building Information Modeling Benefits In the Design Phase | 21 |
| 2.8.3.2 | Building Information Modeling Benefits In the Construction Phase | 22 |
| 2.8.3.3 | Building Information Modeling Benefits In the Management Phase | 22 |
| 2.8.4 | BIM vs. Conventional Method | 23 |
| 2.8.5 | BIM and Sustainability | 27 |
| 2.8.6 | Building Performance Simulation | 28 |
| 2.8.7 | Green BIM Tools | 32 |
| 2.8.8 | Future of BIM | 36 |
| 2.8.9 | Using BIM as a Tool for Integration | 37 |
| 2.8.9.1 | A Basic Principles of True Sustainability | 37 |

| | | | |
|----------|---------|--|-----------|
| | 2.8.9.2 | Moving Forward with Sustainable Design | 38 |
| | 2.8.10 | BIM Software (Revit Architecture) | 40 |
| | 2.8.11 | what is Ecotect Software | 40 |
| 3 | | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 42 |
| | 3.1 | Introduction | 42 |
| | 3.2 | Hierarchy of the Study | 42 |
| | 3.3 | Case Study | 45 |
| 4 | | DATA AND ANALYSIS | 47 |
| | 4.1 | BIM Simulation | 47 |
| | 4.2 | Energy Modeling | 50 |
| | 4.2.1 | Solar Energy Absorption Analysis | 52 |
| | 4.2.2 | Annual Energy Consumption Analysis | 54 |
| | 4.2.2.1 | Baseline Design Cooling Energy Consumption | 56 |
| | 4.2.2.2 | Wall Modification (Modification 1) | 58 |
| | 4.2.2.3 | Windows Modification (Modification 2) | 60 |
| | 4.2.2.4 | Floor Modification (Modification 3) | 61 |
| | 4.2.2.5 | Door Modification (Modification 4) | 63 |
| | 4.2.2.6 | Ceiling Modification (Modification 5) | 64 |
| | 4.2.2.7 | Temperature Modification (Modification 6) | 65 |
| | 4.2.2.8 | Overall Modification | 65 |
| 5 | | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 67 |
| | 5.1 | General | 67 |

| | | |
|-------|----------------|----|
| 5.2 | Conclusion | 67 |
| 5.2.1 | Objective 1 | 68 |
| 5.2.2 | Objective 2 | 68 |
| 5.2.3 | Objective 3 | 69 |
| 5.2.4 | Objective 4 | 69 |
| 5.3 | Recommendation | 70 |

| | |
|-------------------|-----------|
| REFERENCES | 71 |
|-------------------|-----------|

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| 3.1 | U values and solar absorption of the building components | 45 |
| 4.1 | Basic assumptions of the zones in term of energy Consumption Analysis | 55 |
| 4.2 | Impact of alternative materials for wall component on amount of energy usage | 58 |
| 4.3 | Impact of alternative materials for windows component on Amount of energy usage | 60 |
| 4.4 | Impact of alternative types of floors on energy consumption | 62 |
| 4.5 | Impact of alternative types of windows on energy consumption | 63 |
| 4.6 | Impact of alternative types of ceiling on energy consumption | 64 |
| 4.7 | Impact of changing building's indoor temperature on energy consumption | 65 |
| 4.8 | Annual energy consumption based on overall modification | 66 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Traditional Method of Design Review | 24 |
| 2.2 | An Integrated Approach to Design Review | 25 |
| 2.3 | The Integrated Design Model | 26 |
| 2.4 | Sample of rendering in Revit architecture software | 40 |
| 2.5 | Autodesk Ecotect Analysis | 41 |
| 3.1 | Hierarchy of the study | 43 |
| 3.2 | Case study's drawing plans and orientation | 46 |
| 4.1 | Perspective and 3D views of simulated building in two different elevations | 48 |
| 4.2 | Perspective of export gbXML | 49 |
| 4.3 | Tabulated daily solar data | 50 |
| 4.4 | Tabulated daily solar data | 51 |
| 4.5 | Daily and annually sun path visualization | 51 |
| 4.6 | Solar energy absorption by surfaces of the building | 53 |
| 4.7 | Calculation the best and worst orientation | 53 |
| 4.8 | Monthly cooling load consumption | 56 |
| 4.9 | Passive gains breakdown of the building based on baseline design | 57 |
| 4.10 | Sections of different wall components in this study | 59 |
| 4.11 | Section of double-glazed Alum frame window | 61 |

| | | |
|------|---|----|
| 4.12 | Section of concrete floor-tiles suspended | 62 |
| 4.13 | Section of hollow core plywood door | 64 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

