

EXTENT OF ROAD LIGHTING IMPACT ON THE QUALITY OF ROADWAY  
SERVICE

AZTRI YULI KURNIA

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of degree of  
Master of Engineering (Civil - Transportation and Highway)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2012

*To my beloved mommy and daddy*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to my project supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Johnnie Ben-Edigbe, who continuously guided me throughout every step of my study and generously shared his time and knowledge with me.

My special thanks to lecturers who have given knowlegde and guidance during my study, staff members of FKA especially Department of Geothechnics and Transportation for their help and assistance.

Million words of thanks to my parents, sisters, brothers, and my beloved for their support and encouragement in all my life. Big thanks for MAP classmates and best friends who showed their concern and support all the way. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space.

## ABSTRACT

The quality of road surface and its facility will relate to performance evaluation, which relies on measures of performance quality, often stated in quality of roadway service (QRS). One of the facilities that available in highway outside the carriageway is road furniture, including road lighting. The availability of road lighting in highway helps driver at night time driving. Road lighting be expected can make road can be functioned in night time as well as drive in daytime. This study will compare QRS of two scenarios – day light condition and road light condition – in dry weather. QRS can be estimated by comparing 85<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> percentiles of cumulative speed distribution. Roadway capacity during daylight is estimated approximately 2096 pcu/hr/ln, while during road lighting the roadway capacity is 2154 pcu/hr/ln. The 15<sup>th</sup> percentile speed during day light is 61 km/hr, decreasing to 59 km/hr during road lighting condition. The 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed during day light and road lighting are 88 km/hr and 85 km/hr respectively. Statistical test result shown that the difference of 85<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> percentile speed during day light and road lighting condition was statistically not significant. Optimum speed during daylight is 46.17 km/hr, while during road lighting is decrease to 44.42 km/hr. Since speed is a distributed parameter, a variance within 32.67 km/hr to 59.67 km/hr for the speed can be neglected and QRS assumed not affected. It shows that QRS under proper standard lighting system is not affected significantly compare to the daylight condition.

## ABSTRAK

Kualiti permukaan jalan dan kemudahan yang berkaitan dengan penilaian prestasi, bergantung kepada prestasi kualiti yang diukur, yang dinyatakan dalam kualiti perkhidmatan jalan (QRS). Salah satu kemudahan yang disediakan di luar laluan lebuh raya adalah perabot jalan, termasuk lampu jalan. Penggunaan lampu jalan di lebuh raya membantu pemandu di waktu malam. Lampu jalan dijangkakan boleh membuat jalan berfungsi pada waktu malam serta pemanduan di siang hari. Kajian ini akan membandingkan QRS bagi dua senario – keadaan siang dan keadaan malam pada cuaca kering. QRS boleh dianggarkan dengan membandingkan 85 dan 15 persentil agihan laju kumulatif. Kapasiti jalan raya pada waktu siang hari dianggarkan kira-kira 2096 pcu/hr/ln, manakala semasa malam hari kapasiti jalan 2154 pcu/hr/ln. Kelajuan 15 persentil semasa siang hari adalah 61 km/hr, berkurangan kepada 59 km/hr dalam keadaan malam hari. Kelajuan 85 persentil semasa siang hari dan malam hari, masing-masing adalah 88 km/hr dan 85 km/hr. Keputusan ujian statistik menunjukkan bahawa perbezaan kelajuan 85 dan 15 persentil semasa siang hari dan keadaan malam hari adalah tidak signifikan secara statistik. Kelajuan optimum pada waktu siang hari adalah 46,17 km/hr, manakala semasa malam hari menurun kepada 44,42 km/hr. Oleh kerana kelajuan adalah parameter teragih, varians untuk kelajuan dalam lingkungan 32,67 km/hr hingga 59,67 km/hr boleh diabaikan dan QRS dianggap tidak terjejas. Ini menunjukkan bahawa QRS pada malam hari di bawah sistem lampu piawaian yang betul tidak terjejas dengan ketara berbanding dengan keadaan siang hari.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Statement of the Problem	2
	1.3 Aim and Objective of the Study	3

1.4	Scope	3
1.5	Significant of the Study	4
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Road Lighting	5
	2.2.1 Objectives of Road Lighting	5
	2.2.2 Road Lighting Terminology	6
	2.2.2.1 Photometric Terms	6
	2.2.2.2 Installation Terms	7
2.3	Traffic Stream Parameter	10
	2.3.1 Volume and Rate of Flow	10
	2.3.2 Speed	12
	2.3.3 Density	14
	2.3.4 Relationship of Flow, Speed, and Density	14
2.4	Greenshield's Macroscopic Stream Model	15
2.5	Roadway Capacity	17
	2.5.1 Passenger Car Equivalent	18
2.6	Level-of-Service	20
	2.6.1 Level-of-Service Based on Highway Capacity Manual	20

