

STOCHASTIC RUNGE–KUTTA METHOD FOR
STOCHASTIC DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

NORHAYATI BINTI ROSLI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mathematics)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2012

To my beloved husband
Abdul Hakim Mohd Amin

Mother and Father
Rusnani Yusof and Rosli Yusoff

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah. All praise belong to Allah The Lord of this universe.

This thesis would not have been possible without the guidance and the help of several individuals who, in one way or another, contributed and extended their valuable assistance in the preparation and completion of this study. First and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest thanks to my supervisor Dr Arifah Bahar, my co-supervisor Dr Yeak Su Hoe and my external supervisor Professor Xuerong Mao for their valuable guidance, motivation and continuous support. Their guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. In my early work on numerical methods, I am particularly indebted to Professor John Butcher for his helpful suggestion and kind assistance. Dr Madihah Md Salleh also deserves special thanks for her assistance in supplying the experimental data of the fermentation process for this thesis.

I gratefully acknowledge the funding sources of my PhD, Universiti Malaysia Pahang (UMP) and Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) which approve my study leave and awarding me a SLAI scholarship that made my PhD work possible. I would like to thank the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) and MOHE for the financial support of my research under Fundamental Research Grant Schemes (FRGS) votes 78526 and 78221.

Special thanks also go to my beloved husband Abdul Hakim Mohd Amin and my family members for their love, understanding, patience and always being there and providing emotional support whenever needed. Last but not least, I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to all my friends for their sincere advice and support throughout my PhD years.

ABSTRACT

Random effect and time delay are inherent properties of many real phenomena around us, hence it is required to model the system via stochastic delay differential equations (SDDEs). However, the complexity arises due to the presence of both randomness and time delay. The analytical solution of SDDEs is hard to be found. In such a case, a numerical method provides a way to solve the problem. Nevertheless, due to the lacking of numerical methods available for solving SDDEs, a wide range of researchers among the mathematicians and scientists have not incorporated the important features of the real phenomena, which include randomness and time delay in modeling the system. Hence, this research aims to generalize the convergence proof of numerical methods for SDDEs when the drift and diffusion functions are Taylor expansion and to develop a stochastic Runge–Kutta for solving SDDEs. Motivated by the relative paucity of numerical methods accessible in simulating the strong solution of SDDEs, the numerical schemes developed in this research is hoped to bridge the gap between the evolution of numerical methods in ordinary differential equations (ODEs), delay differential equations (DDEs), stochastic differential equations (SDEs) and SDDEs. The extension of numerical methods of SDDEs is far from complete. Rate of convergence of recent numerical methods available in approximating the solution of SDDEs only reached the order of 1.0. One of the important factors of the rapid progression of the development of numerical methods for ODEs, DDEs and SDEs is the convergence proof of the approximation methods when the drift and diffusion coefficients are Taylor expansion that had been generalized. The convergence proof of numerical schemes for SDDEs has yet to be generalized. Hence, this research is carried out to solve this problem. Furthermore, the derivative-free method has not yet been established. Hence, development of a derivative-free method with 1.5 order of convergence, namely stochastic Runge–Kutta, to approximate the solution of SDDEs with a constant time lag, $r > 0$, is also included in this thesis.

