OVERVOLTAGE SURGE IN LOW VOLTAGE CONSUMER EQUIPMENT

NOR AZMAN BIN YAAKOB

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

OVERVOLTAGE SURGE IN LOW VOLTAGE CONSUMER EQUIPMENT

NOR AZMAN BIN YAAKOB

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Electrical – Power)

> Faculty of Electrical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2012

To my beloved wife, mother, and families, thanks for all your supports.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of ALLAH, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful.

Alhamdulillah. I would like to express sincere appreciation and deep gratitude to a number of individuals who help me to make this thesis possible. I would like to thank my supervisor Associate Professor Dr Hj Mohd Wazir B Mustafa for hiss guidance, suggestions, patience, encouragements and comments throughout the whole process of writing this report.

I also would like to express my highest appreciation to all my families especially my wife, sons and daughters for their caring, understanding, love and never ending supports to me.

Thank you.

ABSTRACT

These papers present the study on the effects of Overvoltage Surges in low voltage consumer equipment by the use of PSCAD software simulation. In this approach, the combination of Shock Protection Devices installed at different locations will result in ascertaining the most suitable numbers and appropriate locations of SPDs to be installed in the safe protection zone away from the surge origin. Overvoltage Surges can neither be destroyed nor be prevented. Also commonly known as Transient Overvoltage, it is a type of electrical overstress that is conducted on wires which brings damage to electrical equipment especially on low voltage consumer equipment such as computers, modems, electronic devices and even electric motors. In the absence of protective devices that could limit the voltage, magnitude of the peak surge is usually at least twice the normal system voltage. In order to protect the low voltage electrical installations and equipment, this project is being carried out to study the effectiveness of Surge Protective Devices installed at various locations from the load. The locations are 0 metres, 100 metres, 200 metres, 300 metres and 400 metres away from the distribution transformer. The essential criteria in protecting the equipment during surge is the suitable locations of the SPDs besides the optimum quantity to be introduced for protecting the Low Voltage Consumer equipment. Thus, proper selection of the locations and numbers of SPDs must be really put into consideration. Simulation and experimental results are presented demonstrated that SPDs are also essential to protect low voltage consumer segment of electrical distribution system although presently they were in existence in the high voltage installations to improve power quality.

ABSTRAK

Kertas kerja ini membentangkan pengkajian mengenai kesan Voltan Pusuan Lampau ke atas peralatan pengguna elektrik voltan rendah secara simulasi perisian PSCAD. Kaedah yang digunakan adalah secara menggabungkan Peranti Perlindungan Voltan Lampau yang dipasang pada lokasi yang berbeza yang menghasilkan pengenalpastian bilangan peranti serta lokasi peranti SPD yang paling sesuai dipasang di dalam zon perlindungan yang selamat, jauh dari punca voltan pusuan tersebut. Voltan Pusuan Lampau bukan hanya tidak boleh dimusnahkan malahan juga tidak boleh dihindarkan. Juga dikenali sebagai Transien Voltan Lampau, ia adalah sejenis tekanan lampau kuasa elektrik yang mengalir melalui kabel pendawaian yang boleh mengakibatkan kerosakan ke atas peralatan elektrik terutamanya peralatan pengguna voltan rendah seperti komputer, modem, peranti elektronik dan malahan juga motor elektrik. Tanpa peralatan perlindungan yang berupaya menghadkan nilai voltan, magnitud puncak Voltan Pusuan kebiasaannya menjadi tidak kurang dua kali ganda nilai voltan sistem pengagihan. Demi untuk melindungi pepasangan dan peralatan elektrik voltan rendah, projek ini telah dilaksanakan bagi mengkaji keberkesanan peranti SPD yang dipasang di beberapa lokasi dari beban. Lokasi tersebut adalah 0 meter, 100 meter, 200 meter, 300 meter dan 400 meter dari alatubah pengagihan. Kriteria yang perlu dititkberatkan dalam memberi perlindungan ke atas peralatan pengguna semasa keadaan Voltan Pusuan Lampau adalah lokasi yang sesuai untuk pemasangan SPD selain dari kuantiti optima demi melindungi peralatan pengguna voltan rendah. Sedemikian, pemilihan lokasi dan bilangan SPD yang bersesuian perlulah diberi pertimbangan yang wajar. Kaedah simulasi dan keputusan pengujian membuktikan bahawa SPDs juga adalah perlu bagi melindungi segmen pengguna voltan rendah di dalam sistem pengagihan elektrik walaupun peranti tersebut telah wujud dalam pepasangan voltan tinggi bagi menambahbaik kualiti kuasa.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	V
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV

