

OPTIMIZATION OF NON-UNIFORM RELATIONAL
B-SPLINE SURFACE RECONSTRUCTION USING
GROWING GRID- DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION

PRIZA PANDUNATA

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

OPTIMIZATION OF NON-UNIFORM RELATIONAL
B-SPLINE SURFACE RECONSTRUCTION USING
GROWING GRID-DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION

PRIZA PANDUNATA

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
Requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Computer Science)

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Systems
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2011

To my beloved wife, mother and father

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, and academicians. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Prof. Dr. Siti Mariyam bt Shamsuddin, for encouragement, guidance, and critics. I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for funding my study.

My fellow Indonesian Students should also be recognized for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my brothers, colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family members.

ABSTRACT

Computer graphics is a fast growing field as it contributes significantly to the advancement of modern technology aimed at empowering human and nation wealth creation. Computer-Aided Design (CAD), Computer-Aided Manufacturing (CAM) and Computer-Aided Geometric Design (CAGD) are commonly used to reconstruct surfaces in order to obtain a set of limited and disorganized geometric sample values. The process of surface reconstruction consists of two main steps: parameterization and surface fitting. Various solutions have been used in previous studies to reconstruct surfaces such as Non Uniform Rational B-Spline (NURBS) and B-Spline. However, in recent years, Artificial Intelligence (AI) methods such as Advanced Neural Network and Evolutionary Algorithm (EA) have emerged and are extensively used to reconstruct and optimize complex surfaces. This study aims to optimize NURBS surfaces from unstructured 3D data points with feasible control points while preserving the shape of the objects by using Differential Evolution Algorithm (DEA). The Growing Grid Network (GGN) is implemented on a map structure, while DEA is optimally fit on to the NURBS surfaces. In this study, undefined or unstructured data points from several 2D and 3D datasets were used to validate the performance of the proposed method. An error analysis was also conducted to reconfirm the efficacy of the proposed algorithm. This is done by comparing the generated surface with the original surface using other EAs such as: Genetic Algorithm and Particle Swarm Optimization. Experimental results indicate that the proposed Growing Grid Network Differential Evolution (GGNDE) has successfully generated smoother surfaces with lesser number of control points and produced minimum feasible errors while preserving the shape of the objects.

ABSTRAK

Grafik komputer merupakan suatu bidang yang sedang berkembang pesat disebabkan sumbangannya yang ketara dalam kemajuan teknologi moden. Rekabentuk Berbantuan Komputer (CAD), Pembuatan Berbantuan Komputer (CAM) dan Reka bentuk Geometri Berbantuan Komputer (CAGD) digunakan untuk membina semula permukaan bagi mendapatkan set nilai sampel geometri yang terbatas dan tidak tersusun. Proses pembinaan semula permukaan melibatkan dua langkah utama, iaitu pemparameteran dan pepadanan permukaan. Banyak kaedah penyelesaian telah digunakan dalam kajian terdahulu untuk pembinaan semula permukaan seperti Splin-b Nisbah Tak Seragam (NURBS) dan Splin-b. Namun demikian pada masa ini kaedah kecerdasan buatan seperti Rangkaian Saraf Lanjutan dan Algoritma Evolusi telah diperkenalkan dan digunakan dengan meluas bagi membina semula dan mengoptimumkan permukaan yang rumit. Kajian ini bertujuan mengoptimumkan permukaan NURBS daripada data 3D tak berstruktur dengan bilangan titik kawalan yang tersaur dan mengekalkan rupa bentuk objek menggunakan Algoritma Evolusi Pembezaan (DEA). Rangkaian Tumbesaran Grid dilaksanakan pada struktur pemetaan manakala DEA dimuatkan secara optimum pada permukaan NURBS. Dalam kajian ini beberapa set data 2D dan 3D yang tidak tertakrif atau tidak berstruktur digunakan untuk mengesahkan prestasi kaedah cadangan. Analisis terhadap ralat juga dilakukan dengan memperbandingkan permukaan terjana dengan permukaan asal menggunakan kaedah Algoritma Evolusi yang lain seperti Algoritma Genetik dan Pengoptimuman Partikel Berkelompok. Hasil kajian mendapati bahawa kaedah cadangan, iaitu Rangkaian Tumbesaran Grid Evolusi Pembezaan telah berjaya menjana permukaan yang licin dengan bilangan titik kawalan yang kurang dan ralat minimum tersaur di samping mengekalkan rupa bentuk asal objek berkenaan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of the Study	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	6
	1.4 Research Aim	7
	1.5 Objectives	7
	1.6 Research Scope	7
	1.7 Thesis Organization	8
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	10
	2.1 Overview of Surface Reconstruction	10
	2.1.1 Surface Reconstruction Process	11
	2.2 Surface Representation	11
	2.2.1 Bezier Curve and Surface	12
	2.2.1.1 Bezier Equations	12

