

ON- AND OFF-LINE IDENTIFICATION OF LINEAR STATE SPACE MODELS

NURUL SYAHIRAH BINTI KHALID

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Electrical - Mechatronics & Automatic Engineering)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2012

To my beloved mother and father

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to thank my project supervisor, Dr. Norhaliza Binti Abd. Wahab for her guidance and teachings. And also would like to thank both panels for their guidance. I am also indebted to Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) for funding my Masters study.

I would also wish to extend my gratitude to my parents for their support and their understanding.

And of course to all my friends that help me in this project

ABSTRACT

A geometrically inspired matrix algorithm is derived for the identification of state space models for multivariable linear time-invariant systems and using possibly noisy input-output measurements data only. In this project, only a limited number of input and output data are required for the determination of the system matrices. The algorithm can be best described and also understood in the matrix formalism and consists in the following two steps. First step, a state vector sequence is realized as the intersection of the row spaces of two block Hankel matrices which is constructed by apply input - output data. Then, the system matrices are obtained at once from the least squares solution of a set of linear equations. When dealing with noisy data, this algorithm draws its excellent performance from repeated use of the numerically stable and accurate singular value decomposition. The algorithm is easily applied to slowly time-varying systems using windowing or exponential weighting.

ABSTRAK

Geometri yang di ilhamkan daripada algoritma matrik di terbitkan untuk pengenaltian model state space untuk sistem pelbagai parameter linear masa-invariant dengan hanya menggunakan input-output kebisingan. Dalam projek ini, ia hanya memerlukan number input-output yang di hadkan untuk mendapatkan sistem matrik. Algoritma ini boleh dijelaskan dan di fahami dalam bentuk matrik dan mempunyai dua langkah. Langkah pertama, susunan state vector di ketahui sebagai pertemuan ruang baris oleh dua blok Hankel matrik di mana ia dibina dengan menggunakan input-output data sahaja. Kemudian, sistem matrik ini sekali gus di perolehi daripada penyelesaian persamaan linear least square. Apabila berhadapan dengan data kebisingan, algoritma ini menghasilkan prestasi yang sangat baik daripada pengulangan penggunaan angka stabil dan kejituaan Singular Value Decomposition. Lebih-lebih lagi algoritma ini mudah diaplikasikan pada sistem masa perlahan dan menggunakan teknik tetingkap atau keberatan exponential.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES	x
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	viii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 An overview	1
	1.2 Objective of project	2
	1.3 Scope of study	2
	1.4 Thesis outline	3
	1.5 Summary	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Identification Methods	4
	2.2 Block Hankel matrices and input-output equations	7
	2.3 A Glass Tube Drawing Process	9

2.4	Optimization technique	11
3	METHODOLOGY	13
3.1	Introduction	13
3.2	Project Development and Flow chart	14
3.3	Literature Review	16
3.4	State Space Models	16
3.5	Geometric tools	17
3.5.1	Orthogonal projections	18
3.5.2	Oblique projections	19
3.6	Off-line algorithm	20
3.7	On-line algorithm	21
3.8	Global Best PSO	23
3.9	Summary	24
4	SIMULATION RESULTS	25
4.1	Off-line identification	25
4.2	On-line identification	30
4.2.1	On-line identification when weighting Factor (α) is 1	30
4.2.2	On-line identification when weighting factor (α) is 0.8	43
4.2.3	On-line identification with optimization value of weighting factor (α) by using PSO	55
5	CONCLUSION	61
5.1	Conclusion	61
5.2	Future Works	62
	REFERENCES	63
	Appendices A-D	65

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Value of <i>gbest</i> with the value of weighting factor (α) based on N4SID	55
4.2	Value of <i>gbest</i> with the value of weighting factor (α) based on MOESP	56

