

**SIMULATION OF FUTURE CLIMATE VARIATIONS USING GLOBAL
CIRCULATION MODEL AND DOWNSCALING MODEL**

HAMED BENISI GHADIM

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil-Hydraulic and Hydrology)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
University Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2012

Dedication

Dedicated to my family and my friends

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, and academicians. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Assoc. Professor Dr. Sobri Harun, for his encouragement, guidance, critics and enthusiastic effort and friendship. Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been same as presented here. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my family and my friends who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Allah blesses you all.

ABSTRACT

Precipitation is the main cause of variability in the water balance over space and time on the earth surface, and changes in precipitation have important implications for hydrology and water resources. Precipitation varies in space and time as result of the general circulation patterns of atmospheric circulation and local factors. This study proposes the application Statistical Downscaling Model (SDSM) using three sets of data to investigate the climate variations. The data are the observed daily data of large-scale predictor variables, National Centre for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and Global Circulation Model (GCM) simulations from Hadley Centre 3rd generation (HadCM3). The records of daily precipitation data (1961-1990) are from five stations namely, Ldg. Allagor, Pusat Kesihatan Kecil, Stn. Petak, Ldg. Gedong and Ldg. Gula. Those stations are located in Perak, Malaysia. The HadCM3 data starts from 1961 to 2099 were extracted for 30-year time slices. The results of SDSM downscaling model on precipitation have shown that the differences exist between current observed data and future periods (2020's, 2050's and 2080's) for the five stations. The observed parameters are mean daily precipitation, wet days percentage, wet spell and dry spell with the future simulated climate data for the periods 2020's, 2050's and 2080's. The differences can be recognized between the observed and simulated mean daily precipitation for the future periods 2020's. The SDSM model is generally feasible and reliable for use in downscaling of precipitation in Perak, Malaysia.

