

**A GROUP DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM MODEL WITH KNOWLEDGE  
SHARING CAPABILITY**

**Mohammad Nur Muse**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA**

A GROUP DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM MODEL WITH KNOWLEDGE  
SHARING CAPABILITY

MOHAMMUD NUR MUSE

A dissertation report submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science (Information Technology – Management)

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Systems  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2011

*To my beloved Family and Friends*  
*To my respected supervisor*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

### **IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, MOST GRACIOUS, MOST COMPASSIONATE**

First of all I would like to be thankful to the Almighty Allah who is the creator, guardian of the entire creation and who offered me the strength to do this thesis work within time.

I'm indeed thankful to my honourable supervisor, Dr.Halina Mohamed Dahlan, for her precious guidance, support, assistance and encouragement throughout this thesis work. She always had been there to present a precious comment and feedback in time and innovative vision to demonstrate a superior task. Her commitments and sacrifice in order to develop quality research was unforgettable. In short I feel that with her supervision I have learned a lot and have gained general knowledge.

I would like to give my appreciation to all FSKSM staff, especially my lecturers in my Msc IT-Management who promote my education and stands beside me during this period.

Finally, I would like to express my utmost respect and appreciation to my parents and my brothers and sisters, especially my beloved mother. Their encouragement was remarkable to me to continue my studies and I wouldn't do this research without their spiritual and financial help and support.

## **ABSTRACT**

Since their introduction a quarter of a century ago, group decision support systems (GDSS) have evolved from applications designed primarily to support decision making for groups. Indeed, it is generally accepted that improvements of group support systems is a longstanding interest to organizational researchers, containing solid practical as well as scientific significance. Within this practice, there is increasing interest to implement new technologies to increase information accessibility of organizational groups, in order to advance its productivity. As group decision support systems (GDSS) become more widely adopted, issues relating to enhancement of how groups exchange and share information are becoming increasingly relevant. This research addresses how GDSS can be improved in order to increase knowledge sharing. Therefore, the research investigates the implementation of GDSS with the capability of knowledge sharing and analyzing the contribution of KS to enhance GDSS. The requirements of Knowledge sharing to enhance GDSS are also included. A finding result from UTM respondents is also presented. An enhancement model is being developed according to finding results and from the literature review. It is hoped that this findings plus enhancement model will aid to provide GDSS with the solid capability of knowledge sharing.

## ABSTRAK

Sejak diperkenalkan seperempat abad yang lalu, sistem bantuan keputusan berkumpulan atau *group decision support sistem* (GDSS) telah berubah menjadi aplikasi yang dibangunkan untuk menyokong membuat keputusan dalam kumpulan. Penambahbaikan kepada GDSS telah menarik minat para penyelidik, terutamanya dalam melaksanakan teknologi baru yang boleh meningkatkan capaian maklumat oleh pembuat keputusan berkumpulan. Peningkatan penggunaan GDSS telah mencetuskan isu yang berkaitan dengan bagaimana pertukaran dan perkongsian pengetahuan di antara pembuat keputusan berkumpulan boleh ditingkatkan. Oleh itu, penyelidikan ini dijalankan untuk membahaskan bagaimana GDSS dapat meningkatkan perkongsian pengetahuan. Penyelidikan ini telah mengkaji keperluan perkongsian maklumat untuk meningkatkan GDSS. Berdasarkan kepada keperluan perkongsian maklumat yang telah dikenalpasti dan kajian literatur, model GDSS yang telah ditambahbaik dengan keperluan perkongsian maklumat telah dibangunkan. Diharapkan hasil penyelidikan ini, dapat meningkatkan kemampuan GDSS dalam perkongsian pengetahuan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
<b>1</b>	<b>RESEARCH OVERVIEW</b>	
	1.1. Introduction	1
	1.2. Background of Problem	3
	1.3. Problem Statement	5
	1.4. Project objectives of the study	5
	1.5. Project Scope	6
	1.6. Project Importance	6
	1.7. Chapter Summary	7
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
	2.1 Introduction	8
	2.2 Decision Support System	10
	2.2.1 Definition of DSS	10

