

FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF FAST-TRACK WALL HOUSING SYSTEM

SOMAIE HATAMI

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil-Structures)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2012

Specially dedicated to my:

Supportive Father

Devoted Mother

Sincere Husband

Lovely Son

and everyone who had involved in this study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my special thanks to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. REDZUAN ABDULLAH for his critics, advices, ideas and encouragement which have contributed thoroughly in this study. Next, I wish to thank Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for giving me chance to follow my study.

Also I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my family for their endless support throughout the process of this study. Without their continual support and guidance, this project report would not have been as presented here.

ABSTRACT

Fast-Track Wall (FTW) system is a load bearing wall system constructed by pouring concrete into a specially designed formwork of FTW. The construction time is reduced significantly compared to conventional brick wall system. The design procedure for the FTW system is according to plain concrete walls, where the wall contains either no reinforcement or less than 0.4% reinforcement. Reinforcement is only provided in FTW walls to control cracking, but not for strength.

This study focuses on the finite element linear elastic analysis of a double storey house under load combinations according to British Standard of Structural Use of Concrete (BS 8110), built using Fast-Track Wall system. A finite element software called LUSAS is used for the analysis and the stresses obtained from the analysis are compared with allowable tensile and compression stresses of the concrete. Also, critical stress locations in the wall with opening are defined based on an appropriate stress criterion. Finally, a stress based design equation that relates the rectangular opening sizes to the stress is proposed for FTW housing system. Besides evaluating stresses, suitable diagonal corners sizes based on the stresses in wall with rectangular opening is suggested.

ABSTRAK

Sistem Fast Track Wall (FTW) merupakan system dinding gelas beban yang dibina dengan menuang konkrit ke dalam acuan yang direkabentuk khas. Kaedah pembinaan FTW sangat cepat berbanding dengan kaedah membina dinding batu bata secara konvensional. FTW direkabentuk mengikut kaedah rekabentuk dinding konkrit tanpa tetulang. Tetulang minimum sebanyak 0.4% diletak di dalam dinding hanya untuk mengatasi masalah retakan tetapi bukan untuk menambah kekuatan dinding.

Kajian ini tertumpu kepada analisis kaedah unsur terhingga anjal lurus ke atas system FTW bagi rumah kediaman dua tingkat yang menanggung beban mengikut BS 8110. Analisis dijalankan dengan menggunakan perisian LUSAS. Tegasan yang diperolehi daripada analisis dibandingkan dengan tegasan tegangan dan tegasan mampatan yang dibenarkan bagi konkrit. Lokasi tegasan yang kritikal di dalam dinding yang mempunyai bukaan juga ditentukan. Rumus rekabentuk yang berasaskan tegasan bagi dinding FTW yang mempunyai bukaan segiempat dicadangkan. Disamping kiraan tegasan, di dalam dinding yang mempunyai bukaan segiempat juga dicadang.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARARION	ii
	DEDICATIONS	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Fast-Track Wall (FTW) system	
	1	
	1.1.1 Advantages of Fast Track Wall System	3
	1.2 Problem Statement	5
	1.3 Aim and Objectives	5
	1.5 Scope of Study	6
	1.6 Expected Findings	6

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Classification of Concrete Walls	8
2.3	Reinforcement in Plain Wall	8
2.4	Deflection	9
2.5	Methods of Designing the Concrete Walls	9
2.6	Effect of Tensile Strength on the Strength of Concrete Walls	10
2.7	Strength of Concrete Walls without Openings	12
2.7.1	Strength of Concrete Walls without Openings in One-way Action	12
2.7.2	Strength of Concrete Wall without Opening in Two-way Action	13
2.8	Strength of Concrete Walls with Openings	14
2.9	Numerical Studies on the Strength of Concrete Walls	16
3	METHODOLOGY	19
3.1	Introduction	19
3.2	Analysis of Walls Using LUSAS Software	20
3.2.1	Assumptions in Modelling	20
3.2.2	Modelling of a Proposed Plan	21
3.2.3	Modelling Steps of Selected Wall without Steel Mesh	22
3.2.3.1	Creating a Model of Wall with Opening	22
3.2.3.2	Mesh Definition	24
3.2.3.3	Material Definition	25
3.2.3.4	Support Definition	26
3.2.3.5	Load Definition	27
3.2.3.6	Load Combination Definition	29