ABSTRAK

Curahan adalah punca utama kepada perubahan dalam keseimbangan air dalam ruang dan masa di atas permukaan bumi, dan perubahan dalam curahan mempunyai implikasi terhadap hidrologi dan sumber air. Variasi curahan dalam ruang dan masa adalah akibat corak kitaran dalam atmosfera dan faktor lokal. Kajian ini mencadangkan aplikasi Model Statistik Penskalaan Bawahan (SDSM) menggunakan tiga set data untuk mengkaji variasi iklim. Data tersebut ialah data cerapan harian, pembolehubah peramal berskala besar Pusat Peramalan Persekitaran Kebangsaan (NCEP), dan Model Kitaran Alam (GCM) daripada generasi ke 3 Pusat Hadley (HadCM3). Rekod data curahan harian (1961-1990) adalah daripada lima stesen iaitu; Ldg. Allagor, Pusat Kesihatan Kecil, Stn. Petak, Ldg. Gedong and Ldg. Gula. Lokasi stesen-stesen tersebut ialah di Perak, Malaysia. Data HadCM3 bermula dari tahun 1961 hingga 2099 yang dibahagikan sela keratan masa 30 tahun. Keputusan model penskalaan bawahan SDSM menunjukkan terdapat perbezaan antara data dicerap semasa dengan waktu masa depan (2020an, 2050an dan 2080an) untuk lima stesen tersebut. Parameter yang dicerap adalah curahan harian min, peratus hari basah, hari basah dan hari kering dengan data iklim masa depan disimulasi bagi tahun 2020an, 2050an dan 2080an. Perbezaan dapat dikenalpasti antara min harian curahan dicerap dan disimulasi untuk waktu masa depan 2020. Model SDSM secara amnya adalah sesuai dan boleh dipercaya untuk digunakan dalam penskalaan bawahan curahan dalam kawasan Perak, Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|-------------------------|----------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | v |
| | ABSTRAK | vi |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xiii |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS | xviii |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xix |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem statement | 2 |
| | 1.3 Objectives of study | 3 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1.4 | Scope of study | 4 |
| 1.5 | Importance of study | 4 |
| 1.6 | Outline of the thesis | 5 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 6 |
| 2.2 | Climate change | 7 |
| 2.3 | Review of methods of statistical downscaling | 8 |
| 2.3.1 | Weather classification schemes | 9 |
| 2.3.2 | Regression models | 10 |
| 2.3.3 | Weather generators | 11 |
| 2.4 | Climate models | 13 |
| 2.4.1 | LARS-WG | 16 |
| 2.4.2 | SDSM | 17 |
| 2.4.3 | ASD model | 22 |
| 2.5 | General Circulation Models | 24 |
| 2.6 | Downscaling Techniques and Applications | 25 |
| 2.6.1 | Dynamic downscaling method | 26 |
| 2.6.2 | Statistical downscaling method | 27 |
| 2.6.3 | Statistical-dynamic downscaling method | 31 |
| 2.7 | Research of statistical downscaling method | 32 |
| 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 34 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 34 |
| 3.2 | Description of study area and Data | 35 |
| 3.2.1 | Hydro-Climatological Regime of Perak State | 36 |
| 3.2.2 | Description of Study Area | 36 |
| 3.3 | Data Collection for Large-scale predictor Variables | 37 |
| 3.3.1 | NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis Data | 37 |
| 3.3.2 | Global Circulation Model Output | 41 |
| 3.3.2.1 | A1FI, A1T and A1B | 43 |
| 3.3.2.2 | A2 | 43 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 3.3.2.3 B1 | 44 |
| 3.3.2.4 B2 | 44 |
| 3.3.3 Source of Climate Change Scenarios | 45 |
| 3.4 Statistical Downscaling Method | 46 |
| 3.5 General Circulation Models (GCMs) | 47 |
| 3.5.1 Features of GCMs | 48 |
| 3.6 Statistical Downscaling Model (SDSM) | 49 |
| 3.6.1 Synthesize of Observed Data | 52 |
| 3.6.2 Data Analysis | 52 |
| 3.6.3 Scenario Generation | 53 |
| 3.6.4 General Method of Precipitation Downscaling | 53 |
| 3.6.5 Coefficients and error terms | 54 |
| 3.6.6 Model Evaluation | 56 |
| 3.6.7 Validation Methods | 57 |
| 3.6.8 Model performance | 58 |
| 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 60 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 60 |
| 4.2 Basic Statistical Analyses | 61 |
| 4.3 Precipitation Downscaling using SDSM | 65 |
| 4.3.1 Quality Control and Data Transformations | 66 |
| 4.3.2 Screen variables | 68 |
| 4.3.3 Selection of Predictors | 71 |
| 4.3.4 Model Calibration | 78 |
| 4.4 Downscaling Climate Variables Corresponding to Future Climate Change A2 and B2 Scenarios of Ldg. Allagor | 90 |
| 4.4.1 Average Wet Days | 93 |
| 4.4.2 Wet Spell Length | 95 |
| 4.4.3 Dry Spell Length | 97 |
| 4.5 Downscaling climate variables corresponding to future Climate change A2 and B2 scenarios of Pusat Kesihatan | 98 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 4.6 | Downscaling climate variables corresponding to future Climate change A2 and B2 scenarios of STN. Petak Ujian | 103 |
| 4.6.1 | Average wet days | 105 |
| 4.6.2 | Wet spell length | 106 |
| 4.6.3 | Dry spell length | 108 |
| 4.7 | Downscaling climate variables corresponding to future Climate change A2 and B2 scenarios of Ldg. Gedong | 109 |
| 4.7.1 | Average wet days | 110 |
| 4.7.2 | Dry spell length | 113 |
| 4.8 | Downscaling climate variables corresponding to future Climate change A2 and B2 scenarios of Ldg. Gula | 114 |
| 5 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 119 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 119 |
| 5.2 | SDSM model | 119 |
| 5.3 | Recommendation | 121 |
| | REFERENCES | 123 |
| | APPENDICES | 137 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| 2.1 | A summary of the strengths and weaknesses of the main Statistical Downscaling methods | 12 |
| 3.1 | Selected rainfall stations in Perak Malaysia | 37 |
| 3.2 | Predictor variables and their conventional file name in SDSM | 40 |
| 4.1 | The statistical characteristics of average mean daily rainfall of Ldg. Allagor at Trong rainfall (Station no.4507036) for the period 1961-1990 | 62 |
| 4.2 | The statistical characteristics of average standard deviation daily Rainfall of Ldg. Allagor at Trong rainfall (Station no.4507036) for the period 1961-1990 | 63 |
| 4.3 | The maximum amount of daily Rainfall of Ldg. Allagor at Trong rainfall (Station no.4507036) for the period 1961-1990 | 64 |
| 4.4 | Quality control results and modified model settings | 68 |
| 4.5 | Selected large-scale predictor variables at Ldg. Allagor, Pusat Kesihatan, Stn. Petak Ujian, Ldg. Gedong and Ldg. Gula | 72 |
| 4.6 | Cross-correlation between predictand (daily precipitation) and predictor's variables of Ldg. Allagor | 73 |
| 4.7 | Cross-correlation between predictand (daily precipitation) and predictor's variables of Pusat Kesihatan | 74 |
| 4.8 | Cross-correlation between predictand (daily precipitation) | 75 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| | and predictor's variables of Stn. Petak Ujian | |
| 4.9 | Cross-correlation between predictand (daily precipitation) and predictor's variables of Ldg. Gedong | 76 |
| 4.10 | Cross-correlation between predictand (daily precipitation) and predictor's variables of Ldg. Gula | 77 |
| 4.11 | The percentage differences between observed (1961-1990) with future scenarios for Mean daily precipitation (mm) variables | 92 |
| 4.12 | The percentage differences between observed (1961-1990) with future scenarios for wet days (%) variables | 94 |
| 4.13 | The percentage differences between observed (1961-1990) with future scenarios for wet spell length (days) variables | 96 |
| 4.14 | The percentage differences between observed (1961-1990) with future scenarios for dry spell length (days) variables | 98 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|--|------|
| 2.1 | ASD Architecture | 23 |
| 2.2 | A schematic illustrating the general approach to downscaling | 26 |