ABSTRAK

Kesan rawak dan masa lengahan adalah ciri-ciri yang dipunyai oleh kebanyakan fenomena di sekeliling kita. Maka fenomena ini perlu dimodelkan menggunakan persamaan pembezaan stokastik lengahan (SDDEs). Walaubagaimanapun, kerawakan dan masa lengahan menyebabkan persamaan pembezaan bertambah rumit. Penyelesaian analitik SDDEs sukar untuk dicari. Bagi kes tersebut, kaedah berangka menyediakan cara untuk menyelesaikan masalah yang terlibat. Namun, disebabkan oleh kekurangan kaedah-kaedah berangka yang sedia ada untuk menyelesaikan SDDEs, ramai penyelidik dari kalangan ahli matematik dan saintis tidak memasukkan ciri-ciri penting fenomena nyata iaitu kesan rawak dan masa lengahan dalam memodelkan sistem tersebut. Maka, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengitlakkan pembuktian penumpuan kaedah-kaedah berangka SDDEs apabila fungsi hanyutan dan resapan merupakan pengembangan Taylor dan membangunkan kaedah berangka stokastik Runge–Kutta untuk menyelesaikan SDDEs. Dimotivasikan oleh kekurangan relatif kaedah-kaedah berangka yang boleh diakses dalam simulasi penyelesaian kukuh SDDEs, skema-skema berangka yang dibangunkan diharap dapat merapatkan jurang di antara perkembangan kaedah-kaedah berangka persamaan pembezaan biasa (ODEs), persamaan pembezaan lengahan (DDEs), persamaan pembezaan stokastik (SDEs) dan SDDEs. Perkembangan kaedah-kaedah berangka SDDEs adalah jauh ketinggalan. Kadar penumpuan kaedah-kaedah berangka yang boleh didapati kini bagi menghampirkan penyelesaian SDDEs hanya mencapai peringkat 1.0. Salah satu daripada faktor-faktor penting perkembangan pesat pembangunan kaedah-kaedah berangka untuk ODEs, DDEs dan SDEs ialah pembuktian penumpuan kaedah-kaedah penghampiran apabila pekali-pekali hanyutan dan resapan merupakan kembangan Taylor yang telah diitlakkan. Pembuktian penumpuan kaedah-kaedah berangka SDDEs masih belum diitlakkan. Maka, kajian ini dijalankan untuk menyelesaikan masalah tersebut. Tambahan pula, kaedah bebas terbitan belum pernah dibangunkan. Maka, pembangunan kaedah bebas terbitan dengan kadar penumpuan 1.5, iaitu stokastik Runge–Kutta, untuk menghampirkan penyelesaian SDDEs dengan masa lengahan malar, $r > 0$, juga telah dimuatkan di dalam tesis ini.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS/ABBREVIATIONS/ NOTATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Research Objectives	6
	1.4 Scope of the Study	6
	1.5 Significance of the Findings	7
	1.6 Thesis Organization	8

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Stochastic Differential Equations, SDEs	10
2.2.1	Numerical Methods of SDEs	13
2.2.2	Stability of Numerical Methods of SDEs	17
2.2.3	Summary	18
2.3	Delay Differential Equations, DDEs	19
2.3.1	Numerical Methods of Delay Differential Equations	20
2.3.2	Summary	21
2.4	Stochastic Delay Differential Equations, SDDEs	22
2.4.1	Numerical Methods of Stochastic Delay Differential Equations	24
2.4.2	Stability Analysis of SDDEs	25
2.5	Summary	26
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	28
3.1	Introduction	28
3.2	Probability Theory Background	28
3.3	Brownian Motion and Stochastic Integrals	36
3.3.1	Process Derived from Wiener Process: Brownian Bridge	37
3.3.2	Stochastic Integrals	38
3.3.3	Multiple Stochastic Integral	40
3.4	Strong and Weak Convergence	50
3.5	Numerical Method for DDEs	51
3.5.1	General Form of DDEs	51
3.5.2	Runge–Kutta for DDEs	52
3.6	Numerical Methods for SDEs	54
3.6.1	Stochastic Runge–Kutta for SDEs	56
3.6.2	Order Conditions of SRK for SDEs	58
3.6.3	Mean–Square Stability Analysis of SRK for SDEs	61

3.7	Stochastic Delay Differential Equations	64
3.7.1	Discrete Time Approximation of SDDEs	68
3.7.2	The Analytical and Numerical Stability Theory of SDDEs	71
3.8	Summary	72
4	NUMERICAL METHODS FOR STOCHASTIC DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS	74
4.1	Introduction	74
4.2	Stochastic Taylor Expansion for Autonomous SDDEs	75
4.3	Strong Taylor Approximations for autonomous SDDEs.	85
4.3.1	Convergence Proof	87
4.4	Numerical Example	94
4.5	Summary	96
5	STOCHASTIC RUNGE–KUTTA FOR STOCHASTIC DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS	97
5.1	Introduction	97
5.2	Generalization of Stochastic Runge–Kutta for SDDEs	99
5.3	Stochastic Taylor Expansion of SRK for SDDEs	100
5.4	Local Truncation Error	125
5.5	Numerical Schemes of SRK4 for SDDEs	135
5.6	Mean–Square (MS) Stability of SRK4 for SDDEs	143
5.6.1	Stability Analysis of SRK4 for SDDEs	143
5.6.2	Stability Analysis of Euler–Maruyama and Milstein scheme of SDDEs	191
5.7	Implementation and Numerical Example of SRK4 for SDDEs	195
5.8	Summary	199