INTR	ODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem Statements	3
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Scope of Work	5
1.5	Project Report Organization	5

1

2

LITERATURE REVIEW		6	
	2.1	Introduction	6
	2.2	SPDs Definitions	6
	2.2	Types of SPDs	7
	2.3	Types of Power Quality Disturbances	8
		The Voltage Surges	8

	The Voltage Swell	9
	The Temporary Overvoltages	10
	The Notches	11
	The Voltage Sags	11
	The Temporary undervoltages The Harmonics	12 12
	The Noise	13
2.4	Responds of SPDs to the Voltage Surges	14
2.5	Design Consideration of the SPDs	17
2.6	Protection Distributed Level	19
2.6.1	Operating Voltage, Uc	19
2.7	Earthing Systems	20
2.8	Power System Computer Aided Design (PSCAD)EMTDC	22
2.9	Terminology used in various specifications of SPDs	23
2.10	Summary	24

RESEA	ARCH METHODOLOGY	25
3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Power Simulation Computer Aided Design (PSCAD)	26
3.2.1	Using PSCAD	26
3.3	Modeling of Lightning Surge	28
3.4	Modeling of the SPDs	29
3.5	Voltage parameters for the analysis	30
3.6	Model of the Transmission Line	31
3.7	Summary	33

3

4

RESU	ULTS AND DISCUSSION		34
4.1	Introduction		34
4.2	The simulation of surge		35
4.3	Simulations and Results		36
	Case 1: Transmission Lines	without surge and SPDs	36

Case 2: Surge is applied at Line A1 without any	37
SPDs installed	
Case 3: Surge is applied at Line A4 and SPDs	38
installed at Line A4, B4 and C4	
Case 4: Surge is applied at Line A3 and SPDs	39
installed at Line A4, B4 and C4	
Case 5: Surge is applied at Line A2 and SPDs	40
installed at Line A4, B4 and C4	
Case 6: Surge is applied at Line A1 and SPDs	41
installed at Line A4, B4 and C4	
Case 7: Surge is applied at Line A4 and SPDs	42
installed at Line A3, B3 and C3	
Case 8: Surge is applied at Line A3 and SPDs	43
installed at Line A3, B3 and C3	
Case 9: Surge is applied at Line A2 and SPDs	44
installed at Line A3, B3 and C3	
Case 10: Surge is applied at Line A1 and SPDs	45
installed at Line A3, B3 and C3	
Case 11: Surge is applied at Line A4 and SPDs	46
installed at Line B4 and C4	
Case 12: Surge is applied at Line A3 and SPDs	47
installed at Line B4 and C4	
Case 13: Surge is applied at Line A2 and SPDs	48
installed at Line B4 and C4	
Case 14: Surge is applied at Line A1 and SPDs	49
installed at Line B4 and C4	
Case 15: Surge is applied at Line A4 and SPDs	50
installed at Line A4	
Case 16: Surge is applied at Line A3 and SPDs	51
installed at Line A3	
Case 17: Surge is applied at Line A2 and SPDs	52
installed at Line A2	
Case 18: Surge is applied at Line A1 and SPDs	53
installed at Line A1	

	Case 19: Surge is applied at Line A4 without	54
	any SPDs installed	
	Case 20: Surge is applied at Line A3 without	55
	any SPDs installed	
	Case 21: Surge is applied at Line A2 without	56
	any SPDs installed	
	Case 22: Surge is applied at Line A1 without	57
	any SPDs installed	
	Case 23-26: Surge is applied at any lines and SPDs	58
	installed at any points	
4.4	Discussions	60