3.2.4	Modelling Steps of Wire Mesh	31
3.2.4.1	Creating Wire Mesh	31
3.2.4.2	Mesh Definition of Wire Mesh	32
3.2.4.3	Geometric Definition of Wire Mesh	32
3.2.4.4	Material Definition of Wire Mesh	33
3.2.4.5	Equivalence Definition	34
3.2.5	Modelling the Haunches of the Opening	35
3.3	Failure Criteria	38
3.4	Plain Wall Design Considerations	40
4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	41
4.1	Introduction	41
4.2	Analysis of Wall Model with Rectangular Opening	43
4.2.1	Effect of Reinforcement in Plain Concrete Wall	43
4.2.2	Critical Load Combination	44
4.2.3	Parametric Study	46
4.2.3.1	Variation of the Opening Length (L)	46
4.2.3.2	Variation of the Height of the Wall above the Opening (h)	47
4.2.3.3	Variation of L/h Ratio	49
4.2.3.4	Variation of Load Combination 1	51
4.3	Analysis of Wall Model with Diagonal Corners	53
4.3.1	Variation of b, c for a Certain h	56
4.3.2	Variation of c, h for a Certain b	58
4.3.3	Variation of b, h for a Certain c	59
4.3.4	Recommended Size of Diagonal Corners in the Openings of FTW System	60
4.4	Design Procedure	61

5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	63
	5.1 Conclusions	63
	5.2 Recommendations	66
	REFERENCES	67
	Appendices A – C	72-78

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Load combinations and load factors used in the analysis of wall model	29
3.2	Minimum percentage reinforcement in plain walls	33
3.3	Different geometry of wall model with diagonal corners	37
4.1	Maximum principal stresses results of analysis model B	45
4.2	Maximum principal stress results of analysis wall models with different L/h ratio	49
4.3	Percent error of equation 1 compare to LUSAS software analysis	50
4.4	Percent error of equation 2 compare to LUSAS software analysis	52
4.5	Geometry of models with diagonal corners	54
4.6	Maximum principal stress values at the mid-span of the openings with diagonal corners	55
4.7	Recommended sizes of diagonal corner of the walls in FTW system	61

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Concrete the wall in FTW system	3
1.2	Completed single storey house, constructed by FTW system	4
2.1	Idealized stress-strain relationship used by Chen and Atsuta	11
2.2	Wall panel in one-way action (two side supports)	12
2.3	Wall panel in two-way action (four side supports)	13
2.4	Wall panel in two-way action (three side supports)	16
2.5	Typical wall model with window and door opening	17
2.6	Predicted deflected shapes in LFEM by Guan, Cooper and Lee	18
3.1	Model of the proposed project in X-Y plane by LUSAS	21
3.2	Model of the proposed project in X-Z plane by LUSAS	22
3.3	Geometry of selected wall	23
3.4	Selected wall model in LUSAS software (Model A)	23
3.5	Different types of solid element shapes available in LUSAS software	24

3.6	Wall model with HX20 solid mesh	25
3.7	Isotropic material dialogue box in LUSAS software	26
3.8	Model with restraint conditions after elastic analysis	27
3.9	Basic load combination dialog box in LUSAS software to define the loads combination	30
3.10	Load cases panel of Tree Frame	30
3.11	The selected wall model after generating wire mesh (Model B)	31
3.12	2D and 3D bar elements used in LUSAS software	32
3.13	Material definition dialogbox for steel wire mesh	34
3.14	Equivalence attributes dialog box	35
3.15	Wall with diagonal corners of opening	36
3.16	Maximum normal stress criterion zone	39
3.17	Failure of concrete and masonry wall under vertical compressive load	40
4.1	Typical wall model with diagonal corners	42
4.2	Maximum principal stress contours of models A and B	43
4.3	Maximum principal stress (S1) contour of model B	44
4.4	Maximum principal stress (S1) versus L	47
4.5	Maximum principal stress (S1) versus h	48
4.6	Relationship between the maximum principal stress (S1) and L/h ratio in rectangular opening	50

4.7	Maximum principal stress contour of the wall model with and without diagonal corners by LUSAS software	53
4.8	Maximum principal stress versus b for a certain h	56
4.9	Maximum principal stress versus c for a certain h	57
4.10	Maximum principal stress versus c for a certain b	58
4.11	Maximum principal stress versus b for a certain c	59
1.11	Design process of the opening in FTW system	62