| 3.1 | hydrological stations in Perak | 35 |
| 3.2 | Grid box of the selected region of this study | 39 |
| 3.3 | SRES markers scenarios | 42 |
| 3.4 | Changes in global-mean temperature | 45 |
| 3.5 | Excess to the data distribution centres | 46 |
| 3.6 | SDSM program algorithm | 51 |
| 4.1 | Quality Control Screen | 67 |
| 4.2 | Quality control for Ldg. Allagor station | 67 |
| 4.3 | Screen Variables windows | 70 |
| 4.4 | Results explained Variance for Allagor station | 70 |
| 4.5 | Calibrate model windows | 80 |
| 4.6 | Result for Unconditional statistics for Allagor rainfall station | 81 |
| 4.7 | Mean daily precipitation between observed and simulated for Ldg. Allagor | 82 |
| 4.8 | Mean daily precipitation distribution (Observed data for Ldg. Allagor) | 83 |
| 4.9 | Average daily standard deviation precipitation distribution (Observed data for Ldg. Allagor) | 83 |
| 4.10 | Mean daily precipitation between observed and simulated for Pusat Kesihatan | 84 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| 4.11 | Mean daily precipitation distribution (Observed data for Pusat Kesehatan) | 85 |
| 4.12 | Average daily standard deviation precipitation distribution (Observed data for Pusat Kesehatan) | 85 |
| 4.13 | Mean daily precipitation between observed and simulated for Stn. Petak Ujian | 86 |
| 4.14 | Mean daily precipitation distribution (Observed data for Stn. Petak Ujian) | 86 |
| 4.15 | Average daily standard deviation precipitation distribution (Observed data for Stn. Petak Ujian) | 87 |
| 4.16 | Mean daily precipitation between observed and simulated for Ldg. Gedong | 87 |
| 4.17 | Mean daily precipitation distribution (Observed data for Ldg. Gedong) | 88 |
| 4.18 | Average daily standard deviation precipitation distribution (Observed data for Ldg. Gedong) | 88 |
| 4.19 | Mean daily precipitation between observed and simulated for Ldg. Gula | 89 |
| 4.20 | Mean daily precipitation distribution (Observed data for Ldg. Gula) | 89 |
| 4.21 | Average daily standard deviation precipitation distribution (Observed data for Ldg. Gula) | 90 |
| 4.22 | Mean daily precipitation between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg. Allagor). | 91 |
| 4.23 | Mean daily precipitation between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg. Allagor) | 92 |
| 4.24 | Wet days between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg. Allagor). | 93 |
| 4.25 | Wet days between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg. Allagor). | 94 |
| 4.26 | Wet-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg. Allagor) | 95 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| 4.27 | Wet-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg. Allagor). | 96 |
| 4.28 | Dry-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg. Allagor). | 97 |
| 4.29 | Dry-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg. Allagor | 97 |
| 4.30 | Mean daily precipitation between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Pusat Kesihatan). | 99 |
| 4.31 | Mean daily precipitation between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Pusat Kesihatan). | 99 |
| 4.32 | Wet days between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Pusat Kesihatan). | 100 |
| 4.33 | Wet days between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Pusat Kesihatan). | 100 |
| 4.34 | Wet-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Pusat Kesihatan). | 101 |
| 4.35 | Wet-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Pusat Kesihatan) | 102 |
| 4.36 | Dry-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Pusat Kesihatan) | 102 |
| 4.37 | Dry-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Pusat Kesihatan). | 103 |
| 4.38 | Mean daily precipitation between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Stn. Petak Ujian) | 104 |
| 4.39 | Mean daily precipitation between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Stn. Petak Ujian). | 104 |
| 4.40 | Wet days between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Stn. Petak Ujian) | 105 |
| 4.41 | Wet days between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Stn. Petak Ujian). | 106 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| 4.42 | Wet-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Stn. Petak Ujian). | 107 |
| 4.43 | Wet-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Stn. Petak Ujian). | 107 |
| 4.44 | Dry-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Stn. Petak Ujian). | 108 |
| 4.45 | Dry-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Stn. Petak Ujian). | 108 |
| 4.46 | Mean daily precipitation between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg. Gedong). | 109 |
| 4.47 | Mean daily precipitation between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg. Gedong). | 110 |
| 4.48 | Wet days between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg. Gedong). | 111 |
| 4.49 | Wet days between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg. Gedong) | 111 |
| 4.50 | Wet-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg. Gedong). | 112 |
| 4.51 | Wet-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg. Gedong). | 112 |
| 4.52 | Dry-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg. Gedong). | 113 |
| 4.53 | Dry-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg. Gedong). | 113 |
| 4.54 | Mean daily precipitation between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg. Gula). | 114 |
| 4.55 | Mean daily precipitation between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg. Gula). | 115 |
| 4.56 | Wet days between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg. Gula). | 115 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| 4.57 | Wet days between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg.Gula). | 116 |
| 4.58 | Wet-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg.Gula). | 116 |
| 4.59 | Wet-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg.Gula). | 117 |
| 4.60 | Dry-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing A2 scenario (Ldg.Gula). | 117 |
| 4.61 | Dry-spell length between current and the future climate periods forcing B2 scenario (Ldg.Gula). | 118 |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | | |
|--------|---|--|
| SDSM | - | Statistical Downscaling Model |
| GCM | - | Regional Climate Model |
| HadCM3 | - | Hadley Centre 3 rd generation |
| SD | - | Statistical Downscaling |
| NCEP | - | National Centre for Environmental Prediction |
| IPCC | - | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDICES NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------------|--|------|
| A | SDSM Statistical Output results in Annual Model Ldg Allagor at Trong (Station no.4507036) | 137 |
| B | SDSM Statistical Output results in Annual Model Pusat Kesehatan Kecil at Batu Kurau (Station no. 4908018) | 142 |
| C | SDSM Statistical Output results in Annual Model Stn. Petak Ujian at Selinsing rainfall (Station no. 4906022) | 152 |
| D | SDSM Statistical Output results in Annual Model Ldg. Gedong at Krian (Station no. 4905023) | 162 |
| E | SDSM Statistical Output results in Annual Model Ldg. Gula (Station no. 4904026) | 172 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Precipitation is the main cause of variability in the water balance over space and time on the earth surface, and changes in precipitation have important implications for hydrology and water resources. Precipitation varies in space and time as result of the general circulation pattern of atmospheric circulation and local factors. Therefore in this study, Statistical Downscaling Model (SDSM) was applied using three set of data (Harun *et al.*, 2008)