5	CONC	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	64
	5.1	Conclusion	64
	5.2	Recommendation	65

REFERENCES

66

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Power system disturbance and SPD interaction	8
2.2	Stipulated minimum value of Uc for SPDs	20
	depending on the systems earthing	
2.3	Terminology	27
3.1	Distance from the equipment to be protected	32
4.1	Maximum Voltage at the secondary of transformer	60
	when no surge applied and without any SPDs installed	
4.2	Maximum Voltage at the secondary of transformer	60
	when surge applied at point A4, A3, A2 and A1 with	
	SPDs installed at A4, B4 and C4	
4.3	Maximum Voltage at the secondary of transformer	61
	when surge applied at point A4, A3, A2 and A1 with	
	SPDs installed at A3, B3 and C3	
4.4	Maximum Voltage at the secondary of transformer	61
	when surge applied at point A4, A3, A2 and A1 with	
	SPDs installed at B4 and C4	
4.5	Maximum Voltage at the secondary of transformer	61
	when surge applied at point A4, A3, A2 and A1 with	
	SPDs installed at A1, A2, A3 and A4 respectively	
4.6	Maximum Voltage at the secondary of transformer	62
	when surge applied at point A4, A3, A2 and A1	
	without any SPDs installed	
4.7	Maximum Voltage at the secondary of transformer	64
	when no surge applied at point A4, A3, A2 and A1	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO TITLE PAGE

2.1	Example of Shunt connected SPD	15
2.2	Example of series-connected SPD	15
2.3	Fine Protection Architecture	19
2.4	The TT Systems	21
2.5	The TN Systems	21
2.6	The TT-C Systems	22
3.1	PSCAD Application	26
3.2	Component in the master Library	27
3.3	The surge Model in PSCAD	28
3.4	Summing and Differencing block parameters	28
3.5	Exponential function block parameters	29
3.6	The IEEE model of SPDs	29
	in Malaysia (1993 - 2002)	
4.1	Simulation result of the surge	35
4.2	Voltage waveforms when no surge occurs	36
4.3	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A1	37
	without SPDs installed	
4.4	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A4	38
	with SPDs installed at line A4, B4 and C4	
4.5	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A3	39
	with SPDs installed at line A4, B4 and C4	
4.6	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A2	40
	with SPDs installed at line A4, B4 and C4	
4.7	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A1	41

	with SPDs installed at line A4, B4 and C4	
4.8	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A4	42
	with SPDs installed at line A3, B3 and C3	
4.9	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A3	43
	with SPDs installed at line A3, B3 and C3	
4.10	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A2	44
	with SPDs installed at line A3, B3 and C3	
4.11	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A1	45
	with SPDs installed at line A3, B3 and C3	
4.12	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A4	46
	with SPDs installed at line B4 and C4	
4.13	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A3	47
	with SPDs installed at line B4 and C4	
4.14	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A2	48
	with SPDs installed at line B4 and C4	
4.15	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A1	49
	with SPDs installed at line B4 and C4	
4.16	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A4	50
	with SPDs installed at line B4 and A4	
4.17	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A3	51
	with SPDs installed at line B4 and A3	
4.18	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A2	52
	with SPDs installed at line B4 and A2	
4.19	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A1	53
	with SPDs installed at line B4 and A1	
4.20	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A4	54
	without SPDs installed	
4.21	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A3	55
	without SPDs installed	
4.22	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A2	56
	without SPDs installed	
4.23	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A1	57
	without SPDs installed	
4.24	Voltage waveforms when surge applied at line A4	58

4.25 Voltage waveforms when surge applied a	at line A3 58
with SPDs installed at points	
4.26 Voltage waveforms when surge applied a	at line A2 59
with SPDs installed at points	
4.27 Voltage waveforms when surge applied a	at line A1 59
with SPDs installed at points	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