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Subspace and classical methods of system identification	7
2.2	A glass tube drawing process	10
2.3	Input and output of a glass tube drawing process	10
3.1	Flow chart of the project	15
3.2	State space model diagrams	17
4.1	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on N4SID	25
4.2	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on MOESP	26
4.3	Validation based on N4SID	26
4.4	Validation based on MOESP	27
4.5	Poles of the estimated system based on N4SID	28
4.6	Poles of the estimated system based on MOESP	29
4.7	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on N4SID	30
4.8	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on MOESP	31
4.9	Validation based on N4SID	31

4.10	Validation based on MOESP	32
4.11	Poles of the estimated system based on N4SID	33
4.12	Poles of the estimated system based on MOESP	34
4.13	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on N4SID	35
4.14	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on MOESP	35
4.15	Validation based on N4SID	36
4.16	Validation based on MOESP	36
4.17	Poles of the estimated system based on N4SID	37
4.18	Poles of the estimated system based on N4SID	38
4.19	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on N4SID	39
4.20	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on MOESP	39
4.21	Validation based on N4SID	40
4.22	Validation based on MOESP	41
4.23	Poles of the estimated system based on N4SID	41
4.24	Poles of the estimated system based on MOESP	42
4.25	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on N4SID	43
4.26	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on MOESP	43
4.27	Validation based on N4SID	44
4.28	Validation based on MOESP	44
4.29	Poles of the estimated system based on N4SID	45
4.30	Poles of the estimated system based on MOESP	46
4.31	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on N4SID	47

4.32	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on MOESP	47
4.33	Validation based on N4SID	48
4.34	Validation based on MOESP	48
4.35	Poles of the estimated system based on N4SID	49
4.36	Poles of the estimated system based on MOESP	50
4.37	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on N4SID	51
4.38	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on MOESP	51
4.39	Validation based on N4SID	52
4.40	Validation based on MOESP	52
4.41	Poles of the estimated system based on N4SID	53
4.42	Poles of the estimated system based on MOESP	54
4.43	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on N4SID	57
4.44	Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) based on N4SID	57
4.45	Validation based on N4SID	58
4.46	Validation based on MOESP	58
4.47	Poles of the estimated system based on N4SID	59
4.48	Poles of the estimated system based on MOESP	60

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

MOESP	-	Multivariable Output-Error State-Space model identification
SVD	-	Singular Value Decomposition
I/O	-	Input-output
Gbest	-	Global best
MIMO	-	Multiple Input Multiple Output
PEM	-	Prediction Error Method
IVM	-	Instrumental Variable Method
LTI	-	Linear Time Invariant
PI	-	Proportional Integral
PID	-	Proportional Integral Derivative
LQR	-	Linear-Quadratic Regulator
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Particle Swarm Optimization for N4SID	65
B	Particle Swarm Optimization for MOESP	68
C	Subspace Identification	71
D	Block Hankel Matrices	77

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 An overview

Identification aims at finding a mathematical model from the measurement record of inputs and outputs of a system. A state space model is a most obvious choice for a mathematical representation because of its widespread use in system theory and control. Still, reliable general purpose state space identification schemes have not become standard tools so far, mostly due to the computational complexity involved (Ho and Kalman 1965, Kung 1978, Zeiger and Mc Ewen 1974). The theory of canonical correlation analysis, independently developed in the midthirties by Hotelling (Hotelling 1936) and Obukhov, the idea of using SVD to compute the principal angles and vectors being due to Bjorck and Golub (Golub and Van Loan 1983), has been intensively applied to the stochastic identification problem, where as a major departure canonical variate analysis is used to choose linear combinations of the past of the random process to optimally predict the future of the process. The analysis of a system in terms of past and future naturally leads to a state space description (Akaike 1974, Akaike 1975, Baram 1981, Ramos and Verriest 1984, Larimore 1984). Nevertheless, the intensive use of covariance information is a major

drawback when it comes to practice, since finite data records reveal only poor approximations for covariance matrices.

1.2 Objective of Project

The objective of this project comprises of the following:

- i. To analyze the differences between On- and Off-line identification of a linear state space models by apply subspace algorithm.
- ii. Implement the algorithm to the suitable system.