2.2.2	Origins of DSS	11
2.2.3	Types Of DSS	13
2.3	Group Decision Support System (GDSS)	16
2.3.1	Definition of GDSS	16
2.3.2	Study of GDSS	17
2.3.2.1	Pervious GDSS research review	17
2.3.3	Current Status of GDSS	25
2.3.4	GDSS Environmental Settings	27
2.3.5	Configurations of GDSS	28
2.3.6	Applications of GDDS	29
2.3.7	Examples of GDDS	29
2.3.8	Barriers to successful use of GDSS	30
2.3.9	Future implications of GDSS	32
2.4	Knowledge Management concept	33
2.4.1	Knowledge sharing concept	33
2.4.1.1	Definition of knowledge sharing	34
2.5	Requirements of KS in GDSS	35
2.5.1	Knowledge Acquisition	35
2.5.1.1	Knowledge Acquisition Phases	35
2.5.2	Knowledge Storing	39
2.5.2.1	Knowledge Repository	39
2.5.2.2	Knowledge Repository Implementation	40
2.6	The implementation of GDSS without KS	40
2.6.1	Information sharing in GDSS	41
2.6.2	Database with GDSS	43
2.7	Integrating KM and KS into GDSS Design	44
2.8	Typical GDSS Model	45
2.9	A GDSS Model for (EMM) problem solving	47
2.10	Chapter Summary	49

<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1	Introduction	50
3.2	Operational framework	51
3.2.1	Description of Phases	53
3.3	Data Collection	56
3.3.1	Sampling and Respondents	57
3.4	Data Analysis	58
3.4.1	Data analysis methods	58
3.4.1.1	Quantitative methods	58
3.4.1.2	Qualitative methods	58
3.5	SPSS software	59
3.6	Project Schedule	59
3.7	System Requirements	60
3.8	Chapter Summary	61
<b>4</b>	<b>DATA FINDING AND DATA ANALYSIS</b>	
4.1	Introduction	62
4.2	Questionnaire Analysis and findings	63
4.3	Respondents opinion	64
4.3.1	Identifying the current problems of Group Decision Support Systems in UTM	67
4.3.2	Analyzing the requirements of knowledge sharing to enhance GDSS	70
4.3.3	Recommendations on the proposed features for GDSS model	72
4.4	Chapter Summary	73
<b>5</b>	<b>GDSS MODEL WITH KS CAPABILITY</b>	
5.1	Introduction	75
5.2	Analysis of Existing Models in GDSS	76
5.3	Derivation of the Proposed Model	78
5.4	Proposed GDSS Model	79
5.4.1	People (Group Members)	80

5.4.2	PROCESS	81
5.4.3	TECHNOLOGY	82
5.4.4	REPOSITORY (Knowledge Repository)	84
5.5	User Acceptance Test	88
5.6	Chapter summary	91
<b>6</b>	<b>ORGANIZATIONAL STRATEGY</b>	
6.1	Introduction	92
6.2	Target Organizations	93
6.2.1	Research Management Centre (RMC)	93
6.3	Roll-out Strategy	94
6.4	Expected Organizational Benefits	95
6.5	Chapter Summary	96
<b>7</b>	<b>DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</b>	
7.1.	Introduction	97
7.2.	Objectives Accomplishments	98
7.3.	Achievements	99
7.4.	Constraints and Challenges	100
7.5.	Aspirations	101
7.6.	Future Work	101
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	102
	<b>APPENDIX A</b>	109
	<b>APPENDIX B</b>	111
	<b>APPENDIX C</b>	118

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Previous researches on GDSS	24
Table 2.2	Some Applications of GDSS	29
Table 3.1	Details of operational framework	53
Table 4.1	Gender difference	64
Table 4.2	Job title of respondents	65
Table 4.3	Years of service in UTM	66
Table 4.4	Usage of GDSS	68
Table 4.5	How group decisions are made	68
Table 4.6	Any software used to make group decisions in this department	69
Table 4.7	Summary of problems of not implementing GDSS in UTM	69
Table 4.8	Summary of requirements of KS to enhance GDSS	71
Table 4.9	Recommendations on proposed features for GDSS model	72
Table 5.1	Analyzing Model Components	76
Table 5.2	People components	80
Table 5.3	Process components	81
Table 5.4	Technology components	82
Table 5.5	Repository components	84
Table 5.6	Gender of user acceptance test	89
Table 5.7	Testing the completeness of model components	89
Table 5.8	Testing the consistency of the proposed model	90
Table 5.9	Benefits of the proposed model for UTM	91