TNB	-	Tenaga Nasional Berhad
SPDs	-	Surge Protection Devices
LV	-	Low Voltage
EPRI	-	Electric power Research Institute USA
I/O	-	Input Output
PSCAD	-	Power Simulation Computer Aided
		Design
OCPDs	-	Overcurrent protective devices
TOVs	-	Temporary overvoltages
SCRs	-	Semiconductor controlled rectifiers
UPSs	-	Uninterrupted Power Supplies

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Electricity consumers at residential areas (Low Voltage Power Consumers) are expected to get pure sinusoidal waveforms of electricity supply being fed to their house. So, it is the responsibility of the power utility provider to maintain a uniform voltage to be distributed to the consumers but existence of external and internal disturbances can jeopardise their efforts. Under-voltage (sag), over-voltage (swell), surges and harmonics are the disturbances that can happen in power quality. The overvoltage surge may cause severe system failures and destructive to consumer appliances which translate to monetary losses in replacing the damaged items, one example was in Kulim as reported in the national newspaper on March 2011. This is due to power switching by Tenaga Nasional Berhad (TNB) substation . There were aslo incidences experienced by consumers in Perak suffering of damaged electrical appliances due to copper busbars theft in the TNB substations due to "floating neutral'. As a conclusion, all the disturbances are very dangerous not only to the equipments, and also can endanger the people nearby the disturbance vicinity.

The voltage surge (sudden electrical energy interruption voltage) and the sag are probably, the most important disturbances the power quality in electrical system. Because of lightning traveling waves are produced in the system. These traveling waves cause the temporary increase in voltage in the transmission line system. The increase in voltage is harmful for the insulator of lines and devices connected to the transmission line. Therefore, it is necessary to analyze such increase in voltage in order to design the surge arrester (or the insulator) suitable for the investment, the good performance of the system and the reliability of transmission line system [1]. Because of this finding, this final year report is done to prove that the Surge Protection Devices (SPDs) should be installed at suitable locations at residential distribution areas. The installation of the SPDs should not be a burden to the consumers for the installation of the SPDs at their respective house. Looking at this factor, suitable location has been selected and tested for the simulation to prove the effectiveness of the SPDs installed.

Overvoltages in power systems may be generated by external events, such as lightning; by internal conditions including faults, switching, ferroresonance, load rejection, loss of ground, and so on, or by any combination of the above. The magnitude of these overvoltages can be above maximum permissible levels and therefore need to be reduced and protected against if damage to equipment and possible undesirable system performance are to be avoided [2].

Normally, for the low voltage (LV) consumers, they can be affected by surge voltage from the switching of transformers, motors or inductances in general, and sudden variation of load, disconnection of circuit breakers or cut outs (i.e in the distribution circuits) lead to overvoltages that penetrate the user's building. Significantly, the closer the building or house is to a generating station or substation, the higher the risk of overvoltage to them.

In general terminology, SPD located at the service entrance is usually called "arrester", while SPD located near equipment is usually called "suppressor". In the low–voltage AC power circuits many users assume that application of only one suppressor will provide overall protection for all equipment [3].

1.2 Problem Statements

Every now and then, more and more equipment losses and down time are subjected to over-voltage events. This increase in damage is due to both the increased usage of microprocessors in a greater range of products, and the continuing miniaturization of these microelectronic components. The damages of the products/appliances are due to the internal and external disturbances of the power systems. The surges emerging in the power-supply network would bring faults to or destroy this equipment. Various overvoltages yielded by lightning strikes and operating switches are the major origin of faults. The system overvoltage usually results from lightning strikes, but it does not result from the direct lightning strikes. Actually, the damage of devices is mostly caused by the inrushing surge along various metal pipelines and electric cables. Therefore, surge protective devices (SPDs) are widely used in low-voltage distribution systems to immunize the interference of the invaded lightning surge.

Overvoltage especially surge voltage during the switching activity by TNB can cause a lot of problems to TNB itself and could be vulnerable the consumers. By installing a proper and with suitable location of the SPDs, it can reduce the magnitude of the voltage and protect the equipments from any potential damages. The installation of SPDs by the utility provider must not be impose any burden to the consumers, in the other hand it enables in increasing the level of protection of the electricity being supplied to them.