2.2.2	B-Spline	14
2.2.2.1	B-Spline Equations	14
2.2.3	Non-Uniform Rational B-Spline (NURBS)	16
2.2.3.1	NURBS Equations	17
2.3	Artificial Neural Network for Surface Reconstruction	18
2.3.1	Overview of Kohonen Network	19
2.3.1.1	Kohonen Network Architecture	19
2.3.1.2	Kohonen Network Algorithm	22
2.4	Evolutionary Computation for Surface Reconstruction	25
2.4.1	Overview of Differential Evolution	25
2.4.1.1	Properties of Differential Evolution	28
2.4.1.2	Proper Algorithm of Differential Evolution	28
2.4.1.3	The Basic Operation of Differential Evolution	30
2.5	Related Studies	31
2.6	Summary	33
3	METHODOLOGY AND THE PROPOSED METHOD	34
3.1	The Framework of the Study	34
3.1.1	Data Collection	35
3.1.2	Growing Grid Network (GGN) Generation	36
3.1.2.1	Update the Parameter of Growing Grid Network (GGN)	44
3.1.3	Parameterization and Knot Vector Generation of Initial Sketch	45
3.1.3.1	Parameterization	46
3.1.3.2	Knot Vector Generation	47
3.1.4	Differential Evolution Algorithm	48
3.1.4.1	Population and Chromosome initialization	50
3.1.4.2	Calculation of fitness value	50
3.1.4.3	Mutation and Selection	51
3.1.5	Performance Analysis and Comparison	53
3.2	Summary	54
4	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	55
4.1	Experiment Protocol	55

4.1.1	Data Specifications	56
4.1.2	Parameter Setting of Growing Grid Network (GGN)	58
4.1.3	Development of the Growing Grid Data Network	58
4.1.4	Implementation of Parameterization and Knot Vector Generation	59
4.1.5	Parameter Setting of Differential Evolution Algorithm (DEA)	60
4.1.6	Parameter Setting of PSO	62
4.1.7	Parameter Setting of GA	63
4.2	Performance Assessments	64
4.2.1	Results and Analysis of Curve and Surface using GGN – DEA	65
4.2.2	Results and Analysis of Curve and Surface using PSO	68
4.2.3	Results and Analysis of Curve and Surface using (GA)	69
4.3	Results Comparison	71
4.4	Experimental Results of Mathematical Function using Evolutionary Computing	73
4.4.1	Experimental Results for Mathematical Functions	77
4.5	Summary	79
5	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	80
5.1	Research Achievements	80
5.2	Research Contributions	81
5.3	Future Works	82
	REFERENCES	83
	APPENDICES A-C	88

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Previous Related Study on Surface Reconstruction	5
2.1	Comparison of PSO, GA, and DE (Wdaa 2008)	26
3.1	Sample Data for Semi-sphere	36
4.1	Data Specifications	56
4.2	Parameter settings of Growing Grid Network (GGN)	58
4.3	Parameter Value of Mask Data Points	60
4.3	Parameter Settings of DEA	61
4.4	Parameter settings of PSO	62
4.5	Parameter settings of GA	64
4.6	Average error of GGN-DEA for 2D data (curve)	65
4.7	Average error of GGN-DEA for 3D data (surface)	66
4.8	Average error of GGN-PSO for 2D data (curve)	68
4.9	Average error of GGN-PSO for 3D data (surface)	69
4.10	Average error of GGN-GA for 2D data (curve)	70
4.11	Average error of GGN-GA for 3D data (surface)	70
4.12	Average Error between DEA, PSO, and GA for Curve Data	71
4.13	Average Error between DEA, PSO, and GA for Surface Data	72
4.14	Results for Mathematical Functions	77
A.1	Sine Data	89
A.2	Trochoid Data	90
A.3	Spiral Data	91
A.4	Free form curve data	92
A.5	Sample of Mask data	95
A.6	Sample of Ship Hull data	96