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>FIGURE NO</b>	<b>FIGURE TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	Map of Literature review	9
Figure 2.2	Components of DSS	12
Figure 2.3	Components of GDSS	25
Figure 2.4	Configuration of GDSS	28
Figure 2.5	Knowledge Acquisition Phases	36
Figure 2.6	Model of GDSS	45
Figure 2.7	A GDSS Model for EMM	48
Figure 3.1	Operational Framework	52
Figure 4.1	Breakdown of Respondents by years in service at UTM	66
Figure 4.2	Breakdown of Respondents by Faculty	67
Figure 4.3	How Group Decisions are made	68
Figure 5.1	Proposed GDSS Model	79
Figure 5.2	Life cycle of Repository search	87

## **CHAPTER I**

### **RESEARCH OVERVIEW**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

Recent advances in information and communications technologies (ICT) have made it easy to connect many people at different places in order to share knowledge. Individuals require sharing ideas and thoughts, coordinating strategies, and observing on the effort of others. Employees need exchanging documents, transmitting drafts, sending pictures, and communicating with multiple persons. Similarly, Group members need to solve problems together and make collective decisions. Organizational businesses use information systems to encourage knowledge sharing among groups. When done well, these activities can enhance the effectiveness and productivity of the group beyond what individuals can do separately.

According to Huber (1984) our society is acknowledging the appearance of a post-industrial environment surrounded by superior knowledge, complication, and confusion. One effect of this movement is that decision-related meetings are turning more regular and more significant.

At the same time, the decision gathering groups are occurring more difficult and must be reach further quickly, and with better contribution than in the past (Huber 1986).

Moreover, it appears that decisions are strange, complex and dependent in information now than in the past. Hence organizations recently encountering more complications and high confused environments than earlier and thus require unambiguous decisions for quickly to responding to recently complex situations. Therefore, with knowledge is important step in decision making, decision makers need to differentiate between defective and ideal information (Banker, Kauffman 2004). Decisions might not be made by individual person only, but also by group of members in the related areas.

The importance of storing knowledge in groups has been enlarged by the use of current industrial organizations, which could not carry on without it or without ordered information. Therefore, the enhancement of groups is dependent on how they exchange and share knowledge. Hence, shared repository is needed to implement in order to increase the effectiveness and efficiency of groups.

Over the past ten years academic and industry researchers have been working to develop computer systems which increase group effectiveness and productivity. Therefore, the concept of Group Decision Support Systems has emerged to enhance groups and several definitions of (GDSS) exist, i.e., “is an interactive computer-based system that assist finding to solve formless problems by a group of decision makers operating as a group together” (DeSanctis, G. & Gallupe 1987) or “as computer-based systems, that facilitates two or more clients slotted in a general mission (or goal) and that present an interface to a shared atmosphere” (Ellis S. etl. 1987) GDSS require real-time access to shared data, computer applications which provide structure to group work, and advanced user interface concepts. The key to making groups more productive is to allow a high degree of parallel activity and access to shared data at same time.

## 1.2 Problem Background

It is generally accepted that improvements of group support systems is a longstanding interest to organizational researchers, containing solid practical as well as scientific significance. Within this practice, there is increasing interest to implement new technologies to increase knowledge accessibility of organizational groups, in order to advance its productivity.

Over the past two decades till now, several studies have examined the impact of group technologies, management, group size, tasks and various additional aspects on enhancement of group productivity and satisfaction (Nunamaker, et al., 1991). Only a countable cases and field studies have been carried out to describe significance of making correct decision science.

In modern group support systems, a group decision occurs as the result of interpersonal communication which means the swap of knowledge among members. The communication activities in a decision-related meeting include, opinion exploration, analyzing, socializing, knowledge seeking, information giving, proposal development and proposal negotiation (Bedau 1984; Poole 1983a). On every meeting, fresh collection of data and knowledge could be generated. The combination of the decision-making group, however, may change: group members may leave, give resignation, or be removed. Newly recruited members of the group require learning how previous decisions were completed and how knowledge is being accessed. All these circumstances may reduce group collaborations or make it compound.