Daily precipitation data for the period 1961-1990 corresponding to Ldg.Allagor at Trong rainfall (Station no.4507036), Pusat Kesihatan Kecil at Batu Kurau (Station no. 4908018), Stn. Petak Ujian at Selinsing rainfall (Station no. 4906022), Ldg. Gedong at Krian (Station no. 4905023) and Ldg. Gula (Station no. 4904026) located in Perak at the North West region of Peninsular Malaysia. The observed daily data of large-scale predictor variables representing the current climate condition is derived from the National Centre for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and GCM simulations from Hadley Centre 3rd generation (HadCM3) coupled oceanic-atmospheric general circulation model (Harun *et al.*,2008).

The HadCM3 data starts from 1961 to 2099 were extracted for 30-year time slices. GCM simulations from Hadley Centre namely HadCM3 A2 and B2 scenarios developed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Emission scenarios, are considered as A2 (Medium–High Emissions and B2 Medium–Low Emissions scenarios) of the IPCC Special Report on Emission Scenarios (SRES). These scenarios cover a range of future socioeconomic, demographic and technological storylines (Harun *et al.*, 2008).

1.2 Problem Statement

Climate change impact assessment refers to research and investigations designed to find out what parameters or factors effects on future changes in climate could have on human activities and the natural world. Climate change impact assessment is also frequently coupled with the identification and assessment of possible adaptive responses to a changing climate.

Over the past four decades, since 1970 or even earlier, the scientific evidence for human induced climate change has become steadily stronger. By 1995 the international scientific community of atmospheric and related scientists, organised in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), was able to conclude in a cautiously worded statement that “the balance of evidence suggests that there is a discernible human influence on the climate” (IPCC, 1996).

Information about climate impacts is needed both to help decide upon both the urgency and the desirability of mitigation and adaptation measures, actions, and policies, and their appropriate combinations. Since climate change is a global problem, decisions with respect to both mitigation and adaptation involve actions or choices at all levels of decision-making, from the most local and community level

(including families and individuals) to the broadest international levels, involving all national governments and many transnational bodies as well. The intended target audience or client for impact studies therefore is also very wide ranging, and this will affect the design of the study in many ways.

Needless to say, this calls for a heavier pressure on the agricultural sector to not only become productive, but also globally competitive and capable. Taken lightly, this may likely pose a greater risk to the natural resources. So there should be more attention towards studying climatic changes and the effects it may have on water management schemes and also irrigation and agricultural activities.

1.3 Objectives of Study

- i. To inspect the application of downscaling methods on the daily precipitation at local scale directly from large scale atmospheric variables.
- ii. To explore and evaluate effectiveness of downscaling model in the simulation of daily precipitation series of different stations.
- iii. To perform scenarios development with using downscaling method.

1.4 Scope of Study

This study comprises of a series of precipitation analysis. Five stations precipitation at period 1961-1990 was used as a predictands. This study also cover Statistical Downscaling Method (SDSM) is used to simulate Mean Daily Precipitation, Wet days, Wet Spell Length and Dry Spell Length for five precipitation stations, Daily time series precipitation for the period 1961-2099 corresponding to five rainfall stations.