A.7	Sample of Saddle data	97
A.8	Sample of Sphere Data	98
A.9	Sample of Buddha statue data	99
A.10	Sample of Free-form surface data	100
B.1	Average error of GGN-DEA for 2D data (curve)	104
B.2	Average error of GGN-DEA for 3D data (surface)	104
B.3	Average error of GGN-PSO for 2D data (curve)	110
B.4	Average error of GGN-PSO for 3D data (surface)	110
B.5	Average error of GGN-GA for 2D data (curve)	116
B.6	Average error of GGN-GA for 3D data (surface)	116
B.7	Comparison of Average Error between DEA, PSO, and GA for Curve Data	125
B.8	Comparison of Average Error between DEA, PSO, and GA for Surface Data	126

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Kohonen SOM Architecture	20
2.2	Mapping Structure of Kohonen SOM	21
2.3	Mapping Dimension of Kohonen SOM	21
2.4	Lattice Structure of Kohonen SOM	22
2.5	Gaussian Graph Function	24
2.6	Basic pseudo-code for the DE algorithm.	28
2.7	Flowchart of DE algorithm	29
3.1	Framework of the study	35
3.2	Construction of initial sketch using GGN	37
3.3	Neurons Initialization	38
3.4	Initialization Position of Neurons	38
3.5	Initialization Weights for each sample point	39
3.6	Win counter value for each neuron	39
3.7	Sample Points P_s	39
3.8	Winning Neuron	40
3.9	Boundary Neuron	40
3.10	Boundary Neuron Detected	41
3.11	Boundary Neuron Not Detected	41
3.12	Update Position If Boundary Neuron Not Detected	41
3.13	Update Position If Boundary Neuron Detected	42
3.14	Maximum winning counter detected	42
3.15	Node Addition to Column	43
3.16	Node Addition to Row	43
3.17	Differential Evolution Algorithm	49

3.18	The architecture of population of DE	50
3.19	The Mutation, Recombination, and Selection process of DE	53
4.1	Spiral data	57
4.2	Unstructured Mask	57
4.3	Structured Mask	59
4.4	Generated Spiral Curve using DEA	67
4.5	Generated Semi Sphere Surface using DEA	67
A.1	Sine data	93
A.2	Trochoid data	93
A.3	Spiral data	94
A.4	Free form curve data	94
A.5	Mask data	101
A.6	Ship Hull data	101
A.7	Saddle data	102
A.8	Sphere data	102
A.9	Buddha Statue data	103
A.10	Free Form Surface data	103
B.1	Sine curve, generated by DEA	105
B.2	Trochoid curve, generated by DEA	105
B.3	Spiral curve, generated by DEA	106
B.4	Free form curve, generated by DEA	106
B.5	Sphere in wireframe view, generated by DEA	107
B.6	Ship in wireframe view, generated by DEA	107
B.7	Mask in wireframe view, generated by DEA	108
B.8	Saddle in wireframe view, generated by DEA	108
B.9	Free Form in wireframe view, generated by DEA	109
B.10	Buddha statue in wireframe view, generated by DEA	109
B.11	Sine curve, generated by PSO	111
B.12	Trochoid curve, generated by PSO	111
B.13	Spiral curve, generated by PSO	112
B.14	Free form curve, generated by PSO	112
B.15	Sphere in wireframe view, generated by PSO	113
B.16	Ship in wireframe view, generated by PSO	113
B.17	Mask in wireframe view, generated by PSO	114