6	APPLICATION OF SRK4 IN APPROXIMATING THE STRONG SOLUTION OF SDDE	200
6.1	Introduction	200
6.2	Mathematical Model of Cell Growth and Solvent Production.	201
6.2.1	Strong Approximation of SDE and SDDE via SRK4.	205
6.3	Summary	211
7	CONCLUSION	212
7.1	Conclusion of the Research	212
7.2	Suggestion for Future Research	214
	REFERENCES	218
	Appendices A–B	224 - 244

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	The expectation of stochastic integrals	49
5.1	Local truncation error of SRK for SDDEs	126
5.2	Global error of EM, Milstein scheme, SRK4(I), SRK4(II) and SRK4(III)	199
6.1	Initial values of $x(t_0)$, $A(t_0)$ and $B(t_0)$ for YE1, YE2 and YE3	205
6.2	The kinetic parameter estimation for YE1, YE2 and YE3	206
6.3	Root mean-square error of stochastic model, deterministic model and the respective Luedeking–Piret equations for YE1, YE2 and YE3	210

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Tree $\{\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2\}$	60
3.2	MS-stability plot of Euler-Maruyama, SRK2 and SRK4 for SDEs	63
4.1	Strong approximation of SDDEs via Euler-Maruyama	95
4.2	Strong approximation of SDDEs via Milstein scheme	95
4.3	Strong approximation of SDDEs via Taylor method order 1.5	96
5.1	Mean-stability region of SRK4(I)	166
5.2	Numerical solution of SRK4(I) from the effects of various step-sizes (a) $\Delta = 0.01$, (b) $\Delta = 0.05$, (c) $\Delta = 0.1$ and (d) $\Delta = 0.5$	167
5.3	MS-stability region of SRK4(II)	180
5.4	Numerical solution of SRK4(II) from the effects of various step-size (a) $\Delta = 0.01$, (b) $\Delta = 0.05$, (c) $\Delta = 0.1$ and (d) $\Delta = 0.5$	180
5.5	MS-stability region of SRK4(III)	190
5.6	Numerical solution of SRK4(III) on the effects of various step-sizes (a) $\Delta = 0.01$, (b) $\Delta = 0.05$, (c) $\Delta = 0.1$ and (d) $\Delta = 0.5$	191
5.7	MS-stability region of Euler-Maruyama	192
5.8	The approximation solution of Example 5.4 via Euler-Maruyama	193
5.9	MS-stability region of Milstein scheme	194
5.10	The approximation solution of Example 5.4 via Milstein scheme	194
5.11	Strong approximation of SDDEs via Euler-Maruyama	197

	and Milstein scheme	
5.12	Strong approximation of SDDEs via SRK4(I), SRK4(II) and SRK4(III)	198
6.1	Cell growth of <i>C. acetobutylicum</i> P262 of ODE (6.1), SDE (6.9) and SDDE (6.14)	207
6.2	Acetone concentration of Luedeking–Piret equations of ODE, SDE and SDDE	208
6.3	Butanol concentration of Luedeking–Piret equations of ODE, SDE and SDDE	209

LIST OF SYMBOLS/ABBREVIATIONS/NOTATIONS

a.s.	–	Almost surely or with probability one
DDEs	–	Delay differential equations
EM	–	Euler–Maruyama
$E(X)$	–	Expected value of X
f_α	–	Partial derivative with respect to α
f'	–	First derivative
f''	–	Second derivative
$f^{(k)}$	–	k -th derivative
MS	–	Mean–square
ODEs	–	Ordinary differential equations
r	–	Time delay
RK	–	Runge–Kutta
RMSE	–	Root mean square error
t	–	Time
T	–	Terminal time
SDEs	–	Stochastic differential equations
SDDEs	–	Stochastic delay differential equations
SRK	–	Stochastic Runge–Kutta
SRK2	–	2-stage stochastic Runge–Kutta
SRK4	–	4-stage stochastic Runge–Kutta
$\text{Var}(X)$	–	Variance of X
$W(t)$	–	Wiener process

X	—	Random variable or stochastic process
x_{max}	—	Maximum cell concentration
YE1	—	Control medium
YE2	—	Medium of yeast and NH_4Cl
YE	—	Medium of yeast and NH_4NO_3
\mathcal{B}	—	<i>Borel</i> sets
\mathfrak{C}	—	Complex number
\mathcal{F}	—	σ -field or σ -algebra
\mathcal{R}	—	Remainder
\mathfrak{R}	—	Real number
Δ	—	Step size
μ_{max}	—	Maximum specific growth rate
\emptyset	—	Empty set
Ω	—	Sample space
σ	—	Diffusion coefficient
$\sigma(\mathcal{C})$	—	the σ -algebra generated by \mathcal{C}
\subseteq	—	Subset or is included in
\subset	—	Subset
\in	—	Element
\cap	—	Intersection
\cup	—	Union
$(\cdot)^T$	—	Transpose
$\int \circ dW(t)$	—	Stratonovich integral