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A_c	-	Area of concrete
A_s	-	Area of steel bars
b	-	Horizontal length of diagonal corners
c	-	Vertical length of diagonal corners
D.L.	-	Dead load on the wall
E_c	-	Young modulus of elasticity of concrete
E_s	-	Young modulus of elasticity of steel bars
f_{cu}	-	Characteristic strength of concrete
f_y	-	Characteristic strength of steel
G	-	Shear modulus
h	-	Height of the wall above the rectangular opening
I	-	Second moment of inertia
L	-	Length of the rectangular opening
l	-	Length of the upper part of the wall after adding diagonal corners
L.L.	-	Imposed loads on the wall

M	-	Moment in the wall
S1	-	Maximum principal stress in LUSAS
S2	-	Intermediate principal stress in LUSAS
S3	-	Minimum principal stress in LUSAS
S_1^*	-	Maximum principal stress at the mid-span of the wall above the rectangular opening
S.W.	-	Self weight of the wall
W.L.	-	Wind load on the wall
α	-	Coefficient of thermal expansion
γ_m	-	Partial safety factor for strength of materials
σ_1	-	Maximum principal stress
σ_2	-	Intermediate principal stress
σ_3	-	Minimum principal stress
σ_c	-	Uniaxial compression strength
σ_t	-	Uniaxial tension strength
σ_x	-	Normal stress in X direction
σ_y	-	Normal stress in Y direction
τ_{xy}	-	
ν	-	Poisson's Ratio
ω	-	Ultimate critical load combination on the wall

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Proposed Plan Details	72
B	Loading Calculations for Model A	77
C	Metrics Standard Specification	78

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.4 Background of Fast-Track Wall (FTW) System

Concrete walls are usually constructed by erecting form panels in parallel spaced apart and then pouring concrete in the space between the forms. Most common method of erecting concrete walls involves form panels of plywood and wood forming. If reinforcement is needed, rebar or other kinds of metal reinforcement is installed in the space between the forms. In some installations, metal reinforcement is installed prior to structural forms. After the space is filled with concrete, the wooden forms are removed.

This type of construction method of forming up and pouring concrete wall in-situ is cumbersome. The wood itself is relatively expensive and provision of wooden form is labor intensive. Skilled labors are needed to erect the wooden forms and the wooden forms need to be removed after poured concrete is set. Accordingly, erection operation for such construction method is time consuming and required considerable expensive skilled labors for erection. Moreover, a large proposition of form components or materials is non-reusable after the wooden forms are removed.

Although conventional building methods are well established and are generally effective for constructing traditional building structure, there is still a need for

constructing a building structure which is cost effective to implement and can be quickly and easily utilized so as to construct a desired building structure.

Fast-Track Wall (FTW) system [1] is an innovative method of construction which uses the inherent strength of concrete to produce cost effective and durable structures and generally relates to a formwork system and more particularly relates to a fast-track forming system for concrete or mortar wall. The normal method of constructing load-bearing concrete elements is to place the concrete into temporary formwork or moulds, which are then removed once the concrete has attained sufficient strength to be self-supporting and avoid damage.

Fast Track Wall system can be used on many types of projects. The idea behind fast track is to complete the overall project sooner by having portions of construction and design proceeding simultaneously.

Fast Track Wall system is casting concrete in a reusable mould and then transport to the construction site that will help to reduce the wastage in the construction industry by minimizing the conventional usage of timber. It is a formwork/mould system that helps to revolutionize the Malaysian tradition of constructing a single and double storey house. The roof structure can be of, for example, beams/trusses constructed either in horizontal or raked. The beams /trusses give added stability and strength to the top of building structure, and to support the roof and ceiling materials. This system has been successfully practiced where the site is difficult to be accessed by heavy machineries and vehicles.

The fast track project causes the structural engineer to design a building in reverse of how design is normally done. Structures are usually designed from the top down. Upper floors are designed first and gravity loads are tabulated from the roof down to the foundations.

It is to be found that by employing FTW system, a single storey house with two or three bed rooms can be completed within 20 days to 25 days respectively.



Figure 1.1 Concrete the wall in FTW system

1.1.1 Advantages of Fast Track Wall System

FTW system has benefits for both builders and owners. Builder benefits can be summarized in the following items:

- Savings on building structural steel and bracing
- Reduction on building foundation and footings
- Reduce the usage of labor by 20%
- Reduce the time of construction by 50%

- Reduces structural requirements
- Fast track construction
- Trade friendly walls on interior are ready set to receive mechanicals and finishes
- Easy to design load bearing and shear walls
- Saves on transportation cost due to light weight properties
- Can take lateral forces such as high velocity of wind and earthquake

Owner benefits are:

- Better indoor air quality for those with allergies and asthma
- Savings on building maintenance
- Longer life span of building
- Structural security from fires and natural disasters



Figure 1.2 Completed single storey house, constructed by FTW system

1.2 Problem Statement

Large openings in conventional construction require large headers and supporting posts. On the other hand size of openings and windows in the houses constructed by FTW system are limited and typically small. By adding large openings, Fast Track Wall system becomes more and more cost effective because large openings reduce the materials needed such as concrete, steel mesh, formwork, labor, etc. and reduce the construction duration.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

The main objectives of this research are:

- To study the stress distribution in double storey houses constructed by Fast-Track Wall (FTW) system
- To propose a design procedure based on stress criteria
- To define the optimum size of rectangular openings for the walls in Fast-Track Wall system
- To suggest the best haunch dimensions of the openings in the walls of Fast-Track Wall system

1.5 Scope of Study

The scopes of work for this research are as follow:

- 3D linear elastic analysis of wall system of double storey house made by Fast-Track Wall system
- Modeling of a proposed double storey house, using solid element, by LUSAS software
- Determine the stress distribution in concrete under typical domestic load cases.

1.5 Expected Findings

The following can be some of the outcomes:

- To define critical stress locations based on compression or tension stress limitations of concrete according to BS 8110
- To propose suitable size of rectangular openings in Fast Track Wall system
- To suggest a method to design the openings in walls of Fast-Track Wall system based on stresses limitations.

REFERENCES

1. Muhd Zaimi, A. M., Rosli, M. Z., and Group. *Fast Track Wall System*. Malaysian Utility Innovation Pending Number: PI 2009 0172. World Intellectual Property Organization WO/2010/082812 A2. 2009
2. British Standards Institution (BSi). *The Structural Use of Concrete*. London, BS 8110. 1997
3. Ramli Abdullah. *Advanced Reinforced Concrete Structures*. Lecturer note, University Technology Malaysia. 2009
4. Bath, P., Thomas, J. M., and Choo, B. S. *Reinforced Concrete: Design Theory and Examples*. 3rd ed. New York: Taylor and Francis. 2006
5. American Concrete Institution (ACI). *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete and Commentary*. Detroit, ACI 318. 2005
6. Meinheit, D. F. Discussion on Proposed Revision of ACI 318-363: Building Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete. *Am. Concr. Inst.* 1970. 67(1): 710-722
7. Yokel, F. Y., and Some, N. F. Discussion on Proposed Revision of ACI 318-363: Building Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete. *Am. Concr. Inst.* 1970. 67(1): 723-736
8. Yokel, F. Y. Stability and Load Capacity of Members with no Tensile Strength. *Struct. Div. Am. Soc. civ. Engrs.* 1971. 97(1): 1913-1921
9. Unnirishna Pillai, S., and Parthasarathy, C. V. Ultimate Strength and design of concrete walls. *Building and Environment*. 1977. 12(1): 25-29

10. Parthasarathy, C. V. *Ultimate Strength of Load Bearing Walls*. M.Sc. Thesis. Calicut University; 1973
11. Seddon, A. E. The Strength of Concrete Walls under Axial and Eccentric Loads. *Proceeding of a Symposium on Strength of Concrete Structures*. May 1956. London: Cement and Concrete Association. 1956. 445–486.
12. Varghese, P. C. *Advanced Reinforced Concrete Design*. 2nd. ed. New Delhi: Prentice-Hall of India. 2006
13. Chen, W. F. Discussion of: Stability and Load Capacity of Members with no Tensile Strength, (F. Y. Yokel). *Struct. Div. Am. Soc. civ. Engrs*. 1972. 96(1): 1193-1204
14. Chen, F. W., and Atsuta, T. Strength of Eccentrically Loaded Walls. *Int. J. Solids Structures*. 1973. 9(1): 1283-1300
15. Saheb, S. M., and Desayi, P. Ultimate Strength of RC Wall Panels in One-way In-plane Action. *Struct. Eng. ASCE*. 1989. 115(10): 2617-2630
16. Fragomeni, S. *Design of Normal and High Strength Reinforced Concrete Walls*. Ph.D. Thesis. Griffith University, Melbourne; 1995
17. Doh, J. H. *Experimental and Theoretical Studies of Normal and High Strength Concrete Wall Panels*. Ph.D. Thesis. Griffith University, Melbourne; 2002
18. Doh, J. H., and Fragomeni, S. Evaluation of Experimental Work on Concrete Walls in One and Two-way Action. *Aust. J. Struct. Eng*. 2005. 6(1): 37-52
19. Swartz, S. E., Rosebraugh, V. H., and Berman, M. Y. Buckling Tests on Rectangular Concrete Panels. *ACI Struct. J*. 1974. 71(1): 33-39
20. Saheb, S. M., and Desayi, P. Ultimate Strength of RC Wall Panels in Two-way In-plane Action. *Struct. Eng. ASCE*. 1990. 116 (5): 1384-1402