The concern about the climate change and resource depletion issue is increasing around the world. Building and construction sector has been identified as a major contributor to global environmental impact due to human activity (Bribian et al. 2009; Junnila and Horvath 2003). Energy consumption and greenhouse gases emissions to the environment attributable to the building have the main effect on this kind of environmental impact. The building sector accounts for about 40% of the total energy consumption and 38% of the CO₂ emission in the U.S. (DOE 2009).

Green buildings often include measures to reduce energy consumption – both the embodied energy required to extract, process, transport and install building materials and operating energy to provide services such as heating and power for equipment. As high-performance buildings use less operating energy, embodied energy has assumed much greater importance – and may make up as much as 30% of the overall life cycle energy consumption. To reduce operating energy, high-efficiency windows and insulation in walls, ceilings, and floors increase the efficiency of the building envelope, (the barrier between conditioned and unconditioned space) can be used.

Nowadays, the BIM model is considered as an effective platform to overcome the difficulties of acquiring the necessary building data in LCA, and so it can provide great possibility for implementing whole building LCA in the design stage. Since, the opportunities for reducing the environmental impacts of the building LCA are limited after construction stage, the most effective decisions regarding to reducing the environmental impacts of the building are made in the preliminary (design) and preconstruction stages.

One of the relevant issues today is implementing the traditional planning environment which energy and performance analysis of the buildings are typically performed after the architectural design and construction documents have been produced. This lack of integration into the design process causes an inefficient process of design modification to achieve a set of performance criteria which leads to wasting time and cost (Schueter and Thessling, 2008). Therefore for assessing the life cycle building performance in the early design stage, access to a comprehensive set of knowledge concerning on a building's modules, materials and technical systems are required. Since Building Information Model (BIM) takes multi-disciplinary information into an account, it creates an opportunity for life cycle assessment of building performance to be carried out throughout the design process (Scheuter and Thessling, 2008).

1.2 Problem Statement

At present, concerning about the climate change and resource depletion issue is increasing around the world. Human activity has been considered as a main factor in construction industry contributed in global environmental impact (Bribián et al. 2009; Junnila and Horvath 2003). Energy consumption and greenhouse gases emissions to the environment attributable to the building have the fundamental role as the global environmental impact. The building sector accounts for about 40% of the total energy consumption and 38% of the CO₂ emission in the U.S. (DOE 2009).

One of the relevant issues today is implementing the traditional planning environment which energy and performance analysis of the buildings are typically performed after architectural design and construction documents have been produced. The most effective decisions related with sustainable design of a building facility are made in the preconstruction and/or construction stages. This lack of integration into the design process leads to an inefficient process of retroactively modifying the design to achieve a set of performance criteria which leads to wasting extra time and cost. (Schueter and Thessling, 2008).

Energy consumption analysis of buildings is a difficult task because it requires considering detailed interactions among the building, HVAC system, and surroundings (weather) as well as obtaining mathematical/physical models that are effective in characterizing each of those items. The dynamic behavior of the weather conditions and building operation, and the presence of multiple variables, requires the use of computer aid in the design and operation of high energy performance buildings. So the need to adopt a practical model solution is essential for doing the assessment of the whole building performance in the early design.

Therefore for assessing the energy consumption of the building, access to a comprehensive set of knowledge concerning on a building's modules, materials and technical systems are required. Since Building Information Model (BIM) takes multi-disciplinary information into an account, it creates an opportunity for building performance assessment to be carried out throughout the design process (Scheuter and Thessling, 2008).