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Proving of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5	224
B	Algebraic Computation in MAPLE 15 for MS-stability SRK4(I)	229

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Modeling of physical phenomena and biological system via ordinary differential equations (ODEs) and stochastic differential equations (SDEs) had been intensively researched over the last few decades. In both types of equations, the unknown functions and their derivatives are evaluated at the same instant time, t . However, many of the natural phenomena do not have an immediate effect from the moment of their occurrence. The growth of a microbe, for example, is non-instantaneous but responds only after some time lag $r > 0$. Generally, many systems in almost any area of science, for which the principle of causality, i.e. the future state of the system is independent of the past states and is determined solely by the present, does not apply. A crucial point with delay equations is the dynamics of the systems differ dramatically if the corresponding characteristic equations involve time delay. Therefore, ODEs and SDEs which are simply depending on the present state will be better of should the model incorporate time delay. Such phenomenon can then be modeled via delay differential equations (DDEs) for deterministic setting and stochastic delay differential equations (SDDEs) for their stochastic counterpart. However, DDEs are inadequate to model the process with the presence of random effects. Thus, the dynamical systems whose evolution in time is governed by uncontrolled

fluctuations as well as the unknown function is depending on its history can be modeled via SDDEs.

Most of the SDDEs do not have an explicit solution. Hence, there is a need for the development of reliable and efficient numerical integrators for such problems. The research on numerical methods for SDDEs is still new. Among the recent works are of Baker [1], Baker and Buckwar [2], Buckwar [3], Küchler and Platen [4], Hu *et al.* [5], Hofmann and Muller [6] and Kloeden and Shardlow [7]. Euler scheme for SDDEs was introduced by Baker [1] and Baker and Buckwar [2]. The derivation of numerical solutions for SDDEs from stochastic Taylor expansions with time delay showed a strong order of convergence of 1.0 was studied by Küchler and Platen [4]. Hu *et al.* [5] introduced Itô formula for tame function in order to derive the same order of convergence but with a different scheme. They provide the convergence proof of Milstein scheme to the solution of SDDEs with the presence of anticipative integrals in the remainder term. Moreover, Hofmann and Muller [6] presented an approximation of double stochastic integral involving time delay and introduce the modification of Milstein scheme. The convergence proof of Euler–Maruyama method for SDDEs was provided in Baker [1]. Baker and Buckwar [2] and Buckwar [3] provided the convergence proof of discrete time approximations of SDDEs in a general way. Meanwhile, Hu *et al.* [5] and Kloeden and Shardlow [7] prepared the proof of the order of convergence for Milstein scheme. Later work on numerical method for SDDEs can be found in Kloeden and Shardlow [7]. They improved the convergence proof of Milstein scheme presented in [5] by avoiding the used of anticipative calculus and anticipative integrals in the remainder term. Hence, the convergence proof of Milstein scheme in [7] is much simpler than the convergence proof expounded in [5]. The proof of the order of convergence of Taylor methods of SDEs had been generalized by Milstein [8]. Theorem 1.1 on page 12 in the book of Milstein [8] showed this result, which underlying the significant development of numerical methods from stochastic Taylor expansion that occurred in the SDEs. However, the proof is not yet generalized in SDDEs. It is quite natural now to ask, can the convergence proof of numerical methods for SDDEs when the drift function, f and diffusion function, g are Taylor expansion be generalized?

Stochastic delay differential equation is a stochastic generalization of DDEs, which is systematically treated in Mohammed [9]. In fact, SDDEs generalize both DDEs and SDEs. Therefore, numerical analysis of DDEs and SDEs provide some bearing on the problems regarding the SDDEs which is of concern here. The derivation of numerical methods for solving SDDEs found in the literature up to the date are based on stochastic Taylor expansion. As the order increases, the complexity of implementing those numerical methods can become more complicated as one needs to compute more partial derivatives of the drift and diffusion functions. To overcome the above-mentioned difficulty, it is natural to look for a derivative-free method for solving the problem at hand. Among the references therein, we realize that there is no derivative-free method such as stochastic Runge–Kutta (SRK) to facilitate the approximation of the strong solution to SDDEs. Moreover, the approximation schemes to the solution for SDDEs in the literature up to the date do not achieve the order of convergence higher than 1.0. Conversely, evolutionary works on the numerical method in SDEs are much more advance. Until now, most researchers have ignored both delay and stochastic effects because of the difficulty in approximation of the solution due to the involvement of multiple stochastic integrals with time delay. However, both of them cannot be neglected as many natural phenomena involve random disturbances as well as non–instantaneous effects. It is now natural to ask the question, is it possible for us to extend the pioneering work of Runge–Kutta (RK) over the last few decades to approximate the solution of SDDEs.