Similarly, the challenge of groups is that group members often are not in the same place at the same time. If everyone in a workgroup can get together in one room at one time for a meeting, then much can be achieved and knowledge can be exchanged by hand. But often meetings are not easy to arrange, especially when

individuals work at distant locations. In addition, information can be ambiguous and time-consuming when people are distances to get together. As well as organizations can not afford to make information drafted and maintained.

To overcome these problems, researchers intended to develop a method to house the knowledge of groups. This preserves to be important significance on the association in general and groups in individual. Then, the improvement of a shared repository that stores the information and knowledge of group individuals, maintain the policy and rules, and obtains relevant data and knowledge from the outside surroundings will obviously help these groups.

According to Paul, et al., (2004) the GDSS together with repository is likely to offer a large knowledge processing support. With GDSS motivate research academics with an extraordinary occasion to tell broad details of group member interactions. Satzinger, et al., (1999) stated that increasing group storage supply expansion, in the form of ideas, to the users of groups using the software. Hence, GDSS can assist the electronic acquisition of knowledge and purify individual experts' knowledge in a parallel fashion (Liou & Nunamaker, 1990). And it is found that GDSS might facilitate participants in group meeting to provide their own knowledge stores and hold them to memorize its knowledge system (Holsapple and Whinston, 1996).

The process of meeting is increased, if we promote the sharing among group members, and enlarge communication of groups. The recorded knowledge in GDSS is improved and connected in this case. The idea of organizational knowledge management process invented by Alavi and Leidner (2001) is employed. This research combines some helpful knowledge management concepts to enlarge the facilities of GDSS, including knowledge context, enhancing group repository and knowledge sharing.

### **1.3 Problem Statement**

In this research, the main idea will be about finding method to detain the expertise knowledge of groups in order to solve group collaboration problems. Therefore, this research will focus on “how GDSS can be improved in order to increase knowledge sharing?”

This will include the following:

1. What are the requirements of knowledge sharing in GDSS?
2. What type of GDSS model that can support knowledge sharing?

### **1.4 Project Objectives**

In order to understand the research, author has identified four objectives. Those four objectives are:

1. To identify the current problems of Group Decision Support Systems (GDSS)
2. To analyze the requirements of knowledge sharing in Group Decision Support Systems
3. To propose an enhancement model of GDSS in order to simplify the exchange of knowledge sharing.
4. To test and evaluate the proposed model.

## **1.5 Project Scope**

The most important points of this research scope are summarized below:

- i. The research will try to cover challenges in group collaborations
- ii. The research will be limited to find the integration of knowledge sharing in GDSS.
- iii. A new model will be developed to enhance the capability of sharing knowledge in GDSS.

## **1.6 The project Importance**

This study will present the way that knowledge sharing can enhance group capability of sharing knowledge. The research will contribute to accelerate groups by simplifying exchange of knowledge as well as reducing decision making time. The implemented model will help groups to access knowledge in order to become experience. Therefore, the knowledge can be exchanged and shared when it's stored and offered to all group participants.

## **1.7 Chapter Summary**

This chapter provided a brief description about the introduction of the research including the background of the problem, problem statement, research objectives and scope of the project. The main purpose of this chapter is to implement Group Decision Support System to enhance knowledge sharing in groups. Hence, this research will be done with literature review from some resources such as books, conferences and journals to collect from variety of initial data.