1.3 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study is to evaluate the potential of utilizing BIM to carry out the assessment of performance of the building in terms of energy consumption. In order to achieve the aim, the objectives of the study are as follows:

1. To gather necessary information such as drawing plan, materials specification and etc for simulation
2. To simulate the whole building by using BIM tool
3. To assess the existing annual energy consumption of the building
4. To evaluate building performance in term of energy consumption for alternative specifications

1.4 Scope of Study

Scope of the study covers the annual operational life cycle energy consumption of the building. A double storey building located in Taman Universiti, Skudai was simulated through BIM tools. Since Malaysia is considered as a tropical country, there is no heating load but only just cooling load was considered in the calculation of annual energy consumption.

1.5 Significance of Study

Building simulation and investigating the performance of the building virtually in the preconstruction and/or even during construction stage will help decision makers, designers, engineer, and architects to select specifications with the

least impact to the environment. In building construction sector, BIM can be beneficial for the building designers to determine the best building orientation and designs.

At the design and preconstruction stages, Building Information Model (BIM) as a comprehensive simulation method can be taken into an account to create an opportunity in assessing of building performances for the purpose of improving them. This integration of BIM concept into the design stage can reduce the design modification process for achieving a set of performance which leads to avoid spending of extra time and cost and select the best green building design. So this integration for the environmental impact prevention and minimizing the energy costs are beginning to reveal beneficial effects in terms of more efficient building design, improved building performance and minimization of the environmental risks.

REFERENCES

- Amou, S., Yokoyama, R., Ito, K. (2002). “*Optimal unit sizing of cogeneration systems in consideration of uncertain energy demands as continuous random variables*”. Energy Conversion and Management, Volume 43.
- Augenbroe, G.L.M. and J.L.M. Hensen (2004). “*Simulation for better building design*,” Building and Environment, vol. 38, no. ?, pp. ?? (in press)
- Autodesk Ecotect Analysis Wikipedia
- Azhar, S.; Hein, M; and Sketo, B. (2008). “*Building Information Modeling: Benefits, Risks and Challenges*”, Proceedings of the 44th ASC National Conference, Auburn, Alabama, USA.
- Azhar. S, Brown. J, Farooqui. R. “*BIM- based Sustainability Analysis*”: An Evaluation of Building Performance Analysis Software.2007.
- Bazjanac, V., and D.B. Crawley. (1999). “*Industry Foundation Classes and Interoperable Commercial Software in Support of Design of Energy-Efficient Buildings*,” Proceedings of Building Simulation '99, Kyoto.
- Bjorn, P. J., Arild, G., Ruben, B. (2010), “The High Performance Thermal Building Insulation Materials and Solutions of Tomorrow”
- Bosselaar, O.H. (1997). “*The Netherlands policy for stimulating the use of passive solar energy*”. In: Konttinen and Lund, ed. North Sun 1997, 7th International Conference on Solar Energy at High Latitudes, Espoo-Otaniemi, Finland, June 9-11, 27-30.
- Bruckler. B. “*Building Information Modeling to Benefit Entire Facility*”.
- Boston Society of Architects/AIA (BSA), “*A case including an integrated design perspective at the early phase of common wealth of Massachusetts capital projects*”, The Architects Building.