Thus, this research proposes to generalize the convergence proof of numerical methods of SDDEs when the drift and diffusion functions are Taylor approximation as well as to develop SRK for SDDEs. SRK method is a derivative–free method, hence it does not require the computation of derivative for drift and diffusion functions. The method proposed in this research having the order of convergence of 1.5, improves the convergence rate of numerical approximation of SDDEs arising from the literature so far. Moreover, both time delay and stochastic extensions of a mathematical model for bio–process engineering is considered. In this research, the system of batch fermentation involving the growth of the microbe and solvent production of acetone and

butanol is highlighted. The simulated result of the mathematical model is approximated using the newly developed SRK method of order 1.5.

1.2 Problem Statement

As mentioned earlier in the previous section, most of the SDDEs do not have analytical solution, and numerical method provides a tool in handling this problem. Baker *et al.* [10] modified RK of ODE to approximate DDE. It was emphasized by Bellen and Zennaro [11] that the main difficulty arising from the numerical integration of DDEs is the discontinuity. Obviously, the discontinuity may occur in DDEs because of the initial function, $\Phi(t)$ specified on the entire interval $[t_0 - r, t_0]$, instead of the use of initial values problem in ODEs. The term t_0 corresponds to the starting time of the process. In fact, Baker *et al.* [10] had verified that Runge–Kutta methods are natural candidates for solving DDEs because they can be easily modified to handle discontinuities. On the other hand, SDE was taken care by the SRK. It is a derivative-free method with the order of convergence of at least 2.0 for SDEs with additive noise and 1.5 if the corresponding SDEs is multiplicative. Further advantages of implementing the RK methods for ODEs and DDEs and the SRK methods for SDEs, are they are stable and easy to adapt for variable step-size. The investigation of stability and variable step-size adaptation of RK (for ODEs and DDEs) and SRK (for SDEs) methods was prepared by Butcher [12] and Hairer *et al.* [13] for ODEs, Baker *et al.* [10] and Bellen and Zennaro [11] for DDEs and Rumelin [14], Burrage and Burrage [15] and Burrage [16] for SDEs.

RK and SRK methods of ODEs and SDEs respectively have difficulties in achieving high accuracy at reasonable cost. To overcome the disadvantage of the methods in maintaining a particular order, Butcher [12] developed rooted-trees theory so that these order conditions of RK methods can be expressed using trees. Then, Burrage [16] extending the Butcher's rooted-trees theory to the area of stochastic. This theory allowed us to compute the order of RK and SRK methods

for ODEs and SDEs respectively in an easy way. The suitability and efficiency of employing RK in DDE and SRK in SDE motivate us to explore the applicability of this method in approximating the solution of SDDE. To the best of our knowledge, the literature on SRK for SDDE has not been found. The exploration of numerical approximation to the strong solution of SDDEs is just relied on the truncating of stochastic Taylor expansions, up to 1.0 order of accuracy. Accordingly, the Euler–Maruyama and Milstein schemes had been proposed to apply them in practice or to study their properties. Indeed, the implementation of Taylor method in differential equations leads to complexity, as it requires the computation of the derivative in drift and diffusion functions should a high-order method is needed. Since no effort has been made to derive the derivative-free method with the convergence rate greater than 1.0 and specifically stochastic Runge–Kutta with time delay, we propose to derive SRK for SDDE in this research as well as to approximate the strong solution of SDDE via this method. Obviously, when constructing a numerical method of differential equations, the rate of convergence between the true and numerical solutions is one of the important features to be considered. The key to the rapid progress of numerical methods in handling SDEs is the convergence proof of the corresponding methods when the drift and diffusion coefficients are Taylor expansion had been generalized. It was Milstein [8] who proved in a more general way of numerical methods of SDEs when the drift and diffusion functions are Taylor expansion. However, the later is not yet discovered in SDDEs, hence it is the aim of this research to provide the convergence proof of Taylor methods of SDDEs in a more general way. Therefore, the main research questions are set up as;

- (i) Will the convergence proof of numerical methods of SDDEs when the drift and diffusion coefficients are Taylor approximations be generalized?
- (ii) What is the SRK scheme for SDDEs?
- (iii) Will the general 4–stage stochastic Runge–Kutta (SRK4) for SDDE be a more efficient tool in approximating the solution of SDDE?