	2.6.2 Level-of-Service Based on Malaysian Standard	21
2.7	Factors Affecting Capacity and Quality of Roadway Service	22
2.8	The 85 <sup>th</sup> and 15 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Speeds	24
	2.8.1 Asymptotic Distribution of Sample Quantiles	25
	2.8.2 Asymptotic Distribution of 85 <sup>th</sup> Sample Quantile From The Normal Distribution	26
2.9	Linear Regression	27
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>28</b>
3.1	Introduction	28
3.2	Data Requirement	28
3.3	Location Selected	29
3.4	Data Collection	30
	3.4.1 Data Collection Equipments	30
	3.4.2 Data Collection Method	30
	3.4.3 Data Extraction	31
	3.4.4 Data Collection Time	32
3.5	Study Hypotheses	33
3.6	Data Analysis	33
3.7	Summary	35



<b>4</b>	<b>FINDINGS</b>	<b>36</b>
4.1	Introduction	36
4.2	Site Characteristics	36
	4.2.1 Site Geometry	36
	4.2.2 Road Lighting Geometry	37
4.3	Existing Data	37
	4.3.1 Traffic Proportion	37
	4.3.2 Peak Hour	38
	4.3.2 Period for Comparison	40
4.4	Data Analysis	42
	4.4.1 85 <sup>th</sup> and 15 <sup>th</sup> Percentile Speeds	42
	4.4.2 Flow, Speed, Density of Traffic	45
	4.4.3 Flow – Density Relationship During Day Light	51
	4.4.4 Flow – Density Relationship During Road Lighting	54
	4.4.5 Speed – Flow Relationship During Day Light	57
	4.4.6 Speed – Flow Relationship During Road Lighting	59
4.5	Comparison of Model	61

<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>68</b>
5.1	Conclusions	68
5.2	Recommendations	69
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>70</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>72 - 80</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Absolute Minimum Setback	8
2.2	Projection Length	9
2.3	Conversion Factors to p.c.u	19
2.4	ARX Classification Scheme	19
2.5	Definition of level of service (LOS) for highways	21
3.1	Vehicle Classification	32
4.1	Selected Dates for Analysis	42
4.2	Speed Statistics for Day Light Condition	43
4.3	Speed Statistics for Road Light Condition	43
4.4	Speed Statistics	45
4.5	Speed – Flow – Density Data on May 31, 2010	46
4.6	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 1, 2010	46
4.7	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 2, 2010	46
4.8	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 3, 2010	47
4.9	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 4, 2010	47
4.10	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 7, 2010	47

4.11	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 8, 2010	48
4.12	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 9, 2010	48
4.13	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 10, 2010	48
4.14	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 11, 2010	49
4.15	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 14, 2010	49
4.16	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 15, 2010	49
4.17	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 16, 2010	50
4.18	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 17, 2010	50
4.19	Speed – Flow – Density Data on June 18, 2010	50
4.20	Result of Statistical Test on 85 <sup>th</sup> Percentiles Speed	61
4.21	Result of Statistical Test on 15 <sup>th</sup> Percentiles Speed	62
4.22	Day Light VS Road Lighting	62
4.23	Result of Statistical Test Day Light VS Road Lighting	67

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1.1	Site Map	4
2.1	Lantern Projection Length	9
2.2	Greenshield's Speed – Density Model	15
2.3	Speed vs. Density Diagram	16
2.4	Flow vs. Density Diagram	16
2.5	Speed vs. Flow Diagram	17
3.1	Automatic Traffic Count (ATC)	30
3.2	ATC in Study Site	31
3.3	Flow – Density Relationship	34
3.4	Flow Chart of the Study	35
4.1	Traffic Proportion	38
4.2	AM Peak Hour	39
4.3	PM Peak Hour	40
4.4	Vehicle Flow	41
4.5	Vehicle Flow Typical Pattern	41
4.6	Comparative of Cumulative Speed Distribution Curve	44

4.7	Regression Parameter of Flow – Density During Day Light	51
4.8	Flow – Density Diagram Day Light Condition	52
4.9	Flow – Density Scatter Diagram During Day Light Condition	53
4.10	Flow – Density Relationship During Day Light Condition	53
4.11	Regression Parameter of Flow – Density During Road Lighting	54
4.12	Flow – Density Diagram Road Lighting Condition	55
4.13	Flow – Density Scatter Diagram During Road Lighting Condition	56
4.14	Flow – Density Relationship During Road Lighting Condition	56
4.15	Speed – Density Relationship Under Day Light Condition	58
4.16	Speed – Flow Relationship During Day Light	59
4.17	Speed – Density Relationship Under Road Lighting Condition	60
4.18	Speed – Flow Relationship During Road Lighting	61
4.19	Flow – Density Comparison	63
4.20	Transformation Diagram of Flow – Density	64
4.21	Speed – Flow Comparison	65
4.22	Acceptable Speed Range	66