B.18	Saddle in wireframe view, generated by PSO	114
B.19	Free Form in wireframe view, generated by PSO	115
B.20	Buddha statue in wireframe view, generated by PSO	115
B.21	Sine curve, generated by GA	117
B.22	Trochoid curve, generated by GA	117
B.23	Spiral curve, generated by GA	118
B.24	Free form curve, generated by GA	118
B.25	Sphere in wireframe view, generated by GA	119
B.26	Ship in wireframe view, generated by GA	119
B.27	Mask in wireframe view, generated by GA	120
B.28	Saddle in wireframe view, generated by GA	120
B.29	Free Form in wireframe view, generated by GA	121
B.30	Buddha Statue in wireframe view, generated by GA	121

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	DESCRIPTION
2D	(x, y)-Two dimensional plane
3D	(x, y, z)-Three dimensional plane
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CAM	Computer Aided Manufacturing
CAGD	Computer Aided Geometric Design
DE	Differential Evolution
GA	Genetic Algorithm
GGN	Growing Grid Network
NFL	No Free Lunch
NURBS	Non-Uniform Rational B-Spline
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimization

LIST OF SYMBOLS

SYMBOLS	DESCRIPTION
$B_{k,n}(t)$	- basis function for Bezier curve at parameter t .
$N_{i,k}(t)$	- B-Spline basis function at parameter t and k^{th} degree

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	ORIGINAL DATA	89
B	RESULTS OF THE OPTIMIZATION	104
C	PUBLICATIONS	127

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Computer graphics is fast growing field due to its significant contributions to the advancement of modern technology for human and nation wealth creation. One of the major areas in computer graphics is surface reconstruction since it is widely used in industries to design the products. Computer-Aided Design (CAD), Computer-Aided Manufacturing (CAM) and Computer-Aided Geometric Design (CAGD) are commonly used in reconstructing the surfaces to obtain a set of geometric sample values (in most cases, points) which is normally limited and unorganized. Conventionally, mathematical description represents a shape of physical surface accurately and concisely. Surface reconstruction and surface representation has also an important part in the design problems such as construction of scanned 3D objects, modeling of car bodies, medical imaging and other free-form objects.

Surface representation illustrates three cases: explicit form, implicit form and parametric form. Among these, the parametric representation is the most useful and widely applied, since it is axis independent and applicable to complex surfaces (Shamsuddin, Ahmed et al. 2006). Several types of parametric curve and surface representation include Bezier, B-Spline and NURBS are the most influential methods used by the engineers. However, the common problems encountered in surface reconstruction from unstructured data is on how to acquire the control points of the surface. This is due to

the parametric surface in which to obtain suitable parameterizations of data points are crucial.

Some scientists to overcome the problems of surface reconstruction from unstructured data have used variety of methods. Some of these methods used multi-quadratic functions (Franke, Hagen et al. 1994), range images (Curless 1997), discrete fairing and variation subdivision (Kobbelt 2000), dynamic base surfaces (Azariadis 2004), approximations of the unsigned distance function (Flöry and Hofer 2010), and Artificial Intelligence (AI).

Recent literatures have shown that the usage of AI in surface reconstruction can produce good results (Hoppe, DeRose et al. 1992; Ivriissimtzis, Jeong et al. 2003; Junior, Neto et al. 2004; Gálvez, Cobo et al. 2008; Tsai, Huang et al. 2009; Mendona Ernesto Rego, Araujo et al. 2010). The common AI methods in surface reconstruction include Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Kohonen Self Organizing Map (SOM), and Genetic Algorithm (GA).

1.2 Background of the Study

Surface reconstruction is view from two perspectives: mathematical aspects and Artificial Intelligence (AI). Some recent studies have shown that some AI application shave achieved remarkable results (Ivriissimtzis, Jeong et al. 2003; Junior, Neto et al. 2004; Gálvez, Cobo et al. 2008). The input data obtained from the object can be represented into two types: image mesh, and the 3D point from the scanned image with have noise and scattered. These types of data, however, yield an enormous amount of irregular and scattered digitized point that requires intensive reconstruction processing.

Surface reconstruction consists of two main steps: parameterization and surface approximation. In 3-dimensional surfaces, parameterization represents one-to-one

mapping from the surface to a suitable domain. In other words, surface approximation is a procedure of determining a control polygon that generates a curve or surface from a set of known data points using a set of parameter obtained from the parameterization procedure.