Problem (i) is covered in Chapter 4, whereas Chapter 6 provides the answer to problem (ii) and problem (iii).

1.3 Research Objectives

Based on the research questions in Section 1.2, this study embarks on the following objectives:

- (i) To derive a stochastic Taylor expansion of SDDE. It is a key feature to the development of higher-order methods for solving SDDE numerically.
- (ii) To generalize a convergence proof of numerical methods for SDDEs when the drift and diffusion coefficients are Taylor approximations.
- (iii) To develop a Stochastic Runge–Kutta of order 1.5 for SDDE by modifying SRK for SDE and RK for DDE.
- (iv) To analyze the stability of SRK for SDDE.
- (v) To apply the SRK method of 1.5 in simulating the strong solution of SDDE for batch fermentation process.

1.4 Scope of the Study

This study was undertaken to generalize the convergence proof of numerical methods for SDDEs when the drift and diffusion are Taylor expansion as well as to propose a derivative-free method, i.e. SRK up to order of 1.5 for solving SDDEs. To achieve this goal, the following scopes will be covered;

- (i) The derivation of Stratonovich Taylor series expansion for both actual and numerical solutions.

- (ii) The convergence proof of numerical methods from Taylor expansion has been generalized. The Euler–Maruyama, Milstein scheme and Taylor method having the order of convergence of 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 respectively have been proposed.
- (iii) The derivation of SRK4 for solving SDDEs with 1.5 order of convergence.
- (iv) Stability analysis of Euler–Maruyama (EM), Milstein scheme and 4–stage SRK (SRK4) for solving SDDEs are measured via MS–stability. The algebraic computation is performed using Maple 15.
- (v) Model the two phases of fermentation namely the growth phase of *C. acetobutylicum* P262 and the production of Acetone and Butanol via SDDEs. The strong solution of the corresponding mathematical model is simulated via newly developed SRK4.

1.5 Significance of the Findings

The influence of noise and delay in many fields of applications such as engineering, physics and biology contributes to an accelerating interest in the development of stochastic models with time delay. As a result, numerical methods for solving SDDEs are required, and work in this area is far less advanced. The recent work of numerical methods for SDDEs were based on the truncating of stochastic Taylor expansions. Moreover, the stochastic Taylor expansion as expounded in the literature currently is derived to develop the approximation method up to 1.0 order of convergence. In order to achieve high order of convergence, it is necessary to derive stochastic Taylor expansion of high order. The convergence proof of numerical methods of SDDEs when the drift and diffusion functions are Taylor expansion has been generalized in this research. Currently, there is no derivative–free method to approximate the strong solution of SDDEs and this research is aimed to develop SRK4 of order 1.5. By the end of this research, it is hoped that the newly developed SRK methods of SDDEs will benefit the mathematicians and scientists by providing the derivative–free tool for

solving SDDEs in various fields. It can also be shown in this research, the SRK methods are easy to implement compare to the approximation methods obtained from the truncating stochastic Taylor expansion. In this way the computation of high-order partial derivatives can be avoided. Moreover, the generalization of convergence proof when the drift and diffusion functions are Taylor expansion is hoped can facilitate mathematicians to explore this area more widely.

1.6 Thesis Organization

A brief description of the chapters contained in the thesis is now presented.

Chapter 1: This chapter provides an introduction to the whole thesis. It introduces the concept of stochastic differential equations and stochastic delay differential equations. It also presents some numerical methods used to simulate the mathematical models of SDEs and SDDEs.

Chapter 2: Contains the review of literature for numerical methods in SDEs, DDEs and SDDEs.

Chapter 3: This chapter contains various theories and results from probability theory as well as stochastic calculus that are required in later chapters.

Chapter 4: In this chapter, the stochastic Taylor expansion for autonomous SDDEs with a constant time lag is derived. The derivation of three numerical schemes up to order 1.5 are presented and the convergence proof stated our fundamental result. Numerical examples are performed to assure the validity of the numerical methods.

Chapter 5: This chapter consists of our main result. A new class of SRK for solving SDDEs is formulated. The local truncation error and stability analysis for SRK are presented. Numerical algorithm is developed to perform a numerical

example so that the efficiency of the newly develop numerical schemes of SRK4 can be assured.