In 2003, Electric power Research Institute USA (EPRI) published a report which stated that the cost of unplanned production interruption to US industry due to variations in electrical power supply was of the order of US\$100 billion per annum. EPRI forecast that this course will grow as the use of power electronic devices has provided industry with much greater process control, but these devices have proved much more susceptible than traditional equipment to power variations and particularly to voltage disturbances [4].

In Malaysia lately, increasing trend of using power electronic devices as part of controlling the speed of electronics motors (ASD) for efficient energy usage will surely contribute to voltage disturbances. To make matter worst, 80% of LV consumers are without any Shock Protective Device in their systems.

To overcome the problem, there is a need for coordinated protection in terms of introducing a suitable Surge Protection Devices as part of comprehensive protection. Each of this is independent disciplines that need a holistic design approach to ensure the LV consumers are not left with vulnerable "blind sport". The investments of surge protection devices can be wasted if the "blind sport" is still exist. For example, installing an SPD to the programmable logic controller is of little value of the I/O lines are not protected. Equally, even the most expensive SPDs are poor performers if a low impedance equipotential ground is not provided.

1.3 Objectives

Objectives that need to be met in this project are:

- i. To study how to do a simulation using PSCAD,
- ii. To study types of disturbances in power quality that affects the quality of electric supplied to the consumers.

iii. To evaluate the ability and effectiveness of the SPDs installed before the electric being distributed to the low voltage (LV) consumers using the software simulation i.e PSCAD.

1.4 Scope of Work

The scope of work for this project can be divided into two parts. In the first part it will cover the understanding of the most destructive overvoltage and the way of minimizing the effect of the overvoltage surge by implementing the Surge Protection Devices to the LV consumers

The second part of this project is analyzing the performance of the SPDs installed at proposed location by using PSCAD/EMTDS. From the simulation results, it will prove that the SPDs need to be installed at the Low voltage consumers.

1.5 Project Report Organization

This project report is organized in the following way:

Chapter 1 provides the introduction and background to the topic. Chapter 2 covers the literature review and theoretical analysis of the SPD. Chapter 3 presents the research methodology used in the project. The results and discussions from the studies will be presented in Chapter 4 in order to give a clear understanding of the research objectives and this is then followed by the last chapter, Chapter 5, which is the conclusion of the whole research study.

REFERENCES

- G. Radhika, Dr.M.Suryakalavathi and G.Soujanya, Effective Placement of Surge Arrester During Lightning, Proceedings of the International Joint Journal Conference on Engineering and Technology (IJJCET), pg. 167, 2010.
- [2]. IEEE Guide for the Application of Metal-Oxide Surge Arresters for Alternating-Current Systems, Std C62.22TM-2009.
- [3] J. Lai, F. Martzloff, Coordinating Cascaded Surge Protective Devices: High– Low Versus Low-High, IEEE Trans. Industry Applications, vol. 29, Issue 4, pg. 1812-1819, Jul./Aug. 1993.
- [4] David Ezer Mieee And Ian Ross Miee, Voltage Conditioning To Maintain Production Avoid Many Unplanned Production Stoppages, World Energy Engineering Congress 26th Weec Atlanta, Georgi, Pg. 1, November 2003.
- [5] Jim Harrison, Why and How Do Surge Protection Devices (SPD) Fail, A Safety Article, Control Concept Corporation, Pg. 383, 2002.
- [6]. IEEE Std C62.48[™], IEEE Guide on Interactions between Power System Disturbances and Surge-Protective Devices, 2005.
- [7]. Ronald W. Hotchkiss, Performance Testing of Surge Protective Devices for Low-Voltage AC Power Circuits: Approach of the IEEE, pg. 2, Sept 2007.

- [8]. Design of the electrical wiring, www.electrical-installation.org, date: 9 March 2011.
- [9] PSCAD User Guide, Manitoba HVDC Research Centre Inc., 2005.
- [10] Christos A. Christodoulou, Fani A. Assimakopoulou, Ioannis F. Gonos, Ioannis A. Stathopulos, Simulation ofMetal Oxide Surge Arresters Behavior, pg. 1683, 2002.