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	ATC Data	72
B	Vehicle Count	77
C	Statistical Analysis	80

## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

a	Deceleration rate
AASHTO	American Association State Highway Transportation Officials
ADT	Average Daily Traffic (veh)
ATC	Automatic Traffic Count
B.S	British Standards
cd	Candela
CI	Confidence Interval
d	Distance travelled (km)
FFS	Free-Flow Speed (km/h)
ft	Foot
h	hour
$H_1$	Alternative hypothesis
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual
HGV	Heavy Goods Vehicle
$H_0$	Null hypothesis
JKR	Jabatan Kerja Raya
k	Density (veh/km)
$k_c$	Critical density (veh/km)



$k_j$	Jam density (veh/km)
km	Kilometer
lm	Lumen
LOS	Level of Service
lx	Lux
m	Meter
mi	Mile
M.S	Malaysian Standards
$n$	Number of observed vehicles
PCE	Passenger Car Equivalent
p.c.u	Passenger Car Unit
PHF	Peak-Hour Factor
PI	Prediction Interval
$q$	Flow (veh/h)
$q_m$	Maximum flow (veh/h)
SE	Standard Error
$t_i$	Time for vehicle $i$ to traverse $d$ (h)
$t_{p-r}$	Perception-Reaction Time (sec)
TRRL	Transport and Road Research Laboratory
$u$	Speed (km/h)
$u_f$	Free-flow speed (km/h)
$u_o$	Optimum speed (km/h)

$\overline{u_s}$	Space mean speed (km/h)
$\overline{u_T}$	Time mean speed (km/h)
UTM	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
$V_d$	Design speed (km/h)
veh	Vehicle
x	Length of road (km)

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

Highway is the important aspect in life because it supports people movement to do their daily activity. It is also the important aspect in economic growth in a country. Economic growth can be directly related to the highways such as transportation of goods from factory to be distributed, people activity to go to work, or even family trip to recreational place.

Road lighting is an important infrastructure facility. Initially, lighting was to enable pedestrians to move about in cities at night without being attacked. Currently road lighting installed because of its ability to reduce night time accident and driver comfort (Holmes; 1997). Moreover, road lighting has function to illuminate adequately the road surface and objects on the road and its surrounding areas to be visible enough to ensure that the driving task is performed successfully (Aleksanteri E. *et al.*, 2008). From engineer's point of view, primary object of road lighting is to improve the safety of roads at night by providing good visibility conditions for all road users.

A good highway makes it possible for user to ride safely and comfortably at the design speed. The quality of road surface and its facility will relates to performance evaluation, which traffic engineers can rate operating characteristics of individual section of roadway and facilities as a whole in relative terms. Evaluation relies on measures performance quality, often stated in level of service. The Highway

Capacity Manual (HCM) defines highway level of service as a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, as perceived by motorist (Transportation Research Board; 2000). Level of service (LOS) divide into six levels, which range from A to F, separated by different value of measurement which is traffic density, volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio, and average speed. However, the level of which these level of service are according to motorists' perception in USA. In Malaysia, quality of service can be measured from speed – volume relationship. In essence, by comparing 85<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> percentiles of cumulative speed distribution of roadway under day light and road lighting condition, the quality of roadway service can be estimated.

Greenshield (1934) method can be used to determine the optimum speed and free-flow speed as the parameter to describe quality of roadway service. Traffic condition and roadway condition have a strong influence on vehicles' speed. One of the most significant factors of road condition is visibility for the road user. Road light has important part in night time to provide visibility.

## **1.2 Statement of the Problem**

Activity of the people is not restricted only in daytime, but people still doing their activity in night time. Night time driving can be a particularly problem. The US Department of Transportation (USDOT) and The National Highway Transportation Safety Administration (NHTSA) both report show that 27% of total crashes occur under dark condition, 45% of fatalities occur under dark condition (NHTSA; 2003). Those problems occur relates to good visibility for road user which can influence driver's behaviour. Previous study found no change in average speed when road lighting was introduced, while other show contrary (Anais M. *et al.*, 2010).

Most of previous study of road lighting usually related to traffic safety and accident prevention. Only limited study has been made to investigate effect of road lighting to the quality of roadway service (QRS). QRS should be considered under

road lighting condition to compare with the control QRS when it is under daylight condition.