Previous related studies used data points, which are noisy and scattered. However, the issue arises on how to re-arrange the scattered point data to be organized, and to deal with the algorithm's complexity as well as processing time.

(Barhak and Fischer 2001) use Self Organizing Map (SOM) for creating a 3D parametric grid. The main advantage of SOM relies on both the orientation of the grid and the position of the sub-boundaries. The neural network grid converges to the sampled shape through an adaptive learning process. However, some enhancements need to be done to create a complete envelope of a volumetric object and accuracy.

(Jalba and Roerdink 2007) have done some studies on deploying a fast convection algorithm to attract the evolving surface towards the data points. However, this method has limitation, that is, surface features are smaller than the size of the smallest grid cells; hence the reconstruction is not accurate enough.

(Gálvez, Iglesias et al. 2007) used GA to reconstruct the surface, and functional networks for the functional constraints problems. These methods are integrated with the least-squares approximation to yield suitable methods for surface fitting. However, this approach still faces the difficulty to attain global optimum due to the variation of distance error function for fitting data for different models.

(Gálvez, Cobo et al. 2008) has also used PSO to obtain a suitable parameterization of the data points on Bezier surface reconstruction. However, the results found that there was no correlation between the number of iterations and the quality of the results. In this scenario, it means that exploring the space domain of the problem is not yet optimal. Hence, adjusting PSO parameters can help solve the above problem.

(Kazhdan, Bolitho et al. 2006) has presented surface reconstruction problem as Poisson problem that allows a hierarchy of locally supported basis functions. The solution has reduced to well-conditioned sparse linear system. However, there are some limitations on this approach due to the weaknesses in handling huge data sets.

(Shamsuddin and Ahmed 2006) proposed hybrid parameterization to solve parameterization of data points on the surfaces. The method takes into consideration the maximum rational B-spline basis functions as the initial values. The centripetal method generates the parameter values of hybrid parameterization. However, some improvements are required to refine the reconstructed object to be fairer and smoother.

(Forkan and Shamsuddin 2008) proposed a method for surface reconstruction based on the hybridization of Kohonen Network and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). In this study, PSO probes the best control points for the data with B-Spline as surface representation. However, B-Spline surface representation is still incapable to represent accurately most of the analytically defined shape and conic sections such as Circle, Ellipse, and Hyperbola.

(Gálvez and Iglesias) in 2010 has presented recovery of a surface using PSO from scattered noisy data points. The process consists of two main phases: parameterization and surface fitting. The result is good in terms of accuracy. However, the computational time and complexity need to be reduced.

Table 1.1 shows the summary of recent study in the field of surface reconstruction

Table 1.1: Previous Related Study on Surface Reconstruction

Author/Year	Summary	Limitation/Future Work
(Kazhdan, Bolitho et al. 2006)	surface reconstruction problem can be expressed as Poisson problem	Weak on handling huge data sets.
(Jalba and Roerdink 2007)	using a method that employs a fast convection algorithm	Surface features are smaller than the size of the smallest grid cells. Hence the surface are not accurately reconstructed
(Gálvez, Iglesias et al. 2007)	use PSO for obtaining a suitable parameterization of the data points on Bezier surface reconstruction	Only limited on bezier surface parameterization process
(Kumar et al. 2003)	Using Genetic Algorithm to optimize the parameter	Limited to B-Spline curve
(Shamsuddin, Ahmed et al. 2006)	Using hybrid parameterization, Give better accuracy	Weights value are adjusted manually
(Barhak and Fischer 2001)	use a neural network Self Organizing Map (SOM) method for creating a 3D parametric grid.	Cannot envelope the volumetric object.
(Cheng, Wang et al. 2004)	Using Squared Distance Minimization (SDM)	features like edges and corners in data sets need to be detected first, have some problem in smoothness and accuracy
(Sarfranz and Riyazuddin 2006)	Using simulated annealing to optimalize weight and knot parameter of NURBS	Good only on single segment image. Limited only on curve
(Forkan and Shamsuddin 2008)	Using Kohonen Network for mapping the data, B-Spline representation and PSO Algorithm	Further exploration by using NURBS with weight and knot vector

	for surface fitting	optimization
(Delint Ira Setyo 2010)	Solve NURBS curve approximation problem by using Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO).	Limited only on 2D data
(Gálvez and Iglesias 2010)	Using PSO for parameterization and surface fitting	The results show the algorithm can reconstruct the surface accurately, but the complexity and computation time is still need to be reduced

Based on the above issues, a comprehensive study needs to be conducted to produce an algorithm that can utilize the application of AI for surface reconstruction process. This hybridization could benefit the manufacturing and industry sectors.