1.3 Scope of Study

Scopes of the project are listed:

- i. To study On-line and Off-line identification algorithm.
- ii. Two methods of the subspace algorithm are used which is N4SID (numerical algorithm for Subspace State Space System Identification) and MOESP (Multivariable Output-Error State-Space model identification)

1.4 Thesis outline

Chapter 1 discusses on the objective and scope of this project. It also covers an overview of this project.

Chapter 2 introduces a several literature reviews that have been done for this project.

Chapter 3 includes the methodology of this project with basic knowledge of subspace based algorithm, Off- and On-line algorithm and global best of PSO.

Chapter 4 presents the results and analysis for this project. It covers on Off- and On-line identification results.

Chapter 5 discusses the conclusion that can be made from the results and recommendations for future work.

1.5 Summary

In this chapter is, well planning is very important to make this project success. Every planning that is planned should be follow to make the project finished on the dateline or earlier before the dateline. The objective of the project is also important to make the project successfully and as aim of the project. In addition, the scope of the project needs to recognize before start the project.

REFERENCES

- Moonen, M., De Moor, B., Vandenberghe, L., & VandeWalle, J. (1989). On- and off-line identification of linear state-space models. *International Journal of Control*, 49(1), 219–232.
- Favoreel, W., De Moor, B., & Van Overschee, P. (2000). Subspace state space system identification for industrial processes. *Journal of Process Control*, 10(2–3), 149–155
- Di Ruscio, D. (2000). *Subspace system identification—theory and applications* (6th ed.). Lectures notes. Norway: Telemark Institute of Technology.
- Ho, B. L., & Kalman, R. E. (1966). Efficient construction of linear state variable models from input/output functions. *Regelungstechnik*, 14, 545–548
- Golub, G. H., & VanLoan, C. F. (1996). *Matrix computation* (3rd ed.). Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Viberg, M. (2002). Subspace-based state-space system identification. *Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing*, 21(1), 23–37
- Haverkamp, B., & Verhaegen, M. (1997). SMI Toolbox: State space model identification software for multivariable dynamical systems, v.1.0. Delft University of Technology.
- Van Overschee, P., & De Moor, B. (1994). N4SID: Subspace algorithms for the identification of combined deterministic stochastic systems. *Automatica, Special Issue on Statistical Signal Processing and Control*, 30(1), 75–93

- Larimore, W. E. (1983). System identification, reduced-order filtering and modeling via canonical variate analysis. *Proceedings of 1983 American control conference*, San Francisco, CA.
- Van Overschee, P., & De Moor, B. (1996). *Subspace identification for linear systems: Theory, implementation, applications*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Ljung, L. (1997). *System identification toolbox: User's guide, v.4.0.4*. Natick, MA: The Math Work.
- Ottersten, B., & Viberg, M. (1994). A subspace-based instrumental variable method for state-space system identification. *Proceedings of IFAC SYSID'94*, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Peternell, K., Scherrer, W., & Deistler, M. (1996). Statistical analysis of novel subspace identification methods. *Signal Processing*, 52(2), 161–177
- ZEIGER H.P. and Mc EWEN A.J., 1974. *Approximate linear realizations of given dimensions via Ho's algorithm*. IEEE Trans. Aut. Control, vol AC-19, (pp 153).
- LJUNG L. and SODERSTROM T., 1983. *Theory and practice of recursive identification*. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- KUNG S.Y., 1978. *A new identification and model reduction algorithm via singular value decomposition*. Proc. 12th Asilomar Conf. on Circuits, Systems and Computers. Pacific Grove. (pp.705-714).
- LAWSON C. and HANSON R., 1974. *Solving least squares problems*. Prentice Hall Series in Automatic Computation, Englewood Cliffs.
- KAILATH T., 1980. *Linear system theory*. Prentice-Hall, New Jersey.