## REFERENCES

- Alavi, M., & Leidner, D. (2001). Review: Knowledge Management and Knowledge Management Systems: Conceptual Foundations and Research Issues. *MIS Quarterly* 25(1), 107-136.
- Banker, R. D., & Kauffman, R. J. (2004). "The Evolution of Research on Information Systems: A Fiftieth-Year Survey of the Literature in Management Science." *Management Science* 281-298.
- BEDAU, H. (1984). "Ethical Aspects of Group Decision Making," In W. C. Swap and Associates (Eds.). Beverly Hills, Sage Publications.
- Beynon, M., Rasmeyan, S. and Russ, S (2002). "A New Paradigm for Computer-Based Decision Support." *Decision Support Systems* 33: 127–142.
- Bircham-Connolly, H., Corner, J and Bowden, S. (2005). "An Empirical Study of the Impact of Question Structure on Receptient Attitude During Knowledge Sharing'." *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management* 32(1-10).
- Chun Ki J, Hung , &, Park Kook (2008). Examining the conflicting results of GDSS research. *School of Information Technology and Telecommunications*, Sangmyung University.
- Dennis, A. R. (1996). Information exchange and use in group decision making: You can lead a group to information, but you can't make it think. *MIS Quarterly*, 20(4), 433–457.
- DeSanctis, R. B. Gallupe, "A foundation for the study of group decision support systems", *Management Science* 33(5), 1987, pp. 589-609.
- Dr. Mike Clark. (2007). *Introduction to SPSS 16*. Source: <http://www.unt.edu/benchmarks/archives/2007/october07/rss.htm> (7th Sep 2009).

- Ellis, S., Gibbs, J. and Rein, G.L. (1991). "'GroupWare: Some Issues and Experiences.'" Communications of the ACM: 38--58.
- Easton A.C., D.R. Vogel, J.F. Nunamaker, Stakeholder identification and assumption surfacing in small groups: An experimental study, Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 1989, pp. 344-352.
- Engelbart, D. C. (October 1962). "Augmenting Human Intellect: A Conceptual Framework." Air Force Office of Scientific Research, from [www.bootstrap.org/augdocs/friedewald030402/augmentinghumanintellect/ahi62index.html](http://www.bootstrap.org/augdocs/friedewald030402/augmentinghumanintellect/ahi62index.html).
- F.L. Lewis, Facilitator: A micro computer decision support systems for small groups, unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Louisville, KY, 1982.
- F.M. Sairan, Z. U., M.R. Salim, M.F. Md Din (March, 2004). "Architecture of decision support system for WASDA: the module for sequencing batch reactor." ASIAWATER 2004: 1-3.
- Hackman, R. J., & Morris, C. G. (1975). Group tasks, group interaction process, and group performance effectiveness: A review and proposed integration. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.). *Advances in experimental social psychology* (vol. 8, pp. 45–99). New York: Academic Press.
- HAMMOND, K. R., MUMPOWER, J. L., AND SMITH, T. H. 1977, Linking environmental models with models of human judgment: A symmetric decision aid. *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern.* 7, 5, 358-367.
- Hans Klein and Leif B.Methlie , . (1995). Knowledge-based Decision Support Systems with Applications in Business. UK, John Wiley & Sons.
- Hightower, R. T., & Sayeed, L. (1995). The impact of computer-mediated communication systems on biased group discussion. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 11(1), 33–44.
- Hightower, R. T., & Sayeed, L. (1996). Effects of communication mode and prediscussion information distribution characteristics on information exchange in groups. *Information Systems Research*, 7(4), 451–465.
- Holsapple, C. W., & Whinston, A. B. (1996). Decision Support Systems: A Knowledge-Based Approach. St. Paul., West Publishing.
- HUBER, G. P. ((1986)). "The Decision-Making Paradigm of organizational Design." Management Sci: 572-.