1.3 Problem Statement

As mentioned previously, to solve the issues on surface reconstruction from unstructured data points, these data need to be organized. The procedure involves by obtaining suitable parameterization of data points and control point that can approximate the original surfaces. Hence, the research questions for this study include:

1. What is Growing Grid Network (GGN), and how to deal with NURBS surface reconstruction?
2. How GGN organize the unstructured data in NURBS surface reconstruction?
3. How Differential Evolution Algorithm (DEA) being implemented in optimizing the NURBS surface?
4. How the DEA obtains an optimal control point that can approximate the data point in NURBS surface reconstruction?

1.4 Research Aim

The aim of the study is to optimize NURBS surface reconstruction from unstructured 3D data points with feasible control points while preserving the shape of the objects using Evolutionary Algorithms.

1.5 Objectives

The main objectives of this research are as follows:

1. To develop NURBS surface reconstruction from unstructured data points using growing grid network (GGN).
2. To propose and develop Differential Evolution Algorithm (DEA) to optimize NURBS surface reconstruction
3. To validate and compare the proposed algorithm with the existing related methods

1.6 Research Scope

The scope of this study includes:

1. Technique to be used:
 - a. The growing grid technique adopted from (Forkan and Shamsuddin 2008) are used to obtain the initial surface
 - b. Differential evolution optimization are used to optimize the initial surface generated from growing grid network

- c. Time consumption is not considered, more focus on the aspects of accuracy. And we also we focus on parametric approach, not on the typical graphic methods, i.e., non-parametric approach. Which is the common issue in non-parametric, such as triangulation, is difficulties in dealing with sharp corner (T-Junction).
2. Datasets
 - a. Datasets in this study are open surface which noise level is minimal and unorganized. Examples of 2D and 3D data are sine, spiral, semi-sphere, ships and free-form shapes.
 3. Tools and environment to be used include:
 - a. Java Programming Language
 - b. Graphics API and library, OpenGL and GLUT
 - c. For rendering purpose, OpenGL API GLUT library will be used.
 4. Experiment will be run on PC with specifications:
 - a. Operating System: Windows 7 Professional
 - b. Processor: 2GHz CPU
 - c. Memory: 2048MB RAM

1.7 Thesis Organization

Thesis structure is given as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction -explains an overview of the background of the study, development of techniques and methods used in surface reconstruction and the common problems that are usually encountered in surface reconstruction, also the problem statement, the aim, the objective, and the scope of this research. Finally, the thesis organization is given below.

Chapter 2: Literature review - This chapter states some existing methods of surface reconstruction. It also contains the review of the related previous works for solving surface reconstruction and approximation methods.

Chapter 3: Methodology and The Proposed Method- This chapter describes the system overview and the framework of proposed methods. It explains the details of every steps of growing grid, include the process of generating initial surface using growing grid map and differential evolution in optimizing and approximating NURBS surface reconstruction.

Chapter 4: Experimental Results and Analysis - This chapter presents the findings of the study, analysis of the results and the comparisons with other works.

Chapter 5: Conclusions and Future Work - This chapter provides the summary of the research, the contribution of the work and recommendation for future study.