Chapter 6: It is well-known that many of the natural systems in biology have the property of an after-effects and subject to the stochasticity. Thus, this chapter discusses the possibility of modeling a real phenomenon in batch fermentation via SDDEs. With no doubt that the exact solutions of these models are hard to be found, hence the newly developed SRK4 is used to simulate the approximation solutions of SDDEs.

REFERENCES

1. Baker, C. T. H. Introduction to the numerical analysis of stochastic delay differential equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 2000. 125: 297–307.
2. Baker, C. T. H. and Buckwar, E. Numerical analysis of explicit one-step methods for stochastic delay differential equations. *LMS-Journal of Computational Mathematics*, 2000. 3: 315–335.
3. Buckwar, E. Introduction to the numerical analysis of stochastic delay differential equations. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 2000. 125: 297–307.
4. Küchler, U. and Platen, E. Strong discrete time approximation of stochastic differential equations with time delay. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, 2000. 54: 189–205.
5. Hu, Y., Mohammed, S. E. A. and Yan, F. Discrete time approximation of stochastic delay differential equations: the Milstein scheme. *Annal Probability*, 2004. 32(1A): 265–314.
6. Hofmann, N. and Muller–Gronbach, T. A modified Milstein scheme for approximation of stochastic delay differential equations with constant time lag. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 2006. 197: 89–121.
7. Kloeden, P. E. and Shardlow, T. The Milstein scheme for stochastic delay differential equations without anticipative calculus. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, to be appear.
8. Milstein, G. N. *Numerical Integration of Stochastic Differential Equations*. Kluwer Academic Publishers. 1995.

9. Mohammed, S. E. A. *Stochastic Functional Differential Equations*. 6th Edition. Englewood Cliff, N. J.: Pitman Advanced Publishing. 1984.
10. Baker, C. T. H., Paul, C. A. H. and Wille, D. R. Issues in the numerical solution of evolutionary delay differential equations. *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, 1995. 3: 171–196.
11. Bellen, A. and Zennaro, M. *Numerical Methods for Delay Differential Equations*. Oxford: Oxford Science Publications. 2003.
12. Butcher, J. C. *Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations*. 2nd Edition. Oxford: John Wiley and Sons. 2003.
13. Hairer, E., Norsett, S. P. and Wanner, G. *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations I Nonstiff Problems*. Springer–Verlag. 1992.
14. Rumelin, W. Numerical treatment of stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Journal Numerical Analysis*, 1982. 19: 604–613.
15. Burrage, K. and Burrage, P. M. High strong order explicit Runge–Kutta methods for stochastic ordinary differential equations. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 1996. 22: 81–101.
16. Burrage, P. M. *Runge–Kutta Methods for Stochastic Differential Equations*. University of Queensland Australia: Ph.D. Thesis. 1999.
17. Has’Minskii, R. Z. *Stochastic stability of differential equations* Maryland USA: Sijthoff & Noordhoff, 1980.
18. Kloeden, P. E. and Platen, E. *Numerical Solution of SDE*. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer–Verlag. 1992.
19. Mao, X. *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*. 2nd Edition. Chichester: Horwood Publishing. 2008.
20. Friedman, A. *Stochastic Differential Equations and Applications*. Academic Press. 1976

21. Gikhman, I. and Skrohod, A. *Stochastic Differential Equations*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. 1972.
22. Arnold, L. *Stochastic Differential Equations*. John Wiley and Sons. 1974.
23. Gard, T. *Introduction to Stochastic Differential Equations*. New York: Marcel Dekker. 1988.
24. Oksendal, B. *Stochastic Differential Equations: An Introduction with Applications*. 6t Edition. New York: Springer-Verlag. 1995.
25. Kloeden, P. E. Platen, E. and Schurz, H. *Numerical Solution of SDE Through Computer Experiments*. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer-Verlag. 1994.
26. Kloeden, P. E. The systematic derivation of higher order numerical schemes for stochastic differential equations. *Milan Journal of Mathematics*, 2002. 70: 187–207.
27. Gaines, J. G. and Lyons, T. J. Variable step-sizecontrol in the numerical solution of stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Journal Applied Mathematics*, 1997. 57(5): 1455–1484.
28. Platen, E. An introduction to numerical methods for stochastic differential equations. *Acta Numerica*, 1999. 8: 197–246.
29. Rao, N. J. Borwankar, J. D. and Ramkrishna, D. Numerical solution of Itô integral equations. *SIAM Journal Control*, 1974. 12(1): 124–139.
30. Burrage, K. Burrage, P. M. and Tian, T. Numerical methods for strong solution of stochastic differential equations: an overview. *Pro. Royal Society London A*, 1999. 460: 373–402.
31. Burrage, K. and Burrage, P. M. A variable stepsize implementation for stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Journal Science Computational*, 2002. 24(3): 848–864.
32. Saito, Y. and Mitsui, T. Stability analysis of numerical schemes for stochastic differential equations. *SIAM Journal Numerical Annalysis*, 1996. 33(6): 2254–2267.