- Capper. G, Matthews. J (2012). “*Incorporating embodied energy in the BIM process*”.
- Coley D.A., Schukatb S. (2002). “*Low-energy design: combining computer-based optimization and human. Building and Environment*”. 37. 1241 – 1247.
- Eastman C., Teicholz P., Sacks R., and Liston K., (2008). BIM Handbook : “*A Guide to Building Information Modelling for Owner, Managers, Designers, Engineers, and Contractors*”. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New Jersey.
- Ernest Maier (2009), “R- VALUES AND U-FACTORS OF SINGLE WYTHE CONCRETE MASONRY WALLS”, National Concrete Masonry Association, Energy & IAQ.
- Hamza, N.; Greenwood, D. (2009), “*Energy conservation regulations: Impacts on design and procurement of low energy buildings, In: Building and Environment*” , 44 (5): MAY 929- 936
- Hernandez, P.; Kenny, P. Defining Zero Energy Buildings (2008). “*A life cycle perspective*”. In *Proceedings of the PLEA 2008—25th Conference on Passive and Low Energy Architecture*, Dublin, Ireland, 22–24.
- Hestnes, A. G,(1999). “*Intergrated Design of Soalr Buildings, the Work in IEA Task 23*”, Proceedings of intelligent Building Design Conference, Stuttgart, Germany.
- Hong, T.; Chou, S.K.; Bong, T.Y., (2000) “*Building simulation: an overview of developments and information sources*”. Building and Environment, 35 (4), 347-361
- <http://www.energysavers.gov/tips/insulation.cfm>.
- <http://usa.autodesk.com/>, Autodesk 2008.
- <http://usa.autodesk.com/>, Autodesk 2005.
- <http://www.udcinc.org>
- <http://www.en.Wikipedia.org>
- <http://www.shieldproducts.com>, RADIANT BARRIER vs INSULATION.

- Building Insulation 2040BIEN 1003 (2003), “Insulation Theory”, PAROC, Insulate for Life.
- Jody, B. and Tim, M. “ Alternative Construction Delivery Methods”.
- Junnila, S., and Horvath, A. (2003). “*Life-cycle environmental effects of an office building.*” *J. Infrastruct. Syst.*, 9_4_, 157–166.
- Krygiel, E. Nies B., (2008). Green BIM “*Successful sustainable design with building information modeling*”, Indianapolis: Wiley Publishing.
- McQuiston, Parker, Spitler (2005). “*Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning: Analysis and Design*”, Sixth Edition. Hoboken NJ: John Wiley and Sons Inc.
- Malkawi, A., (1994). “*Building Energy Design and Optimization: Intelligent Computer-Aided Theoretical Design*”, Ph.D. Dissertation, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta.
- Peippo K., Lund P.D. and Vartiainen E. (1999). “*Multivariate optimization of design tradeoffs for solar low energy buildings, Energy and Buildings*”; vol.20, pp. 189-205
- Primikiri, E. and Malkawi, A. (2001). “*Distributed Simulations: An Object-Oriented Approach.*” In Proceedings of the Seventh International Building Performance Simulation Association (IBPSA) Conference Held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Schueter, A., and Thessling, F. (2008). “*Building Information Model Based Energy/Exergy Performance Assessment in Early Design Stages.*” *Automation In Construction* (unpublished paper, available online).
- Steel, J, Drogemuller, R, “*Model Interoperability in Building Information modeling*”.
- US Department of Energy (2008), *The R-value of Insulation*, 07-13.
- U.S. Energy Information Administration, (2009). Emissions of Greenhouse Gases in the United States.
- Underwood, J. and Isikdag, U. (2011), “*Emerging Technologies for BIM 2.0*”, *Construction Innovation: Information, Process, Management*, 11(3), 252-258.
- Oak Ridge National Laboratory (2008), Which Kind of Insulation Is Best?, 07-13.

Westfall, L.A., M. Nanduri and G. Taylor. (2003). “*Estimating the Impacts of Voluntary Programs: Results from a Recent Study on the Canadian Industry Program for Energy Conservation.*” *Proceedings of the 2003 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Industry*. Washington, DC: American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy. pp. 6.253-260.

www.gbxml.org

Yokoyama KD, Ohler U, Wray GA. (2009). “*Measuring spatial preferences at fine-scale resolution identifies known and novel cis regulatory element candidates and functional motif-pair relationships*”. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37:e92.

Zabalza Bribián I., Aranda Usón A., Scarpellini S. (2009). “*Life cycle assessment in buildings*”: state-of-the-art and simplified LCA methodology as a complement for building certification. *Build Environ*, 12, 2510–2520.

Zeeshan aziz, “*Integrated Design and Delivery System*”, Lecture Notes, ORBE LEARNING PACKAGE.

Zhu, (2006). “*Simulation of miscible liquid-liquide interactions*”, ACM symposium on Virtual reality software and technology, Newport Beach, CA,