- Huber, G. P. (1984). "Issues in the design of group decision support systems." MIS Quarterly.
- HUBER, G. P. (1984b). "The Nature and Design (Post-Industrial Organizations)." *Journal of Management Science*.
- Ives, W. Torrey, B., & Gordon, C. (1998). Knowledge Management: An Emerging Discipline with a Long History. *Journal of Knowledge Management* 1(4), 269-274.
- J. Siegel, V. Dubrovsky, S. Kiesler, T.W. McGuire, Group processes in computer-mediated communication, *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 37, 1986, pp. 157-187.
- JESSUP, L. M., & J. VALACICH, J.S (1993) *On the Study of Group Support Systems: An Introduction to Group Support System Research and Development*, New York, Macmillan Publishing Company.
- KAPLAN, M. F., AND SCHWARTZ, S., EDS. 1977, *Human Judgment and Decision Processes in Applied Settings*. Academic Press, New York.
- KRAEMER KENNETH L, J. L. K. (1988). *Computer-Based Systems for Cooperative Work and Group Decision Making*. Irvine, California University of California: 133-137.
- KUNREUTHER, H. C., AND SCHOEMAKER, P. J. H. 1982, Decision analysis for complex systems: Integrating descriptive and prescriptive components. In *Decision Making: An Interdisciplinary Inquiry*, G. R. Ungson and D. N. Braunstein, Eds Kent, Belmont, Calif., pp. 263-279.
- Kwok, R. C. W., Ma, J., & Vogel, D. (2002). Effects of Group Support Systems and Content Facilitation on Knowledge Acquisition. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 19 (3), 195-229.
- L. Adelman, Real-time computer support for decision analysis in a group setting: Another class of decision support systems, *Interfaces* 14(2), 1984, pp. 75-83.
- L.D. Phillips., Gaining corporate commitment to change, Paper presented at a conference, People Mean Profits in the 90s, Business Performance Group, London School of Economics, 1989.
- Lily Tsui, S. A. C., Laurie Schnirer ,Sheena Stewart (2006). A Handbook on Knowledge Sharing:Strategies and Recommendations for Researchers, Policymakers, and Service Providers. Edmonton, Alberta

- MAYOUX, L. (2005) "Current trends in development research." <http://www.lindaswebs.org.uk/Page3>, Orglearning/Resmeth/ResMeth.htm#Story1, (31st Aug 2009).
- Liou, Y. I., & Nunamaker, J.F. (1990). Using a Group Decision Support System Environment for Knowledge Acquisition: A Field Study. *System Sciences. Proceedings of the Twenty-Third Annual Hawaii International Conference Hawaii*. **3**: 40-49.
- M. Aiken, J. Krosch, A. Shirani, J. Martin, Electronic brainstorming in small and large groups, *Information and Management* 27, 1994, pp. 141-149
- Ma, M., & Agarwal, R. (2007). Through a Glass Darkly: Information Technology Design, Identity Verification, and Knowledge Contribution in Online Communities. *Information Systems Research*. 18(1), 42-67.
- Manasco, B. (1996). Leading Firms Develop Knowledge Strategies. *Knowledge Inc.* 1(6), 26-29.
- MEHEDINȚU ANCA, C. P., GIURCA VASILESCU (Oct, 2008) Applications used in workgroup information systems. Group collaboration in business. *Faculty of Economics and Business Administration Romania, University of Craiova*.
- MOHAMMED N. A. ABDELHAKIM, S. S. (2008) Improving Educational Multimedia Selection Process Using Group Decision Support Systems. *International Journal of Advanced Media and Communication* Vol. 2, 174 - 190.
- Nonaka, I. (1994). A Dynamic Theory of Organizational Knowledge Creation. *Organization Science* 5(1), 14-37.
- Nunamaker, J. F, Jr., Dennis, A.R., Valacich, J., Vogel, D., and George, J, (1991). "Electronic Meeting Systems to Support Group Work: Theory and Practice at Arizona," *Communications of the ACM* Vol. 34(No. 7): 30-60.
- Nunamaker J.F., D.R Vogel, A. Heminger, B. Martz, Experiences at IBM with group support systems: A field study, *Decision Support Systems* 5, 1989, pp. 183-196.
- Parent, M., Gallupe, R. B., Salisbury, W. D., & Handelman, J. M. (2000). Knowledge Creation in Focus Groups: Can Group Technologies help? *Information and Management* 38(1), 47-58.