33. Higham, D. J. Mean-Square and asymptotic stability of the stochastic theta method. *SIAM Journal Numerical Analysis*, 2000. 38(3): 753–769.
34. Higham, D. J. A-stability and stochastic mean-square stability. *BIT*, 2000. 40(2): 404–409.
35. Hale, J. K. *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*. New York: Springer-Verlag. 1977.
36. Gopalsamy, K. *Stability and Oscillations in Delay Differential Equations of Population Dynamics*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic. 1992.
37. Kuang, Y. *Delay Differential Equations with Applications in Population Dynamics*. London: Academic Press. 1993.
38. Driver, R. D. *Ordinary and Delay Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag. 1977.
39. Bellman, R.E. and Cooke, K.L. *Differential-Difference Equations, Mathematics in Science and Engineering* London: Academic Press. 1963.
40. Paul, C. A. H and Baker, C. T. H. Explicit Runge-Kutta methods for the numerical solution of singular delay differential equations. *Numerical Analysis Report* University of Manchester. 1992: 212.
41. Paul, C. A. H. Developing a delay differential equation solver. *Numerical Analysis Report, University of Manchester*, 1991, 204: 1–12.
42. Paul, C. A. H. and Baker, C. T. H. Stability boundaries revisited-Runge-Kutta methods for delay differential equations. *Numerical Analysis Report, University of Manchester*, 1991. 205: 1–23.
43. Arifah Bahar. *Applications of Stochastic Differential Equations and Stochastic Delay Differential Equations in Population Dynamics*. University of Strathclyde: Ph.D. Thesis. 2005.
44. Buckwar, E. and Shardlow, T. Weak approximation of stochastic differential delay equations. *IMA Journal Numerical Analysis*, 2005, 25: 57–86.

45. Jentzan, A. and Kloeden, P. Pathwise convergent higher order numerical schemes for random ordinary differential equations. *Annals of Probability*, 2010, 38(2): 532–569.
46. Jentzan, A. and Kloeden, P. Taylor expansions of solutions of stochastic partial differential equations with additive noise. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, 2007, 463: 2929–2944.
47. Wang, Z. and Zhang, C. An analysis of stability of Milstein method for stochastic differential equations with delay. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 2006. 51: 1445–1452.
48. Cao, W. Liu, M. and Fan, Z. MS–stability of the Euler–Maruyama method for stochastic differential delay equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2004. 159: 127–135.
49. Liu, M., Cao, W. and Fan, Z. Convergence and stability of the semi–implicit Euler method for a linear stochastic differential delay equation. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 2004, 170: 255–268.
50. Mao, X. Yuan, C. and Zou, J. Stochastic differential delay equations of population dynamics. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2005. 304: 296–320.
51. Mikosch, T. *Element Stochastic Calculus with Finance in View*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing. 1998.
52. Bailey, J. E. *Biochemical Engineering Fundamentals*. McGraw–Hill. 1986.
53. Madihah Md Salleh. *Direct Fermentation of Gelatinised Sago Starch to Solvent (Acetone–Butanol–Ethanol) by Clostridium Acetobutylicum P262*. Universiti Putra Malaysia: Ph.D. Thesis. 2002.
54. Hutchinson, G. E. Circular causal system in ecology. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.*, 1948. 50: 221–246.
55. Haliza Abd. Rahman, Arifah Bahar, Mohd Khairul Bazli Mohd Aziz, Norhayati Rosli, Madihah Md. Salleh and Gerhard–Wilhelm Weber.

Parameter estimation of stochastic logistic model: Levenberg Marquardt method. *MATEMATIKA*, 2009. 25(2): 91–106.

56. Norhayati Rosli, Arifah Bahar, Yeak Su Hoe, Haliza Abd.Rahman and Madihah Salleh. Stochastic Modelling of Time Lag for Solvent Production by *C. Acetobutylicum* P262. *Seminar Kebangsaan ke-4 Pengoptimuman Berangka & Penyelidikan Operasi, September 24–25*. Kuantan, Malaysia, PBPO. 2010.