GCMs simulations used for this study are coupled with Hadley Center 3rd Generation (HadCM3) and Oceanic-atmospheric General Circulation Model (Wilby *et al.*, 2001). The daily time series data (1961-2099) were separated into 30-year period which each year's only considered as 360 days so the period 1961-1990 as current and 2010-2039, 2040-2069 and 2070-2099 as future periods.

1.5 Importance of Study

Precipitation is the most important source for water for agriculture and human resources. The probability of precipitation is any time series will be useful for understanding potential of draught scenarios of this study area. Among many of drought indices, Statistical Downscaling Model (SDSM) has been widely used for simulate future scenarios all around the world. And this would be one of the advantages of SDSM which has ability to describe in short, long-term or both drought impacts through different observed and future time periods of precipitation.

1.6 Outline of the thesis

This thesis consists of five main chapters. Chapter 1 begins with an introduction, as well as provides an outline of the study background, problem statement, objectives and scope of research. Chapter 2 describes, general climate models, downscaling techniques and applications and case study of similar research. Chapter 3, descriptions of study area and data collection are presented in this chapter and discusses the overall methodological framework of this study; this chapter review different Statistical Downscaling Techniques and SDSM elaborates the methods that were applied in this study. Results are discussed in Chapter 4. Conclusion and recommendation remarks are provided in Chapter 5.

REFERENCES

Arnell D.A., Hudson R.G., Jone P., (2003): Climate change scenarios from a regional climate model estimating change in runoff in southern Africa, *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres* 108 (2003) (D16), 4519.

American Institute of Physics Statement supporting AGU statement on human-induced climate change, (2003): "The Governing Board of the American Institute of Physics has endorsed a position statement on climate change adopted by the American Geophysical Union (AGU) Council in December 2003."

Bardossy A., and Caspary H.J., (1990): Detection of climate change in Europe by analysing European atmospheric circulation patterns from 1881 to 1989, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 42, pp. 155-167.

Bardossy A., and Van Mierlo J. M. C., (2000): "Regional precipitation and temperature scenarios for climate change." *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 45: pp. 559-575.

Beniston M., Stephenson D.B., Christensen O.B., Ferro C.A., Frei C., Goyette S., Halsnaes K., Holt T., Jylhä K., Koffi B., Palutikoff J., Schöll R., Semmler T., Woth K., (2007): Future extreme events in European climate: an exploration of regional climate model projections. *Climate Change* 81: pp. 71–79.

Blenkinsop S., and Fowler H.J., (2007): Changes in drought frequency and severity over the British Isles projected by the PRUDENCE regional climate models. *Journal of Hydrology*, 342, pp. 50-57.

Bouraoui F., Vachaud G., Li L.Z.X., Letreut H., and Chen T., (1999): Evaluation of the impact of climate changes on water storage and groundwater recharge at the watershed scale. *Climate Dynamics* 15, pp. 153–161.

Bradley R.S., and Jones P.D., (1993): "Little Ice Age" summer temperature variations: their nature and relevance to recent global warming trends. *The Holocene*, 3, pp. 367-376.

Buma and Dehn M., (2000): Impact of climate change on a landslide in South East France, simulated using different GCM scenarios and downscaling methods for local precipitation, *Climate Research* 15 (1), pp. 69–81.

Cannon A.J., and Whitfield P.H., (2002): Downscaling recent stream flow conditions in British Columbia, Canada using ensemble neural network models, *Journal of Hydrology*, 259 (2002) (1), pp. 136–151.

Charles S.P., Bates B.C., Whetton P.H., and Hughes J.P., (1999): Validation of downscaling models for changed climate conditions: Case study of south-western Australia. *Clim. Res.*, 12, pp. 1-14.

Cohen S.J., (1990): Bringing the global warming issue closer to home: the challenge of regional impact studies. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 71, pp. 520-526.

Corte-Real J., Xu H., Qian B., (1999): A weather generator patterns. *Climate Research* 13, pp. 61-75.

Cotton W.R., and Pielke R.A., (1995): *Human impacts on weather and climate*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 288 pp

Diaz-Nieto J., and Wilby R.L., (2005): A comparison of statistical downscaling and climate change factor methods: impacts on low flows in the River Thames, United Kingdom. *Climatic Change*, 69, pp. 245-268.

Dibike Y.B., and Coulibaly P., (2007): Validation of hydrologic models for climate scenario simulation: the case of Saguenay watershed in Quebec. *Hydrological Processes*, 21, pp. 3123-3135.

Durman C.F., Gregory J.M., Hassell D.C., Jones R.G., Vidale P.L., (2001): A comparison of extreme European daily precipitation simulated by a global and regional climate model for present and future climates. *QJ Roy Meteorol Soc* 127: pp. 1005–1010.

Fowler H., Blenkinsop S., and Kilsby T.C., (2007): Linking climate change modelling to impacts studies: recent advances in downscaling techniques for hydrological modelling, *International Journal of Climatology*.