REFERENCES

- Azariadis, P. N. (2004). "Parameterization of clouds of unorganized points using dynamic base surfaces." *Computer-Aided Design* 36(7): 607-623.
- Barhak, J. and A. Fischer (2001). "Parameterization and reconstruction from 3D scattered points based on neural network and PDE techniques." *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on* 7(1): 1-16.
- Boudjemai, F., P. B. Enberg, et al. (2003). Surface modeling by using self organizing maps of Kohonen. *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2003. IEEE International Conference on*.
- Brunnstrom, K. and A. J. Stoddart (1996). Genetic algorithms for free-form surface matching. *Pattern Recognition, 1996., Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on*.
- Chakraborti, N. (2008) "Differential Evolution: The Real parameter Genetic Algorithm applied to Materials and Metallurgy."
- Cheng, K.-S. D., W. Wang, et al. (2004). Fitting Subdivision Surfaces to Unorganized Point Data Using SDM. *Proceedings of the Computer Graphics and Applications, 12th Pacific Conference, IEEE Computer Society: 16-24.*
- Curless, B. L. (1997). *New Methods for Surface Reconstruction from Range Images*, Stanford University.
- Das, S. and P. N. Suganthan (2011). "Differential Evolution: A Survey of the State-of-the-Art." *Evolutionary Computation, IEEE Transactions on* 15(1): 4-31.
- Davoud Sedighizadeh, E. M. (2009). "Particle Swarm Optimization Methods, Taxonomy and Applications." *International Journal of Computer Theory and Engineering* 1(5): 486-502.
- Delint Ira Setyo, A. (2010). *NURBS Curve Approximation Using Particle Swarm Optimization*.

- Echevarra, G., A. Iglesias, et al. (2002). Extending Neural Networks for B-Spline Surface Reconstruction. Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Science-Part II, Springer-Verlag.
- Flöry, S. and M. Hofer (2010). "Surface fitting and registration of point clouds using approximations of the unsigned distance function." *Computer Aided Geometric Design* 27(1): 60-77.
- Fnaiech, F., S. Abid, et al. (2002). A comparative study of fast neural network learning algorithms. *Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 2002 IEEE International Conference on*.
- Forkan, F. B. and S. M. H. Shamsuddin (2008). Kohonen-Swarm Algorithm for Unstructured Data in Surface Reconstruction. Proceedings of the 2008 Fifth International Conference on Computer Graphics, Imaging and Visualisation - Volume 00, IEEE Computer Society.
- Franke, R., H. Hagen, et al. (1994). "Least squares surface approximation to scattered data using multiquadratic functions." *Advances in Computational Mathematics* 2(1): 81-99.
- Fritzke, B. (1995). "Growing Grid — a self-organizing network with constant neighborhood range and adaptation strength." *Neural Processing Letters* 2(5): 9-13.
- Gálvez, A., A. Cobo, et al. (2008). Particle Swarm Optimization for Bézier Surface Reconstruction. *Computational Science – ICCS 2008*: 116-125.
- Gálvez, A. and A. Iglesias (2010). "Particle swarm optimization for non-uniform rational B-spline surface reconstruction from clouds of 3D data points." *Information Sciences*.
- Gálvez, A., A. Iglesias, et al. (2007). Bézier Curve and Surface Fitting of 3D Point Clouds Through Genetic Algorithms, Functional Networks and Least-Squares Approximation. *Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2007*: 680-693.
- Gu, P. and X. Yan (1995). "Neural network approach to the reconstruction of freeform surfaces for reverse engineering." *Computer-Aided Design* 27(1): 59-64.
- Hoppe, H., T. DeRose, et al. (1992). Surface reconstruction from unorganized points. Proceedings of the 19th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques, ACM.