- Paul, S., Haseman, W. D., & Ramamurthy, K. (2004). "Collective Memory Support and Cognitive-Conflict Group Decision-Making: an experimental investigation." Decision Support Systems: 261-281.
- Poole, M. S. (1983). "Decision Development in Small Groups II: A Study of Multiple Sequences in Decision Making." Communication Monographs: 206-232.
- Power, D. J. (2003). "A Brief History of Decision Support Systems." DSSResources.COM, World Wide Web, from URL: [DSSResources.COM/history/dsshhistory2.8.html](http://DSSResources.COM/history/dsshhistory2.8.html), version 2.8, May 31, 2003.
- R. Sharda, S.H. Bar, J.C. McDonnell, Decision support system effectiveness: A review and an empirical test, *Management Science* 34(2), 1988, pp. 139-159.
- R. Steeb, S.C. Johnston, A computer-based interactive system for group decision-making, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, August 1981, pp. 544-552.
- R.B. Gallupe, Experimental research into group decision support systems: Practical issues and problems, *Proceedings of the 19th Annual Hawaii International Conference on Systems Sciences*, 1986, pp. 515-523.
- R.B. Gallupe, G. DeSanctis, G.W. Dickson, Computer-based support for group problem finding: An experimental investigation, *MIS Quarterly* (1988) 276-296.
- R.B. Gallupe, J.D. McKeen, Enhancing computer-mediated communication: An experimental investigation into the use of a group decision support system for face-to-face versus remote meetings, *Information and Management* 18, 1990, pp. 1-13.
- R.H. Sprague, Jr., A framework for the development of decision support systems, *MIS Quarterly* 4(4), 1980, pp. 1-26.
- R.T. Watson, G. DeSanctis, M.S. Poole, Using a GDSS to facilitate group consensus: Some intended and unintended consequences, *MIS Quarterly* (1988) 462-478.
- Raymond, R. C. (1966). "Use of the Time-sharing Computer in Business Planning and Budgeting." Management Science **12, 8**: 363-370.
- S. Kiesler, Thinking ahead: The hidden messages in computer networks, *Harvard Business Review* 64(1), 1986, pp. 46-60.

- S.L. Jarvenpaa, V.S. Rao, G.P. Huber, Computer support for meetings of groups working on unstructured problems: A field experiment, *MIS Quarterly* (1988) 645-665.
- Satzinger, J. W., Garfield M. J., & Nagasundaram M. ( (1999)). "The creative process: The effects of group memory on individual idea generation." *Journal of Management Information Systems* 143-160.
- Sharrat, M. a. U., A. (2003). "Understanding Knowledge-Sharing in Online Communities of Practice." *Electronic Journal on Knowledge Management* 1(2): 187-196.
- Shen Qipin , J. K. H. C. (2002). A group decision support system for value management studies in the construction industry. *Department of Building and Real Estate*. Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University.
- SHIN-YUAN HUNG, K.-Z. T., TSAN-CHUAN SHU (2008) Expanding group support system capabilities from the knowledge management perspective. *Journal of International Technology and Information Management*.
- Sprague, R. H., Jr. and H. J. Watson (1979). "Bit by Bit: Toward Decision Support Systems." *California Management Review* XXII(1): 60-68.
- Stephen C. Hayne, M. P. (1994). Techniques and Experiences with Group Support System Implementation. *Faculty of Management*. Calgary, Alberta, University of Calgary.
- Sveiby, K.(1997). *The New Organizational Wealth: Managing and Measuring Knowledge-Based Assets*. Berrett-Koehler, San Francisco.
- T. Bui, T.R. Sivasankaran, Relation between GDSS use and group task complexity: An experimental study, *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 1990, pp. 69-78.
- Tsui, L. (2006). *A Handbook on Knowledge Sharing: Strategies and Recommendations for Researchers, Policymakers, and Service Providers*. Edmonton, Alberta, Community-University Partnership for the Study of Children, Youth, and Families.
- Turban, E. (1967). "The Use of Mathematical Models in Plant Maintenance Decision Making." *Management Science* 13, 6: 342-359.

- VATHANOPHAS VICHITA, S. Y. LIANG. (Nov.2006) Enhancing information sharing in group support systems (GSS). *College of Management, Bangkok, Mahidol University*.
- Warkentin, M. E., Sayeed, L., & Hightower, R. T. (1997). Virtual teams versus face-to-face teams: an exploratory study of a Web-based conference system. *Decision Sciences Journal*, 28(4), 975–995, Fall 1997.
- Wasko, M. M., & Faraj, S. (2005). Why Should I Share? Examining Social Capital and Knowledge Contribution in Electronic Networks of Practice. *MIS Quarterly* 29(1), 35-57.
- Zigurs, I. and Kozar, K., An exploratory study of roles in computer-supported groups, *MIS Quarterly*, 4:1, (1994) pp.277-297.