In a low traffic volume situation, driver's selection of speed usually influenced by factor such as the road geometry, lighting and weather condition, (Othman C.P., 2004). The availability of road lighting in highway helps driver at night time driving. Although vehicles have their own headlight, but road lighting still needed. Road lighting provides visibility, helps driver to get enough visual information throughout driving. Road lighting be expected can make road can be functioned in night time as well as drive in daytime. Because of that, study will mitigate the impact of road lighting on the QRS.

### **1.3 Aim and Objective of the Study**

The aim of the study is to determine the extent of road lighting impact on the quality of roadway service.

The objectives of this study are:

- a) To determine the 85<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> percentile speed distribution for roadway with and without road lighting.
- b) To compare the outcomes from the two scenarios.
- c) To determine speed / flow plot points from estimated flow / density functions.
- d) To compare the outcomes from speed / flow graphs from the two scenarios.

### **1.4 Scope**

Scope of the study will be focused to determine QRS of the section of Skudai-Pontian Highway. QRS of highway section will be in two different conditions, day light during day time and road lighting during night time, to know

the impact of road lighting. The study will provide traffic data including volume and speed for that section of highway during 3 weeks continuously. Roadway type and geometry, and other important information in study location shall be collected.

Section of highway for this study is started from interchange of Jalan University and Skudai-Pontian highway and ended in Jalan Pontian Lama exit ramp. This segment of road is 1.7 km length with no sharp curve.



**Figure 1.1: Site Map**

Site location should be based on criteria so that the data is not affected by other factor. The criteria for site for this study are:

- roadway should be in level terrain, not hilly terrain
- roadway should not influenced by attractions and intersection

## **1.5 Significant of the Study**

Result of this study will provide models of traffic pattern during daylight and road lighting condition. Models will be use to verify whether road lighting has impact on QRS, provided the road lighting are operated based on design standard and road surface is free from surface defect.

## REFERENCES

- Ahmed F. Al-Khaisy et al. *Effect of Darkness on the Capacity of Long Term Freeway Reconstruction Zones*. Department of Civil Engineering McMaster University, Ontario, Canada.
- Aleksanteri Ekrias, Marjukka ELoholma, Liisa Halonen, Xian-Jie Song, Xin Zhang, Yan Wen, *Road Lighting and Headlights: Luminance Measurements and Automobile Lighting Simulation*, Journal of Building and Environment (43). 2008.
- Anais Mayeur, Roland Bremond, J.M. Christian Bastien. *Effect of the Viewing Context on Target Detection. Implications for Road Lighting Design*, Journal of Applied Ergonomics (41). 2010.
- Carlos Sun, Yi Hou, Praveen Edara. *A Statical Test for 85<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> Percentile Speeds Using the Asymptotic Distribution of Sample Quantiles*. University of Missouri – Columbia. 2011.
- Hildebrand, E.D., et al. *Speed Management Strategies for Rural Temporary Work Zones*. In Proceedings of Canadian Multidisciplinary Road Safety Conference XIII, Banff, Alberta. June 8-11, 2003.
- Institute of Transportation Engineers. *Traffic Engineering Handbook 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition*. Prentice-Hall, Pearson Education, NJ. 2004.
- Jabatan Kerjaraya Malaysia. *A Guide on Geometric Design of Roads*. Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 8/86.

- Jiang Yongqin et al. *Traffic Safety and Road Lighting Evenness Influence*. Harbin University of Science and Technology, Harbin. 2007.
- Khisty, C. Jotin, B. Kent Lall. *Transportation Engineering – An Introduction 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition*, Prentice-Hall. Pearson Education. NJ. 1998.
- Mace, D.J., and Porter, R.L. *Fixed Roadway Lighting: The Effect of Lighting Geometry and Photometry on Target Visibility and Driver Comfort*. Presented at the 83<sup>rd</sup> Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting, 2004.
- Mattox, J.H. et al. *Development and Evaluation of Speed-Activated Sign to Reduce Speeds in Work Zones*. In Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, No. 2015, Transportation Research Board of the National Academies, Washington, D.C., 2007.
- Nicholas J. Garber, Lester A. Hoel. *Traffic and Highway Engineering 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition*. CL-Engineering 2001.
- Othman Che Puan, Che Ros Ismail. *Driver's Car Following Headway on Single Carriageway Roads*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. 2004.
- R. W. Holmes. *The Purpose of Road Lighting*. CIE Division 4, Department of Transport, NASA Astrophysics Data System. 1997.
- Transportation Research Board. *Highway Capacity Manual*. National Research Council. Washington, D.C. 2000.
- Technical Committee LGL/23, *British Standard EN 5489-1 :Road Light Guide to the General Principles*, Bsi. 1998