21. Sanjayan, J. G., and Maheswaran, T. Load Capacity of Slender High Strength with Side Supports. *ACI Struct. J.* 1999. 96(4): 571-576
22. Saheb , S. M., and Desayi, P. Ultimate Strength of RC Wall Panels with Opening. *Struct. Eng. ASCE.* 1990. 116 (4): 1565-1578
23. Doh, J. H., and Fragomeni, S. Ultimate Load Formula for Reinforced Concrete Wall Panels with Opening. *Adv. Struct. Eng.* 2006. 9(1): 103-115
24. Lee, D. J. *Experimental and Theoretical Study of Normal and High Strength Concrete Wall Panels with Openings.* Ph.D. Thesis. Griffith University, Melbourn; 2008
25. Doh, J. H., Lee, D. J., Guan, H., and Loo, Y. C. Concrete Wall With Various Support Conditions. *Proceeding of the 4th 4th International Conference on Advances in Structural Engineering and Mechanics (ASEM 08).* May 26-28, 2008. Korea: Techno-Press. 2008. 967-975
26. Doh, J. H., Loo, Y. C., and Fragomeni, S. Concrete Wall with and without Openings Supported on Three Sides. *Proceeding of the 21th Australian Conference on the Mechanics of Structures and Materials (ACMSM21).* Dec 7-10, 2010. London: Taylor and Francis Group. 2011. 209-214
27. Rombach, G. A. *Finite Element Design of Concrete Structures.* Heron Quay, London: Tomas Telford Publishing. 2004
28. Guan, H. and Loo, Y. C. Flexural and Shear Failure Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Slabs and Flat Plates. *Adv. Struct. Eng.* 1997. 1(1): 71-76
29. Hallinan, P., and Guan, H. Layered Finite Element Analysis of One-way and Two-way Concrete Walls with Openings. *Adv. Struct. Eng.* 2007. 10(1): 55-72

30. Hallinan, P., and Guan, H. Parametric Study on Slenderness Ratio of Concrete Walls with Openings. *Proceeding of the Australian Structural Engineering Conference*. September 11-14, 2005. Newcastle, Australia: Engineers Australia. 2005. 578-587
31. Hallinan, P., and Guan, H. A Numerical Study of One-way and Two-way Concrete Walls with Openings. *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering Computing*. August 30-September 2, 2005. Stirling, Scotland: Civil-Comp Press. 2005. 174
32. Ghobarah, A. and Youssef, M. Modelling of Reinforced Concrete Structural Walls. *Eng. Struct.* 1999. 21(1): 912-923
33. Thomson, E. D., Perdomo, M. E., Picn, R., Marante, M. E., and Firez, L. J. Simplified Model for Damage in Squat RC Shear Walls. *Eng. Struct.* 2009. 31(1): 2215-2223
34. Guan, H., Cooper, C., and Lee, D. J. Ultimate Strength Analysis of Normal and High Strength Concrete Wall Panels with Varying Opening Configurations. *Engineering Structures*. 2010. 32(5): 1341-1355
35. LUSAS Mdeller User Manual Version 14. [CD-ROM]. Kingston Upon Thames Surrey. 2006
36. British Standards Institution (BSi). *Loading for Building*. London, BS 6399. 1996
37. Sundaraj, G. *Wind Data Validation and Determination of Basic Wind Speed for Building in Malaysia*. M.Sc. Thesis. University Sains Malaysia; 2002
38. Husain, N. M., Zaini, S. S., and Majid, T. A. Development of Terrain Height Multiplier for Seberang Jaya, Suburban Area. *Proceeding of the International Conference on Construction and Building Technology 2008 (ICCBT 2008)*. Kuala Lumpur. June 16-20, 2008. 221-224

39. British Standards Institution (BSi). Steel, concrete and composite bridges. London, BS 5400-3. 2000
40. Redzuan Abdullah. *Finite Element Method for Structural Analysis*. Lecturer note, University Technology Malaysia. 2010
41. Ugural, A. C. *Stresses in Plates and Shells*. 2nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill. 1998