Georgakakos and Smith, K.P., Georgakakos and Smith D.E., (2001): Soil moisture tendencies into the next century for the conterminous United States, *Journal of Geophysical Research – Atmospheres* 106 (2001) (D21), pp. 27367–273 82.

Giorgi F., and Mearns L.O., (1991): Approaches to the simulation of regional climate change: a review. *Rev. Geophys.*, 29, pp. 191-216.

Giorgi F., Hewitson B., Christensen J., Fu C., Jones R., Hulme M., Mearns L., Von Storch H., and Whetton P., (2001): Regional climate information evaluation and projections, in *Climate Chang 2001: The scientific basis*, 944pp.

Goodess C., (2000): Climate change scenarios. No. 9, Climatic Research Unit, University of East Anglia.

Goodess C.M., Anagnostopoulou C., Bardossy A., Frei C., Harpham C., Haylock M.R., Hindecha Y., Maheras P., Ribalaygua J., Schmidli J., Schmith T., Tolika K., Tomozeiu R., Wilby R.L., (2005): An intercomparison of statistical downscaling methods for Europe and European regions – assessing their performance with respect to extreme temperature and precipitation events, *Climatic Change*.

Harun, S., Hanapi, M.N., Shamsuddin, S., Amin, M.Z.M., and Ismail, N.A., (2008): Regional Climate Scenarios Using A Statistical Downscaling Approach, Project Report, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Hassan K., Hanaki and Matsuo T., (1998): A modelling approach to simulate impact of climate change in lake water quality: Phytoplankton growth rate assessment, *Water Science and Technology* 37 (1998) (2), pp. 177–185.

Hay L.E., Wilby R.L., and Leagesley G.H., (2000): A comparison of delta change and downscaled GCM scenarios for three mountainous basins in the United States. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 36, pp. 387-390

Hay S.I., Rogers D.J., Randolph S.E., Stern D.I., Cox J., Shanks G.D., and Snow R.W., (2002): Hot topic or hot air? Climate change and malaria resurgence in East African highlands, *Trends Parasitol.*, 18, pp. 530-534.

Hay L.E., and Clark M.P., (2003): Use of statistically and dynamically downscaled atmospheric model output for hydrologic simulations in three mountainous basins in the western United States. *Journal of Hydrology*, 282, pp. 56-75.

Haylock M.R., Cawley G.C., Harpham C., Wilby R.L., and Goodess C.M., (2006): Downscaling Heavy Precipitation over the United Kingdom: A comparison of dynamical and statistical methods and their future scenarios, *International Journal of Climatology*, 26, pp. 1397-1415.

Hessami M., Gachon P., Ouarda T.B.M.J., St-Hilaire A., (2008): Automated regression-based statistical downscaling tool. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 23, pp. 813-834.

Hellström C., Busuioc A., and Chen D., (2001): Performance of statistical downscaling models in GCM validation and regional climate change estimates: application for Swedish precipitation. *Int. J. Climatol.*, 21(5), pp. 557–578.

Hewitson B.C., Crane R.G., (2002): Self organizes maps: Applications to synoptic climatology. *Climate Research*, 22, pp. 13–26.

Hughes J.P., Guttorp P., (1994): A class of stochastic models for relating synoptic atmospheric patterns to regional hydrologic phenomena. *Water Resource*, 30, pp. 1535–1546.

Hughes J.P., Charles S.P., Bates B.C., and Whetton P.H., (1999): Validation of downscaling models for changed climate conditions: Case study of south-western Australia. *Clim. Res.*, 12, pp. 1-14.

Huth R., (2000): A circulation classification scheme applicable in GCM studies. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 67, pp. 1-18.

Huth R., Kliegrová S., and Metelka L., (2008): Non-linearity in statistical downscaling: does it bring an improvement for daily temperature in Europe? *International Journal of Climatology*, 28, pp. 465-477.

IPCC (1990): *Climate Change: The IPCC Scientific Assessment*, (Eds. Houghton J.T., Jenkins G.J. and Ephraums J.J.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 365 pp.

IPCC (1992): *Climate Change 1992: The Supplementary Report to the IPCC Scientific Assessment*, (Eds. Houghton J.T., Callander B.A., and Varney S.K.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 200 pp.

IPCC (1996): *Greenhouse gas inventory reference manual: Revised 1996 IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories, Reference manual Vol. 3*, J.T. Houghton L.G., Meira Filho B., Lim K., Treanton I., Mamaty Y., Bonduki D.J., Griggs and Callender B.A., [Eds]. IPCC/OECD/IEA. UK Meteorological Office, Bracknell, pp. 6.15-6.23.

IPCC (2000): *Climate Change: Special Report on Emissions Scenarios*, IPCC, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000. 570 pp.

IPCC (2001): *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Houghton J.T., Ding Y., Griggs D.J., Noguer M., Van der Linden P.J., Dai X., Maskell K., and Johnson C.A., (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. 881 pp.