- Ilonen, J., J.-K. Kamarainen, et al. (2003). "Differential Evolution Training Algorithm for Feed-Forward Neural Networks." *Neural Process. Lett.* 17: 93-105.
- Ismail Wdaa, A. S. (2008). *Differential evolution for neural networks learning enhancement*. Faculty of Computer Science and Information System, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: 114.
- Ivrissimtzis, I. P., W.-K. Jeong, et al. (2003). *Using Growing Cell Structures for Surface Reconstruction*. Proceedings of the Shape Modeling International 2003, IEEE Computer Society.
- Jalba, A. C. and J. B. T. Roerdink (2007). "Efficient Surface Reconstruction using Generalized Coulomb Potentials." *Visualization and Computer Graphics, IEEE Transactions on* 13(6): 1512-1519.
- Junior, A. M. B., A. D. D. Neto, et al. (2004). *Surface reconstruction using neural networks and adaptive geometry meshes*. Neural Networks, 2004. Proceedings. 2004 IEEE International Joint Conference on.
- Kazhdan, M., M. Bolitho, et al. (2006). *Poisson surface reconstruction*. Proceedings of the fourth Eurographics symposium on Geometry processing. Cagliari, Sardinia, Italy, Eurographics Association: 61-70.
- Kennedy, J. and R. Eberhart (2002). *Particle swarm optimization*. Neural Networks, 1995. Proceedings., IEEE International Conference on, Perth, WA, Australia.
- Kobbelt, L. P. (2000). "Discrete fairing and variational subdivision for freeform surface design." *The Visual Computer* 16(3): 142-158.
- Kohonen, T. (1998). "The self-organizing map." *Neurocomputing* 21(1-3): 1-6.
- Kumar, G. S., P. K. Kalra, et al. (2003). *Parameter optimization for B-spline curve fitting using genetic algorithms*. Evolutionary Computation, 2003. CEC '03. The 2003 Congress on.
- Mallipeddi, R. and P. N. Suganthan (2008). *Empirical study on the effect of population size on Differential evolution Algorithm*. Evolutionary Computation, 2008. CEC 2008. (IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence). IEEE Congress on.
- Manoj Kumar, M. H., Naveen Upreti, Deepti Gupta (2010). "GENETIC ALGORITHM: REVIEW AND APPLICATION." *International Journal of Information Technology and Knowledge Management* 2(2): 451-454.
- Mencł, R. and H. Müller (1999). *Interpolation and Approximation of Surfaces from Three-dimensional Scattered Data Points*. Dagstuhl '97, Scientific Visualization, IEEE Computer Society: 223-232.

- Mendonça Ernesto Rego, R. L., A. F. R. Araujo, et al. (2010). "Growing Self-Reconstruction Maps." *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on* 21(2): 211-223.
- Middleton, L. and J. Sivaswamy (2005). *Hexagonal Image Processing : A Practical Approach*, Springer-Verlag UK.
- Müller, H. (1999). *Surface Reconstruction - An Introduction*. Dagstuhl '97, Scientific Visualization, IEEE Computer Society: 239-242.
- Onur Boyabatli, I. S. (2007). "Parameter Selection in Genetic Algorithms." *System, Cybernetics & Informatics* 2(4): 78-83.
- Piegl, L. and W. Tiller (1997). *The Nurbs Book*, Springer.
- Price, K. V., R. M. Storn, et al. (2005). *The Differential Evolution Algorithm*. *Differential Evolution*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 37-134.
- Riesenfeld, R. F. (1975). *Aspects of modelling in computer aided geometric design*. Proceedings of the May 19-22, 1975, national computer conference and exposition, ACM.
- Rogers, D. F. (2001). *An introduction to NURBS: with historical perspective*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.
- Sarfraz, M. and M. Riyazuddin (2006). *Curve Fitting with NURBS using Simulated Annealing*. *Applied Soft Computing Technologies: The Challenge of Complexity*. A. Abraham, B. de Baets, M. Köppel and B. Nickolay, Springer Berlin / Heidelberg. 34: 99-112.
- Shamsuddin, S., M. Ahmed, et al. (2006). "NURBS skinning surface for ship hull design based on new parameterization method." *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 28(9): 936-941.
- Shi, Y. and R. C. Eberhart (1998). *Parameter Selection in Particle Swarm Optimization*. Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Evolutionary Programming VII, Springer-Verlag: 591-600.
- Söderkvist, I. (1999). "Introductory Overview of Surface Reconstruction Methods," Luleå." University of Technology, Dept.
- Storn, R. and K. Price (1997). "Differential Evolution – A Simple and Efficient Heuristic for global Optimization over Continuous Spaces." *Journal of Global Optimization* 11(4): 341-359.
- Systems, M. L. (2011, 8 November, 2011). "3D Point Data." 2011, from www.c3d.org/HTML/3dpointdata.htm.

Tsai, Y.-C., C.-Y. Huang, et al. (2009). "Development of automatic surface reconstruction technique in reverse engineering." *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology* 42(1): 152-167.

Wolpert, D. H. and W. G. Macready (1997). "No free lunch theorems for optimization." *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation* 1(1): 67-82.