IPCC (2007a): *Climate change (2007): impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 976 pp.

IPCC (2007b): *Climate change (2007): synthesis report. Contributions of Working Groups I, II and III to the fourth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 104 pp.

IPCC-TGICA (2007): *General guidelines on the use of scenario data for climate impact and adaptation assessment. Version 2*. Prepared by T.R. Carter on behalf of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Task Group on Data and Scenario Support for Impact and Climate Assessment. 66 pp.

Jasper K., Calanca P., Gyalistras D., and Fuhrer J., (2004): Differential impacts of climate change on the hydrology of two alpine rivers. *Climate Res.*, 26, pp. 113-125.

Kalnay E., Kanamitsu M., Kistler R., Collins, W.D., Deaven I., Gandin M., Iredell S., Saha G., White J., Woollen Y., Zhu M., Chelliah W., Ebisuzaki W., Higgins J.,

Janowiak K.C., Mo C., Ropelewski J., Wang A., Leetmaa R., Reynolds R., Jenne and Joseph D., (1996): The NCEP/NCAR 40-year Reanalysis Project. *Bull. Am. Met. Soc.*, 77, pp. 437-471.

Katz R.W., Parlange M.B., and Naveau P., (2002): Statistics of extremes in hydrology, *Adv. Water Resour.*, 25, pp. 1287–1304.

Kettle H., and Thompson R., (2004): Statistical downscaling in European mountains: verification of reconstructed air temperature, *Climate Research* 26 (2004) (2), pp. 97–112.

Kidson J.W., Thompson C.S., (1998): A comparison of statistical and model-based downscaling techniques for estimating local climate variations. *Journal of Climate*, 11, pp. 735–753.

Kidson J.W., (2000): An analysis of New Zealand synoptic types and their use in defining weather regimes. *International Journal of Climatology*, 20, 3, pp. 299-316.

Kim M.K., Kim I.S., Kang C.K., Park and Kim K.M., (2004): Super ensemble prediction of regional precipitation over Korea, *International Journal of Climatology* 24 (2004), 6, pp. 777–790.

Kostopoulou E., Giannakopoulos C., Anagnostopoulou C., Tolika K., Maheras P., Vafiadis M., Founda D., (2007): Simulating maximum and minimum temperature over Greece: a comparison of three downscaling techniques. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 90, pp. 65-82

Leggett J., Pepper W.J., and Swart R.J., (1992): Emissions scenarios for IPCC: An update. In *Climate Change 1992: The Supplementary Report to the IPCC Scientific Assessment*. Houghton J.T., Callander B.A., Varney S.K., [eds.], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Lorenz E.N., (1969): The predictability of a flow which possesses many scales of motion. *Tellus*, 21.

Mearns L.O., Rosenzweig C., and Goldberg R., (1997): Mean and variance change in climate scenarios: methods, agricultural applications, and measures of uncertainty. *Climatic Change*, 35, pp. 367 –396.

Mearns L.O., Bogardi I., Giorgi F., Matyasovszky I., and Palecki M., (1999): Comparison of climate change scenarios generated daily temperature and precipitation from regional climate model experiments and statistical downscaling, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, pp. 6603-6621.

Mearns L. O., Giorgi F., Whetton P., Pabon D., Hulme M., and Lal M., (2004): Guidelines for use of climate scenarios developed from regional climate model experiments, Tech. rep., Data Distribution Centre of the IPCC A Practitioner's Guide in Atmospheric Science, 254 pp.

Misson L., Misson D.P., Rasse C., Vincke M., Aubinet and Francois L., (2002): Predicting transpiration from forest stands in Belgium for the 21st century, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 111 (2002), 4, pp. 265-282.

Murphy J.M., (1999): An evaluation of statistical and dynamical techniques for downscaling local climate. *J. Climate*, 12, pp. 2256-2284.

Murphy J.M., (2000): Predictions of climate change over Europe using statistical and dynamical downscaling techniques. *Int. J. Climatology.*, 20, pp. 489-501.

Nakicenovic N., Alcamo J., Davis G., De Vries H.J.M., Fenhann J., Gaffin S., Gregory K., Grubler A., Jung T.Y., Kram T., La Rovere E.L., Michaelis L., Mori S., Morita T., Papper W., Pitcher H., Price L., Riahi K., Roehrl A., Rogner H.H., Sankovski A., Schlesinger M., Shukla P., Smith S., Swart R., Van Rooijen S., Victor N., and Dadi Z., (2000): Special Report on Emissions Scenarios. Inter governmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press Cambridge.

Osborn T.J., and Hulme M., (1997): Development of a relationship between station and grid-box rain day frequencies for climate model evaluation. *Journal of Climate*, 10, pp. 1885-1908.

Palutikof, J.P., Goodess C.M., Watkins S.J., and Holt T., (2002): Generating rainfall and temperature scenarios at multiple sites: examples from the Mediterranean. *J. Clim.*, 15, pp. 3529–3548.

Pfizenmayer A., and Von Storch H., (2001): Anthropogenic climate change shown by local wave conditions in the North Sea. *Clim. Res.*, 19, pp. 15-23.

Prudhomme C., and Ragab R., (2002): Climate change and water resources management in arid and semi-arid regions: prospective and challenges for the 21st century. *Biosyst. Eng.*, 81, pp. 3-34.

Rabiner L.R., and Juang B.H., (1986): An introduction to hidden markov models. *IEEE Magazine on Accoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, 3(1), pp. 4-16.

Richardson C.W., (1981): Stochastic Simulation of Daily Precipitation, Temperature, and Solar- Radiation. *Water Resources Research*, 17, pp. 182-190.

Semenov M.A., Barrow E.M., (1997): Use of a stochastic weather generator in the development of climate change scenarios *Climatic Change*, 35, pp. 397-414.

Schmidt M., Glade T., (2003): Modelling climate change impacts for landslide activity: case studies from New Zealand.- *Climate Research*, 25, pp. 135-150.

Spak S., Holloway T., Lynn B., and Goldberg R., (2007): A comparison of statistical and dynamical downscaling for surface temperature in North America. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D08101, 10 PP.

Von Storch H., Zorita E., and Cubasch E., (1993): Downscaling of global climate estimates to regional scales, An application to the Iberian rainfall.

Von Storch H., (1995): Inconsistencies at the interface of climate impact studies and global climate research. *Meteor. Z.* 4 NF, pp. 72-80.

Von Storch H., (1999): On the use of "inflation" in downscaling. *J. Climate*, 12, pp. 3505-3506.

Vrac M., Stein M., Hayhoe K., (2007): Statistical downscaling of precipitation through non homogeneous stochastic weather typing. *Climate Research*, 34, pp. 169-184.

Weisse R., and Oestreicher R., (2001): Reconstruction of potential evaporation for water balance studies. *Climate Research*, 16(2), pp. 123-131.

Wigley T.M.L., and Raper S.C.B., (1992): Implications for climate and sea level of revised IPCC emissions scenarios. *Nature*, 357, pp. 293-300.

Wilby R.L., Greenfield B., and Glenny C., (1994): A coupled synoptic-hydrological model for climate change impact assessment, *J. Hydrol.*, 153, pp. 265-290.

Wilby R.L., and Wigley T.M.L., (1997): Downscaling general circulation model output: a review of methods and limitations. *Prog. Phys. Geography*, 21, pp. 530-548.

Wilby R.L., (1998): Modelling low-frequency rainfall events using airflow indices, weather patterns and frontal frequencies, *Journal of Hydrology* 213 (1998) (1–4), pp. 380–392.

Wilby R.L., Hay L.E., and Leavesley G.H., (1999): A comparison of downscaled and raw GCM output: implications for climate change scenarios in the San Juan River basin, Colorado. *Journal of Hydrology*, 225, pp. 67-91.

Wilby R.L., and Wigley T.M.L., (2000): Precipitation predictors for downscaling: Observed and general circulation model relationships. *International Journal of Climatology*, 20, pp. 641-661.

Wilby R.L., Dawson C.W., and Barrow E.M., (2001): SDSM - a decision support tool for the assessment of regional climate change impacts. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 17, pp. 145-157.

Wilby R.L., and Dawson C.W., (2002): SDSM – a decision support tool for the assessment of regional climate change impacts. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 17(2), pp. 147 – 159.

Wilby R.L., Charles S.P., Zorita E., Timbal B., Whetton P., and Mearns L.O., (2004): The guidelines for use of climate scenarios developed from statistical downscaling methods. Supporting material of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), prepared on behalf of Task Group on Data and Scenario Support for Impacts and Climate Analysis.

Wilby R.L., Dawson C.W., and Barrow E.M., (2004): *SDSM* - a decision support tool for the assessment of regional climate change impacts. *Environmental and Modelling Software*, 17, pp. 145-157.

Wilks D.S., (1992): Adapting stochastic weather generation algorithms for climate change studies. *Clim Change* 22, pp. 67–84.

Wilks, D.S., and Wilby R.L., (1999): The weather generation game: a review of stochastic weather models. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 23, pp. 329-357.

Wood A.W., Leung L.R., Sridhar V., and Lettenmaier D.P., (2004): Hydrologic implications of dynamical and statistical approaches to downscaling climate model outputs. *Climatic Change*, 62, pp. 189-216.

Xu D., (1999): Forestry and land use change assessment for China. In: *Forestry and Land Use Change Assessment*. Asian Development Bank, Manila, Philippines, pp. 73-97.

Zhang Y.-C., Rossow W.B., Lacis A.A., Oinas V., and Mishchenko M.I., (2004): Calculation of radiative fluxes from the surface to top of atmosphere based on ISCCP and other global data sets: Refinements of the radiative transfer model and the input data. J. Geophys. Res., 109, D19105.